

I-69 Evansville to Indianapolis, Indiana Project Section 6: Martinsville to Indianapolis, Indiana

Tier 2

Final Environmental Impact Statement and Section 4(f) Evaluation

Submitted Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(c), 9 U.S.C. 303 (c)

U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT)
Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
And
Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT)



Joe McGuinness
Commissioner
Indiana Department of Transportation



Mayela Sosa
Division Administrator
Federal Highway Administration
Indiana Division

February 1, 2018

The following persons may be contacted for additional information concerning this document.

Laura Hilden
Director, Environmental Services
Indiana Department of Transportation
100 North Senate Avenue, Room N642
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
317-232-5018

Michelle Allen, Indiana Division
Federal Highway Administration
575 North Pennsylvania Street, Room 254
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
317-226-7344

This is a Tier 2 Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Section 6 of the I-69 Evansville to Indianapolis project. Section 6 begins on SR 37 at Indian Creek near SR 39 in Martinsville and follows existing SR 37 for approximately 26 miles to I-465 in Indianapolis. The project upgrades existing SR 37 to interstate standards and travels through Morgan, Johnson, and Marion Counties. Improvements to I-465 will also be made from Mann Road to U.S. 31 in Marion County. Alternatives have been analyzed and evaluated for environmental, social, and economic benefits and impacts. Access along the interstate will be provided through the use of interchanges, grade separations, and a network of local service roads. The preferred alternative is the Refined Preferred Alternative.



FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

I-69 Section 6

Martinsville to Indianapolis

February 2018



FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary

SUMMARY 1
S.1 INTRODUCTION 4
S.2 TIER 1 NEPA STUDY 5
S.3 PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED..... 7
S.3.1 Tier 1 Purpose and Need for I-69 from Evansville to Indianapolis 7
S.3.2 Statement of I-69 Section 6 Tier 2 Purpose and Need 8
S.4 ALTERNATIVES DEFINITION 9
S.4.1 Project Scoping..... 9
S.4.2 Conceptual Alternatives 11
S.4.3 Preliminary Alternatives 12
S.4.4 Alternatives Considered in the DEIS..... 14
S.4.4.1 I-69 Mainline 15
S.4.4.2 Interchanges and Local Service Roads 18
S.4.4.3 Components of Alternatives C1, C2, C3, and C4 18
S.4.5 Evaluation of Alternatives C1 through C4 23
S.4.5.1 Evaluation of Mainline Options M1 through M3 23
S.4.5.2 Interchanges and Local Service Roads, Alternatives C1 – C4 25
S.4.5.3 Section 4(f) Evaluation 44
S.4.5.4 Overall Impacts and Costs of Alternatives C1 through C4 (DEIS) 45
S.4.5.5 DEIS Preferred Alternative 48
S.5 REFINED PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE (RPA) DEFINITION AND EVALUATION 49
S.5.1 Definition and Impacts of the RPA 49
S.5.1.1 Subsection 1: Indian Creek to SR 39..... 50
S.5.1.2 Subsection 2 - SR 39 to Morgan Street 51
S.5.1.3 Subsection 3: Morgan Street to Henderson Ford Road..... 53
S.5.1.4 Subsection 4: Henderson Ford Road to Banta Road..... 54
S.5.1.5 Subsection 5: Banta Road to Fairview Road 55
S.5.1.6 Subsection 6: Fairview Road to Wicker Road..... 58
S.5.1.7 Subsection 7: Wicker Road to Banta Road (Marion Co)..... 58
S.5.1.8 Subsection 8: Banta Road to and including I-465..... 60
S.5.2 Overall RPA Costs and Impacts Compared with Alternative C4 61
S.5.3 Title VI / Environmental Justice Review 65
S.5.4 RPA Wetland and Stream Impact Summary 66
S.5.5 RPA Costs and Impacts Compared with Tier 1 67
S.5.5.1 Project Cost 68
S.5.5.2 Right of Way and Relocations 68
S.5.5.3 Farmland and Forest 69



S.5.5.4 Wetlands and Floodplains 69

S.6 OTHER MAJOR GOVERNMENTAL ACTIONS IN STUDY AREA 69

S.7 MAJOR ISSUES RAISED BY AGENCIES AND THE PUBLIC 70

S.8 MITIGATION..... 71

S.9 REGULATORY ACTIONS AND APPROVALS ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT 72

S.9.1 Section 106 Consultation 74

S.9.2 Section 7 Consultation 75

S.9.3 Section 4(f) Resources – Individual Section 4(f) Evaluation 76

SUMMARY LIST OF TABLES

Table S-1: I-69 Section 6 Goals and Performance Measures 10

Table S-2: I-69 Mainline Lanes assumed in the DEIS 15

Table S-3: Dimensions of Mainline Options 16

Table S-4: Summary of Alternatives C1 through C4 19

Table S-5: Right of Way, Relocations, and Impacts of Mainline Options 24

Table S-6: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 1 - Indian Creek to SR 39 27

Table S-7: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 2 - SR 39 to Morgan St/Twin Branch Rd..... 30

Table S-8: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 3 - Morgan St to Henderson Ford Rd..... 32

Table S-9: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 4 - Henderson Ford Rd to Banta Rd 34

Table S-10: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 5 - Banta Road to Fairview Road..... 37

Table S-11: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 6 - Fairview Rd to Wicker Rd 40

Table S-12: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 7 - Wicker Road to Banta Road 41

Table S-13: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 8 - Banta Road to I-465 43

Table S-14: Total End-to-End Impacts by Alternative1 46

Table S-15: Estimated Project Cost by Cost Item (\$ Millions)* for Alternatives (DEIS) 47

Table S-16: Estimated Project Cost by Subsection (\$ Millions)* for Alternatives (DEIS)..... 48

Table S-17: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 1 - Indian Creek to SR 39 50

Table S-18: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 2 - SR 39 to Morgan Street..... 52

Table S-19: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 3 - Morgan St to Henderson Ford Rd..... 53

Table S-20: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 4 - Henderson Ford Rd to Banta Rd 55

Table S-21: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 5 - Banta Rd to Fairview Rd..... 57

