

1 question for all of the clerks who are in
2 attendance here today.

3 Is there any clerk here that would disagree
4 with the clerks that have testified about the
5 ability to take over manual calculations, as
6 described? Yes. State your name, please.

7 MS. HUDSON: My name's Wendy Hudson. I'm
8 the Clerk of Elkhart County, and I'm the Chair
9 of the Clerks Legislative Committee.

10 I want to say that, with a manual
11 workaround that so far two vendors have said is
12 possible, and I know that our vendor is GBS,
13 we've examined the manual workaround. It will
14 not be complicated for us. We had zero
15 overvotes in the straight-ticket race in 2014
16 and two overvotes in the 2012 presidential
17 election and a straight-ticket vote. So manual
18 examination and discarding of those ballots will
19 not be complicated for us.

20 I also want to apologize that I missed,
21 during the legislative process, this portion of
22 the bill, because it wasn't a change to the
23 bill; it wasn't a bolded or underlined section,
24 which is what we actually focus on when we look
25 at the bills, we look at the changes. And we

1 didn't notice that this little portion that was
2 already in the bill, which made sense before the
3 decoupling, no longer makes sense.

4 It does not make sense to tell the voter we
5 are decoupling your at-large races from your
6 straight-ticket vote, unless you overvote up
7 here, and then we're recoupling it. That
8 doesn't make sense.

9 COMMISSIONER KLUTZ: Ma'am, do you mind if
10 I ask a question?

11 MS. HUDSON: Yes.

12 COMMISSIONER KLUTZ: I had a question on
13 your -- you said manual selection of ballots you
14 found two overvotes at-large in '12 and none in
15 '14?

16 MS. HUDSON: Right.

17 MR. KLUTZ: But to determine that, do you
18 have to look at every ballot?

19 MS. HUDSON: No.

20 MR. KLUTZ: I mean, or do those two jump
21 out --

22 MS. HUDSON: Those two jump out, and we can
23 pull them out and examine them individually.

24 And we would, then, comply with the law and
25 invalidate the whole ballot.

1 MR. KLUTZ: Right. But those two were
2 easily identifiable?

3 MS. HUDSON: Yes.

4 MR. CONRAD: May I add to that,
5 Mr. Chairman? First of all, what I did -- Kyle
6 Conrad, again, from GBS.

7 What I did not explain previously is that
8 we are only talking about the paper ballot for
9 [unintelligible] system. The DRE change that we
10 utilized doesn't allow for an overvote. So for
11 counties, such as Tippecanoe and Elkhart, that
12 are doing vote centers, have vote centers, and
13 the other counties that have vote centers for
14 primarily the touch screen machines, this
15 scenario cannot even happen because you cannot
16 overvote anything on a touch screen machine. So
17 we're just talking about the paper ballots.

18 The optical scan machine rejects any
19 overvotes. So that is your first clue that
20 there is a problem, whether it be any specific
21 race or a straight-party race. So that's your
22 first clue -- that's the first line of the
23 ~~workaround is if a overvoted ballot is inserted~~
24 into the machine, it's rejected. If it's
25 determined to be a straight-party overvote, it

1 can be handled in a -- in a mechanism set forth
2 either by the Election Division or the County
3 Election Board, similar to a provisional ballot.
4 So I just wanted to clarify that.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

6 Are there any clerks here who have a
7 contrary opinion about the workaround that's
8 been discussed by the three clerks so far?

9 I see none.

10 Okay. Thank you all for your testimony.

11 At this point I'll turn back to VSTOP,
12 Dr. Bagga and Dr. Losco, to proceed with your
13 presentation regarding the status of the efforts
14 taken by Hart Intercivic to comply with the SEA
15 61.

16 DR. LOSCO: Mr. Chairman and Commissioners,
17 Hart Intercivic's voting system's compliance
18 with SEA 61 was tested by SLI Global Compliance
19 Labs and the results reported to IED on
20 June 15th, 2016. The report indicates that,
21 while the DRE component of the Hart voting
22 system 2.1 -- 6.2.1 complies with all sections
23 of SEA 61, the ballot card component fails to
24 automatically process ballots in accord with
25 IC 3-12-1-7(f).

1 Hart requested that it be permitted to use
2 a manual process for this section of the code
3 for the November 2016 general election while it
4 performs necessary software modifications and
5 testing to be completed at an undetermined later
6 date.

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there anyone here from
8 Hart Intercivic that would like to be heard?

9 MR. PEREZ: Yes, Mr. Chairman. My name is
10 Edward Perez, and I'm Director of Product
11 Management with Hart Intercivic.

12 Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission,
13 I want to respectfully thank all of you for the
14 opportunity to testify today.

15 I think I want to begin by just saying, as
16 a level set, that Hart has collaborated closely
17 and in good faith with IED and with the Clerks
18 Association throughout the entire legislative
19 session, when SEA was being discussed and
20 amended.

21 And I think the top-line thing that I want
22 to mention is I'm here, we have affirmed in
23 writing, and I want to affirm here verbally,
24 that Hart is committing to bringing our systems
25 in full compliance with state law. I don't want

1 there to be any question about that. I'll
2 provide detail in a moment.

3 What we have expressed to both VSTOP and
4 the Co-Directors of the Commission is that we do
5 have questions about the timing or rapidity with
6 which such a modified system could be
7 implemented.

8 But having said all that, we provided
9 written commentary to the Co-Directors
10 throughout the legislative session and followed
11 the committee proceedings, and we believed, in
12 good faith, that we did understand the
13 provisions of the new legislation.

14 The testing that was performed by SLI
15 Global, which, again, is an EAC-accredited test
16 lab, we did have that test plan approved in
17 advance by VSTOP, and as they indicated, we do
18 have confirmation from all the parties that that
19 test report and our affirmation of willingness
20 to bring our systems into compliance has been
21 received.

22 I want to echo what Ms. Hudson mentioned
23 with respect to the legislative session during
24 our close and good-faith, transparent
25 collaboration. From our perspective, virtually

1 all of the discussion in the legislative session
2 was exclusively centered around main thrust,
3 which our understanding was to clarify and
4 solidify voter intent.

5 And so with that, in terms of the detail,
6 there was an enormous amount of focus around
7 Subsections (d) and (e) of 3-12-1-7, and during
8 the many months that this was going on, the
9 topic of Section (f), which is the one and only
10 test case in which our current system has
11 issues.

12 So there were a total of 16 test scenarios
13 that the system was tested to, including, on the
14 paper side, the system today, complies with 15
15 of those, and, in fact, not surprising with the
16 behavior of those 15, is basically consistent
17 with the preservation of voter intent.

18 I think the main thing that I want to
19 clarify that -- it's to echo similar concerns
20 that we've heard not only from clerks but some
21 other manufacturers, what we expressed in our
22 letter at the time that we delivered our test
23 report to the Commission is we do have strong
24 concerns with the limited time line that is the
25 desired time line to not only complete the

1 development and the testing and the VSTL testing
2 and the implementation of changes.

3 We are certainly aware, obviously, that
4 there are other member -- vendors that have
5 modified their systems or are in the process of
6 doing so. I can't speak for their own process
7 or their level of testing. What I can speak to
8 is simply what Hart is accustomed to. We are
9 accustomed to testing our systems to the highest
10 level for EAC compliance. Our successor system,
11 the one that is not fielded in Indiana today but
12 is our latest system, we have already taken that
13 through two multiple iterations of testing with
14 the EAC.

15 And so based on our own experience of any
16 modification that is effectively going to be an
17 end-to-end system change, it does lead us to our
18 own sort of predictions of what we think is an
19 appropriate time for purely internal Hart
20 quality testing -- actually, let me take a step
21 back, because, of course, to field any
22 modification, there is the time to design and
23 actually code and implement the feature itself,
24 and then, based on our estimations, purely for
25 our internal testing, before we would even take

1 something to an EAC-accredited test lab, doing
2 internal testing for two months would not be
3 uncommon.

4 Now, you might ask why is that so,
5 particularly in this case, you might say we're
6 talking about noncompliance with just one -- one
7 little section; right? Well, just to make the
8 changes to bring into compliance with Subsection
9 (f), it requires touching every single
10 component, and effectively what we're talking
11 about is creating and then fielding an entirely
12 new voting system between now and November.

13 We would need to make changes to our ballot
14 definition software. We would need to make
15 changes to the mark recognition and the rules
16 adjudication of our precinct scanning system.
17 We would need to do the same thing to our
18 absentee ballot scanning system, which is a
19 separate component, and, of course, we would
20 need to do the same thing for tabulation.

21 And I do simply want to echo that anytime
22 that we are doing an end-to-end change like
23 that -- and obviously, even if it is prompted by
24 compliance with the law, narrowly speaking, we
25 take very seriously our commitment to the voters

1 of Indiana and to the counties of Indiana, and
2 in a manner that would echo what you've heard
3 from some of the other manufacturers, there's a
4 level of additional integration testing and
5 regression testing.

6 And also we generally -- the current
7 system, for example, complies with the 2002
8 voting system standards. We would want our test
9 lab to ensure that, again, from a regression
10 standpoint, nothing was altered there.

11 In terms of time lines of when do we
12 think -- so what are we doing now and when do we
13 think changes could be made and so forth? We
14 begin -- in light of what I said about our close
15 collaboration and following this issue very,
16 very closely right up until March, pretty much
17 the moment Hart Intercivic received the initial
18 communication from the Secretary of State
19 indicating that the Governor had signed the
20 law -- and it was in that initial communication,
21 some of you may recall, that that was actually
22 what highlighted some of the implications of
23 Subsection (f). And as many have indicated,
24 that was also the first time that we were really
25 thinking about it.

1 The moment that that happened, we sought
2 clarification in March, and pretty much the
3 moment that we were sort of aware that there was
4 a different topic that had not been within the
5 scope of discussions in the time leading up to
6 that, we set about doing our design work.

7 Right now the design work to make the
8 changes, we have been doing that with our
9 engineering team. They effectively need to
10 determine the full scope, as I mentioned, for
11 the reasons it does touch all the different
12 parts of the system.

13 Given the fact that we do think that with
14 probably a couple months from the time that the
15 system -- that the features have actually been
16 implemented, we think that one to two months of
17 internal QA testing for us is appropriate, and
18 then an additional, probably, two months with a
19 test lab, and then when we start laying it
20 against the November calendar, and particularly
21 when we say -- even assuming that that could be
22 done, what we're talking about, again, is a full
23 reinstallation of all of the software on every
24 PC and touching every voting device and
25 reinstalling all of that, it does end up, again,

1 with a conflict in the normal election calendar
2 for our -- our users.

3 So we are very aware of -- the Co-Directors
4 have been very explicit throughout this process
5 that they -- you all do not want manual
6 procedures, and that is not the preferred method
7 you want to be getting compliance with
8 Subsection (f). We hear that; we understand
9 that.

10 What we want to do for the future is to
11 bring the system into full compliance through
12 changes in the system to natively and
13 automatically do everything. Until such time as
14 that can happen, as you've heard from some
15 others, we also have what we think are some
16 straightforward and palatable procedures for
17 both our precinct paper scanner and for the
18 high-speed scanning solution.

19 Those were originally -- we included them
20 within the scope of discussions with both
21 Dr. Bagga and Dr. Losco at the time of the test
22 plan. We heard them and we respected their
23 wishes to simply remove any mention of that from
24 the test plan and so forth. And so that is not
25 reflected in our test report. But we have

1 documented those and they exist as well.

2 In conclusion, I think what I would like to
3 say is simply, again, we do want to reaffirm our
4 commitment to bringing our systems into full
5 compliance. We believe that doing it with
6 software changes and the level of testing we
7 have suggested can happen in 2017 and certainly
8 before the big milestone for recertification of
9 systems in October 2017.

10 And the last thing I would say, by
11 conclusion, is we have, of course, been working
12 with our customers both to answer their
13 questions and educate them about our
14 understanding of what the requirements would be
15 for processing ballots in the manner required by
16 Subsection (f), and we've also been answering
17 questions and talking with them about what the
18 implications of the time lines might be for
19 their use of the system and so forth.

20 And they have indicated, based on that,
21 some of them may want to talk today. Unless you
22 all have immediate questions for me, which I'm
23 happy to answer, I will yield the floor to any
24 of them, and I understand they also have some
25 commentary.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Let me just ask you this,
2 Mr. Perez: What is Hart Intercivic's proposed
3 workaround for this upcoming election?

4 MR. PEREZ: The shortest version -- so
5 given that it's only Subsection (f) that we're
6 currently not compliant with, and which, again,
7 is triggered by an overvote on the
8 straight-party election, and it only -- again,
9 it only impacts paper-ballot voting, so we do
10 have DRE users. We have paper users. This is
11 only paper ballots.

12 On the precinct scanner, what would be
13 required is simply to use the native
14 capabilities of the precinct scanner to -- when
15 it automatically rejects to the voter an
16 overvoted contest, if we are assuming that the
17 voter made an overvote in the straight-party
18 selection, and if the scanner was configured to
19 reject any overvote, the ballot would be
20 returned to the voter, the voter would get a
21 plain-language message identifying for them the
22 contest that is overvoted, and furthermore, the
23 precinct scanner can be configured to require
24 the intervention of a poll worker to actually
25 cast a ballot that has been flagged or alerted

1 in that way.

2 And so in an ideal circumstance, if the
3 desire is to allow the voter to cast a ballot
4 according to their intent and if they did have
5 individual marks and so forth, then, when the
6 ballot was rejected, they would be instructed to
7 spoil that ballot and request a new one and mark
8 it in accordance with their intent. That would
9 be for the precinct scanner. And, again, just
10 to summarize, set it to reject overvotes and set
11 it to require poll worker intervention.

12 For our central scanning system, it would
13 basically require just the identification, based
14 on a hand audit, of any ballots that have an
15 overvoted straight-party contest in advance.

16 Our absentee ballot card system is a
17 digital system. And if those ballots are simply
18 outstacked and scanned in a separate batch, you
19 would simply confirm the overvote on the
20 straight-party selection, and once that online
21 adjudication step was taken, it would require
22 the user to simply manually void any of the
23 partisan contests that were also marked. And
24 those -- those are the two documented manual
25 processes.

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Let me ask if any of the
2 clerks in the room today have any questions or
3 comments on the proposed workaround that
4 Mr. Perez suggested.

