## Scholastic Achievement Partners Update

May 20, 2013

## Tracking Document Overview

## Student Attendance and Discipline

## Leading Indicators

Initiative Specific Indicators

- The first table measures the student attendance rate, which is currently at $97.3 \%$ as of May13, 2013, an increase of .19\%
- The second table tracks the number and type of discipline incidents by grade level. The data shows an overall decreasing trend for grades 6 (1\%), 10 (1\%), 11 (8\%) and 12 (7\%). There were increases in the other grade levels with the exception of $8{ }^{m}$ grade which remained steady at $16 \%$. While overall percentages in some grades show increases, the total numbers of incidences have dropped from 50 in March to 19 in April, a decrease of $38 \%$.
- The first table lists the baselines and targets for SAP's Key Performance Indicators. This table will be updated as ISTEP data is received by the school
- The second table lists the baselines and SAP's end of the year goals for BRMHS in the area of academic achievement. This table will be updated with current year ISTEP data.
- This table breaks down each of SAP's Focus Areas; outlining the Key Performance Indicators, baseline measures and targets.
- Initiative specific instructional strategies were built into lesson plans for Success periods. This has resulted in a growth of 1.4 on a four point rubric. The baseline score was 1.1, end of year scores increased to 2.5 , growth of 1.4. This meets the set goal for the year of a 1 point overall increase in scores, set in Focus Area 1b.
- This data shows $100 \%$ of April goals met. At this point in the school year, $35 \%$ of the target goals of the project
have been met, with the other 65\% of the goals to be measured by End of Year results.
Staff Culture
- This survey will be given again at the end of the school year.

Leading
Indicators

| Key Performance Indicators | Baseline (Last Year) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Minutes per Day | $\mathbf{3 6 0}$ |
| Student Participation Rate | $99.40 \%$ |
| Student Attendance Rate | $96.90 \%$ |
| Teacher Attendance Rate | $\mathbf{9 8 . 3 0 \%}$ |
| Drop Out Rate | N/A |
|  |  |
| Suspension Rate | $\mathbf{2 3 . 9 0 \%}$ |
| AP-Dual Enrollment Classes Offered | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| Minutes of job-embedded PD/week | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ |
| Distribution of teachers by performance level | HE: 0\%; E: $\mathbf{8 8 \%}$; IN: 12\%; I: 0\% |

## Achievement Indicators

| Key Performance Indicators | Baseline (Last Year) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Middle School | $\mathbf{6 5 . 7 0 \%}$ |
| ISTEP ELA Pass Rate | $\mathbf{7 7 . 1 0 \%}$ |
| ISTEP Math Pass Rate | $45 \%$ |
| Percent of Bottom 25\% showing high growth | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ |
| Percent of students demonstrating low growth |  |
|  | 6 th: 494.5; 7th: 520.8; 8th: 525.9 |
| Average Scale Score Increase-ELA |  |
|  | 6 th: 513.1; 7th: 541.4; 8th: 562 |
| Average Scale Score Increase- Math | $65.70 \%$ |
| Percent of Students At/Above Proficient on ISTEP- ELA | $\mathbf{7 7 . 1 0 \%}$ |
| Percent of Students At/Above Proficient on ISTEP- Math |  |

High School

| ECA Pass Rate- ELA | $\mathbf{7 6 . 3 0 \%}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| ECA Pass Rate- Math | $\mathbf{8 9 . 2 0 \%}$ |
| 8th-10th ECA Improvement- ELA | $\mathbf{- 0 . 9 0 \%}$ |
| 8th-10th ECA Improvement- Math | $\mathbf{1 4 . 5 0 \%}$ |
| Percent of non-passers who pass by 12th grade- ELA | $\mathbf{8 1 . 6 0 \%}$ |
| Percent of non-passers who pass by 12th grade- Math | $\mathbf{8 7 . 2 0 \%}$ |
| Average Scale Score Increase-ELA | $\mathbf{4 1 2}$ |
| Average Scale Score Increase- Math | $\mathbf{5 9 7}$ |
| Non-Waiver Graduation Rate | $\mathbf{6 9 . 4}$ |
| College Enrollment Rates | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |


| Target |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x | x |  |  |  |  |
| $97.00 \%$ | x |  |  |  |  |
| $97.68 \%$ | 97.98 | $97.70 \%$ | $97.27 \%$ | $97.17 \%$ (as of 12-17) |  |
|  | $97.26 \%$ | $97.88 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $98.3 \%$ (as of 12-17) |  |
| x | $97.68 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  | x | $10.36 \%$ | $12 \%$ (as of 12-17) |  |
| PLC minutes | x | 315 | 315 |  |  |
| x | PLC minutes |  | 225 | 325 |  |
|  | x |  |  |  |  |

Target

| $69.10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $80.50 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| $55 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| $25 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| 6th: 499.5; 7th: $525.8 ;$ <br> 8th: 530.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 6th: $518.1 ; 7$ th: $546.4 ;$ <br> 8 th: 567 |  |  |  |  |
| $69.10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| $80.50 \%$ |  |  |  |  |


| $79.30 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $90 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| $10.30 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| $17.10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| $83 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| $90 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| 437 |  |  |  |  |
| 603 |  |  |  |  |
| $74.40 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $97.10 \%$ (as of 1-18) | $97.15 \%$ | $97.11 \%$ | 97.3 | x |
| $98.5 \%$ (as of 1-18) | 98.5 | $98.50 \%$ | 98 | x |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $14 \%$ (as of 1-18) | x | $13 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ (as of 4- <br> 30 | x |
| 315 |  |  |  | x |
| HE: $\mathbf{2 \%}$; E: 89\%; IN: $\mathbf{9 \%} \%$ I: $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | 315 | 315 | 315 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


|  | - |
| :---: | :---: |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | X |
| X | x |
| x | x |
|  | X |
| x | x |
|  | x |
| X | x |
|  | x |



|  | $X$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $X$ |
|  | $X$ |
|  | $X$ |
|  | $X$ |
|  | $X$ |
|  | $X$ |
|  |  |


|  | $X$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $X$ |
|  | $X$ |
|  | $X$ |
|  | $X$ |
|  | $X$ |
|  | $X$ |
|  | $X$ |
|  | $X$ |


