MCE Gainwell Monthly Technical Meeting

Meeting Details

Meeting Name:	MCE Gainwell Monthly Technical Meeting
Leader/Facilitator:	Dennis Dowling, FSSA; Rebecca Siewert, Gainwell
Location, Date and Time:	Monday, June 21st, 2021; 2:00 PM; webex
Scribe:	Craig Nielsen

Attendees

Anthem		State		Gainwell	
Gretchen Atkins		Meredith Edwards		Rebecca Young	X
Jean Caster		Nonis Spinner	X	Rebecca Siewert	X
Tracy Silvers	X	Angela Todd	X	Bill Anderson	X
Jason Adams	X	Ralph Jones	X	Sharon Ricketts	
Tuan Nguyen	X	Dennis Dowling	X	Wanda Williams	X
LaDawna Richmond		Stephanie Guetig		Stephanie Cari	X
Cynthia Bell		Keena Baumer	X	Karen Grays	X
Trang Cooley		Scott Runner	X	Maksat Abamov	
Tracey Welch		Craig Nielsen	X	Denise Brown	X
Ferdinand Cajigal		Mark Wiley	X	Xenia Hastings	
Ron Stoughton	X	Daneida Dooley	X	Jerry Heady	X
Stephen Egan		Natalie Angel		Rubi Multani	X
Amy Tate		Tamra Mitchell	X	Beth Linginfelter	X
Maura Wenglarz	X	Maria Schelli-Merrifield		Ginger Brophy	X
Cindy Riley		Shawna Frazier		Joyce Lee	X
MHS		Kathleen Leonard		Indea McCombs	X
Geoff Petrie		Susan Beecher		Tisha Arberry	
Brett Norris	X	Ryan Callahan		Angela Tynes	X
Taylor Fulner		Megan Anderson		Melinda Kent	X
Kumar Aggala	X	Colin Woods		KC Sushant	X
Stoshala Payne		Shannon Effler	X	Nastassja Daniel	X
Anastasia Spurgetis		Paul Fruits		Greg Hershberger	
Chelsea Trotter		**CareSource**		Greg Zimmerer	X
Monica Johnson		Trish Kappes	X	LaKisha Browder	X
William Wilson		Samantha Walton	X	**Optum**	
Melissa Steever		Lindsay McGuire		Harini Kasamsetty	X
MDwise		Jeff Severino		Harsha Jasti	X
Jason Thacker		Kristina Vanbelkum	X	**Maximus**	
Michelle Okeson		Lindsay Young	X	NaKeita Boyd	X
Raeann Brown	X	David Rose		Jennifer Haas	
Brian Arrowood		Akbar Shareef		**HMA**	
Marcia Shively		Gary Ziegler		Kaitlyn Feiock	X
Bonnie Kegin		Resalot Taus		**UHC**	
Jim Matthews		Chelsi Hall	X	Kavitha Thomas	X
		Jeffrey Kardatzke	X	Elizabeth Adams	

Agenda Items

Item	Topic	Facilitator	Notes (conclusions, discussions, decisions, and next steps)
1	Open Meeting	Dennis	Review past action items and update statuses
2	General update on Public Health Emergency – Open enrollment status update / trending / projections (after COVID)	Jason Adams	November Meeting: • Gretchen: Seeking feedback from State on what trends we expect moving forward (for instance, trending down with unemployment? Etc.) • Natalie: No official estimates, but we don't have a lot of new Medicaid applications • Meredith: Further growth not anticipated, working toward projection of any membership changes in other direction December Meeting: • No further questions January Meeting: • Dennis: Continue through PHE, which is likely to extend through 2021 • Jeff: Is there a new category for HIP? • Nonis: No, it's an existing one. • Gretchen: Is cost share distinct from PHE? • Nonis: Now intertwined. February Meeting: • Nonis: Provided update on evolving PHE landscape and guidance. March Meeting: • Keena: No update April Meeting: • Meredith: Official extension, but can only do every 90 days, but informally expect to be extended through end of 2021.
3	Post-PHE Operations MCE Test Plans	Angela Todd (Meredith Edwards, Kaitlyn Feiock)	• MCEs to provide OMPP with a list of processes each will need to test before resuming normal operations post PHE. Include timelines for testing, test files needed, and other system engagement. May Meeting • Meredith: Brainstorm what MCEs need • Gretchen: Ensure Payment files are moving, HIP files in general (Particularly potential plus), Members 66+, etc. • Kavitha: Whole Cost Share • Bill: Agree with Anthem, also how Medically Frail moves back in June Meeting: Requests from Anthem: • How will the potential plus process happen after COVID? Will there be phases and how does that work? • How will open applications be handled? We would like the state to consider terming all open applications with a current term date and start fresh with a current applications. (we prefer no retro term dates) • Confirm Testing Items Received June Meeting: • Gretchen: When are we beginning?