Table S-22: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 6 - Fairview Road to Wicker Road 58

Table S-23: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 7 - Wicker Road to Banta Road 59

Table S-24: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 8 - Banta Road to I-465 61

Table S-25: Estimated Cost by Cost Item (\$ Millions) for Alternative C4 and the RPA 62

Table S-26: Estimated Cost by Subsection (\$ Millions) for Alternative C4 and the RPA..... 62

Table S-27: Total End-to-End Impacts of Alternative C4 and the RPA 63

Table S-28: Comparison of I-69 Section 6 Tier 1 and RPA Impacts 67

Table S-29: Major Mitigation Initiatives 72

SUMMARY LIST OF FIGURES

Figure S 1: I-69 Section 6 Four-County Study Area 2

Figure S-2: Preferred Alternative 3C and Tier 2 Sections 6

Figure S-3: Initial Conceptual Alternatives 11

Figure S-4: Preliminary Alternatives..... 13

Figure S-5: Elevated Freeway with Underpass 17

Figure S-6: Decision Area 1-1 26



Figure S-7: Decision Area 2-228

Figure S-8: Decision Area 2-328

Figure S-9: Decision Area 2-429

Figure S-10: Kristi Road Intersection29

Figure S-11: Decision Area 3-1 at Myra Lane31

Figure S-12: Decision Area 3-232

Figure S-13: Decision Area 4-2 Configuration33

Figure S-14: Decision Area 5-2 at Stones Crossing Road35

Figure S-15: Decision Area 5-2 Configuration35

Figure S-16: Decision Area 5-4 Configuration36

Figure S-17: Decision Area 6-1 Configuration38

Figure S-18: Decision Area 6-2 Configuration39

Figure S-19: Decision Area 7-1, Options C4A and C4B at Southport Road41

Figure S-20: Decision Area 8-1, I-69/I-465 Interchange43

Figure S-21: The RPA in Martinsville51

Figure S-22: The RPA from SR 144 to Stones Crossing Road56

Figure S-23: The RPA at Southport Road60

Chapter 1

CHAPTER 1 – BACKGROUND.....1-1

1.1 Introduction1-1

1.2 Tier 1 NEPA Study.....1-2

 1.2.1 Tier 1 DEIS1-6

 1.2.2 Tier 1 FEIS1-8

 1.2.3 Tier 1 ROD1-11

 1.2.4 Tier 1 Reevaluation1-12

 1.2.5 Tier 1 Legal Challenges.....1-13

1.3 Tier 2 NEPA Studies1-13

 1.3.1 Section 6 Notice of Intent to Prepare EIS1-14

 1.3.2 Section 6 Alternatives Screening Process.....1-14

1.4 Project Location and Description1-16

 1.4.1 Geographic Setting1-16

 1.4.2 Physiographic Setting.....1-17

CHAPTER 1 LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1: Overall Methodologies for Tier 1 and Tier 2 Studies1-4

Table 1-2: Environmental Analysis for Tier 1 and Tier 21-5

CHAPTER 1 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: I-69 Tier 1 Alternatives.....1-7

Figure 1-2: Preferred Alternative 3C and Tier 2 Sections.....1-9

Figure 1-3: Section 6 Corridor identified in Tier 1.....1-10

Figure 1-4: Section 6 Alternatives Screening Study Area1-15

Figure 1-5: Section 6 Topographic Features.....1-18



Chapter 2

CHAPTER 2 – PURPOSE AND NEED.....2-1

2.1 Statement of Purpose and Need.....2-1

 2.1.1 Tier 1 Purpose and Need for I-69 from Evansville to Indianapolis2-2

 2.1.2 Statement of I-69 Section 6 Tier 2 Purpose and Need2-4

2.2 Transportation Policies and Plans2-4

 2.2.1 Federal Legislation and Policies2-4

 2.2.2 State Legislation and Policies.....2-4

 2.2.3 Metropolitan Transportation Plans.....2-7

 2.2.4 Other Local Plans and Studies2-9

2.3 I-69 Section 6 Needs Assessment.....2-10

 2.3.1 Completing Section 6 of I-69 between Martinsville and Indianapolis2-10

 2.3.2 Highway Congestion.....2-11

 2.3.3 Highway Safety.....2-12

 2.3.4 Regional Economic Development.....2-14

2.4 Public and Agency Input2-15

2.5 I-69 Section 6 Goals and Performance Measures2-17

CHAPTER 2 LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Crash Rate Comparison, Indiana Highways2-12

Table 2-2: I-69 Section 6 Goals and Performance Measures2-16

CHAPTER 2 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: I-69 Section 6 Four-County Purpose and Need Study Area2-2