5 MR. MORROW: My name is Jim Morrow. I'm
6 the Gibson County Clerk. And we use Hart
7 Intercivic equipment, and I don't have any
8 problem with the manual workaround. I think
9 that's the only solution, really.

10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Anyone else?

11 MS. LIMING: I'm Beth Liming, the Cass
12 County Clerk, and we have no problem with the
13 manual workaround also.

14 MS. BROWNE: Nicole Browne, Monroe County
15 Clerk. We have no problem with the manual
16 workaround.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right, thank you.
18 Does anyone else have any questions?

19 MR. MCGINNIS: Keith McGinnis, RBM
20 consultant, pervveyor of the Unisyn product.
21 Thank you for the certification. We're glad we
22 were able to follow the rules that were put out
23 by the legislative -- and we are looking not
24 only at a state election, here, folks, but a
25 federal election. That's going to come into

1 play somewhere along the line.

2 I support Unisyn customers, but we also
3 support other customers. Is it up to me to walk
4 in and say, "You're using an uncertified
5 system"? Thank you.

6 THE CHAIRPERSON: Any comments from VSTOP
7 with regard to the Hart Intercivic testimony?

8 DR. LOSCO: Mr. Chairman, I think our main
9 comment would be that the manual procedures
10 have -- have not been tested by us, so we can't
11 comment on those.

12 I would also just note that Hart has one
13 system in seven counties. MicroVote, which --
14 which will -- which claims it has solved the
15 software problem and can comply in time for the
16 election, has 47 counties and two systems.

17 MR. PEREZ: Mr. Chairman, may I just have
18 one -- two quick comments on that?

19 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, go ahead.

20 MR. PEREZ: I just want to say sort of for
21 the record, and with full respect for Dr. Bagga
22 and Dr. Losco, they both know we've worked
23 closely and collaboratively always.

24 One thing I do just want to say for the
25 record, that, yes, it's correct that our manual

1 procedures weren't tested. I did want to say by
2 way of clarification and not in a
3 finger-pointing way, I want to remind, we did
4 offer the manual adjudication procedures during
5 the testing process, and we fully accept and
6 understand that they simply did not want to deal
7 with it at that time.

8 But I did want to note that we were simply
9 trying to be transparent in sharing that, and if
10 it turns out that there are additional steps,
11 and if -- obviously, in a mutually agreeable way
12 and in a way that you require, we can do that
13 testing, we would be happy to do that.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: How long would it take to
15 complete the testing, from your perspective?

16 MR. PEREZ: To do the -- to do the testing
17 and to allow a EAC -- allow our VSTL to
18 basically confirm that, based on that testing,
19 that the results are turning out in accordance
20 with the scenario, that's a matter of weeks. I
21 mean, that, I think, is -- is doable.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Anything else?

23 MR. PEREZ: Thank you.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

25 COMMISSIONER KLUTZ: I have a question for

1 VSTOP. So have any of the manual procedures
2 been tested by VSTOP?

3 DR. LOSCO: No, the test scenarios that we
4 put together and were approved by IED assume --

5 COMMISSIONER KLUTZ: Full compliance?

6 DR. LOSCO: Full compliance.

7 MR. PEARSON: Could I --

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

9 MR. PEARSON: Steve Pearson with ES&S. We
10 requested Pro V&V test our manual procedures as
11 well, and they did.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: They did test?

13 MR. PEARSON: They did use the manual
14 procedures that we have written in their
15 testing. That was performed and completed
16 yesterday.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Was that testing plan
18 approved by VSTOP?

19 MR. PEARSON: As they'd indicated,
20 apparently it had not been, and it was not to --
21 to my knowledge, I'm surprised. I've already
22 reached out to them via text.

23 [LAUGHTER]

24 PROXY FOR VICE CHAIRPERSON: Good job.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes?

1 MS. RETHLAKE: Terri Rethlake, St. Joseph
2 County Clerk. And we are an all paper ballot in
3 St. Joseph County with ES&S and 100s (phonetic).

4 My biggest concern, even though I don't
5 believe, as of now, that I don't have anything
6 to worry about this year, since our council runs
7 district-wide and not at large, my biggest
8 concern is the fact that this is a federal
9 presidential election. And for us, as election
10 clerks, to run a presidential election with
11 noncertified equipment just concerns me. I
12 don't want my state or my county to be another
13 Florida.

14 THE CHAIRPERSON: Any further comments
15 before we close the testimony here today? Yes?

16 MS. HUDSON: I'd just like to point out --
17 Margie Hudson from Elkhart County again.

18 I believe there are 45 county clerks
19 affected by this, with equipment that could be
20 possibly decertified. That's a large number of
21 counties, so please consider that.

22 THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. I think
23 we've -- any other questions by the
24 Commissioners?

25 We will close the evidentiary testimony

1 portion and move on to recognize Election
2 Division counsel for a presentation regarding
3 the requirements for compliance with -- thank
4 you, Dr. Bagga and Dr. Losco.

5 Recognize the Election Division's
6 presentation regarding the requirements of
7 compliance with Senate Enrolled Act 61.

8 CO-DIRECTOR KING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
9 and members of the Commission. In your binders
10 there's a memorandum from Co-director Nussmeyer
11 and myself. I should begin by recognizing the
12 valuable work that our counsels, Dale Simmons,
13 who is absent today, and Matthew Kochevar have
14 done in working to prepare a response to the
15 Chair's inquiry regarding the legal issues that
16 are before the Commission.

17 The memo, which I will give a brief
18 overview of, certainly does not address policy
19 considerations that are the province of the
20 General Assembly. When the General Assembly
21 passes an election law, we administer it the
22 best we can.

23 And in the case we have before us, we're
24 dealing with Senate Enrolled Act 61, which we've
25 noted is now Public Law 21-2016, and I think the

1 contents have been described adequately by those
2 who have testified regarding it today.

3 After it was signed into law, VSTOP and the
4 Election Division received two questions. I'll
5 address the second question first because ES&S
6 has not raised it today, and I don't know if
7 it's still an argument they would make.

8 But at one point in the process ES&S had
9 brought forward the view that the statute did
10 not require implementation of Subsection (f)
11 where only a -- where multiple straight-party
12 tickets were cast because the law in 3-11-7 only
13 referred to casting a straight-party vote.

14 That argument does not recognize the basic
15 principle in Indiana law, in Indiana Code
16 1-1-4-1 which states that whenever statutes are
17 enacted by the General Assembly that use the
18 singular, they must be construed, unless it's
19 repugnant to the legislation, to mean both
20 singular and plural.

21 And, again, I didn't hear ES&S make that
22 argument today, and so I don't know that it's an
23 ongoing discussion, but to say that I think the
24 issue on that point is resolved.

25 The larger question is must a voting

1 system, in particular, a ballot card (optical
2 scan) voting system tabulate votes automatically
3 to comply with Indiana Code 3-12-1-7(f).

4 We've heard several vendors here today
5 indicate that, instead, a manual tabulation of
6 ballots could be performed. That may be
7 correct. The question the Election Division is
8 addressing in this memo is whether or not that
9 manual tabulation would comply with the law.

10 The argument for a manual tabulation is
11 contrary to the requirements for optical scan
12 ballot card systems that are set forth in the
13 basic definitions that apply throughout the
14 entire election code. I mentioned several
15 specifically in the memo.

16 Indiana Code 3-5-2-53, the basic definition
17 of voting system, includes a reference to
18 mechanical, electromechanical or electronic
19 equipment, including software, firmware,
20 et cetera, to cast and count votes. The
21 definition of automatic tabulating machine,
22 which is in 3-5-2-2, describes that machine as
23 an apparatus necessary to automatically examine
24 and count votes.

25 Finally, with regard to optical scan

1 ballots, the particular method under discussion
2 at the Commission's meeting today, 3-5-2-33.9,
3 Subsection (b), requires tabulation of an
4 optical-scanned ballot by an optical system that
5 reads marks on the card or paper.

6 Optical-scanned voting systems are required
7 to meet standards that are set forth in Indiana
8 Code 3-11-7, which include being required to be
9 safe, efficient, and accurate in the counting of
10 the ballots. That's 3-11-75. The key provision
11 here, in 3-11-7-6, is a ballot-card voting
12 system must count a ballot in accordance with IC
13 3-12-1-7, which would include all subsections,
14 Subsections (d), (e), and (f), when a voter
15 casts a straight-ticket vote and votes for
16 individual candidates. So, again, it complies
17 with both the Subsection (f) scenario and the
18 Subsection (d) and (e) scenario.

19 The General Assembly has specifically
20 authorized the manual tabulation of votes in
21 only one case, and that's where it's impossible
22 for the voting system to do so automatically.
23 ~~That's in determining whether a write-in vote~~
24 has been cast or declared write-in candidate for
25 an office or for an individual who is not a

1 declared write-in candidate. That manual
2 tabulation is authorized under Indiana Code
3 3-12-4-4, which requires the County Election
4 Board to appoint write-in teams of
5 representatives of both major political parties
6 necessary to examine and count write-in votes
7 cast on the ballot-card voting system.

8 So the analysis of the law that Co-Director
9 Nussmeyer and myself and our staffs have agreed
10 on would indicate the answer to the question is,
11 no, state law does not authorize a manual
12 procedure, but, instead, requires that a
13 ballot-card voting system complied with the law
14 by tabulating votes automatically and correctly.

15 I'll be happy to answer questions that
16 Commission members may have.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: So it would appear that
18 the work-arounds that have been suggested which
19 require or which permit a manual procedure would
20 not be in compliance with the state law.

21 CO-DIRECTOR KING: That is the opinion of
22 the Election Division. I'll defer to
23 Co-Director Nussmeyer if she has anything to
24 add.

25 CO-DIRECTOR NUSSMEYER: I don't. I agree

1 with Co-Director King.

2 MR. JOHN: Mr. Chairman, if I might.

3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

4 MR. JOHN: One of the things that I think
5 is important here is we are operating in a
6 situation where everybody is striving to the
7 automatic tabulation. And that's the ideal.

8 That being said, I understand the analysis
9 of Mr. King and generally probably agree with
10 it.

11 However, I would also refer to the fact
12 that our manual system does use certified
13 tabulations from our system. You're looking at
14 a certified tabulation as to how many overvotes
15 there are in the straight-party elections.
16 You're looking at the actual ballot, as you've
17 imaged it or are pulling the ballot out, as to
18 whether or not -- because it's the only
19 circumstance that matters -- as to whether or
20 not there are individually marked races, in
21 multi-member races, in a condition where you've
22 had both the Republican party and the Democrat
23 straight-party-marked.

24 So we're talking about a system where we
25 aren't -- we aren't hand-counting these per se.

1 We're using the actual tabulations from the
2 machines, certified parts of these tabulating
3 machines, just not in a way where they pop up an
4 answer. You have to work it through. But it is
5 using the systems.

6 And I'd also refer you to -- when you're
7 looking at the definition of voting system, it
8 is much broader than just simply -- yes, it says
9 total combination, mechanical,
10 electromechanical, and electronic equipment, but
11 it also includes documentation required to
12 program, control, and support that equipment.
13 It also includes the practices, associated
14 documentation used to identify components,
15 maintain records of system errors and defects
16 that determine specific system changes.

17 At the end of the day, the systems are more
18 than just that computer, more than just the
19 mechanics of it. When we're talking about
20 voting system, it's the clerks that are working
21 on it, it's the instructions that go into it.
22 And so I think it's well within given
23 circumstances that were really beyond anybody's
24 intent or control, to be in the situation where
25 we are.

1 If we had had a year to the election, we
2 wouldn't be having this discussion at all. But
3 given exigent circumstances, given the clear
4 authorization and statute for this Commission to
5 make, essentially, regulations and exceptions to
6 ensure that we have a safe and secure election,
7 and considering the fact that the system does
8 include all the documentation procedures that go
9 along with it, I'd argue that it's not -- it is
10 at least within this Commission's interpretation
11 to find that there is legal compliance for this.

12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. John, do you have a
13 position as to whether 3-11-7-14, the
14 supplementary instructions, permit the
15 Commissioners to instruct in a manner that is
16 inconsistent with the interpretation of the law?

17 MR. JOHN: Well, what I just articulated is
18 I think you can argue that these extra
19 documentation essentially become part of the
20 system. And so for you to make that -- that
21 suggestion and to place those requirements in
22 for this election is consistent with law. You
23 aren't actually moving outside of what the law
24 is. You're simply interpreting where there's a
25 gray area in the law.

1 MR. CONRAD: Mr. Chairman?

2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

3 MR. CONRAD: The definition or description
4 that Mr. King just outlined.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: For the record, you
6 are --

7 MR. CONRAD: I'm Kyle Conrad, GBS. And we
8 proposed a manual workaround early on in this
9 process, it is included in our report to VSTOP.
10 But everything that I heard Mr. King just
11 mention that could not be done or should not be
12 allowable is the exact process that I believe
13 that most, if not all, of my counties, and maybe
14 every county in the state, performs for
15 provisional ballots.

16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: There you go.

17 MR. CONRAD: So how can you
18 differentiate -- and maybe the law specifically
19 does; I'm sure Brad can correct me if I'm wrong,
20 and he's just ready to. But a
21 provisional ballot is handled in the exact way
22 we are working -- we are suggesting this manual
23 workaround be performed in our -- in our
24 situation.

25 The machine will reject it, and it will be

1 handled, and -- and -- and the voter intent or
2 the validity of that ballot will be handled at a
3 later time. Preferably that night, at the
4 tabulation center, whereas a provisional ballot
5 is given 10 days. But it's still a -- a ballot
6 that's not run through the optical scanning
7 machine at the polling place and it does later.

8 MR. PEREZ: Mr. Chairman?

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

10 MR. PEREZ: One -- one thought I have that
11 I'll just offer that sort of triangulates off of
12 what I've heard here, and fully understanding
13 Mr. King's point about the need in law to
14 automatically tabulate, one thing that I think
15 that is helpful, and the finer point -- some
16 might think it's hair-splitting, but I think
17 it's actually at the crux of the matter of --
18 what we're talking about in manual procedures, I
19 believe, can reasonably be thought of as -- to
20 this gentleman's point, again, we're not --
21 manual does not equal manual counting.

