Watershed Report

St. Joseph-Maumee (04100003)

	Total (Ac.)	Crops (Ac.)	% of Total	Forest (Ac.)	% of Total	Water/Wetland (Ac.)	% of Total	Pasture/Hay (Ac.)	% of Total	Urban (Ac.)	% of Total	No Data (Ac.)	% of Total
Allen	73,321	14,708	3.87	13,565	3.57	677	0.18	27,011	7.10	15,557	4.09	604	0.16
Dekalb	220,175	115,273	30.31	24,942	6.56	464	0.12	60,555	15.92	10,805	2.84	1,233	0.32
Noble	37,059	17,657	4.64	4,512	1.19	79	0.02	12,334	3.24	1,466	0.39	238	0.06
Steuben	49,714	16,781	4.41	10,073	2.65	1,710	0.45	17,254	4.54	1,863	0.49	349	0.09
Totals	380,269	164,418	43.24	53,093	13.96	2,931	0.77	117,155	30.81	29,691	7.81	2,424	0.64

Land Llea

Data Source = National Ag Statistics Service, 2006, http://www.nass.usda.gov/research/Cropland/SARS1a.htm

% Crop = Sum of the acres of corn, soybeans, wheat, other small grains, etc. divided by the total acres in the watershed.

% Pasture/Hay = Sum of the acres of pasture, hay, and idle land divided by the total acres in the watershed.

% Forest = Sum of the acres of forest land divided by the total acres in the watershed.

% Urban = Sum of the acres of residential and urban land divided by the total acres in the watershed.

% Water/Wetland = Sum of the acres of streams, lakes, ponds, etc. divided by the total acres in the watershed.

% Data Not Available = Sum of the acres of clouds on arial photographs divided by the total acres in the watershed.

(data are viewable on the corresponding watershed map)

	-								
	Pu	Public Lands							
	Public Lands (Ac.)	% of Total							
<u>Allen</u>	776	0.20							
<u>Dekalb</u>	690	0.18							
<u>Noble</u>	9	0.00							
<u>Steuben</u>	152	0.04							
Totals	1,628	0.43							

Data Source = Indiana Department of Natural Resources (State-Managed Lands), 2004; Hoosier National Forest - U.S. Forest Service, 2004 and Patoka River USFWS, 2003 (Federal-Managed Lands)

 $\boldsymbol{\%}$ **Public** = Sum of the acres of federal, state, and local government land divided by the total acres in the watershed.

(data are view	able on the co	rresponding w	atershed map)
----------------	----------------	---------------	---------------

Beef	and	Swine	Proce	ssing

	Beef Plants	Beef Animals	Swine Plants	Swine Animals
<u>Allen</u>	0	0	0	0
<u>Dekalb</u>	0	0	0	0
<u>Noble</u>	0	0	0	0
<u>Steuben</u>	1	187	1	268
Totals	1	187	1	268

Data Source = Indiana Board of Animal Health, 2006 (Slaughter Processing), http://www.in.gov/boah/food_safety/inspection/meat_poulty.html

	Cropland Types											
	Crop (Ac.)	% of Total	Corn (Ac.)	% of Total	Wheat (Ac.)	% of Total	Soybeans(Ac.)	% of Total	Other (Ac.)	% of Total		
Allen	14,708	3.87	3,905	1.03	1,943	0.51	8,361	2.20	499	0.13		
<u>Dekalb</u>	115,273	30.31	39,722	10.45	10,796	2.84	63,621	16.73	1,133	0.30		
Noble	17,657	4.64	7,263	1.91	545	0.14	9,635	2.53	214	0.06		
Steuben	16,781	4.41	6,860	1.80	1,527	0.40	8,041	2.11	353	0.09		
Totals	164,418	43.24	57,749	15.19	14,810	3.89	89,658	23.58	2,199	0.58		

Data Source = National Ag Statistics Service, 2006, http://www.nass.usda.gov/research/Cropland/SARS1a.htm

% Corn = Acres of corn divided by the acres in the watershed.

% Beans = Acres of soybeans + double-crop soybeans/wheat divided by the acres in the watershed.

% Wheat = Acres of wheat divided by the acres in the watershed.