Figure 2-2: Statewide Mobility Corridors2-6

Figure 2-3: Forecasted 2045 Levels of Service.....2-13

Figure 2-4: I-69 Section 6 Intermodal Facilities2-20

Chapter 3

CHAPTER 3 – ALTERNATIVES3-1

3.1 Alternative Screening Process.....3-3

3.2 Project Scoping.....3-4

3.3 Conceptual Alternative Screening.....3-7

3.4 Preliminary Alternative Screening3-10

 3.4.1 Preliminary Alternative Descriptions3-10

 3.4.2 Preliminary Alternatives Evaluation3-11

 3.4.3 Preliminary Alternative Route Selection3-18

3.5 Components of Alternatives.....3-20

 3.5.1 Mainline Options.....3-21

 3.5.2 Interchanges.....3-28

 3.5.3 Local Service Road Configurations3-31

3.6 Alternatives C1, C2, and C3 (by Subsection).....3-33

 3.6.1 Subsection 1: Indian Creek to SR 39.....3-36



I-69 EVANSVILLE TO INDIANAPOLIS TIER 2 STUDIES

Section 6—Final Environmental Impact Statement

3.6.2	Subsection 2: SR 39 to Morgan Street/Twin Branch Road (Martinsville).....	3-38
3.6.3	Subsection 3: Morgan Street to Henderson Ford Road.....	3-44
3.6.4	Subsection 4: Henderson Ford Road to Banta Road (Morgan County Road 800W).....	3-47
3.6.5	Subsection 5: Banta Road (Morgan County Road 800W) to Fairview Road	3-50
3.6.6	Subsection 6: Fairview Road to Wicker Road.....	3-57
3.6.7	Subsection 7: Wicker Road to Banta Road (Marion County).....	3-59
3.6.8	Subsection 8: Banta Road to and including I-465.....	3-63
3.7	Alternative C4 (by Subsection)	3-66
3.7.1	Subsection 1: Indian Creek to SR 39.....	3-67
3.7.2	Subsection 2: SR 39 to Morgan Street/Twin Branch Road (Martinsville).....	3-67
3.7.3	Subsection 3: Morgan Street to Henderson Ford Road.....	3-71
3.7.4	Subsection 4: Henderson Ford Road to Banta Road (Morgan County Road 800W).....	3-72
3.7.5	Subsection 5: Banta Road (Morgan County Road 800W) to Fairview Road	3-72
3.7.6	Subsection 6: Fairview Road to Wicker Road.....	3-73
3.7.7	Subsection 7: Wicker Road to Banta Road (Marion County).....	3-73
3.7.8	Subsection 8: Banta Road to I-465.....	3-75
3.8	Refined Preferred Alternative.....	3-75
3.8.1	Subsection 1: Indian Creek to SR 39.....	3-78
3.8.2	Subsection 2: SR 39 to Morgan Street/Twin Branch Road (Martinsville).....	3-78
3.8.3	Subsection 3: Morgan Street to Henderson Ford Road.....	3-80
3.8.4	Subsection 4: Henderson Ford Road to Banta Road (Morgan County).....	3-82
3.8.5	Subsection 5: Banta Road to Fairview Road	3-82
3.8.6	Subsection 6: Fairview Road to Wicker Road.....	3-85
3.8.7	Subsection 7: Wicker Road to Banta Road (Marion County).....	3-85
3.8.8	Subsection 8: Banta Road to and including I-465.....	3-88
3.9	Summary of Alternatives.....	3-88

CHAPTER 3 LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1:	Preliminary Alternatives Performance Measures	3-13
Table 3-2:	Preliminary Alternatives Environmental Impact Measures	3-16
Table 3-3:	Preliminary I-69 Lane Requirements.....	3-22
Table 3-4:	Mainline Options shown with Alternatives C1, C2, and C3	3-34
Table 3-5:	Interchange and Local Service Road Decision Areas by Subsection.....	3-35
Table 3-6:	Martinsville Access Options	3-40
Table 3-7:	Alternative C4 Mainline Elements	3-67
Table 3-8:	Summary of Alternative C4 Design Elements and Source (C1, C2, C3).....	3-68
Table 3-9:	Number of Lanes - Alternative C4 and RPA.....	3-78
Table 3-10:	Review Factors for Southport Road Options.....	3-86
Table 3-11:	Summary of I-69 Section 6 Alternatives	3-90

CHAPTER 3 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3-1:	Alternative Evaluation and Screening Process	3-4
Figure 3-2:	I-69 Stakeholder Working Group	3-5
Figure 3-3:	Initial Conceptual Alternatives.....	3-6
Figure 3-4:	Conceptual Alternatives.....	3-8
Figure 3-5:	Preliminary Alternatives	3-9
Figure 3-6:	Public Meeting at Mooresville High School	3-12



Figure 3-7: Relative Cost of Preliminary Alternatives3-14

Figure 3-8: I-69 Mainline Typical Sections (4 Lanes)3-24

Figure 3-9: I-69 Mainline Typical Sections (6 Lanes)3-25

Figure 3-10: I-69 Mainline Typical Sections (8 Lanes)3-26

Figure 3-11: Proposed Interchange Types3-30

Figure 3-12: Alternatives C1, C2, and C3 from Indian Creek to Burton Lane.....3-37

Figure 3-13: Alternatives C1, C2, and C3 from Ohio Street to SR 2523-39

Figure 3-14: Alternatives C1, C2, and C3 from SR 252 to Teeters Road3-43

Figure 3-15: Alternatives C1, C2, and C3 from Teeters Road to Egbert Road.....3-46

Figure 3-16: Alternatives C1, C2, and C3 from Henderson Ford Road to New Harmony Road3-48

Figure 3-17: Alternatives C1, C2, and C3 from Cragen Road to Perry Road3-51

Figure 3-18: Alternatives C1, C2, and C3 from Big Bend Road to Whiteland Road3-52

Figure 3-19: Alternatives C1, C2, and C3 from SR 144 to Olive Branch Road3-54

Figure 3-20: Alternatives C1, C2, and C3 from Olive Branch Road to Smith Valley Road3-55

Figure 3-21: Alternatives C1, C2, and C3 from Fairview Road to Wicker Road3-58

Figure 3-22: Alternatives C1, C2, and C3 from Wicker Road to Southport Road3-60

Figure 3-23: Alternatives C1, C2, and C3 from Southport Road to Thompson Road3-62

Figure 3-24: Alternatives C1, C2, and C3 - I-465 at I-69 and SR 373-64

Figure 3-25: Alternatives Review with a Local Homeowners Association3-66

Figure 3-26: Refined Preferred Alternative, Burton Lane to Grand Valley Boulevard.....3-79

Figure 3-27: Refined Preferred Alternative, Egbert Road to Big Bend Road.....3-81

Figure 3-28: Refined Preferred Alternative, SR 144 to Stones Crossing Road3-83

Figure 3-29: Refined Preferred Alternative, Olive Branch Road to Fairview Road3-84

Figure 3-30: Refined Preferred Alternative, Southport Road Interchange3-87

Figure 3-31: Refined Preferred Alternative, I-465 Interchange.....3-89

Chapter 4

Section 4-1

CHAPTER 4 – AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT4.1-1

4.1 Introduction4.1-1

SECTION 4-1 LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1-1: Definition of Study Areas4.1-2

Section 4-2

4.2 Human Environment (Community Impact Assessment).....4.2-1

 4.2.1 Social Characteristics4.2-3

 4.2.2 Physical Characteristics4.2-34

 4.2.3 Farmland4.2-61

 4.2.4 Economic Characteristics4.2-64

SECTION 4-2 LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.2-1: Population Comparison, American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates4.2-3