22 The manual intervention, whether it's
23 happening for a provisional or whether it's
24 happening in the case of an overvoted straight
25 party, the manual intervention is for the

1 purpose of managing how a voter's intent, in
2 that moment, or their marks, are getting
3 recorded in the system. And the recording of
4 the cast vote record is a distinct question from
5 the use of the system's native automatic
6 tabulation capability.

7 And so the way I would sum that up is our
8 proposal, and in -- and in other instances,
9 whether it's for provisional ballots or for
10 anything else, if there is a manual intervention
11 prior to recording a cast vote record that is in
12 some way is adjudicating, how are these voter
13 marks to become part of the records that the
14 automated tabulation system is then going to do
15 its work upon, that, I think, is the critical
16 issue, or at least that's the way I see it.

17 I don't know if others have that fine a
18 distinction between recording the cast vote
19 record and tabulating it, which are obviously
20 related, but they are, strictly speaking,
21 different things that we have suggested is
22 predicated on the assumption that you are using
23 an automated tabulation function in the system.

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Any discussion by the
25 Commission? Any thoughts, comments,

1 suggestions, proposals, concerns, criticisms?

2 MR. CONRAD: May I ask a question? What is
3 the Election Division's definition of a
4 straight-party ballot?

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: I don't know if anyone
6 wants to answer that. If not --

7 CO-DIRECTOR KING: As Mr. -- Mr. Chairman.

8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

9 CO-DIRECTOR KING: As Mr. Conrad knows
10 better than anyone else in this room, the
11 opinion of the Election Division requires
12 agreement by the two Co-Directors.

13 [LAUGHTER]

14 MR. CONRAD: I think I was involved in a
15 situation where that came into play.

16 CO-DIRECTOR KING: There was a situation,
17 yes. But I would be happy to attempt to address
18 the question and then see if Co-Director
19 Nussmeyer concurs.

20 A straight-party ticket, I don't believe,
21 is defined in 3-5-2, but it's described in the
22 various voting system chapters as making a mark
23 indicating that the person is voting for the
24 candidates nominated by a political party.

25 The General Assembly has amended the effect

1 of that statute to say that, notwithstanding the
2 definition, votes cast for a straight ticket
3 will not be counted for the candidates of that
4 party for certain local at-large offices, county
5 council being the most common one this year.

6 MR. CONRAD: Can I stop you right there?

7 So we have now excluded those races as a
8 partisan -- theoretically, as a partisan office?

9 CO-DIRECTOR KING: No, I disagree. They
10 are on the ballot as Democrats or Republicans.
11 They are clearly nominated by their political
12 parties, and several might be a bit offended if
13 you said they were not a political party.

14 MR. CONRAD: Well, they should be offended,
15 but they can't take advantage of the
16 straight-party vote.

17 CO-DIRECTOR KING: And that's a decision
18 that's addressed not by the Indiana Election
19 Commission and not by the Indiana Election
20 Division; that's, instead, addressed by the
21 people who enact legislation in this building.

22 MR. CONRAD: I understand.

23 MR. PEREZ: Mr. Chairman?

24 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes.

25 MR. PEREZ: If I might make one other

1 comment that I think is relevant is, in light of
2 the discussion around adjudication and the
3 between what gets recorded versus what gets
4 tabulated, from our perspective -- and this is
5 just for what it's worth, and I respectfully --
6 I've had -- I've posed this question both to
7 Mr. King and Ms. Nussmeyer, so they have heard
8 it before.

9 For what it's worth from our perspective,
10 at Hart, when we were reading the question, the
11 question of adjudication or the need to
12 adjudicate versus the need to automatically
13 allow the system to record marks the way that it
14 sees them, what's really at heart in Section (f)
15 is the critical question of whether there are
16 individual marks on those partisan races in
17 addition to the overvoted straight-party
18 contest.

19 And when Hart Intercivic read Subsection
20 (f), what was striking to us is that, unlike
21 virtually every other section in 3-12-1-7, which
22 includes (a) through (e), all of those other
23 subsections are very explicit if there is a
24 combination of a straight-party mark or
25 individual marks on partisan races, the

1 subsection states so explicitly.

2 And the language conventions of Subsection
3 (f) are different, and they don't read the same
4 way. And from our perspective, when we read it,
5 it was not necessarily clear whether that
6 overvoted straight-party mark is being made in
7 conjunction with additional individual marks in
8 the partisan contests or not. And that, to us,
9 seemed to be what was particularly important in
10 this use case.

11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Very well. Somebody over
12 here. Yes.

13 MS. MOORE: Marcia Moore, Hancock County.
14 Hancock County is a vote center county and a
15 completely paper ballot county.

16 To be honest, it does appear that this
17 issue does primarily affect a huge amount of
18 paper issue. And from what I am hearing, it
19 does appear to me that the manual system being
20 proposed is using the automated system to
21 identify, and then allowing the Election Board
22 to manually intervene to -- to identify those
23 ballots, so to speak. Because the alternative,
24 then, is to say our system is not certified.
25 And as you've heard testimony, that's

1 approximately 45 clerks -- or counties.

2 So then what you're saying is 45 counties

3 have noncertified equipment, which then says I

4 have to go and either try to find equipment

5 to -- around a presidential election, which

6 would mean that I would go to Commissioners and

7 say, hey, can I have a couple million dollars?

8 And I don't think that's likely to happen. And

9 that is what you're, in essence, in the
10 narrowness of time -- this is nearly July 1st.

11 We will begin coding our ballots very soon
12 so that we can be getting our military and
13 overseas ballots out, so that we can get our
14 absentee ballots out. I mean, we already have
15 absentee applications in the mail. I mean, I
16 got some -- I got handed one at the fair
17 yesterday. This is a matter of time, to be
18 quite frank.

19 County election boards have been
20 intervening for years in a bipartisan way
21 because our duty is to uphold the law, to the
22 best of our ability, to run free and fair
23 elections for our state. And I do believe that
24 is our intent to continue to do.

25 And so I would ask, if possible, for this

1 Commission to allow those systems -- to allow
2 their automated system to just help us to
3 identify those very rare occasions for an
4 overvote, that we can then manually intervene
5 and count that. Thank you.

6 [APPLAUSE]

7 THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm just going to offer
8 my thoughts at this point in time based on
9 everything that we've heard.

10 This is obviously a very serious issue. I
11 don't think anyone in this room is happy with
12 the way that it -- it came to us; that there
13 aren't any easy answers when the law conflicts
14 with practical realities. I have to say that
15 I'm personally proud of the company that was
16 able to comply with this law. I think that the
17 fact that Unisyn was able to do what needed to
18 be done to comply with the law and get that done
19 is to be commended.

20 I'm disappointed that there weren't
21 stronger efforts on behalf of the other
22 companies that are here today, particularly when
23 any resistance is based on philosophical belief,
24 I don't think that's appropriate in this
25 situation, to compromise the compliance with the

1 law with personal beliefs.

2 I'm very sensitive and sympathetic and
3 respectful of the clerks' opinions about where
4 we are and what can be done. I think
5 decertification of equipment at this point in
6 time is a very unfortunate option; that I would
7 hope there's appropriate work-arounds.

8 There are some legal issues involved that
9 need to be considered. We certainly, as
10 Commissioners, don't want to be a part of any
11 disregard for the Indiana law that's been passed
12 by the Legislature. It's our duty to comply
13 with that law and uphold that law, as it is for
14 everyone in this room.

15 So we need to consider this, and I don't
16 know that any decision needs to be made today.
17 I would encourage every vendor in the room to
18 work diligently with VSTOP to make all the
19 progress that is humanly possible towards
20 certification of your equipment prior to the
21 2016 election.

22 We have another meeting of the Commission
23 in July that we will have an opportunity to
24 address this again, and we may ask for your
25 participation there as well.

1 But unless there's a motion by any of the
2 Commissioners at this point in time, I think I
3 would suggest that we give a lot of thought to
4 what we've heard, that we seek whatever legal
5 counsel we need to justify whatever decision we
6 might make.

7 But I want to thank everyone who came here
8 today to offer their opinions and testimony.

9 It's been very helpful to us. And I know this
10 is a difficult, but very important, issue, so we
11 thank you for your input, for your
12 participation, for your patience as we sort
13 through the facts that we have and the testimony
14 we've heard and the law that may apply.

15 Does any Commissioner want to add anything
16 at this point?

17 PROXY COMMISSIONER CLAYTOR: I always have
18 to add something, Mr. Chairman, just to -- just
19 to try. I -- I agree with absolutely everything
20 you've said.

21 In my reading of the code, I find it hard
22 for the Commission to certify anything that does
23 not meet each section of the law. I think if
24 the Commission is able to come to that, it's
25 going to be with a strain and perhaps with one

1 eye closed. But I would certainly hope the
2 vendors can do everything possible to get us to
3 that point.

4 I agree with you, we certainly do not want
5 to have that decertification scenario hanging
6 over us. So I believe I'll see you again in
7 July, and hopefully we'll know a little more
8 then.

9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good. Thank you.
10 Anything else?

11 Well, with that, I would ask for a motion
12 to adjourn. I think our business for today is
13 concluded.

14 And is there a motion for the Indiana
15 Election Commission to adjourn?

16 PROXY COMMISSIONER CLAYTOR: So moved.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: We have a motion.

18 Do we have a second?

19 PROXY FOR VICE CHAIRPERSON: Second.

20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Motion and second.

21 All in favor say aye.

22 Ayes have it. We're adjourned. Thank you.

23 (The hearing adjourned at 2:40 p.m.)

24

25

1

2 STATE OF INDIANA)

) SS:

3 COUNTY OF MARION)

4 I, Susan Wollenweber Dezelan, RDR, CRR, RCR, a

5 Notary Public in and for the County of Marion,

6 State of Indiana at large, do hereby certify that

7 the foregoing hearing was taken at the State

8 Capitol Building, State Room 125, Indianapolis,

9 Marion County, Indiana, on the 29th day of June,

10 2016, commencing at the hour of 1:00 p.m.

11 That said hearing was taken down in stenograph
12 notes and afterwards reduced to an English
13 transcript under my direction, and that transcript
14 is a true record of the hearing;

15 I do further certify that I am a disinterested
16 person in this cause of action; that I am not a
17 relative or attorney of either party, or otherwise
18 interested in the event of this action, and am not
19 in the employ of the attorneys for either party.

20 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
21 hand and affixed my notarial seal this _____ day
22 of _____, 2016.

Susan Wollenweber Dezelan

23

24

NOTARY PUBLIC

25

1

My Commission Expires:

2

March 24, 2024

3

County of Residence:

Marion

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Transcript of Proceedings
June 29, 2016

Index: \$2,300..Amen

1

<p>\$</p> <p>\$2,300 4:9</p> <p>(</p> <p>(a) 79:9</p> <p>(b) 69:3</p> <p>(d) 52:7 69:9</p> <p>(e) 52:7 69:9 79:9</p> <p>(f) 23:7 28:3 33:9 52:9 54:9 55:9 57:8 58:9 59:5 67:9 69:9 79:9 80:3</p> <p>(f)'s 24:6</p> <p>1</p> <p>1 32:3 35:6</p> <p>1-1-4-1 67:9</p> <p>1.3 11:9</p> <p>1.34 11:9</p> <p>10 18:6 39:9 75:5</p> <p>100 31:9 32:9</p> <p>100s 65:3</p> <p>11-month 27:6 30:9</p> <p>12 27:3 29:9 47:9</p> <p>12.3.4 11:6</p> <p>14 47:9</p> <p>15 52:9</p> <p>15th 9:9 10:3 49:9</p> <p>16 30:2 32:9 52:9</p> <p>18 27:3</p> <p>1800 26:9 27:9 34:3,4</p> <p>1st 9:9 81:9</p> <p>2</p> <p>2.1 49:9</p> <p>20 17:9 26:7,9</p>	<p>2016 9:9 12:9 23:9 28:9 40:9 44:3 49:9 50:3 83:9</p> <p>2017 23:9 31:9 40:9 58:7, 9</p> <p>21-2016 8:7,9 66:9</p> <p>21st 9:2</p> <p>25 18:4</p> <p>26th 12:9</p> <p>2nd 11:9</p> <p>3</p> <p>3 32:2 35:6</p> <p>3-11-7 67:9 69:8</p> <p>3-11-7-14 26:2,9 40:8 73:9</p> <p>3-11-7-6 69:9</p> <p>3-11-75 69:9</p> <p>3-12-1-7 52:7 69:9 79:9</p> <p>3-12-1-7(f) 13:3 23:7 49:9 68:3</p> <p>3-12-4-4 70:3</p> <p>3-5-2 77:9</p> <p>3-5-2-2 68:9</p> <p>3-5-2-33.9 69:2</p> <p>3-5-2-53 68:9</p> <p>3.10 12:9</p> <p>3200 7:9</p> <p>3400 7:9</p> <p>3410 7:9</p> <p>3rd 9:9</p> <p>4</p> <p>4.1 12:9</p> <p>45 65:9 81:1,2</p> <p>47 62:9</p> <p>5</p>	<p>6</p> <p>6.2.1 49:9</p> <p>61 8:7 9:1,5 10:9 11:9 12:9 13:1,5 14:9 23:2,6 24:1,9 28:9 30:9 31:2 33:2,9 35:9 49:9 66:7,9</p> <p>7</p> <p>7th 12:9</p> <p>8</p> <p>8th 9:8,9 11:9</p> <p>A</p> <p>ability 24:7 26:3 43:9 46:5 81:9</p> <p>absent 66:9</p> <p>absentee 13:1 54:9 60:9 81:9</p> <p>absolutely 28:9 84:9</p> <p>accept 63:5</p> <p>accord 49:9</p> <p>accordance 42:9 60:8 63:9 69:9</p> <p>account 20:9</p> <p>accredited 9:9 11:9 12:9 42:9</p> <p>accuracy 32:9</p> <p>accurate 31:4 69:9</p> <p>accuse 5:5</p> <p>accustomed 53:8,9</p> <p>Act 8:7,9 66:7,9</p> <p>actual 71:9 72:1</p> <p>add 22:9 24:9 30:9 48:4 70:9 84:9</p> <p>added 27:1 45:9</p> <p>addition 35:9 79:9</p>	<p>addressed 78:9</p> <p>addressing 68:8</p> <p>adequate 8:9</p> <p>adequately 67:1</p> <p>adjourn 85:9</p> <p>adjourned 85:9</p> <p>adjudicate 79:9</p> <p>adjudicating 76:9</p> <p>adjudication 54:9 60:9 63:4 79:2,9</p> <p>adjust 32:9</p> <p>administer 5:9 66:9</p> <p>administered 6:9</p> <p>administers 6:1,5</p> <p>administration 5:9</p> <p>admitted 23:9</p> <p>advance 51:9 60:9</p> <p>advantage 78:9</p> <p>adversely 41:9</p> <p>advertisement 24:9</p> <p>affect 24:7 35:9 42:9 43:9 80:9</p> <p>affected 41:9 65:9</p> <p>affects 37:5</p> <p>affirm 50:9</p> <p>affirmation 51:9</p> <p>affirmed 50:9</p> <p>agree 70:9 71:9 84:9 85:4</p> <p>agreeable 63:9</p> <p>agreed 70:9</p> <p>agreement 77:9</p> <p>agreements 4:6,9 5:2</p> <p>ahead 62:9</p> <p>alerted 59:9</p> <p>allowable 74:9</p> <p>allowed 31:8</p> <p>allowing 80:9</p> <p>altered 55:9</p> <p>alternative 80:9</p> <p>Amen 20:9</p>
<p>2002 55:7</p> <p>2012 15:9 31:9 46:9</p> <p>2014 31:9 46:9</p>	<p>5010 7:9</p> <p>52 35:7</p> <p>5200 7:9</p>	<p>additional 55:4 56:9 63:9 80:7</p> <p>address 66:9 67:5 77:9 83:9</p>	