% Other Row Crop = Difference of the sum of the acres of corn, soybeans, and wheat minus total cropland acres in the watershed divided by the acres in the watershed. (data are viewable on the corresponding watershed map)

	Confined Livestock 2006												
	CAFO/CFO*		airy Animals		eef Animals	s Farms	Swine Animals	Po Farms	ultry Animals	She Farms	eep Animals		
<u>Allen</u>	1	0	0	0	0	1	5,700	0	0	0	0		
<u>Dekalb</u>	13	6	2,212	3	1,136	6	7,056	0	0	0	0		
Noble	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
<u>Steuben</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Totals	14	6	2,212	3	1,136	7	12,756	0	0	0	0		

*Because a CAFO/CFO permit may include multiple types of animals, the total number of permits in the county might be less than the sum of the farms with each animal type. Data Source = Indiana Department of Environmental Management, Office of Land Quality, 2007, <u>http://www.state.in.us/idem/agriculture/livestock/cfo/index.html</u>

(data is viewable on the corresponding watershed map) **Confined Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO)** = (U. S. Environmental Protection Agency definition) Operations with at least one of the following: 200 dairy cows; 300 veal calves; 300 beef cattle; 750 swine 55 pounds or more; 3000 swine under 55 pounds; 150 horses; 3000 sheep or lambs; 16,500 turkeys; 9000 chickens (liquid manure); 25,000 chickens laying hens (not liquid manure); 37,500 chickens - not laying hens (not liquid manure); 1,500 ducks (liquid manure); 0,000 ducks (not liquid manure). **Confined Feeding Operation (CFO)** = (Indiana Department of Environmental Management definition) = Operations with at least one of the following: 300 cattle; 600 swine or

Confined Feeding Operation (CFO) = (Indiana Department of Environmental Management definition) = Operations with at least one of the following: 300 cattle; 600 swine or sheep; or 30,000 poultry.

Ac.: Acres #: Number >: Greater Than

Ft.: Feet %: Percent

Mi.: Miles <: Less Than

Biofuel Plants

	Ethanol	Biodiesel
Allen	0	0
Dekalb	0	0
Noble	0	0
Steuben	0	0
Totals	0	0

Data Source = Indiana Department of Transportation, 2006 (Biofuels Processing), http://www.in.gov/isda/biofuels/

Surface and Groundwater Resource Concern Areas

	Impaired Streams (Mi.)	Impaired Lakes (Ac.)	Wellhead Protection (Ac.)	Karst (Ac.)	% Karst
<u>Allen</u>	19.28	333	3,958	0	0.00
<u>Dekalb</u>	66.61	0	10,941	0	0.00
Noble	1.30	0	1,125	0	0.00
<u>Steuben</u>	40.32	0	3,775	0	0.00
Totals	127.51	333	19,800	0	0.00

Data Source (Impaired Water Bodies) = 2006 Indiana Department of Environmental Management 303(d) List, http://www.state.in.us/idem/programs/water/303d/index.html (data is viewable on the corresponding watershed map) 303(d)-listed streams = impaired waterbodies that have been identified by IDEM as exceeding threshold limits of specific contaminants.

Data Source (Wellhead Protection Areas) = Indiana Department of Environmental Management, 2007, http://www.in.gov/idem/programs/water/swp/whpp/ (data is not available for viewing)

Data Source (Karst) = Karst Data, 2002, Indiana NRCS, data unpublished (data are viewable on the corresponding watershed map)

Soils-Based Resource Concerns and Analyses Sheet/Rill Sheet/Rill Frosion Subsurface Soil Erosion Leaching Potential for Surface Soil Erosion Potential Erosion (Wind) >500 Drainage= Hvdric Index >= Frequent Runoff Class (Water) >37 Between 1T Potential H/VH (Ac.) (Ac.) % (Ac.) % 10 (Ac.) % % Flooding (Ac.) % =H/VH (Ac.) % (Ac.) % & 2T (Ac.) % >=2 (Ac.) % Allen 18,824 4.95 3,926 1.03 8,229 2.16 4,193 1.10 3,141 0.83 26,819 7.05 1.63 1,054 0.28 433 0.11 6.210 Dekalb 62.785 16.59 53,590 14.09 137.172 36.07 72.662 19.11 4.671 1.23 54.313 14.28 3.88 4,595 1.21 775 0.20 14.753 Noble 11,317 2.98 1,653 0.43 16,180 2,797 0 74 4.09 873 0 0.00 4.25 615 0.16 15,561 5.696 1.50 0.23 Steuben 8,691 2.29 4,082 1.07 16,628 4.37 6.868 1.81 1,402 0.37 21,608 5.68 2.69 1,434 0.38 0 0.00 10.213 Totals 101.617 26.80 63,251 16.63 178.209 46.86 86.520 22.75 9.829 2.58 118.301 31.11 36.872 9.70 7.956 2.09 1.208 0.32

Data Source (Hydric Soils) = NRCS Soil Data Mart (2007) - http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/. A soil mapunit was considered hydric if a majority of its component soils is hydric.