			• Angela: Digesting these and coordinating with all systems/schedules • Kaitlyn: Sooner rather than later (roughly 60-90 days) to start testing • Kaitlyn: Everybody left in basic will have a potential plus applied to them. • Kaitlyn: Applications after will be handled like pre-COVID. • Nonis: We will start sending out to individuals to give opportunity to report any changes, still no negative actions as a result yet.
4	CMS Interoperability	Jeff Severino	• CareSource is interested how/if the state meeting the CMS Interoperability requirements for the fee for service population. Is there public information available on a web site we can review? January Meeting: • Craig: We have analyzed, but no decision, we have also received the next wave rule and are analyzing it as well. February Meeting: • Craig: No update on state decision; next wave rule suspended before being published in Federal Register March Meeting: • Craig: No update and no decision, thus not on trajectory for 7/1/21; May ask MCEs for their details if and when CMS requests April Meeting: • Craig: Will not make July 1, 2021 • Jeff: Caresource: Yes, were close to ready on 4/1, some pieces released, more to come. May Meeting: No Update June Meeting: • Kavitha: We plan to be ready for 7/1, there are a couple of things we will be seeking approval on portal language and will reach out. • MDwise: On Track • CareSource: On Track • MHS: No response • Anthem: Been testing; will follow up
5	59372 - Encounters for FQHC and RHC's	Jason Adams	February Meeting: • Jason: Implementation date/timeframe/project plan March Meeting: • Greg: Work will be complete end of month, request currently being evaluated to delay effectiveness to July • Question: What are the three CRs, and is Dental a 7/1 implementation? • Greg: Main CR is 59372, another is for an enhancement, and a third is for dental. Dental is not a 7/1 implementation date, but with delay to effectiveness of other, may pull into July by doubtful. April Meeting: • Greg: Small enhancements being approved by state and decided when that will go live; tentatively scheduled for July (Medical); change control for that may not go live with it; August for Dental May Meeting: • Dennis: Still scheduled for July

			June Meeting Request from Anthem: Planned July 28 go-live on target? Dental Vendors requirements or design document (project GT-2638)? June Meeting: • Ginger/Rebecca: Will follow up with Dave Murphy • Scott: As far as I know, 7/1 for Medical and Behavior health is still happening; Dental may still be later but retro'd back to 7/1
6	HCC Medworks Project update	Jason Adams	February Meeting: • Jason: requirements and workgroups? • Ginger: Updates sent 2/12/21 March Meeting: • Ginger: Appreciated update, moving forward April Meeting: • Ginger: Moving forward • LaDawna: Will need to know when • Ginger: Expect testing in August timeframe May Meeting: Request updates on test-plans and timelines for December, 2021 go-live: • Jason: Current timeline (mentioned may be earlier) and test files related to that • Meredith: Connected to PHE timing; working on test plans • Ginger: Working on figuring it out, no timeline quote yet June Meeting Request from Anthem: Requires coding changes for MCE's - possibility of a work group? June Meeting: • Ginger: We've had to move the release date out, had indicated August for vendor testing, will probably move out to November. • Kavitha: Will sample premium file take until November? • Ginger: We should be able to get that out earlier, maybe September.
7	Project Distribution Lists (Emails) from MCEs	Dennis Dowling	To allow the State to use to communicate project work information April Meeting: • Ginger: Would use for 834 delivery report • Kavitha: Which team? • Meredith: For technical (project) team; I already have operations • Greg: We could use one for system (outage) issues, and one for projects. May Meeting: • Dennis: Received from UHC June Meeting: Request MHS clarify Distribution List request June Meeting: • Rebecca: Explained purpose • Ginger/Beth: CareSource and Anthem provided for projects. • Jason: Will send for system issues as well. • Trish: Sent for 834s, if meant for system outages, will need to revisit our list.
8	Receiving a Term on the 834 when a member has been voided	Michelle Okeson	We sent examples to Gainwell and were told this is a known issue. The 834 process is not picking up retro changes when they go back into last year. This causes Core to show the member's MCE assignment to be voided however the MCE shows the member as active for the time period. April Meeting:

			• Beth: The 834 challenge I was involved with were for HIP authorizations are both retro-effective and retro-ended, so sometimes 834s don't generate.
• Jerry: Will have to do same process for MHS as Anthem
• Michelle: We are getting an 834 on this, but the member has been voided
• Jerry: We can identify those members and get them to you; will get with Xenia
• Joyce: Are you not using term audit files? Send examples to me.
• Michelle: Just getting term transaction rather than a void.

May Meeting:
• Joyce: 834 audit files were not designed to generate a void in the term file, MCEs should have received a void in their daily.
• Jerry: Awaiting direction from PMO, only get in daily if they term entire segment.
• Joyce: If termed in January and the term was voided, we wouldn't generate it, need to revisit, maybe change 834 term audit program to pick those up.
• Jerry: If member had assignment for whole year and got term for final six months, that would go out; later on first six months was voided, but nothing goes out and causes issues for plans; Service NOW Request # REQ0018345

June Meeting:
• Joyce: Submitted a CR for this issue. Will receive a void record on 834 term audit file for those members; discuss further in kick-off after CR approval as original build was to not send these out. |
| 9 | Frail Capitation Rates | Michelle Okeson | We would appreciate more information on the proposed change away from frail capitation rates. How will this be addressed through the 834s? Is testing planned? What is the timeline?

April Meeting:
• Meredith: We are working on creating new capitation category codes; potential June go live.

May Meeting:
• Meredith: For HIP – We'll have expansion which will cover plus and basic and state plan only (frail); continuing to meet; hoping to provide to MCEs shortly; you'll seeing headings have changed in new contracts; discussing if they'll load at Gainwell prior to CMS approval.
• Joyce: New descriptions which will go out on companion guide.
• Bill: Both 834 and 820?
• Joyce: Yes, June go-live at discretion of Meredith and team.
• LaDawna: What is timeframe for companion guides?
• Max: Already submitted to EDI team for review
• Joyce: Drafts within next couple of weeks

June Meeting: Request from CareSource to address GT-6643 (New HIP State Plan Expansion Cap Categories) concerns after seeing the requirements documents compared to discussion with state actuary about how these changes are being implemented.

June Meeting:
• Joyce: That one is going in end of this month.
• Sam: Trying to clarify what to expect.
• Joyce: Will send my responses to you. |