<p>amended 50:9 77:9</p> <p>amount 45:9 52:6 80:9</p> <p>analysis 70:8 71:8</p> <p>Angie 3:9 24:4</p> <p>answering 58:9</p> <p>answers 82:9</p> <p>Anthony 3:6</p> <p>anticipate 13:9</p> <p>any- 31:5</p> <p>anybody's 72:9</p> <p>anymore 35:9</p> <p>anytime 31:5 54:9</p> <p>apologize 23:9 27:9 45:2,3,9 46:9</p> <p>apology 24:5</p> <p>apparatus 68:9</p> <p>apparently 64:9</p> <p>APPLAUSE 82:6</p> <p>applications 81:9</p> <p>apply 33:6 68:9 84:9</p> <p>appoint 70:4</p> <p>approaching 41:2</p> <p>approval 4:9 6:9 7:9 10:1 41:9 42:1</p> <p>approve 7:9 12:2 40:9 43:9</p> <p>approved 7:3 8:4 9:6,7 10:9 11:9 12:9 41:9 42:9 51:9 64:4,9</p> <p>approving 41:1</p> <p>approximately 81:1</p> <p>April 9:8,9 12:9 33:9</p> <p>area 73:9</p> <p>argue 73:9</p> <p>argument 67:7,9 68:9</p> <p>articulated 73:9</p> <p>aspects 31:2</p>	<p>Assistance 41:9</p> <p>Associates 3:9</p> <p>Association 50:9</p> <p>assume 64:4</p> <p>assuming 56:9 59:9</p> <p>assumption 76:9</p> <p>at-large 35:9 36:9 47:5,9 78:4</p> <p>attempt 77:9</p> <p>attendance 46:2</p> <p>attention 8:5 10:9</p> <p>attorney 17:9</p> <p>audience 6:2</p> <p>audit 60:9</p> <p>August 9:9</p> <p>authorization 73:4</p> <p>authorize 40:8 70:9</p> <p>authorized 69:9 70:2</p> <p>automated 14:9 23:9 76:9 80:9 82:2</p> <p>automatic 45:9 68:9 71:7 76:5</p> <p>automatically 33:9 36:9 49:9 57:9 59:9 68:2,9 69:9 70:9 75:9 79:9</p> <p>avoided 45:7</p> <p>aware 17:9 18:9 53:3 56:3 57:3</p> <p>aye 5:9 8:1 12:9 85:9</p> <p>eyes 8:3 12:9 85:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">B</p> <hr/> <p>back 13:9 28:9 29:6 31:9 40:9 49:9 53:9</p> <p>Bagga 6:4,9 8:8 12:9 22:9 43:8,9 49:9 57:9 62:9 66:4</p> <p>Ball 6:4</p>	<p>ballot-card 69:9 70:7,9</p> <p>ballots 15:9 25:9 26:5 32:4 34:9 38:9 39:9 46:9 47:9 48:9 49:9 58:9 59:9 60:9 68:6 69:1,9 74:9 76:9 80:9 81:9</p> <p>based 40:9 53:9 58:9 60:9 63:9 82:8,9</p> <p>basic 67:9 68:9</p> <p>basically 34:9 52:9 60:9 63:9</p> <p>batch 60:9</p> <p>began 8:9 9:3</p> <p>begin 3:9 6:9 32:9 50:9 55:9 66:9 81:9</p> <p>behalf 3:9 17:3 21:9 23:9 82:9</p> <p>behavior 52:9</p> <p>belief 82:9</p> <p>beliefs 83:1</p> <p>believed 33:9 42:9 51:9</p> <p>believes 14:9 23:4</p> <p>Bennett 3:5</p> <p>Beth 61:9</p> <p>big 58:8</p> <p>biggest 31:9 35:9 65:4,7</p> <p>bill 24:1,4,9 30:9 32:9 33:2 46:9 47:2</p> <p>bills 46:9</p> <p>binder 4:9</p> <p>binders 6:9 66:9</p> <p>bipartisan 81:9</p> <p>bit 25:9 29:9 78:9</p> <p>board 19:9 38:9 39:9 49:3 70:4 80:9</p> <p>boards 81:9</p> <p>bolded 46:9</p> <p>Brad 3:9 24:3 74:9</p> <p>break 27:2</p>	<p>broader 72:8</p> <p>brought 8:9 32:9 67:9</p> <p>Browne 61:9</p> <p>Bryce 3:5</p> <p>building 78:9</p> <p>BURTON 34:3</p> <p>business 14:8,9 15:5 18:5 85:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <hr/> <p>calculations 46:5</p> <p>calendar 31:8 34:6 56:9 57:1</p> <p>call 4:5</p> <p>called 3:3 11:9</p> <p>campaign 4:5,9</p> <p>canceled 36:9</p> <p>candidate 20:5 35:9 69:9 70:1</p> <p>candidates 19:5,9 21:3 22:6 69:9 77:9 78:3</p> <p>capabilities 59:9</p> <p>capability 76:6</p> <p>card 13:2 49:9 60:9 68:1, 9 69:5</p> <p>carried 5:9 12:9</p> <p>case 18:9 28:4 29:4 32:2 36:1,5,9 39:9 41:9 52:9 54:5 66:9 69:9 75:9 80:9</p> <p>cases 15:9 33:5,6</p> <p>Cass 61:9</p> <p>cast 22:3 59:9 60:3 67:9 68:9 69:9 70:7 76:4,9 78:2</p> <p>casting 67:9</p> <p>casts 69:9</p> <p>center 75:4 80:9</p> <p>centered 52:2</p> <p>centers 48:9</p> <p>central 60:9</p> <p>certification 27:8 28:9 29:7,9 40:9 41:9 61:9 83:9</p>
<p>Assembly 66:9 67:9 69:9 77:9</p> <p>assess 39:9</p> <p>assist 18:9</p>	<p>ballot 13:2 18:7 19:9 21:4 22:4 30:2 35:9 36:9 47:9 48:8,9 49:3,9 54:9 59:9 60:3,6,7,9 65:2 68:1,9 69:4,9 71:9 74:9 75:2,4,5 77:4 78:9 80:9</p>	<p>bring 13:9 32:9 51:9 54:8 57:9</p> <p>bringing 31:9 33:9 50:9 58:4</p>	

<p>certified 6:9 7:9 10:8 11:9 23:5 29:1 71:9 72:2 80:9</p> <p>certify 40:7 84:9</p> <p>cetera 68:9</p> <p>CFA 4:9</p> <p>Chair 46:8</p> <p>Chair's 66:9</p> <p>chairman 3:6 4:1,8,9 6:9 8:9 11:8 12:9 14:9 20:4 23:3,9 40:9 48:5 49:9 50:9 62:8,9 66:8 71:2 74:1 75:8 77:7 78:9 84:9</p> <p>CHAIRPERSON 3:1 4:4, 9 5:8,9 6:3,9 7:9 8:9 10:9 11:2 12:1,5,7 13:8,9 14:4, 9 15:2,9 16:5 17:9 18:2,9 20:9 21:8,9 22:9 23:9 26:8 32:9 34:9 37:9 38:3, 9 39:3,5,7 40:4,9 41:3,9 43:9 44:6,9 45:9 49:5 50:7 59:1 61:1,9 62:6,9 63:9 64:8,9 65:9 70:9 71:3 73:9 74:2,5 75:9 76:9 77:5,8 78:9 80:9 82:7 85:9</p> <p>challenge 18:9</p> <p>challenges 18:9</p> <p>change 6:9 7:9 8:3 11:5 12:3,9 29:9 46:9 48:9 53:9 54:9</p> <p>changed 22:1 26:9</p> <p>chapter 26:6</p> <p>chapters 77:9</p> <p>checks 43:2</p> <p>child 39:1</p> <p>choose 39:9</p> <p>chooses 18:9</p> <p>chorus 6:2</p> <p>chose 19:9</p> <p>circled 27:9</p> <p>circles 35:9 36:6</p> <p>circuit 18:5</p>	<p>cite 26:8</p> <p>citizens 45:9</p> <p>claimed 9:9</p> <p>claims 44:9 62:9</p> <p>clarification 56:2 63:2</p> <p>clarify 15:4 49:4 52:3,9</p> <p>Claytor 3:7 4:9 5:7,9 7:9 12:4 21:9 84:9 85:9</p> <p>clear 16:4 19:9 73:3 80:5</p> <p>clerk 18:6 20:6 38:6,9 39:9 46:3,8 61:6,9 65:2</p> <p>clerks 25:9 26:9 37:9 46:1,4,9 49:6,8 50:9 52:9 61:2 65:9 72:9 81:1</p> <p>clerks' 45:9 83:3</p> <p>Clock 3:6 5:5</p> <p>close 51:9 55:9 65:9</p> <p>closed 85:1</p> <p>closely 50:9 55:9 62:9</p> <p>clue 48:9</p> <p>co-director 4:1,8 6:1 43:9 66:8,9 70:8,9 71:1 77:7,9 78:9</p> <p>Co-directors 3:9 51:4,9 57:3 77:9</p> <p>Co-general 3:9</p> <p>code 11:9 15:7 27:9 28:9 29:2 50:2 53:9 67:9 68:3, 9 69:8 70:2 84:9</p> <p>codes 28:9</p> <p>coding 34:9 81:9</p> <p>collaborated 50:9</p> <p>collaboration 51:9 55:9</p> <p>collaboratively 62:9</p> <p>collect 30:9</p> <p>color-coded 10:5</p> <p>combination 72:9 79:9</p> <p>comfortable 5:9 31:6</p> <p>commended 82:9</p>	<p>62:6,9 65:9 76:9</p> <p>Commission 3:3,4,9 4:2,9 5:9 6:9 7:4 8:9 10:9 11:9 12:9 13:9 14:9 17:9 22:9 23:4 26:2 40:7 41:9 44:9 45:2 50:9 51:4 52:9 66:9 70:9 73:4 76:9 78:9 82:1 83:9 84:9 85:9</p> <p>Commission's 69:2 73:9</p> <p>Commissioner 4:9 5:5, 7,9 7:9 10:9 11:1 12:4,6 13:9 16:9 17:4,8 21:9 42:9 43:3,9 44:5,9 47:9 63:9 64:5 84:9 85:9</p> <p>Commissioners 40:9 49:9 65:9 73:9 81:6 83:9 84:2</p> <p>commitment 26:9 54:9 58:4</p> <p>committed 31:9</p> <p>committee 46:9 51:9</p> <p>committing 50:9</p> <p>common 78:5</p> <p>communicated 8:9 9:9 10:3</p> <p>communication 34:9 55:9</p> <p>communications 8:9</p> <p>companies 82:9</p> <p>company 18:3 24:9 25:9 27:9 29:9 39:9 44:9 82:9</p> <p>company's 21:9</p> <p>compatible 7:9</p> <p>compilation 45:8</p> <p>complete 5:9 30:9 52:9 63:9</p> <p>completed 9:9 10:2 32:8 50:5 64:9</p> <p>completely 41:9 80:9</p> <p>completion 32:9</p> <p>complex 26:9</p> <p>complexity 29:9 36:9</p> <p>compliance 4:3 7:7 8:6, 9 9:3,9 10:9 12:9 13:1,2, 5,9 14:9 15:9 16:1,7 20:9 22:8 29:2 31:9 33:9 34:9 42:4 44:2,4 49:9 50:9</p> <p>51:9 53:9 54:8,9 57:7,9 58:5 64:5,6 66:3,7 70:9 73:9 82:9</p> <p>compliant 59:6</p> <p>complicated 28:9 46:9</p> <p>complied 70:9</p> <p>complies 11:9 49:9 52:9 55:7 69:9</p> <p>comply 10:9 12:9 14:8,9 19:8 20:9 23:1,6 24:9 31:1,2 32:9 41:6 47:9 49:9 62:9 68:3,9 82:9 83:9</p> <p>complying 17:9</p> <p>component 49:9 54:9</p> <p>components 7:8 72:9</p> <p>compound 45:6</p> <p>compromise 82:9</p> <p>computer 45:9 72:9</p> <p>concern 31:9 38:9 65:4,8</p> <p>concerns 52:9 65:9 77:1</p> <p>concluded 85:9</p> <p>conclusion 45:5 58:2,9</p> <p>concur 77:9</p> <p>condition 71:9</p> <p>configured 59:9</p> <p>confirm 3:9 60:9 63:9</p> <p>confirmation 51:9</p> <p>confirmed 10:9</p> <p>conflict 57:1</p> <p>conflicts 82:9</p> <p>conjunction 80:7</p> <p>connected 37:1</p> <p>Connor 3:9</p> <p>Conrad 14:9 15:4 16:2,8, 9 17:2,7,9 18:4,9 20:9 21:1,9 22:9 48:4,6 74:1,3, 7,9 77:2,9 78:6,9</p> <p>Conrad's 28:5</p>	<p>considerations 66:9</p> <p>considered 19:5 83:9</p> <p>consistent 52:9 73:9</p> <p>construed 67:9</p>
<p>circumstance 60:2 71:9</p> <p>circumstances 45:9 72:9 73:3</p> <p>circumventing 25:9</p>	<p>comment 37:9 40:9 62:9 79:1</p> <p>commentary 51:9 58:9</p> <p>comments 40:9 61:3</p>	<p>complexity 29:9 36:9</p> <p>compliance 4:3 7:7 8:6, 9 9:3,9 10:9 12:9 13:1,2, 5,9 14:9 15:9 16:1,7 20:9 22:8 29:2 31:9 33:9 34:9 42:4 44:2,4 49:9 50:9</p>	<p>considerations 66:9</p> <p>considered 19:5 83:9</p> <p>consistent 52:9 73:9</p> <p>construed 67:9</p>