Data Source (Sheet/Rill Erosion Potential) = NRCS Soil Data Mart, 2007, http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/ and the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation, Version 2 (RUSLE2). Erosion potential is based on the RUSLE2 calculation for the soil with a "C" Factor equal to that of a typical cropland management system used in Indiana (no-till soybeans, followed by chisel-plowed corn with an injected anhydrous application). Soils (if used to produce annual crops) under this management system between 1 and 2 times of tolerable limits are eroding above sustainable levels; soils (if used to produce annual crops) under this management system greater than 2 times of tolerable limits may be ineligible for certain USDA benefits. Management systems that leave more residue on the surface, those with less soil disturbance, crop rotations with higher-residue crops, etc. will decrease soil erosion compared to those under the typical cropland system. Management systems that leave less residue, disturb the soil more, and those with crop rotations with lower-residue crops may increase soil erosion above the typical cropland system.

Data Source (Leach Index, Wind Erosion, Water Erosion, Flood Potential, and Surface and Subsurface Drainage) = NRCS Soil Data Mart, 2007, http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/ and the NRCS Indiana Offsite Risk Index (ORI) (Section II of the Indiana Field Office Technical Guide (FOTG)). http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/fotg-locator.aspx?map=IN. NOTE: Because climatic and other data elements may be county-based, threshold values may differ among adjacent counties and result in abrupt data thresholds.

Hydric soils = Characterized by, relating to, or requiring an abundance of water. Hydric soils may be indicators of wetlands, which represent unique management considerations including groundwater impacts, crop production limitations, wildlife considerations, etc. A soil mapunit was considered hydric if a majority of its component soils is hydric.

Leach Index = soils with a relatively high risk of water percolating below the crop root zone; developed using annual precipitation, rainfall distribution data and hydrologic soil groups.

Subsurface Drainage = soils with a relatively high risk of having subsurface drainage; determined from a matrix based on soil drainage class and depth to seasonal high water, and the presence of artificial subsurface drainage and surface tile inlets. Soil Erosion (Wind) = soils with a relatively high risk of eroding by wind; determined from a location's C (Climate) Factor and a soil's Soil Erodibility Index (I).

Flooding Potential = soils with a relatively frequent risk of being covered by flowing water from any source; determined from the NRCS soil survey.

Surface Runoff Class = soils with a relatively high risk of soil solution movement from the surface of a management unit; determined using soil permeability and percent slope.

Soil Erosion (Water) = soils with a relatively high risk of eroding by water; determined from a location's R (Rainfall-Runoff Erosivity) Factor, and a soil's K (Soil Erodibility) and LS (Length-Slope) factors.

(All data are viewable on the corresponding watershed map)

Ac.: Acres #: Number >: Greater Than Ft.: Feet %: Percent

Mi.: Miles <: Less Than

All data are the measure of that parameter within the Indiana portion of the watershed.

	Water Resources										
	Standing Water (Ac.)	Streams (Mi.)	1st Order (Mi.)	2nd Order (Mi.)	3rd Order (Mi.)	4th Order (Mi.)	5th Order (Mi.)	6th+ Order (Mi.)	Stream Order Unavailable (Mi.)		
<u>Allen</u>	997	164.35	94.65	29.75	2.82	12.21	23.68	0.05	1.18		
<u>Dekalb</u>	604	379.12	220.65	82.90	39.35	17.48	17.54	0.00	1.20		
<u>Noble</u>	54	52.94	43.92	9.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
<u>Steuben</u>	1,963	99.03	65.11	7.49	12.34	12.47	0.00	0.00	1.62		
Totals	3,619	695.43	424.33	129.16	54.51	42.16	41.22	0.05	3.99		

Data Source = National Hydrography Data - U.S. Geological Survey, 2006, http://www.horizon-systems.com/nhdplus/

Stream Order = A hierarchal stream classification system. The confluence of two first order streams forms a second order stream; the confluence of two second order streams forms a third order stream; etc. Generally, larger order streams (such as the Ohio or Mississippi Rivers) have more volume, depth and channel width. They also are located in the lower reaches of watersheds. First order streams (unforked or unbranched streams) are in the upper reaches of watersheds. (data are viewable on the corresponding watershed map)

IIniaiia	Habitat Ar	'Aac

Ac. Within Range of Known T & E Species	% of Watershed Within Range of Known T & E Species	Natural Communities (Ac.)	Permanent Easement (Ac.)	% of Watershed in Permanent Easement
44,069.40	11.59	1,082.20	238.50	0.06

Data Source (Threatened & Endangered (T & E) Species and Natural Communities) = Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Division of Nature Preserves; Analysis by NRCS, 2007, data source is not public. Habitat ranges indicate the likely life-history range surrounding known locations of threatened & endangered species (state and federal listed) that have the potential to be used by the species (ranges for plants = point - 0 miles; amphibians/reptiles/insects/aquatic species = $\frac{14}{3} - \frac{1}{2}$ mile; mammals/birds = 1 mile).