Table 4.2-2: Johnson County Population Comparison, 5-Year Estimates.....4.2-3

Table 4.2-3: Marion County Population Comparison, 5-Year Estimates4.2-4

Table 4.2-4: Morgan County Population Comparison, 5-Year Estimates4.2-4



I-69 EVANSVILLE TO INDIANAPOLIS TIER 2 STUDIES

Section 6—Final Environmental Impact Statement

Table 4.2-5: Disabled Population Estimates Between 2010 and 2014.....	4.2-6
Table 4.2-6: Population Trends and Projections, 1990-2040	4.2-10
Table 4.2-7: Comparative Population Characteristics by Age, 2014	4.2-11
Table 4.2-8: Johnson County Population Characteristics by Age, 2014.....	4.2-11
Table 4.2-9: Marion County Population Characteristics by Age, 2014	4.2-12
Table 4.2-10: Morgan County Population Characteristics by Age, 2014	4.2-12
Table 4.2-11: Comparative Population Characteristics—Race and Ethnicity.....	4.2-15
Table 4.2-12: Johnson County Population Characteristics—Race and Ethnicity	4.2-16
Table 4.2-13: Marion County Population Characteristics—Race and Ethnicity.....	4.2-17
Table 4.2-14: Morgan County Population Characteristics—Race and Ethnicity.....	4.2-18
Table 4.2-15: Comparative Households and Housing Characteristics	4.2-20
Table 4.2-16: Johnson County Households and Housing Characteristics.....	4.2-21
Table 4.2-17: Marion County Households and Housing Characteristics	4.2-22
Table 4.2-18: Morgan County Households and Housing Characteristics	4.2-23
Table 4.2-19: Education Enrollment Characteristics 2010 to 2014	4.2-24
Table 4.2-20: Educational Attainment Characteristics 2010 to 2014.....	4.2-25
Table 4.2-21: Percent Educational Attainment—Adults 25 Years or Older 2014	4.2-26
Table 4.2-22: Median Household Income, Per Capita Income, and Percent Living Below Poverty Level	4.2-27
Table 4.2-23: Comparative Incomes - Johnson County	4.2-28
Table 4.2-24: Comparative Incomes - Marion County.....	4.2-29
Table 4.2-25: Comparative Incomes - Morgan County.....	4.2-29
Table 4.2-26: Housing Characteristics	4.2-31
Table 4.2-27: Neighborhoods, Apartments, and Mobile Home Parks in the I-69 Section 6 Study Area.....	4.2-31
Table 4.2-28: Existing Land Use within the I-69 Section 6 Field Survey Study Area.....	4.2-35
Table 4.2-29: Summary of Roads with Current Access to SR 37.....	4.2-42
Table 4.2-30: Schools Located Within or Near the I-69 Section 6 Study Area	4.2-47
Table 4.2-31: Religious Facilities Located Within or Near the I-69 Section 6 Study Area	4.2-49
Table 4.2-32: Emergency Service Providers in and Near I-69 Section 6 Study Area.....	4.2-52
Table 4.2-33: Agricultural Land Use.....	4.2-62
Table 4.2-34: Labor Force Characteristics	4.2-64
Table 4.2-35: Employment by Industry.....	4.2-66
Table 4.2-36: Employment Characteristics by Occupation.....	4.2-67
Table 4.2-37: Johnson County, Indiana, Work/Residence Patterns.....	4.2-68
Table 4.2-38: Marion County, Indiana, Work/Residence Patterns	4.2-69
Table 4.2-39: Morgan County, Indiana, Work/Residence Patterns	4.2-70
Table 4.2-40: Commuting Patterns—Indiana, Johnson, Marion and Morgan Counties*	4.2-71
Table 4.2-41: 2016 Property Tax Rates by Township within Study Area	4.2-72
Table 4.2-42: 2015 Gross Assessed Property Values (Pay 2015)	4.2-72
Table 4.2-43: Retail Sales in 2015	4.2-73

SECTION 4-2 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.2-1: I-69 Section 6 Socioeconomic Study Area.....	4.2-2
Figure 4.2-2: Minority Populations in the Vicinity of I-69 Section 6.....	4.2-14
Figure 4.2-3: Indianapolis Metropolitan Planning Area.....	4.2-39
Figure 4.2-4: Existing Trails in the I-69 Section 6 Study Area	4.2-41
Figure 4.2-5: Schools, Religious Facilities, and Cemeteries in the I-69 Section 6 Study Area.....	4.2-46
Figure 4.2-6: Emergency Service Providers and Hospitals near I-69 Section 6 Study Area	4.2-53
Figure 4.2-7: I-69 Section 6, Prime Farmland	4.2-63



Figure 4.2-8: I-69 Section 6 Tax Increment Finance (TIF) Districts4.2-74

Section 4-3

4.3 Natural Environment4.3-1
 4.3.1 Geology4.3-1
 4.3.2 Water Resources4.3-12
 4.3.3 Ecosystems4.3-30

SECTION 4-3 LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.3-1: Major Soil Associations within I-69 Section 64.3-5
 Table 4.3-2: Summary of 100-Year Floodplains in I-69 Section 6 (from north to south).....4.3-30
 Table 4.3-3: Summary of Managed Lands in or near I-69 Section 64.3-38

SECTION 4-3 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.3-1: Natural Regions4.3-2
 Figure 4.3-2: Physiographic Divisions4.3-4
 Figure 4.3-3: Soil Associations4.3-7
 Figure 4.3-4: Bedrock Geology4.3-9
 Figure 4.3-5: Mineral Resources4.3-11
 Figure 4.3-6: Aquifers and Groundwater Wells4.3-14
 Figure 4.3-7: NWI Wetlands4.3-19
 Figure 4.3-8: Lacustrine Wetland, I-69 Section 64.3-20
 Figure 4.3-9: Emergent Wetland, I-69 Section 64.3-21
 Figure 4.3-10: Forested Wetland, I-69 Section 64.3-21
 Figure 4.3-11: Pleasant Run Creek, I-69 Section 64.3-24
 Figure 4.3-12: Crooked Creek, I-69 Section 64.3-24
 Figure 4.3-13: HUC 8 Watersheds in I-69 Section 64.3-25
 Figure 4.3-14: Types of Floodplain Encroachment4.3-28
 Figure 4.3-15: I-69 Section 6 Floodplains4.3-29
 Figure 4.3-16: Old Field4.3-31
 Figure 4.3-17: Early- to Mid-Successional Forest4.3-31
 Figure 4.3-18: Forest Fragment4.3-32
 Figure 4.3-19: Mesic Upland Forest4.3-32
 Figure 4.3-20: Managed Lands in I-69 Section 64.3-39