<p>consultant 61:9 consulting 8:9 contact 34:9 contents 67:1 contest 59:9 60:9 79:9 contests 60:9 80:8 continual 34:9 continue 8:8 24:9 26:9 81:9 continued 26:9 contrary 49:7 68:9 contributed 44:9 control 15:6,7 72:9 conventions 80:2 copy 10:5 corners 31:9 correct 25:9 28:9 62:9 68:7 74:9 correctly 45:9 70:9 cost 20:9 council 19:2,9 65:6 78:5 counsel 18:2 66:2 84:5 counsels 3:9 66:9 count 27:9 28:1 36:3 68:9 69:9 70:6 82:5 counted 78:3 counterintuitive 28:3 counties 15:9 17:8,9 19:1 20:9 26:7,9 27:5 31:9 33:9 48:9 55:1 62:9 65:9 74:9 81:1,2 counting 28:9 69:9 75:9 country 9:9 county 19:2,9 20:4 35:7, 8,9 38:6 39:1,9 46:8 49:2 61:6,9 65:2,3,9 70:3 74:9 78:4 80:9 81:9 county's 31:7 couple 23:9 56:9 81:7</p>	<p>critical 76:9 79:9 criticisms 77:1 crutch 25:9 crux 75:9 culpa 24:9 cumbersome 40:2 current 10:7 32:9 52:9 55:6 customers 58:9 62:2,3 customers' 31:7 cut 31:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <hr/> <p>Dale 3:9 66:9 data 15:9 date 9:9 50:6 dates 10:3 day 25:9 31:4 32:9 72:9 days 39:9 75:5 de 6:9 7:7 deadline 24:9 deal 63:6 dealer 15:6,9 dealing 66:9 decades-plus 26:9 decertification 83:5 85:5 decertified 65:9 decision 78:9 83:9 84:5 declared 69:9 70:1 decoupled 19:8 decoupling 47:3,5 deem 5:9 deep 18:9 defects 72:9 defense 17:9</p>	<p>22:7 54:9 68:9 72:7 74:3 77:3 78:2 definitions 68:9 delinked 36:9 delivered 52:9 Democrat 35:9 36:2 71:9 Democratic 39:9 Democrats 78:9 demonstrate 44:9 deployed 31:9 describes 68:9 description 74:3 design 53:9 56:6,7 designated 8:9 desire 60:3 desired 52:9 detail 29:9 51:2 52:5 detailed 33:5 details 10:9 determine 18:8 47:9 56:9 72:9 determined 48:9 determining 69:9 development 9:9 13:9 27:9 31:9 32:9 53:1 device 12:9 56:9 devices 28:6 30:2 Dezelan 3:9 differentiate 74:9 differently 28:9 difficult 36:9 84:9 difficulty 33:9 digital 60:9 dilemma 39:9 diligence 44:1 diligently 83:9 direct 10:9 12:9</p>	<p>discarding 46:9 discern 27:9 discernable 28:7 discovery 33:9 discussed 9:2 39:9 49:8 50:9 discussion 4:9 5:9 7:9 12:2,8 21:9 22:9 52:1 67:9 69:1 73:2 76:9 79:2 discussions 17:2 56:5 57:9 dispensation 29:4 dispute 28:9 disqualified 36:9 disregard 83:9 distinct 76:4 distinction 76:9 distinctions 41:4 district 36:4 district-wide 65:7 Division 3:9 8:9 9:8 11:9 42:9 49:2 66:2 67:4 68:7 70:9 77:9 78:9 Division's 66:5 77:3 doable 63:9 documentation 72:9 73:8,9 documented 58:1 60:9 dollars 81:7 Dominion 14:7,9 16:3 20:9 21:9 Dominion/gbs 14:9 Door 3:9 4:3 double 35:7 dove 33:9 DRE 12:9 48:9 49:9 59:9 due 44:1 duty 81:9 83:9</p>
<p>court 3:9 18:5 created 42:3 creating 9:4 54:9</p>	<p>defer 70:9 define 22:2,9 defined 77:9 definition 18:9 19:9 21:9</p>	<p>Director 50:9 disagree 46:3 78:9 disappointed 82:9</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">E</p> <hr/> <p>EAC 27:9 41:9 53:9 63:9 EAC-ACCREDITED</p>

<p>30:9 32:8 51:9 54:1</p> <p>earlier 8:9</p> <p>early 33:9 74:8</p> <p>easily 48:2</p> <p>easy 39:9 82:9</p> <p>echo 51:9 52:9 54:9 55:2</p> <p>ECOS 7:1,5,9</p> <p>educate 58:9</p> <p>Edward 50:9</p> <p>effect 77:9</p> <p>effectively 53:9 54:9 56:9</p> <p>efficient 26:4 69:9</p> <p>effort 32:9</p> <p>efforts 12:9 14:6 22:9 34:9 49:9 82:9</p> <p>elected 18:7</p> <p>election 3:2,9 5:9 6:9 7:4 8:9 9:8 11:9 15:9 16:7 18:5 23:1,9 24:8,9 25:9 27:9 28:9 29:2 30:7 31:4 34:9 36:8 38:9 39:9 40:9 41:9 42:9 44:3 45:9 46:9 49:2,3 50:3 57:1 59:3,8 61:9 62:9 65:9 66:1,5,9 67:4 68:7,9 70:3,9 73:1,6,9 77:3,9 78:9 80:9 81:5,9 83:9 85:9</p> <p>elections 71:9 81:9</p> <p>Electric 6:9</p> <p>electromechanical 68:9 72:9</p> <p>electronic 12:9 29:7 68:9 72:9</p> <p>Elkhart 46:8 48:9 65:9</p> <p>emphasize 28:9</p> <p>employer 21:9</p> <p>enact 78:9</p> <p>enacted 67:9</p> <p>encourage 18:9 83:9</p>	<p>engaged 18:2</p> <p>engineered 13:4</p> <p>engineering 6:9 7:9 8:3 11:4 12:3,9 56:9</p> <p>enormous 52:6</p> <p>Enrolled 8:6,9 66:7,9</p> <p>ensure 8:9 28:9 30:9 31:1 55:9 73:6</p> <p>entered 32:7</p> <p>entire 30:9 50:9 68:9</p> <p>entitled 4:9</p> <p>equal 75:9</p> <p>equipment 14:9 27:9 29:9 30:4,6 34:1,5 43:9 61:7 65:9 68:9 72:9 81:3,4 83:5,9</p> <p>ERM 30:8</p> <p>error 45:4,5</p> <p>error's 45:7</p> <p>errors 72:9</p> <p>ES&S 7:9 23:4,9 24:9 29:9 32:9 34:9 37:9 38:7 39:9 40:9 41:4,6,9 43:9 44:2,9 45:9 64:9 65:3 67:5,8,9</p> <p>essence 81:9</p> <p>essentially 35:9 36:9 37:2 73:5,9</p> <p>estimated 9:9 30:9</p> <p>estimates 33:9</p> <p>estimations 53:9</p> <p>ethical 17:9</p> <p>evaluated 7:2</p> <p>event 40:1</p> <p>everybody's 22:8</p> <p>evidentiary 65:9</p> <p>EVS 7:9</p> <p>exact 74:9</p> <p>examination 46:9</p>	<p>excluded 78:7</p> <p>exclusively 52:2</p> <p>excuse 28:9</p> <p>executed 42:9</p> <p>exigent 73:3</p> <p>exist 25:9 58:1</p> <p>experience 53:9</p> <p>explain 41:5 48:7</p> <p>explanation 28:9</p> <p>explicit 57:4 79:9</p> <p>explicitly 80:1</p> <p>expressed 51:3 52:9</p> <p>extra 73:9</p> <p>extremely 30:9</p> <p>eye 85:1</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">F</p> <hr/> <p>facing 14:9</p> <p>fact 15:9 26:9 35:9 36:9 52:9 56:9 65:8 71:9 73:7 82:9</p> <p>facts 44:9 84:9</p> <p>fails 49:9</p> <p>fair 81:9</p> <p>faith 50:9 51:9</p> <p>familiar 35:9</p> <p>fast 38:9</p> <p>favor 5:9 8:1 12:9 85:9</p> <p>feature 31:1 53:9</p> <p>features 32:9 56:9</p> <p>federal 9:9 12:9 29:9 61:9 65:8</p> <p>federally 9:5,9 10:9 11:9</p> <p>feel 5:8 31:6,9 37:9 38:9</p> <p>felt 34:5</p> <p>field 30:1 34:5 53:9</p>	<p>final 9:9 11:9 44:9</p> <p>Finally 68:9</p> <p>finance 4:6,9</p> <p>find 38:9 42:9 73:9 81:4 84:9</p> <p>finding 11:9</p> <p>findings 7:6</p> <p>fine 76:9</p> <p>finer 75:9</p> <p>finger-pointing 63:3</p> <p>firm 23:9</p> <p>firmware 27:9 30:3 68:9</p> <p>fixed 21:5</p> <p>flagged 59:9</p> <p>floor 58:9</p> <p>Florida 65:9</p> <p>focus 46:9 52:6</p> <p>focused 34:9</p> <p>folks 61:9</p> <p>follow 42:9 61:9</p> <p>Ford 15:9</p> <p>formally 6:9</p> <p>forward 31:9 34:9 67:9</p> <p>found 31:9 32:3 47:9</p> <p>frame 33:9</p> <p>frank 81:9</p> <p>frankly 27:9 28:9 42:9</p> <p>free 81:9</p> <p>front 11:9</p> <p>full 13:5 22:8 26:9 29:7 30:9 31:9 32:9 33:9 37:6 40:9 44:4 45:9 50:9 56:9 57:9 58:4 62:9 64:5,6</p> <p>fullest 37:9</p> <p>fully 10:9 24:9 25:6,7 29:1 41:9 45:9 63:5 75:9</p> <p>function 76:9</p>
<p>end 25:9 32:9 56:9 72:9</p> <p>end-of-life 14:9</p> <p>end-to-end 53:9 54:9</p> <p>engage 42:8</p>	<p>examine 47:9 68:9 70:6</p> <p>examined 46:9</p> <p>excellent 28:9</p> <p>exceptions 73:5</p>	<p>fielded 53:9</p> <p>fielding 54:9</p> <p>fill 36:6</p> <p>filled 19:9 35:9</p>	<p>future 57:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">G</p> <hr/> <p>GBS 14:9 46:9 48:6 74:7</p>

<p>general 8:5 23:9 50:3 66:9 67:9 69:9 77:9</p> <p>generally 32:4 55:6 71:9</p> <p>gentleman 25:9</p> <p>gentleman's 75:9</p> <p>Gentlemen 3:2</p> <p>Gibson 61:6</p> <p>give 10:9 38:9 45:9 66:9 84:3</p> <p>glad 14:9 61:9</p> <p>gladly 40:9</p> <p>Global 49:9 51:9</p> <p>good 19:9 25:9 50:9 51:9 64:9 85:9</p> <p>good-faith 51:9</p> <p>Governmental 14:8,9 15:5</p> <p>Governor 9:2 55:9</p> <p>gray 73:9</p> <p>great 27:9</p> <p>green 10:9</p> <p>guidelines 43:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">H</p> <hr/> <p>hair-splitting 75:9</p> <p>Hancock 80:9</p> <p>hand 60:9</p> <p>hand-counting 71:9</p> <p>handed 81:9</p> <p>handful 32:4</p> <p>handled 49:1 74:9 75:1,2</p> <p>hanging 85:5</p> <p>happen 25:5 48:9 57:9 58:7 81:8</p> <p>happened 56:1</p> <p>happening 15:9 75:9</p> <p>happy 5:4 14:9 27:9 58:9 63:9 70:9 77:9 82:9</p> <p>hard 84:9</p> <p>hardware 6:9 7:8</p> <p>Hart 49:9 50:1,8,9 53:8,9 55:9 59:2 61:6 62:7,9</p>	<p>79:9</p> <p>hasty 31:9</p> <p>hear 8:9 17:9 37:9 57:8 67:9</p> <p>heard 40:9 42:9 50:8 52:9 55:2 57:9 68:4 74:9 75:9 79:7 80:9 82:9 84:4, 9</p> <p>hearing 8:1 12:9 80:9</p> <p>heart 79:9</p> <p>heartfelt 24:5</p> <p>helpful 75:9 84:9</p> <p>hey 81:7</p> <p>high-speed 57:9</p> <p>highest 25:1 28:9 32:3 53:9</p> <p>highlighted 55:9</p> <p>historically 28:9 32:5</p> <p>history 39:9</p> <p>honest 80:9</p> <p>honestly 18:9 20:9</p> <p>hope 16:8 83:7 85:1</p> <p>Howard 38:6</p> <p>Hudson 46:7 47:9 48:3 51:9 65:9</p> <p>huge 80:9</p> <p>human 45:5,7</p> <p>humanly 83:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">I</p> <hr/> <p>i.e. 28:6</p> <p>IC 13:3 23:7 49:9 69:9</p> <p>IC-3-12-1-7(F) 14:9</p> <p>Ice 23:9</p> <p>ideal 60:2 71:7</p> <p>identifiable 48:2</p> <p>identification 60:9</p> <p>identified 27:9 33:7</p> <p>identify 3:9 38:3 72:9 80:9 82:3</p> <p>identifying 59:9</p>	<p>IED 11:9 43:9 49:9 50:9 64:4</p> <p>IED'S 28:9</p> <p>IED-APPROVED 9:9 43:9</p> <p>ignore 28:8</p> <p>imaged 71:9</p> <p>impact 20:9 25:9</p> <p>impacts 59:9</p> <p>implement 11:5 27:7 29:9 53:9</p> <p>implementation 10:1 53:2 67:9</p> <p>implemented 51:7 56:9</p> <p>implementing 33:9</p> <p>implications 24:6 55:9 58:9</p> <p>important 37:6 71:5 80:9 84:9</p> <p>imposition 25:9</p> <p>impossible 24:9 25:3 69:9</p> <p>improper 5:3</p> <p>in-house 15:9</p> <p>inadvertently 19:9</p> <p>include 40:2 69:8,9 73:8</p> <p>included 6:9 57:9 74:9</p> <p>includes 68:9 72:9 79:9</p> <p>including 8:9 23:9 43:9 52:9 68:9</p> <p>inconsistent 73:9</p> <p>Indiana 3:2,9 7:1,4,9 8:9 9:8 10:8 11:9 23:6 29:2 41:9 53:9 55:1 67:9 68:3, 9 69:7 70:2 78:9 83:9 85:9</p> <p>indicating 55:9 77:9</p> <p>individual 60:5 69:9 79:9 80:7</p> <p>individually 47:9 71:9</p> <p>Infinity 12:9</p> <p>information 38:9</p> <p>informed 9:9 34:9 35:2 42:2</p>	<p>inherently 36:9</p> <p>initial 55:9</p> <p>input 84:9</p> <p>inquiry 66:9</p> <p>inserted 48:9</p> <p>inside 24:9</p> <p>insist 30:9</p> <p>instances 31:9 76:8</p> <p>instruct 73:9</p> <p>instructed 60:6</p> <p>instructions 26:3 72:9 73:9</p> <p>integration 30:9 55:4</p> <p>integrity 25:1 37:9 45:9</p> <p>intent 19:9 20:1 22:9 27:9 28:7 52:4,9 60:4,8 72:9 75:1 76:1 81:9</p> <p>intention 18:9</p> <p>intentionally 19:9</p> <p>Intercivic 49:9 50:8,9 55:9 61:7 62:7 79:9</p> <p>Intercivic's 49:9 59:2</p> <p>internal 27:9 30:9 34:9 53:9 54:2 56:9</p> <p>interpret 22:9</p> <p>interpretation 19:9 21:9 28:8,9 73:9</p> <p>interpreting 73:9</p> <p>intervene 80:9 82:4</p> <p>intervening 81:9</p> <p>intervention 59:9 60:9 75:9 76:9</p> <p>intimately 23:9</p> <p>invalidate 47:9</p> <p>invoke 26:2</p> <p>involved 24:1 77:9 83:8</p> <p>involvement 39:9</p> <p>issue 18:9 21:9 24:9 25:9 26:3 29:5 35:9 55:9 67:9 76:9 80:9 82:9 84:9</p> <p>issues 17:9 18:9 22:9 52:9 66:9 83:8</p> <p>iterations 26:9 53:9</p>
---	---	--	--