10	Pregnant members taking longer time to move to MAMA category	Manju Nair	Keena Baumer helps us to fix these members manually April meeting: • Keena: When they don't move over timely, I send to DFR to work and double check two weeks later, sometimes takes them awhile. May meeting: • Keena: Have list from MHS and have moved members to proper category; was sent list from MDwise and about to send those to DFR; working as MCEs send them. June Meeting: • Keena: We give them time to check, but then we keep on them until they get done. Can close this one.
11	Pregnancy Supplemental File Response – 'E03 - PREGNANCY END DATE IS NOT PROVIDED'	Manju Nair	I believe we are getting this error when we report the second pregnancy while the first MAMA never ended due to the PHE situation. (Submitted this item to Gainwell) (See above) June Meeting: • Kumar: We are receiving for supplemental files, not sure why we are getting this as our data matches 834s. • Rebecca: Is related to PHE.
12	Pregnancy Supplemental File Response – E04 - PREGNANCY DUE DATE MISMATCH BETWEEN IEDSS AND CORE MMIS	Manju Nair	Not sure why we are getting this error. (Submitted this item to Gainwell) (See above) June Meeting: • Joyce: We don't get delivery date from IEDSS; I will take a look to see why they're hitting, if MCEs have current examples please send. • Rebecca: Check with Maksat, may have been working on these. • LaKisha: From Kari.
13	HCC: • Plan transfer In (add record from other MCEs) coming with 021/28 instead 021/NULL as it says in the CG. • Plan transfer In from UHC missing PLAN2PLAN UHC in 2300 HD04	Manju Nair	HOOSIER CARE CONNECT FROM/TO MCE (Page 25) This code indicates the plan the member is transferring from when maintenance type and reason is 021/Null. • Ginger: Nothing to add, example given was reason code 28. • Manju: The issue is plan transfer in coming with 021/28, but should be 021/NULL • Joyce: I'll look at them if you send to me; Haven't done anything with 834 for HCC, will be interesting as to why coming over /28 instead of /NULL • Beth: Meeting internally at Gainwell on this tomorrow; wondering if start/stop reasons from EB files are influencing 834 programs; will include Joyce in conversation. May Meeting: • Karen: Gainwell ran query that only identified the 3 member examples from MHS, we think multiple 834 transactions performed on the same date and it was handled oddly in the system, 834 companion guide needs to be updated, will be included in aforementioned draft. June Meeting: • Karen: Companion guide has been updated; can close.
14	Old Encounters on 835	Rebecca Siewart / Jason Adams	April Meeting: • Rebecca S. Some old encounter claims will be displaying on 835s • Rebecca Y: Part of old error report work; made updates; now ~1677 encounters that will be going through system and out on 835; Impact MHS, MDwise, & Anthem.

			May Meeting: Request for how many belong to Anthem and which week's 835. • Rebecca: Believe information is going to go out to plans this week June Meeting: • Rebecca Y.: We are done with this now; went out on 4/28 835s; can close.
15	T-MSIS Data Quality Issue	Harsha Jasti	We have a data quality issue with TMSIS that seems to be related to "too many" ancillary revenue codes on inpatient claims. FFS reimbursement methodology primarily reimburses inpatient claims based on DRG, we are seeking confirmation from the MCE's that they submit inpatient encounters to Indiana Medicaid with a reimbursement methodology based on DRG as well. Or, are they in fact based on revenue codes submitted? We would like to know if MCOs have a reimbursement methodology similar to Indiana's Fee For Service inpatient claims which is a DRG based reimbursement methodology (screen shot and link below). Do all MCE's submit inpatient encounters by the same reimbursement arrangement? The link to the inpatient hospital services module: https://www.in.gov/medicaid/files/inpatient%20hospital%20services.pdf May Meeting: • Ralph: Seeing some odd things in T-MSIS data, MCEs can respond via email if preferred to confirm if they're doing reimbursement for inpatient claims via DRG; also would like to know if reimbursement methodology is similar to FFS claims. • Stephanie: Trying to correctly respond to CMS that reimbursement is via DRG and not revenue code. June Meeting: • Ralph: Received from CareSource. • Craig: Anthem and MHS as well. • Kavitha: Will send.
16	List of IEDSS Defects Affecting Eligibility	Michelle Okeson	Could these be shared with MCEs? We run into issues with eligibility and are told that they are due to known IEDSS issues. It would be helpful to know what to look for. (An example is mid-month terms due to IEDSS only sending the future segment to Gainwell. It causes a gap in eligibility for the member.) June Meeting: • Ginger: We do still occasionally get this example were IEDSS where IEDSS doesn't send the current eligibility segment with future or retro; causes Core to close down the BP. Then a few days later will get a new current segment, but BP doesn't always reopen. • Keena: IEDSS is working on this, put in a fix last week or today; two more fixes to go into effect in September to fix this; we are fixing as found in the interim. • RaeAnn: Can this be shared before we discover these independently? • Keena: I can get with IEDSS and Nonis and we can get to you.
17	List of Issues requiring Manual Correction by Gainwell Managed Care	Michelle Okeson	Could these be shared with MCEs? (For example, retro NEMT assignments that must be overwritten.) It would be helpful to know what the issues are and how they are being addressed. June Meeting:

		• Raeann: Can these known manual corrections be shared as well? • Ginger: By Gainwell or IEDSS? Will need to check with Business Unit. • Raeann: This example Gainwell. • Rebecca: We fix as we find, might not be a list per se, but can talk internally with team. • Mark W.: Are these defects? • Rebecca: Often one-offs.
18	COBA Box 33 billing rules Jason Adams	Medicaid vs Medicare June Meeting: • Jason: Is there a difference in rules between Medicaid and Medicare, and if so, what are those? • Tracy: We know there's a difference, Medicare asks for Billing Address; State asks for Service Location. • Rebecca Y.: Confirm Tracy; we require Service Location. • Tracy: We won't make a one-to-one match then because of this difference; will pay and be sending encounters as received from Medicare; if it fails your validation it will be reported on 277U, which we don't always get in a timely manner. • Rebecca: 277U issue wasn't on your side, was on our side, still working Mid-March (17th) one. We will report those Box 33 errors back. I don't know if it would factor into rates. • Dennis: Don't know either, will need to leave open.
19	Outage Jeff Severino	Jeff: In future communications, could you specify what the impacts to the MCEs would be and what the 'catch-up' plan is? Gretchen: Crucial to our processing, got empty files, any way to know when files are being combined would be helpful.
		NOTE: The State will be working remotely starting 3/17/2020 following Gov. Holcomb's directive in efforts to slow down the spread of COVID 19. All meetings and business operations will be continued as scheduled.

Action Items

Item	Assigned To:	Due Date	Description	Status
1.	MCEs	5/17/21	Send distribution lists (potentially one for technical operations and one for projects) • Sent by UHC 5/10/21 • Sent by MDwise 5/20/21 • Sent by Anthem 6/3/21 and 6/18 (Projects) • MHS 834 DL addition shared by Gainwell 6/14/21; full list sent by MHS on 6/17/21 • Ginger/Beth 6/21: CareSource provided for projects.	Open

Action Items

Item	Assigned To:	Due Date	Description	Status
2.	MCEs	6/21/21	Send response if MCEs reimburse inpatient by DRG • Sent (confirmed) by CareSource 5/18/21 • Sent by Anthem 6/3/21 • Sent (confirmed) by MHS 6/17/21 • Sent by UHC 6/21/21	Open
3.	Joyce	7/19/21	Send responses on GT-6643 (New HIP State Plan Expansion Cap Categories) questions to Sam	Open
4.	Keena	7/19/21	Get with IEDSS and Nonis and we can get list of IEDSS Defects Affecting Eligibility to MCEs	Open
5.	Rebecca Young	7/19/21	Research and respond on impact of Encounters using Medicare Billing Address in Box 33	Open
6.	Greg Zimmerer	7/19/21	Include specific relevant impacts in outage notifications to MCEs and plan to 'catch-up' (multiple days in next file, etc.)	Open

COVID Updates

COVID-19

Completed and underway Covid projects are being evaluated to determine the lead time needed in order to back out the changes as well as understand the impacts. This is underway.

MMIS COVID19 - Premium Vendor - Halt Premium Payment

Description	This project prevents accounts closing for members who are unable to make their premium payment during COVID19.
Progress	Gainwell continues to apply payments daily for incoming conditional members for CHIP and MED Works. Credits will be given for July monthly vouchers after the Adverse Action day (06/16). This project will complete at the direction of the State.
Challenges	None

MMIS COVID19 - Eligibility Re-Opens/CoPay/CostShare

Description	We continue to identify and extend Medicaid Eligibility as requested by the State.
Challenges	None