|  |  |  |  | SEPTEMBER | оctober |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initiative Specific Indicators |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instructional Priorities | Key Performance Indicators | Baseline | Target |  |  |
| Focus Area 1a: Improve instruction through implementing high impact literacy strategies | Achieve 100\% participation rate in all in-person professional learning activities | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
|  | Achieve $25+$ point increase on average in pre to post test score for online professional learning (this is on a 100 -point scale and is the magnitude of increase achieved in BRMHS in Spring 2012, which was correlated with strong student achievement gains) | 50\% Correct on Pre-Test | Increase of 25 points | $52.5 \%$ average score on pre-test |  |
| Focus Area 1b: Improve instruction through implementing high impact math strategies | Achieve 100\% participation rate in all in-person professional learning activities | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% Participation | 100\% |
|  | Achieve 1.0 point increase on average on teacher proficiency of implementing targeted instructional strategies (using agreed upon rubric on a 4.0 point scale) | 1.0 Increase | 1.0 Increase | Initial Observation: 1.1 on a 4 point scale (will track growth beginning in January |  |
| Focus Area 2a:Continue to improve instruction by expanding successful academic interventions for students. | Achieve 15 minutes of average software usage per student per day (this number represents the average daily time a student spends on instructional software and is the target amount of time that students should be on software in the READ 180 blended learning model) | 15 minutes per student per day | 15 minutes per student per day | 14 minutes per day | 15 minutes |
|  | Achieve $85 \%$ of students who grow Lexile level 50 points or greater | $85 \%$ of students will grow 50 Lexile points or greater | $85 \%$ of students will grow 50 Lexile points or greater | To be collected at the end of the year with one mid-year report | To be collected at the end of the year with one midyear report |
|  | Achieve "proficient" levels of implementation fidelity for all teachers participating in project as measured by the Scholastic Implementation Fidelity Reporting System for READ 180 (IFRS) | Achieve 'Proficient" levels of implementation in all Read 180 classrooms | Achieve 'Proficient" levels of implementation in all Read 180 classrooms | 80\% proficient |  |
|  | Achieve 5\%+ increases on ISTEP+ ELA and English 10 ECA | Import released data from IDOE | 5\% Increase ECA and ELA | Data will be gathered at the end of the year | Data will be gathered at the end of the year |
|  | Instructional Leadership Team to set goals using ISTEP+ goals by subgroup | Set targets during leadership retreat | Develop spreadsheet with all subgroup data and develop targets | ILT Members discussion on set goals using ISTEP+ by sub-group initiated |  |
| Focus Area 2b: Improve instruction by introducing academic interventions in math | Achieve 85\% of students who grow Quantile level 50 points or greater | $85 \%$ of students will grow 50 Lexile points or greater | $85 \%$ of students will grow 50 Lexile points or greater | To be collected at the end of the year with one mid-year report |  |
|  | Achieve "proficient" levels of implementation fidelity for all teachers participating in project | Achieve 'Proficient" levels of implementation | Achieve 'Proficient" levels of implementation in | Implementation to begin in October |  |
|  | Achieve 5\%+ increases on ISTEP+ Math and Algebra ECA | Import released data from IDOE | 5\% Increase ECA and ELA | Data will be gathered at the end of the year |  |
| Focus Area 3: Continue to build leadership instructional capacity to support effective instruction and student learning | Achieve $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ completion of all individualized growth plans for teacher-leaders | All ILT members to have leadership growth plans (LGP) | $100 \%$ of ILT members will have developed and implemented LGP. | $100 \%$ of ILT members had general discussion about LGP and will start developing plan in October | In Progress |
|  | Each teacher-leader facilitates (3) PLCs over the course of the year | Facilitate 3 PLCs | Each ILT member will facilitate all PLCs by end of year | ILT Members will begin facilitating PLCs in October 29 | Facilitated first PLC |
|  | Administer feedback rubric to ensure high quality facilitation of PLCs | Scholastic to develop rubric for administration | $100 \%$ of teachers approval of ILT members facilitating PLC | First administration in November |  |
|  | Using data, set targets by subgroup for end of year testing | Set targets during leadership retreat | Develop spreadsheet with all subgroup data and develop targets | ILT Members discussion on set goals using ISTEP+ by sub-group initiated' | On-going |
| Focus Area 4: Continue to empower and develop the community to support school goals | Community Outreach plan created | One event per month | One event per month | Parents in Touch September 19th | Literacy Workshop for Parents: October 23 |
|  | All milestones reached | One event per month | One event per month | 100\% |  |
|  | Average $+\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ improvement on key indicators from WE Support Survey | 10\% increase | 10\% plus increase | Administration of 'We Support Surveys scheduled for November at Parent Open House Event | Ad |
|  | Allow the Instructional Leadership Team to set dates and goals for parent involvement | Develop plan for parent involvement for entire year | Follow Parent Outreach Schedule: ILT members to be directly involved | Parents in Touch September 19th Total face to face conferences=694 Total telephone conferences=52 | Literacy Workshop for Parents: October 23 |


| October Check-in Survey | Difference | Top Quartile Schools Spring 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82.00\% | 1.00\% | 90\% |
| 52.00\% | -4.00\% | 83\% |
| 58.00\% | 12.00\% | 87\% |
| 56.00\% | -7.00\% | 87\% |
| 58.00\% | -1.00\% | 90\% |
| 72.00\% | 39.00\% | 74\% |
| 39.00\% | 7.00\% | 72\% |
| 75.00\% | 11.00\% | 86\% |
| 33.00\% | -10.00\% | 87\% |
| 44.00\% | 0.00\% | 76\% |