<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">J</p> <hr/> <p>Jay 6:3 43:8</p> <p>Jennings 39:1,3,4</p> <p>Jeremy 34:2</p> <p>Jim 61:5</p> <p>job 64:9</p> <p>John 23:9 25:9 26:9 34:8, 9 35:5 36:9 40:5 42:9 71:2,4 73:9</p> <p>Joseph 6:4 65:1,3</p> <p>July 9:9 13:7,9 81:9 83:9 85:7</p> <p>jump 47:9</p> <p>June 9:9 11:9 12:9 35:4 49:9</p> <p>justify 84:5</p>	<p>30:9 32:8 33:4 41:9 42:8, 9 43:9 51:9 54:1 55:9 56:9</p> <p>laboratories 8:9 33:9</p> <p>laboratory 7:6</p> <p>labs 9:6,9 10:4 11:9 12:9 13:6 40:9 49:9</p> <p>Ladies 3:1</p> <p>language 80:2</p> <p>large 19:2 65:7,9</p> <p>larger 67:9</p> <p>largest 24:9</p> <p>latest 53:9</p> <p>LAUGHTER 15:1 18:1 21:9 36:9 64:9 77:9</p> <p>law 3:9 4:3 8:7,9 9:1 13:9 16:1,7 17:9 18:8,9 19:7 20:8,9 21:3 22:9 23:9 31:3 32:9 33:2 41:6,9 44:2 47:9 50:9 54:9 55:9 66:9 67:3,9 68:9 70:8,9 73:9 74:9 75:9 81:9 82:9 83:1,9 84:9</p> <p>laying 56:9</p> <p>lead 53:9</p> <p>leading 56:5</p> <p>leaves 22:5</p> <p>left 36:9</p> <p>legal 17:9 22:9 66:9 73:9 83:8 84:4</p> <p>legislation 20:9 51:9 67:9 78:9</p> <p>legislative 21:4 22:9 46:9 50:9 51:9 52:1 61:9</p> <p>legislators 24:3,9</p> <p>legislators' 22:9</p> <p>legislature 21:2,9 45:3 83:9</p> <p>lengths 27:9</p> <p>lens 28:9</p> <p>letter 52:9</p> <p>level 24:9 25:1 50:9 53:7, 9 55:4 58:6</p> <p>light 55:9 79:1</p> <p>Liming 61:9</p>	<p>limited 52:9</p> <p>lines 9:2,9 29:9 55:9 58:9</p> <p>list 7:1 9:9 10:9</p> <p>listed 5:1</p> <p>lists 4:9</p> <p>local 78:4</p> <p>locked 34:9</p> <p>long 3:6 63:9</p> <p>longer 19:6,9 36:1 47:3</p> <p>looked 30:9 31:9</p> <p>Losco 6:4,9 7:9 8:8,9 10:9 11:8 12:9 13:9 14:9 22:9 23:3 40:9 41:8 42:9 43:4,9 44:4,8,9 49:9 57:9 62:8,9 64:3,6 66:4</p> <p>lot 37:5 84:3</p> <p>loud 38:2</p>	<p>57:5 60:9 61:8,9 62:9 63:4 64:1,9 68:5,9 69:9 70:1,9 71:9 74:8,9 75:9 76:9 80:9</p> <p>manually 18:9 19:4 60:9 80:9 82:4</p> <p>manufacturer 15:9 16:9</p> <p>manufacturers 33:9 52:9 55:3</p> <p>March 9:2 55:9 56:2</p> <p>Marcia 80:9</p> <p>Margie 65:9</p> <p>Marion 35:7,8</p> <p>mark 28:6 54:9 60:7 77:9 79:9 80:6</p> <p>marked 60:9 71:9</p> <p>marking 30:2 35:9</p> <p>marks 60:5 69:5 76:2,9 79:9 80:7</p> <p>Mary 37:9 38:9</p> <p>matter 5:9 13:9 14:9 23:9 63:9 75:9 81:9</p> <p>matters 71:9</p> <p>Matthew 3:9 66:9</p> <p>McGinnis 61:9</p> <p>mea 24:9</p> <p>means 20:1 26:9 39:9</p> <p>meant 33:2</p> <p>measures 13:1</p> <p>mechanical 68:9 72:9</p> <p>mechanics 72:9</p> <p>mechanism 49:1</p> <p>meet 30:9 69:7 84:9</p> <p>meeting 3:2,9 4:2 13:9 22:9 39:9 40:3 69:2 83:9</p> <p>meets 39:9</p> <p>member 3:7,8 53:4</p> <p>members 3:4 4:1,8 6:9 7:4 8:9 10:9 11:8 12:9 13:9 14:9 17:9 19:9 23:3 50:9 66:9 70:9</p> <p>memo 66:9 68:8,9</p> <p>memorandum 66:9</p>
<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">K</p> <hr/> <p>K-i-l-g-o-r-e 39:6</p> <p>Keith 3:5 61:9</p> <p>key 31:9 69:9</p> <p>kicking 19:9</p> <p>kids 38:1</p> <p>Kilgore 37:9 38:9 39:4,6, 8</p> <p>Kim 37:9 38:5 39:9</p> <p>kind 15:9 21:1 41:9</p> <p>King 3:9 4:1,7,8 5:9 6:1 66:8 70:9 71:1,9 74:4,9 77:7,9 78:9 79:7</p> <p>King's 75:9</p> <p>Kip 23:9 27:9</p> <p>Klutz 3:9 4:9 7:9 10:9 11:1 12:6 13:9 16:9 17:4, 8 42:9 43:3,9 47:9 48:1 63:9 64:5</p> <p>knowledge 33:9 64:9</p> <p>Kochevar 3:9 66:9</p>	<p>limited 52:9</p> <p>lines 9:2,9 29:9 55:9 58:9</p> <p>list 7:1 9:9 10:9</p> <p>listed 5:1</p> <p>lists 4:9</p> <p>local 78:4</p> <p>locked 34:9</p> <p>long 3:6 63:9</p> <p>longer 19:6,9 36:1 47:3</p> <p>looked 30:9 31:9</p> <p>Losco 6:4,9 7:9 8:8,9 10:9 11:8 12:9 13:9 14:9 22:9 23:3 40:9 41:8 42:9 43:4,9 44:4,8,9 49:9 57:9 62:8,9 64:3,6 66:4</p> <p>lot 37:5 84:3</p> <p>loud 38:2</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">M</p> <hr/> <p>machine 48:9 68:9 74:9 75:7</p> <p>machines 17:9 26:9 48:9 72:2,3</p> <p>made 9:9 27:9 33:1 35:1 47:2 55:9 59:9 80:6 83:9</p> <p>mail 81:9</p> <p>main 6:9 52:2,9 62:8</p> <p>maintain 72:9</p> <p>major 70:5</p> <p>make 27:9 28:9 30:6,9 35:5 36:9 38:9 40:9 41:9 43:9 45:9 47:4,8 54:7,9 56:7 67:7,9 73:5,9 78:9 83:9 84:6</p> <p>makes 47:3</p> <p>making 15:7 25:4 26:9 77:9</p> <p>Management 50:9</p> <p>manager 20:9</p> <p>managing 76:1</p> <p>manner 13:9 31:9 55:2 58:9 73:9</p> <p>manual 14:9 15:9 23:8,9 25:9 37:9 38:7 39:9 40:8 45:9 46:5,9 47:9 50:2</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">L</p> <hr/> <p>lab 10:9 12:9 13:9 14:1</p>

<p>mention 50:9 57:9 74:9</p> <p>mentioned 29:9 30:9 51:9 56:9 68:9</p> <p>mercy 15:8</p> <p>message 59:9</p> <p>met 33:9</p> <p>method 57:6 69:1</p> <p>Michael 3:7</p> <p>Microvote 12:9 13:4,9 62:9</p> <p>mid-july 14:3</p> <p>middle 34:9 38:9</p> <p>milestone 58:8</p> <p>military 81:9</p> <p>Miller 23:9</p> <p>million 81:7</p> <p>mind 47:9</p> <p>minimal 32:2</p> <p>minimum 45:9</p> <p>minus 6:9 7:7</p> <p>minute 15:9</p> <p>miscreants 5:1</p> <p>missed 24:5 28:9 33:3 45:3,9 46:9</p> <p>mistake 27:9</p> <p>modification 11:6,9 23:9 41:9 53:9</p> <p>modifications 30:9 33:9 50:4</p> <p>modified 11:9 51:6 53:5</p> <p>modules 30:8</p> <p>moment 51:2 55:9 56:1,3 76:2</p> <p>Monroe 61:9</p> <p>month 27:9 35:4</p> <p>months 27:9 34:9 52:8 54:2 56:9</p> <p>Moore 80:9</p>	<p>moved 4:9 7:9 85:9</p> <p>moving 31:9 73:9</p> <p>Mr.-chairman 8:9</p> <p>multi-member 36:4 71:9</p> <p>multi-party 36:3</p> <p>multi-seat 35:9</p> <p>multiple 36:9 53:9 67:9</p> <p>mutually 63:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">N</p> <hr/> <p>name's 46:7</p> <p>narrowly 54:9</p> <p>narrowness 81:9</p> <p>national 42:9</p> <p>native 59:9 76:5</p> <p>natively 57:9</p> <p>nay 8:2 12:9</p> <p>necessarily 80:5</p> <p>needed 9:9 82:9</p> <p>negotiating 24:1,2</p> <p>Nicole 61:9</p> <p>night 38:9 75:3</p> <p>nominated 77:9 78:9</p> <p>noncertified 65:9 81:3</p> <p>noncompliance 44:9 54:6</p> <p>normal 57:1</p> <p>note 35:5 41:9 62:9 63:8</p> <p>noted 66:9</p> <p>notice 47:1</p> <p>noticed 3:9 4:3</p> <p>notwithstanding 78:1</p> <p>November 24:9 50:3 54:9 56:9</p> <p>NTS 11:9 41:9</p> <p>number 65:9</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <hr/> <p>oath 5:9 6:1,9</p> <p>occasions 82:3</p> <p>occur 20:9 38:9</p> <p>October 58:9</p> <p>offended 78:9</p> <p>offer 63:4 75:9 82:7 84:8</p> <p>office 24:2 69:9 78:8</p> <p>offices 18:9 19:9 20:3 22:1,3,5,6,9 78:4</p> <p>official 18:7</p> <p>ongoing 18:9 67:9</p> <p>online 60:9</p> <p>open 3:9 4:3 36:9</p> <p>Openelec 11:9</p> <p>operate 28:9</p> <p>operating 71:5</p> <p>operation 40:7</p> <p>opinion 13:9 44:1 49:7 70:9 77:9</p> <p>opinions 83:3 84:8</p> <p>opportunity 50:9 83:9</p> <p>opposed 5:9 8:2 12:9 26:9</p> <p>optical 48:9 68:1,9 69:4 75:6</p> <p>optical-scanned 69:4,6</p> <p>option 83:6</p> <p>order 3:3</p> <p>orders 6:9 7:9 8:4 11:5 12:3,9</p> <p>originally 57:9</p> <p>outcomes 35:9</p> <p>outcry 21:5</p> <p>outlined 74:4</p> <p>outstacked 60:9</p>	<p>Oversight 6:6</p> <p>overview 8:9 66:9</p> <p>overvote 19:9 36:9 47:6 48:9 59:7,9 60:9 82:4</p> <p>overvoted 15:9 32:6 39:9 48:9 59:9 60:9 75:9 79:9 80:6</p> <p>overvotes 19:9 32:1 46:9 47:9 48:9 60:9 71:9</p> <p>ownership 20:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <hr/> <p>palatable 57:9</p> <p>paper 48:8,9 52:9 57:9 59:9 65:2 69:5 80:9</p> <p>paper-ballot 59:9</p> <p>part 18:9 19:5,7,9 20:9 35:3 41:9 43:9 44:1,9 73:9 76:9 83:9</p> <p>participation 83:9 84:9</p> <p>parties 39:9 51:9 70:5 78:9</p> <p>partisan 18:9 19:9 22:1, 2,9 60:9 78:8 79:9 80:8</p> <p>parts 56:9 72:2</p> <p>party 18:9 19:9 20:3,9 28:6 32:5 36:9 71:9 75:9 77:9 78:4,9</p> <p>pass 13:2</p> <p>passed 12:9 21:3,9 22:9 83:9</p> <p>passes 66:9</p> <p>patience 84:9</p> <p>PC 56:9</p> <p>Pearson 29:9 32:9 34:4,9 41:9 42:9 64:7,9</p> <p>people 23:9 28:9 78:9</p> <p>percent 31:9 32:3,9 35:6</p> <p>Perez 50:9 59:2,4 61:4 62:9 63:9 75:8,9 78:9</p>
<p>Morrow 61:5</p> <p>motion 4:9 5:4,9 7:9 12:2,7,9 84:1 85:9</p> <p>move 12:4 66:1</p>	<p>Nussmeyer 3:9 4:7 66:9 70:9 77:9 79:7</p>	<p>ovals 19:9</p> <p>Overholt 3:8</p> <p>overseas 81:9</p> <p>oversee 29:9</p>	<p>perform 15:9</p> <p>performed 11:9 30:9 33:9 42:6,9 51:9 64:9 68:6 74:9</p> <p>performs 50:4 74:9</p>