Section 4-4

4.4 Cultural Resources4.4-1
 4.4.1 Cultural Overview4.4-1
 4.4.2 Aboveground Properties4.4-2
 4.4.3 Archaeological Resources4.4-12

SECTION 4-4 LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.4-1: NRHP-Listed and NHRP-Eligible Aboveground Properties within the APE4.4-7
 Table 4.4-2: Previously Recorded Potentially Eligible Archaeological Sites in the I-69 Section 6 Study Area4.4-13

SECTION 4-4 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.4-1: Map A of the APE showing NRHP-Listed and NRHP-Eligible Resources4.4-8
 Figure 4.4-2: Map B of the APE showing NRHP-Listed and NRHP-Eligible Resources4.4-9
 Figure 4.4-3: Map C of the APE showing NRHP-Listed and NRHP-Eligible Resources4.4-10



Figure 4.4-4: Map D of the APE showing NRHP-Listed and NRHP-Eligible Resources4.4-11

Section 4-5

4.5 Properties of Environmental Concern4.5-1
4.5.1 Introduction.....4.5-1
4.5.2 Methodology4.5-1
4.5.3 Properties with a REC4.5-4

SECTION 4-5 LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.5-1: I-69 Section 6 Properties of Environmental Concern within the Study Area.....4.5-4

SECTION 4-5 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.5-1: Properties of Concern in I-69 Section 6 Corridor north of Stones Crossing4.5-9
Figure 4.5-2: Properties of Concern in I-69 Section 6 Corridor north of Henderson Ford Road.....4.5-10
Figure 4.5-3: Properties of Concern in I-69 Section 6 Corridor north of I-69 Section 54.5-11

Section 4-6

4.6 Air Quality4.6-1
4.6.1 Introduction.....4.6-1

Section 4-7

4.7 Highway Noise.....4.7-1

SECTION 4-7 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.7-1: Common Outdoor and Indoor Sound Levels.....4.7-1
Figure 4.7-2: Noise Measurement Locations4.7-2

Chapter 5

Section 5-1

5.1 Introduction and Methodology.....5.1-1
5.1.1 Overview of Tier 1 Methodology5.1-1
5.1.2 Overview of Tier 2 Methodology5.1-3
5.1.3 Tier 1 and Tier 2 Impact Evaluation Comparison.....5.1-5

SECTION 5-1 LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.1-1: Tier 1 and Tier 2 Impact Evaluation Methodologies5.1-5

SECTION 5-1 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 5.1-1: Study Band, Corridor, Working Alignment (Tier 15.1-2

Section 5-2

5.2 Social Impacts5.2-1
5.2.1 Introduction.....5.2-1
5.2.2 Methodology5.2-2
5.2.3 Potential Relocations.....5.2-4
5.2.4 Availability of Replacement Housing5.2-9
5.2.5 Availability of Commercial and Institutional Property5.2-11



Section 6—Final Environmental Impact Statement

5.2.6 Neighborhoods5.2-11
 5.2.7 Community Cohesion5.2-12
 5.2.8 Unique Relocation Situations.....5.2-13
 5.2.9 Mitigation5.2-17
 5.2.10 Summary5.2-18

SECTION 5-2 LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.2-1: Residential Relocations.....5.2-4
 Table 5.2-2: Business and Institution Relocations.....5.2-7
 Table 5.2-3: Residences Available for Sale and Lease in I-69 Section 6 Project Area5.2-10
 Table 5.2-4: Martinsville Area Long-Term Care Facility Replacement Housing Options5.2-15
 Table 5.2-5: Estimated Residential, Business, and Institutional Relocations by Alternative.....5.2-19

SECTION 5-2 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 5.2-1: Aspen Lakes Apartments Community5.2-5
 Figure 5.2-2: Grandview Health and Rehabilitation Center.....5.2-6
 Figure 5.2-3: Martinsville Baptist Tabernacle Church and School5.2-8
 Figure 5.2-4: Waverly Branch of Morgan County Public Library5.2-8
 Figure 5.2-5: White River Township Fire Department Fire Station #53.....5.2-9
 Figure 5.2-6: Martinsville Evening Lions Club.....5.2-9
 Figure 5.2-7: Rainbow Child Care Center5.2-17

Section 5-3

5.3 Land Use and Community Impacts5.3-1
 5.3.1 Introduction5.3-1
 5.3.2 Methodology5.3-2
 5.3.3 Land Use and Zoning5.3-3
 5.3.4 Travel Patterns and Accessibility5.3-7
 5.3.5 Community Facilities and Services5.3-7
 5.3.6 Mitigation5.3-23
 5.3.7 Summary5.3-25

SECTION 5-3 LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.3-1: Direct and Indirect Land Use Impacts by Alternative5.3-5

Section 5-4

5.4 Farmland.....5.4-1
 5.4.1 Methodology5.4-2
 5.4.2 Analysis5.4-6
 5.4.3 Indirect Impacts5.4-11
 5.4.4 Mitigation5.4-11
 5.4.5 Summary5.4-11

SECTION 5-4 LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.4-1: Production of Principal Crops, 2013-2015 Averages by County5.4-5
 Table 5.4-2: Farmland Conversion Impact Rating for I-69 Section 6 Alternatives5.4-7
 Table 5.4-3: Agricultural Production by County5.4-8
 Table 5.4-4: Estimated Crop Production and Production Loss for Alternatives by County (2010-2011, 2013)5.4-9
 Table 5.4-5: Estimated Annual Crop Production Loss for I-69 Section 6 Alternatives.....5.4-10