Data Source (Natural Communities) = Areas identified and classified by the IDNR as unique/rare (data include the Natural Community acreage + ¼ mile buffer), data not published.

Data Source (Permanent Easements) = Indiana NRCS (Wetlands Reserve Program), 2008 data not published

Farm Census Data													
	Farms	Farms <10 Ac.	Farms <50 Ac.	Farms <180 Ac.	Farms <500 Ac.	Farms <1000 Ac.	Farms >1000 Ac.	Minority Farmers	Full Time Farmers	Part Time Farmers			
Allen	279	29	100	87	37	12	13	7	54	129			
<u>Dekalb</u>	950	73	316	344	133	50	33	5	119	488			
Noble	154	9	52	60	20	9	5	2	20	84			
Steuben	161	8	53	65	24	7	3	2	23	81			
Totals	1,544	119	521	556	214	78	54	16	216	782			

Allen

Dekalb

Noble

<u>Steuben</u> Totals

data no longer published.

Air Resource Concern Areas % of Watershed

Data Source = Environmental Protection Agency, 2006,

(data are viewable on the corresponding watershed map)

19.26

0.00

0.00 0.00

19.26

Data Source = National Ag Statistics Service 2002 Census of Agriculture (<u>http://www.nass.usda.gov/census/census/2volume1/in/index2.htm</u>). Estimates for each watershed were derived from county values based on the percentage of each county in the watershed.

	NRCS Practices															
Year:	Vegetative Agronomic Practices (Ac.)	No Till (Ac.)	Mulch Till (Ac.)	Upland Buffers (Ft.)	Aquatic Buffers (Ac.)	Grazing Practices (Ac.)	Nutrient Mgt. (Ac.)	Pest Mgt. (Ac.)	Irrigation (Ac.)	CNMPs (#)	Gully Control Grassed Waterway (Ac.)	Gully Control Other (#)	Wildlife Habitat (Ac.)	Forestry Practices (Ac.)	Confined Livestock Waste Storage (#)	Wetland Practices (Ac.)
2007	17	1,657	760	77,880	29	5	190	190	0	0	3	3	1,064	182	0	1
2006	135	438	95	4,280	24	566	602	600	Õ	Ō	2	Ž	55	117	0	8
2005	655	5,992	366	8,715	129	22	553	553	1	17	6	16	194	368	0	1
2004	1,178	1,321	116	11,806	114	199	56	0	0	n/a	8	5	133	189	0	40
2003	n/a	1,432	479	16,445	390	62	1,633	1,116	0	1	n/a	n/a	858	187	0	17
2002	n/a	1,752	43	78,079	329	167	1,737	1,003	0	0	n/a	n/a	1,297	295	1	47
Totals (2002-2007):	1,985	12,592	1,859	197,205	1,015	1,021	4,771	3,462	1	18	19	29	3,601	1,338	1	114

Data Source = NRCS Performance Results System Reports, 2007, <u>http://ias.sc.egov.usda.gov/prshome/index.aspx</u>. Vegetative Agronomic Practices = Acres of Conservation Cover (327) + 342 (Critical Area Planting) + 340 (Cover Crops) practices installed in the given fiscal year. No-Till = Acres of Residue & Tillage Management, Nuch Till (329) + Residue Management, No-Till/Strip Till (329A) practices installed in the given fiscal year. Mulch-Till = Acres of Residue & Tillage Management, Mulch Till (325) + Residue Management, Mulch Till (329B) practices installed in the given fiscal year. Upland Buffers = Feet of Field Border (386) + Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment (380) + Hedgerow Planting (422) + Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation (650) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Aquatic Buffers = Acres of Filter Strips (393) + Riparian Forest Buffers (391) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Grazing Practices = Acres of Prescribed Grazing (528 and 528A) + Pasture and Hayland Planting (512) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Nutrient Mgmt = Acres of Nutrient Management (590) + Waste Utilization (633) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Pest Mgmt = Acres of Pest Management (595) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Irrigation = Acres of Irrigation System, Microinitation (441) + Irrigation System, Sprinkler (442) + Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface (443) + Irrigation Water Management (449) practices installed in the given fiscal year. **CNMPs** = Number of Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans written in the given fiscal year.

Gully Control - grassed waterways = Acres of Grassed Waterway (412) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Gully Control - other = Acres of Grade Stabilization Structure (410) + Water and Sediment Control Basin (638) practices installed in the given fiscal year. Wildlife habitat = Acres of Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645) + Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (644) + Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (653) + Early Successional Habitat Development/Management (647) Wildlife habitat = Actes of opening winder habitat relating entropy of the second sec

Wetland Practices = Acres of Wetland Restoration (657) + Wetland Creation (658) + Wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given (652) + wetland Enhancement (658) + wetland Enhancement