<p>period 9:9 30:9</p> <p>Permeating 27:9</p> <p>permit 70:9 73:9</p> <p>permitted 23:9 50:1</p> <p>permutations 27:4</p> <p>person 24:9 35:9 77:9</p> <p>personal 83:1</p> <p>personally 16:9 18:9 38:9 82:9</p> <p>perspective 37:7 51:9 63:9 79:4,9 80:4</p> <p>perveyor 61:9</p> <p>philosophical 17:9 20:9 82:9</p> <p>phonetic 65:3</p> <p>piece 34:1 37:3,8</p> <p>pieces 27:1,9 30:5 34:4</p> <p>place 25:3 40:9 41:9 43:1 45:7 73:9 75:7</p> <p>plain-language 59:9</p> <p>plan 11:9 14:9 16:1 40:9 42:2,3,9 43:9 51:9 57:9 64:9</p> <p>planned 35:3</p> <p>planning 5:9</p> <p>plans 5:9</p> <p>play 62:1 77:9</p> <p>plural 67:9</p> <p>pocket 18:9</p> <p>point 4:9 6:9 15:3 18:9 28:5 33:9 49:9 65:9 67:8, 9 75:9 82:8 83:5 84:2,9 85:3</p> <p>pointed 43:9</p> <p>points 31:9</p> <p>policy 66:9</p> <p>political 70:5 77:9 78:9</p> <p>poll 59:9 60:9</p> <p>polling 75:7</p>	<p>portion 46:9 47:1 66:1</p> <p>posed 79:6</p> <p>position 15:9 20:9 73:9</p> <p>possibility 15:9 37:9 39:9</p> <p>possibly 21:6 65:9</p> <p>practical 82:9</p> <p>practices 72:9</p> <p>precinct 54:9 57:9 59:9 60:9</p> <p>precision 43:6</p> <p>predicated 76:9</p> <p>predictions 53:9</p> <p>Preferably 75:3</p> <p>preferred 57:6</p> <p>premise 27:9</p> <p>prepare 66:9</p> <p>prepared 16:9 18:9</p> <p>prescribed 32:9</p> <p>present 3:5 5:9 13:9 14:9 23:9</p> <p>presentation 4:7 6:7,9 8:9 10:9 11:3 12:9 14:6 22:9 49:9 66:2,6</p> <p>presentations 10:9</p> <p>presented 4:9 7:9 12:3</p> <p>preservation 52:9</p> <p>President 29:9</p> <p>presidential 15:9 28:9 37:9 38:9 39:9 46:9 65:9 81:5</p> <p>presume 17:9</p> <p>pretty 26:1 55:9 56:2</p> <p>previously 42:6,9 48:7</p> <p>primarily 48:9 80:9</p> <p>primary 29:9</p> <p>principle 67:9</p> <p>print 38:9</p>	<p>38:9 48:9 61:8,9 62:9</p> <p>problems 25:9</p> <p>procedure 15:9 38:9 40:9 70:9</p> <p>procedures 9:3 14:9 23:8,9 26:4 32:9 57:6,9 62:9 63:1,4 64:1,9 73:8 75:9</p> <p>proceed 6:9 11:2 12:9 14:5 22:9 49:9</p> <p>proceeding 13:9 14:2</p> <p>proceedings 51:9</p> <p>process 29:9 30:9 31:9 32:9 37:9 39:9 40:2 41:9 43:9 46:9 49:9 50:2 53:5, 6 57:4 63:5 67:8 74:9</p> <p>processes 60:9</p> <p>processing 58:9</p> <p>produced 33:4</p> <p>product 50:9 61:9</p> <p>program 6:6 72:9</p> <p>progress 34:9 83:9</p> <p>prompted 54:9</p> <p>proper 5:3</p> <p>properly 3:9</p> <p>proposal 76:8</p> <p>proposals 77:1</p> <p>propose 23:9</p> <p>proposed 25:9 59:2 61:3 74:8 80:9</p> <p>protocol 7:3 41:9 42:9</p> <p>protocols 34:7</p> <p>proud 82:9</p> <p>provide 14:9 24:9 51:2</p> <p>provided 23:8 38:7 42:9 51:8</p> <p>province 66:9</p> <p>provision 15:9 69:9</p> <p>provisional 38:9 39:9 49:3 74:9 75:4,9 76:9</p> <p>provisions 51:9</p> <p>proxies 35:9</p> <p>proxy 3:6,7 4:9 5:5,7,9 7:9 12:4 21:9 64:9 84:9</p>	<p>85:9</p> <p>Public 8:7,9 66:9</p> <p>pull 15:9 24:7 37:7 47:9</p> <p>pulled 37:2</p> <p>pulling 71:9</p> <p>purely 53:9</p> <p>purpose 76:1</p> <p>purposes 7:9 12:1</p> <p>pursuant 26:5</p> <p>put 26:9 43:1,9 61:9 64:4</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <hr/> <p>QA 56:9</p> <p>quality 53:9</p> <p>question 40:9 46:1 47:9 51:1 63:9 67:5,9 68:7 70:9 76:4 77:2,9 79:6,9</p> <p>questions 10:9 13:9 14:9 15:2 17:9 22:9 40:9 44:9 51:5 58:9 61:2,9 65:9 67:4 70:9</p> <p>quick 62:9</p> <p>quickly 41:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <hr/> <p>race 19:4 35:9 36:3 46:9 48:9</p> <p>paces 19:8 20:2 35:9 36:1,9 47:5 71:9 78:7 79:9</p> <p>raise 21:9</p> <p>raised 67:6</p> <p>rapidity 51:5</p> <p>rare 82:3</p> <p>ratification 4:5,9 5:9</p> <p>ratify 4:9</p> <p>RBM 61:9</p> <p>reached 64:9</p> <p>read 79:9 80:3,4</p> <p>reading 18:7 79:9 84:9</p> <p>reads 69:5</p> <p>ready 74:9</p>
<p>poor 21:6</p> <p>poorly 20:9</p> <p>pop 72:3</p>	<p>prior 76:9 83:9</p> <p>Pro 12:9 13:6 32:7 35:3 42:1 64:9</p> <p>problem 19:9 22:1 25:9</p>	<p>proxy 3:6,7 4:9 5:5,7,9 7:9 12:4 21:9 64:9 84:9</p>	

<p>reaffirm 58:3</p> <p>realistic 37:9</p> <p>realities 82:9</p> <p>realized 24:9</p> <p>reasonable 45:9</p> <p>reasons 56:9</p> <p>recall 15:9 55:9</p> <p>received 11:9 51:9 55:9 67:4</p> <p>recertification 23:9 31:9 58:8</p> <p>recognition 54:9</p> <p>recognize 5:9 6:3 66:1,5 67:9</p> <p>recognizing 66:9</p> <p>recommend 7:9</p> <p>recommendation 7:9 15:9 43:9</p> <p>record 3:9 12:9 62:9 74:5 76:4,9 79:9</p> <p>recorded 76:3 79:3</p> <p>recording 76:3,9</p> <p>records 72:9 76:9</p> <p>recounts 27:9</p> <p>recoupling 47:7</p> <p>recuse 5:4,9</p> <p>red 10:9</p> <p>refer 71:9 72:6</p> <p>reference 68:9</p> <p>referred 35:6 67:9</p> <p>reflected 57:9</p> <p>regard 18:3 41:9 62:7 68:9</p> <p>regarded 24:9</p> <p>regression 30:9 37:6 55:5,9</p> <p>regulations 73:5</p> <p>reinstallation 56:9</p> <p>reinstalling 56:9</p> <p>reiterate 30:9 40:6 45:2</p> <p>reject 59:9 60:9 74:9</p>	<p>rejected 48:9 60:6</p> <p>rejects 48:9 59:9</p> <p>related 76:9</p> <p>releases 33:6</p> <p>relevant 79:1</p> <p>relied 36:9</p> <p>remained 34:9</p> <p>remaining 16:5,6</p> <p>remember 21:2</p> <p>remind 3:9 63:3</p> <p>remove 57:9</p> <p>report 6:9 10:6 11:9 12:9 13:9 30:9 32:9 38:9 42:9 45:9 49:9 51:9 52:9 57:9 74:9</p> <p>reported 49:9</p> <p>reporter 3:9</p> <p>reporting 30:7</p> <p>represent 18:3</p> <p>representative 13:9 14:9 15:6 23:9</p> <p>representatives 14:9 70:5</p> <p>represented 19:1</p> <p>Republican 35:9 36:2 39:9 71:9</p> <p>Republicans 78:9</p> <p>repugnant 67:9</p> <p>reputation 24:9</p> <p>request 3:9 11:4 26:1 35:1 42:8 60:7</p> <p>requested 6:9 23:9 40:9 42:9 50:1 64:9</p> <p>require 59:9 60:9 63:9 67:9 70:9</p> <p>required 3:9 20:1 23:9 33:9 41:9 42:7 44:6 58:9 59:9 69:6,8 72:9</p> <p>requirements 7:7 11:9 58:9 66:3,6 68:9 73:9</p> <p>requires 54:9 69:3 70:3,9 77:9</p> <p>researched 7:5</p> <p>reservations 20:6,9</p>	<p>resistance 44:9 82:9</p> <p>resolution 20:9 21:8</p> <p>resolved 67:9</p> <p>respect 24:6 29:5 51:9 62:9</p> <p>respected 57:9</p> <p>respectful 83:3</p> <p>respectfully 50:9 79:5</p> <p>responded 34:9</p> <p>response 21:9 37:9 41:9 66:9</p> <p>responsibilities 29:9</p> <p>responsibility 22:9</p> <p>rest 20:2</p> <p>result 11:9</p> <p>results 13:9 32:9 45:9 49:9 63:9</p> <p>retained 39:9</p> <p>retesting 13:6</p> <p>Rethlake 65:1</p> <p>returned 59:9</p> <p>review 8:6 24:9 37:9 38:9 42:9</p> <p>reviewed 11:9 12:9 38:6 42:9 43:9</p> <p>revisions 9:9 10:9</p> <p>risk 31:9</p> <p>road 38:9</p> <p>rolling 33:9</p> <p>rollout 31:9</p> <p>room 39:9 44:9 61:2 77:9 82:9 83:9</p> <p>rooster 27:9</p> <p>rules 54:9 61:9</p> <p>run 65:9 75:6 81:9</p> <p>running 30:3</p> <p>runs 65:6</p> <p>rush 31:9 38:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <hr/> <p>safe 26:4 69:9 73:6</p>	<p>safety 15:9</p> <p>sake 31:7</p> <p>satisfied 39:9</p> <p>SB 31:2 33:9 35:9</p> <p>scan 48:9 68:2,9</p> <p>scanned 60:9</p> <p>scanner 57:9 59:9 60:9</p> <p>scanning 54:9 57:9 60:9 75:6</p> <p>scenario 20:9 21:7 34:9 48:9 63:9 69:9 85:5</p> <p>scenarios 9:4,7,9 43:9 52:9 64:3</p> <p>schedule 8:9</p> <p>scheduled 13:6</p> <p>scope 56:5,9 57:9</p> <p>screen 48:9</p> <p>scripts 9:5</p> <p>SEA 9:1,5 10:9 11:9 12:9 13:1,5 14:9 23:2,6 49:9 50:9</p> <p>Secretary 24:2 55:9</p> <p>section 23:7 24:5 28:3 33:9 46:9 50:2 52:9 54:7 79:9 84:9</p> <p>sections 14:9 23:6 49:9</p> <p>secure 73:6</p> <p>security 24:9 25:9</p> <p>seek 84:4</p> <p>seeking 29:3 40:6</p> <p>sees 79:9</p> <p>selection 47:9 59:9 60:9</p> <p>Senate 8:6,9 24:1,9 30:9 33:2 66:7,9</p> <p>sense 47:2,3,4,8</p> <p>sensitive 83:2</p> <p>sentence 19:9</p> <p>separate 20:8 29:9 54:9 60:9</p> <p>September 10:3 34:9</p> <p>serve 26:7 35:9</p> <p>service 25:2 42:9</p>
--	---	--	---