Table 5.4-6: Direct Farmland Impacts with I-69 Section 6 Alternatives5.4-10
 Table 5.4-7: Summary of Direct Farmland Impacts with I-69 Section 6 Alternatives5.4-13

Section 5-5

5.5 Economic Impacts5.5-1
 5.5.1 Introduction5.5-1
 5.5.2 Methodology5.5-2
 5.5.3 Analysis5.5-5
 5.5.4 Mitigation5.5-13
 5.5.5 Summary5.5-14

SECTION 5-5 LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.5-1: Year 2045 Average Daily User Costs by Vehicle-Miles Traveled5.5-7
 Table 5.5-2: Year 2045 Average Daily User Costs by Vehicle-Hours Traveled5.5-8
 Table 5.5-3: Year 2045 Annual Crash Costs – Alternatives C1 through C4 and No-Build5.5-8
 Table 5.5-4: Year 2045 Annual Crash Costs – RPA and No-Build5.5-9
 Table 5.5-5: Impacts on Local Property Tax Base5.5-12

Section 5-6

5.6 Traffic Impacts5.6-1
 5.6.1 Introduction5.6-1
 5.6.2 Methodology5.6-3
 5.6.3 Traffic Operation Analysis5.6-5
 5.6.4 Traffic Safety Analysis5.6-16
 5.6.5 Access Analysis5.6-18
 5.6.6 Mitigation5.6-31
 5.6.7 Summary5.6-32

SECTION 5-6 LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.6-1: Forecasted I-69 Section 6 Daily Traffic Volumes and LOS by Alternative5.6-8
 Table 5.6-2: Forecasted I-465 Daily Traffic Volumes and LOS by Alternative5.6-9
 Table 5.6-3: Forecasted Cross Road Daily Traffic Volumes and LOS by Alternative5.6-11
 Table 5.6-4: Forecasted Parallel Road Daily Traffic Volumes and LOS by Alternative5.6-13
 Table 5.6-5: 2045 Predicted Crashes for Alternatives C1-C45.6-16
 Table 5.6-6: 2045 Predicted Crashes for the RPA5.6-17

SECTION 5-6 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 5.6-1: Forecasted 2045 Traffic Volumes, SR 39 to Egbert Road5.6-34
 Figure 5.6-2: Forecasted 2045 Traffic Volumes, Egbert Road to SR 1445.6-35
 Figure 5.6-3: Forecasted 2045 Traffic Volumes, SR 144 to Banta Road5.6-36
 Figure 5.6-4: Forecasted 2045 Traffic Volumes, Banta Road to I-4655.6-37

Section 5-7

5.7 Visual and Aesthetic Impacts5.7-1
 5.7.1 Introduction5.7-1
 5.7.2 Methodology5.7-1
 5.7.3 Analysis5.7-2
 5.7.4 Mitigation5.7-7



5.7.5 Summary 5.7-8

SECTION 5-7 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 5.7-1: View of White River within Study Area 5.7-2
 Figure 5.7-2: View of Urban Martinsville from SR 37 (South Region) 5.7-3
 Figure 5.7-3: View of Martinsville High School from SR 37 (South Region) 5.7-3
 Figure 5.7-4: Typical Agricultural Land along SR 37 (Central Region) 5.7-4
 Figure 5.7-5: Southern Dunes Apartments (North Region) 5.7-4
 Figure 5.7-6: View of Spring Valley Mobile Home Park from SR 37 (South Region) 5.7-5
 Figure 5.7-7: View of First United Methodist Church from SR 37 (Central Region) 5.7-6
 Figure 5.7-8: J&E Tire (North Region) 5.7-6

Section 5-8

5.8 Title VI / Environmental Justice 5.8-1
 5.8.1 Introduction 5.8-1
 5.8.2 Methodology 5.8-3
 5.8.3 Minority and Low-Income Populations 5.8-8
 5.8.4 Targeted Outreach and Surveys 5.8-29
 5.8.5 Altered Travel Patterns and Community Cohesion 5.8-54
 5.8.6 Noise 5.8-55
 5.8.7 Air Quality 5.8-57
 5.8.8 Summary 5.8-58

SECTION 5-8 LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.8-1: Socioeconomic Study Area Population Characteristics - Race (Not Hispanic or Latino) 5.8-10
 Table 5.8-2: Marion County Population Characteristics - Race (not Hispanic or Latino) 5.8-11
 Table 5.8-3: Johnson County Population Characteristics - Race (not Hispanic or Latino) 5.8-13
 Table 5.8-4: Morgan County Population Characteristics - Race (not Hispanic or Latino) 5.8-14
 Table 5.8-5: Socioeconomic Study Area Population Characteristics - Race (Hispanic or Latino) 5.8-16
 Table 5.8-6: Marion County Population Characteristics - Race (Hispanic or Latino) 5.8-17
 Table 5.8-7: Johnson County Population Characteristics - Race (Hispanic or Latino) 5.8-19
 Table 5.8-8: Morgan County Population Characteristics - Race (Hispanic or Latino) 5.8-20
 Table 5.8-9: Socioeconomic Study Area Population Characteristics - Low-Income 5.8-22
 Table 5.8-10: Marion County Population Characteristics - Low-Income 5.8-23
 Table 5.8-11: Johnson County Population Characteristics - Low-Income 5.8-24
 Table 5.8-12: Morgan County Population Characteristics – Low-Income 5.8-25
 Table 5.8-13: Summary of Elevated Minority or Low-Income Populations 5.8-28
 Table 5.8-14: Respondent Home and Vehicle Ownership 5.8-34
 Table 5.8-15: Resident Survey Question 4-1 5.8-35
 Table 5.8-16: Resident Survey Question 4-2 5.8-36
 Table 5.8-17: Resident Survey Question 4-3 5.8-37
 Table 5.8-18: Socioeconomic Study Area - Residential Relocations 5.8-40
 Table 5.8-19: Socioeconomic Study Area - Institutional Relocations 5.8-41
 Table 5.8-20: Socioeconomic Study Area - Business Relocations 5.8-42
 Table 5.8-21: Total Estimated South Corridor Area Relocations 5.8-44
 Table 5.8-22: Total Estimated Central Corridor Area Relocations 5.8-47
 Table 5.8-23: Total Estimated North Corridor Area Relocations 5.8-48
 Table 5.8-24: Socioeconomic Study Area – Noise Impacts 5.8-56