<p>services 39:9</p> <p>session 21:5 50:9 51:9 52:1</p> <p>set 29:9 30:3 49:1 50:9 56:6 60:9 68:9 69:7</p> <p>settlement 4:6,9 5:2</p> <p>Settlements 4:9</p> <p>severe 18:9</p> <p>sharing 63:9</p> <p>sheet 4:9</p> <p>short-circuiting 25:9</p> <p>shortcoming 23:9</p> <p>shortest 59:4</p> <p>shortly 9:1</p> <p>showed 41:9</p> <p>showing 7:6</p> <p>shows 10:6</p> <p>side 19:9 52:9</p> <p>sign 5:9</p> <p>signed 8:9 9:1 32:9 55:9 67:3</p> <p>significant 29:9</p> <p>similar 42:5 49:3 52:9</p> <p>Simmons 3:9 66:9</p> <p>simple 36:9</p> <p>simply 13:9 22:2 27:9 37:9 53:8 54:9 57:9 58:3 59:9 60:9 63:6,8 72:8 73:9</p> <p>single 29:9 54:9</p> <p>singular 67:9</p> <p>situation 35:9 41:4,5 44:9 45:9 71:6 72:9 74:9 77:9 82:9</p> <p>situation's 29:9</p> <p>skipping 31:9</p> <p>SLI 49:9 51:9</p> <p>slightly 43:2</p>	<p>solidify 52:4</p> <p>solution 15:9 22:9 45:9 57:9 61:9</p> <p>Solutions 11:4,9</p> <p>solved 62:9</p> <p>somebody's 27:9</p> <p>sophisticated 37:4</p> <p>sort 29:9 53:9 56:3 62:9 75:9 84:9</p> <p>sorts 28:1</p> <p>sought 56:1</p> <p>sound 36:9</p> <p>sounds 36:9</p> <p>source 15:7</p> <p>speak 3:9 17:3 20:9 21:9 23:9 37:9 39:2 53:6,7 80:9</p> <p>SPEAKER 20:9 74:9</p> <p>speaking 3:9 24:3 54:9 76:9</p> <p>special 29:3</p> <p>specific 8:9 10:9 48:9 72:9</p> <p>specifically 19:4 68:9 69:9 74:9</p> <p>spell 3:9</p> <p>spent 18:4</p> <p>spoil 60:7</p> <p>St 65:1,3</p> <p>staff 3:9</p> <p>staffs 70:9</p> <p>stage 34:9</p> <p>stand 5:9</p> <p>standards 55:8 69:7</p> <p>standpoint 17:9 31:9 55:9</p> <p>start 11:3 25:4 27:2 56:9</p> <p>started 33:5</p>	<p>statement 41:9</p> <p>statements 44:9</p> <p>states 67:9 80:1</p> <p>status 10:6,7 12:9 13:9 14:6 22:9 49:9</p> <p>statute 67:9 73:4 78:1</p> <p>statutes 37:1 67:9</p> <p>step 53:9 60:9</p> <p>steps 16:9 31:9 63:9</p> <p>Steve 29:9 35:5 41:9 64:9</p> <p>sticking 17:9</p> <p>stop 78:6</p> <p>straight 18:9 19:9 20:9 32:5 36:9 75:9 78:2</p> <p>straight-party 15:9 19:6,9 22:4 32:1 35:9 36:9 39:9 48:9 59:8,9 60:9 67:9 71:9 77:4,9 78:9 79:9 80:6</p> <p>straight-party-marked 71:9</p> <p>straight-ticket 35:8,9 36:2 46:9 47:6 69:9</p> <p>straightforward 26:1 57:9</p> <p>strain 84:9</p> <p>strictly 17:9 76:9</p> <p>striking 79:9</p> <p>stringent 30:9</p> <p>striving 71:6</p> <p>strong 20:6 52:9</p> <p>stronger 82:9</p> <p>submit 16:9 17:1,5</p> <p>submitted 4:9 6:9 11:9 42:1</p> <p>subsection 54:8 55:9 57:8 58:9 59:5 67:9 69:3, 9 79:9 80:1,2</p> <p>subsections 52:7 69:9 79:9</p>	<p>suggested 58:7 61:4 70:9 76:9</p> <p>suggesting 74:9</p> <p>suggestion 73:9</p> <p>suggestions 77:1</p> <p>suites 29:9 30:7 31:9</p> <p>sum 76:7</p> <p>summarize 60:9</p> <p>sunsetting 26:9</p> <p>supplement 40:9</p> <p>supplementary 26:3 73:9</p> <p>support 26:9 62:2,3 72:9</p> <p>supports 11:9</p> <p>supposed 45:6</p> <p>surprised 64:9</p> <p>surprising 52:9</p> <p>Susan 3:9</p> <p>Suzannah 3:8</p> <p>sympathetic 83:2</p> <p>system 6:5 8:9 11:7,9 13:2 25:3 26:9 29:9 30:9 31:3,9 32:9 33:4 34:9 36:9 37:9 38:8 41:9 48:9 49:9 51:6 52:9 53:9 54:9 55:7,8 56:9 57:9 58:9 60:9 62:5,9 68:1,2,9 69:4, 9 70:7,9 71:9 72:7,9 73:7, 9 76:3,9 77:9 79:9 80:9 82:2</p> <p>system's 49:9 76:5</p> <p>systems 6:8,9 7:9 8:9 9:9 10:8 12:9 14:7,8,9 15:5 23:1,5 25:9 26:5,6,9 29:9 31:9 32:9 33:9 36:9 37:4,9 45:6,9 50:9 51:9 53:5,9 58:4,9 62:9 68:9 69:6 72:5,9 82:1</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">T</p> <hr/> <p>tab 4:9</p> <p>tabulate 30:9 33:9 68:2 75:9</p> <p>tabulated 79:4</p> <p>tabulating 68:9 70:9 72:2 76:9</p>
<p>small 25:9 39:1</p> <p>software 6:9 9:9 10:1,9 11:5,9 13:4 14:9 23:1,9 27:7,9 29:9 31:9 37:4 40:7 44:4 50:4 54:9 56:9 58:6 62:9 68:9</p>	<p>state 6:4 10:8 26:7,9 27:9 29:9 33:7 35:9 46:6 50:9 55:9 61:9 65:9 70:9 74:9 81:9</p> <p>State's 24:2</p>	<p>subtracted 27:1</p> <p>successor 53:9</p> <p>sufficient 16:6</p> <p>suggest 84:3</p>	

<p>tabulation 54:9 68:5,9 69:3,9 70:2 71:7,9 75:4 76:6,9</p> <p>tabulations 41:9 71:9 72:1</p> <p>tabulators 30:1 32:9</p> <p>takes 27:9</p> <p>taking 16:9 23:9 40:9</p> <p>talk 25:9 29:9 38:1 58:9</p> <p>talking 17:4,7 37:3 39:9 48:8,9 54:6,9 56:9 58:9 71:9 72:9 75:9</p> <p>team 7:5 32:9 56:9</p> <p>teams 70:4</p> <p>technical 6:6 17:9</p> <p>telling 16:9 39:9</p> <p>terms 52:5 55:9</p> <p>Terri 65:1</p> <p>test 9:4,7,9 11:9 25:7 30:9 33:4,5,6 40:9 42:2,3, 9 43:2,9 51:9 52:9 54:1 55:8 56:9 57:9 64:3,9</p> <p>tested 10:9 25:6 43:5 49:9 52:9 62:9 63:1 64:2</p> <p>testified 25:9 46:4 67:2</p> <p>testify 5:9 13:9 14:9 23:9 25:9 50:9</p> <p>testimony 15:9 40:9 49:9 62:7 65:9 80:9 84:8, 9</p> <p>testing 8:9 9:3,6,9 10:4,9 11:9 12:9 13:9 14:1,2 15:9 16:9 17:1,5,6 24:9 25:9 27:9 30:9 31:9 32:7, 9 33:9 34:6,9 35:2 37:6,9 40:9 41:9 42:4,5,6,9 43:9 44:9 50:5 51:9 53:1,7,9 54:2 55:4,5 56:9 58:6 63:5,9 64:9</p> <p>tests 10:9 42:9</p> <p>Tew 23:9 37:9 45:1</p> <p>text 64:9</p> <p>theoretically 78:8</p>	<p>thinking 55:9</p> <p>thought 75:9 84:3</p> <p>thoughts 76:9 82:8</p> <p>thrust 52:2</p> <p>ticket 19:6,9 77:9 78:2</p> <p>tickets 36:9 39:9 67:9</p> <p>time 3:9 4:4 5:9 8:9 9:2,9 10:9 14:3,9 16:3,5,6 18:9 23:9 24:9 25:4 27:6,9 29:9 30:9 33:9 34:9 44:3 45:9 52:9 53:9 55:9 56:5, 9 57:9 58:9 62:9 63:7 75:3 81:9 82:8 83:6 84:2</p> <p>time-consuming 38:9</p> <p>timely 13:9 41:6</p> <p>timing 37:9 51:5</p> <p>Tippecanoe 48:9</p> <p>today 3:9 17:9 19:1 23:9 44:9 46:2 50:9 52:9 53:9 58:9 61:2 65:9 66:9 67:2, 6,9 68:4 69:2 82:9 83:9 84:8 85:9</p> <p>told 24:9</p> <p>Tom 23:9 25:9 29:9 30:9</p> <p>top-line 50:9</p> <p>topic 52:9 56:4</p> <p>total 4:9 52:9 72:9</p> <p>totals 32:9</p> <p>touch 14:1 48:9 56:9</p> <p>touching 33:9 54:9 56:9</p> <p>town 19:9</p> <p>township 19:9</p> <p>transparent 51:9 63:9</p> <p>triangulates 75:9</p> <p>triggered 59:7</p> <p>trust 34:9</p> <p>turn 8:5 25:9 49:9</p> <p>turning 63:9</p> <p>turnout 28:9</p>	<p>typically 27:6</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">U</p> <hr/> <p>U.S. 41:9</p> <p>Uh-huh 43:3</p> <p>Ultimately 27:9</p> <p>uncertified 62:4</p> <p>uncommon 54:3</p> <p>undergo 13:6</p> <p>undergoing 10:9 42:6</p> <p>underlined 46:9</p> <p>undermine 37:9</p> <p>understand 6:9 19:9 22:9 51:9 57:8 58:9 63:6 71:8 78:9</p> <p>understanding 41:9 52:3 58:9 75:9</p> <p>understood 33:1,9</p> <p>underwent 12:9</p> <p>undetermined 50:5</p> <p>unfortunate 83:6</p> <p>unhinged 30:9 35:9 37:2</p> <p>UNIDENTIFIED 20:9 74:9</p> <p>unintelligible 48:9</p> <p>Unisyn 11:3,6,9 12:3 26:9 41:5,9 44:9 61:9 62:2 82:9</p> <p>Unity 7:9</p> <p>University 6:5</p> <p>unknowingly 37:3</p> <p>unlike 79:9</p> <p>unopposed 21:3</p> <p>upcoming 59:3</p> <p>update 27:9</p> <p>upgrading 33:9</p> <p>uphold 81:9 83:9</p> <p>user 60:9</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">V</p> <hr/> <p>V&v 12:9 13:6 32:7 35:3 42:1 64:9</p> <p>validity 75:2</p> <p>valuable 66:9</p> <p>vendor 10:9 13:9 18:6 20:5 43:9 46:9 83:9</p> <p>vendor's 10:9</p> <p>vendor-specific 43:9</p> <p>vendors 8:9 9:9 10:4,7 28:9 29:9 36:8 41:2 43:9 46:9 53:4 68:4 85:2</p> <p>verbally 50:9</p> <p>verification 31:9</p> <p>verify 30:9</p> <p>version 59:4</p> <p>versions 30:3</p> <p>versus 79:3,9</p> <p>Vice 3:6 4:9 29:9 64:9 85:9</p> <p>view 67:9</p> <p>virtually 51:9 79:9</p> <p>void 20:2 22:5 60:9</p> <p>voided 19:9</p> <p>voiding 18:9</p> <p>vote 5:3,9 18:9 19:2,4 22:3,6 28:8 32:9 35:9 36:2,9 45:9 46:9 47:6 48:9 67:9 69:9 76:4,9 78:9 80:9</p> <p>voted 39:9</p> <p>voter 8:9 18:9 19:9 27:9 47:4 52:4,9 59:9 60:3 69:9 75:1 76:9</p> <p>voter's 76:1</p> <p>voters 26:9 45:9 54:9</p> <p>votes 28:9 30:9 35:8,9 45:8 68:2,9 69:9 70:6,9 78:2</p>
<p>thing 15:5 30:9 41:8 45:4 50:9 52:9 54:9 58:9 62:9 75:9</p> <p>things 28:1,9 37:5 41:9 43:5 71:4 76:9</p>	<p>turns 63:9</p> <p>Twenty 17:9</p> <p>two-step 43:9</p> <p>types 30:5</p>	<p>users 57:2 59:9</p> <p>utilize 32:9</p> <p>utilized 48:9</p>	<p>voting 6:5,7,9 7:9 11:3,6, 9 14:7 23:5 26:5,6,9 29:9 30:9 33:4 41:9 49:9 54:9 55:8 56:9 59:9 67:9 68:2, 9 69:6,9 70:7,9 72:7,9 77:9</p>

VSTL 7:5 53:1 63:9
VSTOP 6:7,9 7:2 8:9 9:8,
9 10:9 11:9 12:9 27:9
34:9 40:9 42:9 43:9 44:9
49:9 51:3,9 62:6 64:1,2,9
67:3 74:9 83:9
VSTOP'S 8:9 41:9

65:6 73:1 78:5
years 18:4,6 21:2 29:9
81:9
yellow 10:9
yesterday 32:9 64:9 81:9
yield 58:9

W

Z

walk 10:9 62:3
wanted 37:9 49:4
wanting 15:9 20:7,8
ways 20:7
weeks 63:9
Wendy 46:7
white 4:9
willingness 51:9
Wilson 37:9 38:1,5
wishes 13:9 23:9 45:9
57:9
work 8:9 37:9 38:9 56:6,7
66:9 72:4 76:9 83:9
work-arounds 70:9 83:7
workable 45:9
workaround 25:9 38:7
46:9 48:9 49:7 59:3 61:3,
8,9 74:8,9
worked 27:9 36:9 62:9
worker 59:9 60:9
working 9:4 16:2,9 20:9
21:9 58:9 66:9 72:9 74:9
world's 24:9
worry 65:6
worst 32:2
worth 79:5,9
write-in 69:9 70:1,4,6
writing 32:9 50:9
written 20:9 32:9 51:9
64:9

Zachary 3:8

wrong 25:8 74:9

Y

year 8:9 28:9 29:6 37:9