SECTION 5-8 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 5.8-1: Socioeconomic Study Area5.8-5
Figure 5.8-2: Elevated Minority and/or Low-Income Populations - South.....5.8-30
Figure 5.8-3: Elevated Minority and/or Low-Income Populations - Central5.8-31
Figure 5.8-4: Elevated Minority and/or Low-Income Populations - North5.8-32
Figure 5.8-5: Identified Communities in Martinsville.....5.8-45
Figure 5.8-6: Greenwood Mobile Home Park.....5.8-50
Figure 5.8-7: Sunshine Gardens Neighborhood.....5.8-51

Section 5-9

5.9 Air Quality5.9-1
5.9.1 Regulatory Setting5.9-1
5.9.2 Analysis5.9-4
5.9.3 Mitigation5.9-8
5.9.4 Summary5.9-8

SECTION 5-9 LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.9-1: Design Year 2045 Daily VMT5.9-5

Section 5-10

5.10 Highway Noise5.10-1
5.10.1 Regulatory Policy.....5.10-2
5.10.2 Methodology5.10-3
5.10.3 Noise Evaluation of Alternatives C1 through C4.....5.10-7
5.10.4 Noise Evaluation of the Refined Preferred Alternative (RPA)5.10-12
5.10.5 Undeveloped Lands.....5.10-14
5.10.6 Construction Noise5.10-14
5.10.7 Summary5.10-17

SECTION 5-10 LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.10-1: FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria.....5.10-3
Table 5.10-2: Comparison of Measured and Modeled Noise Levels.....5.10-5
Table 5.10-3: Noise Level Impacts by Land Use, Alternatives C1 through C4.....5.10-8
Table 5.10-4: Noise Barrier Analysis Summary, Alternatives C1 through C4.....5.10-10
Table 5.10-5: Noise Level Impacts by Land Use, RPA5.10-12
Table 5.10-6: Noise Barrier Analysis Summary, RPA5.10-15

SECTION 5-10 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 5.10-1: Feasible and Reasonable Noise Barriers, RPA Map 15.10-18
Figure 5.10-2: Feasible and Reasonable Noise Barriers, RPA Map 25.10-19
Figure 5.10-3: Feasible and Reasonable Noise Barriers, RPA Map 35.10-20
Figure 5.10-4: Feasible and Reasonable Noise Barriers, RPA Map 45.10-21
Figure 5.10-5: Feasible and Reasonable Noise Barriers, RPA Map 55.10-22
Figure 5.10-6: Feasible and Reasonable Noise Barriers, RPA Map 65.10-23
Figure 5.10-7: Feasible and Reasonable Noise Barriers, RPA Map 75.10-24
Figure 5.10-8: Reasonable and Feasible Noise Barriers, RPA Map 85.10-25
Figure 5.10-9: Feasible and Reasonable Noise Barriers, RPA Map 95.10-26
Figure 5.10-10: Feasible and Reasonable Noise Barriers, RPA Map 105.10-27



Figure 5.10-11: Feasible and Reasonable Noise Barriers, RPA Map 115.10-28
 Figure 5.10-12: Feasible and Reasonable Noise Barriers, RPA Map 125.10-29
 Figure 5.10-13: Feasible and Reasonable Noise Barriers, RPA Map 135.10-30
 Figure 5.10-14: Feasible and Reasonable Noise Barriers, RPA Map 145.10-31

Section 5-11

5.11 Wild and Scenic Rivers5.11-1
 5.11.1 Introduction5.11-1
 5.11.2 Methodology5.11-2
 5.11.3 Analysis5.11-2
 5.11.4 Mitigation5.11-3
 5.11.5 Summary5.11-3

SECTION 5-11 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 5.11-1: White River and SR 375.11-4
 Figure 5.11-2: White River and I-4655.11-5

Section 5-12

5.12 Construction Impacts5.12-1
 5.12.1 Introduction5.12-1
 5.12.2 Analysis5.12-1
 5.12.3 Mitigation5.12-6
 5.12.4 Summary5.12-10

SECTION 5-12 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 5.12-1: Typical Sediment Basin5.12-3

Section 5-13

5.13 Aboveground Historic Resource Impacts5.13-1
 5.13.1 Introduction5.13-1
 5.13.2 Methodology5.13-2
 5.13.3 Identification and Evaluation of Aboveground Historic Resources5.13-14
 5.13.4 Effects Evaluation5.13-17
 5.13.5 Consultation with the ACHP5.13-22
 5.13.6 Resolution of Adverse Effects – Mitigation5.13-23
 5.13.7 Summary5.13-23

SECTION 5-13 LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.13-1: Modeled Noise Levels for Historic Properties5.13-20
 Table 5.13-2: Eligibility and Effects on NRHP Listed or Eligible Aboveground Properties5.13-21

Section 5-14

5.14 Archaeology Impacts5.14-1
 5.14.1 Introduction5.14-1
 5.14.2 Methodology5.14-2
 5.14.3 Summary of Archaeological Resources5.14-6
 5.14.4 Mitigation5.14-16
 5.14.5 Summary5.14-17



SECTION 5-14 LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.14-1: Phases of Archaeological Research in Section 6.....5.14-4

Table 5.14-2: Summary of Archaeological Sites Investigated.....5.14-8

Section 5-15

5.15 Mineral Resource Impacts5.15-1

 5.15.1 Methodology.....5.15-1

 5.15.2 Analysis.....5.15-2

 5.15.3 Mitigation.....5.15-10

 5.15.4 Summary.....5.15-11

SECTION 5-15 LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.15-1: Mineral Resources Potentially in Right of Way of Alternatives.....5.15-12

SECTION 5-15 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 5.15-1: Mineral Resources in the I-69 Section 6 Project Area.....5.15-3

Figure 5.15-2: Entrance to Hanson Aggregates Harding Street Quarry5.15-4

Figure 5.15-3: I-69 Section 6 Mineral Resources near I-465.....5.15-5

Figure 5.15-4: Entrance to Martin Marietta Aggregates5.15-7

Figure 5.15-5: Irving Materials and Riverdale Farms Sand and Gravel Operations5.15-9

Figure 5.15-6: JW Jones Gravel Pit5.15-10

Section 5-16

5.16 Properties of Environmental Concern5.16-1

 5.16.1 Introduction.....5.16-1

 5.16.2 Analysis.....5.16-2

 5.16.3 Recommendations.....5.16-58

 5.16.4 Summary.....5.16-61

SECTION 5-16 LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.16-1: Summary of Properties of Environmental Concern5.16-61

SECTION 5-16 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 5.16-1: Property of Concern No. 4 – Stoops / Overland Express.....5.16-4

Figure 5.16-2: Property of Concern No. 5 – Marathon Bulk #27345.16-6

Figure 5.16-3: Property of Concern No. 6 – Flying J Travel Plaza5.16-8

Figure 5.16-4: Property of Concern No. 7 – Bud’s Service / Mr. Fuel5.16-10

Figure 5.16-5: Property of Concern No. 8 – Pilot Travel Center.....5.16-11

Figure 5.16-6: Property of Concern No. 9 – Dollar Inn / Berns Transportation.....5.16-13

Figure 5.16-7: Property of Concern No. 14 – Venture Logistics / Oliver Trucking / Penske Truck Leasing.....5.16-14

Figure 5.16-8: Property of Concern No. 15 – Linde / BOC Gases.....5.16-16

Figure 5.16-9: Property of Concern No. 18 – RH Marlin5.16-18

Figure 5.16-10: Property of Concern No. 21 – Ricker’s 876 / Amoco.....5.16-20

Figure 5.16-11: Property of Concern No. 22 – Tuchman Cleaners / Marathon5.16-22

Figure 5.16-12: Property of Concern No. 23 - Speedway5.16-23

Figure 5.16-13: Property of Concern No. 24 - Amoco5.16-25

Figure 5.16-14: Property of Concern No. 25 – Johnson Oil Bigfoot / Shell / Circle K.....5.16-27

Figure 5.16-15: Property of Concern No. 27 – Marathon Gas.....5.16-28

Figure 5.16-16: Property of Concern No. 32 – Bigfoot 165.16-30



Figure 5.16-17: Property of Concern No.28 – Tim Wilson Chevrolet Buick.....5.16-31
 Figure 5.16-18: Property of Concern No. 33 – Village Pantry #4045.16-33
 Figure 5.16-19: Property of Concern No. 35 – Martinsville Citgo5.16-34
 Figure 5.16-20: Property of Concern No. 36 – Murphy USA5.16-36
 Figure 5.16-21: Property of Concern No. 38 – Turkey Hill #6005.16-37
 Figure 5.16-22: Property of Concern No. 39 – Garry’s Marathon.....5.16-39
 Figure 5.16-23: Property of Concern No. 41 – K-Mart #3790.....5.16-41
 Figure 5.16-24: Property of Concern No. 46 – Tim Wilson Chevrolet Buick.....5.16-42
 Figure 5.16-25: Property of Concern No. 48 – Circle K #545.16-44
 Figure 5.16-26: Property of Concern No. 2 – Hanson Aggregates / Milestone / E&B Paving5.16-46
 Figure 5.16-27: Property of Concern No. 16 – Circle City Tank Wash / Smith Tank Cleaning.....5.16-48
 Figure 5.16-28: Property of Concern No. 20 – L & E Engineering5.16-49
 Figure 5.16-29: Property Concern No. 31 – IU Health Morgan County Hospital5.16-51
 Figure 5.16-30: Property of Concern No. 1 – WR Beach / Affordable Auto & Towing.....5.16-53
 Figure 5.16-31: Property of Concern No. 3 – Swift Auto Service / Motsinger Auto5.16-54
 Figure 5.16-32: Property of Concern No. 17 – Thompson Road Dump5.16-56
 Figure 5.16-33: Property of Concern No. 44 – Laundry Basket and Tanning Center.....5.16-57

Section 5-17

5.17 Bald Eagles, Federal and State Threatened and Endangered Species5.17-1
 5.17.1 Introduction.....5.17-1
 5.17.2 Methodology.....5.17-8
 5.17.3 Analysis5.17-11
 5.17.4 Mitigation5.17-25
 5.17.5 Summary.....5.17-36

SECTION 5-17 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 5.17-1: Indiana Bat (*Myotis sodalis*)5.17-15
 Figure 5.17-2: Northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*)5.17-17
 Figure 5.17-3: Rusty Patched Bumble Bee (*Bombus affinis*). Photo from Caroline Hlohowskyj5.17-18
 Figure 5.17-4: Eastern Fanshell Mussel (*Cyprogenia stegaria*)5.17-19
 Figure 5.17-5: Bald Eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*)5.17-20

Section 5-18

5.18 Wildlife Considerations5.18-1
 5.18.1 Introduction.....5.18-1
 5.18.2 Methodology.....5.18-3
 5.18.3 Analysis5.18-9
 5.18.4 Mitigation5.18-15
 5.18.5 Summary.....5.18-16

SECTION 5-18 LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.18-1: Potential Wildlife Habitat Impacts, by Alternative5.18-10

Section 5-19

5.19 Water Resources5.19-1
 5.19.1 Surface Water Types.....5.19-1
 5.19.2 Surface Water Resource Methodology.....5.19-3



5.19.3 Surface Water Analysis5.19-6

5.19.4 Groundwater.....5.19-34

5.19.5 Mitigation5.19-38

5.19.6 Summary5.19-45

SECTION 5-19 LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.19-1: Wetlands in I-69 Section 6 Field Survey Study Area.....5.19-7

Table 5.19-2: Wetland Impacts by Wetland Type and Alternative.....5.19-10

Table 5.19-3: Emergent Wetlands within the Right of Way of Alternatives C1 through C4 and the RPA5.19-11

Table 5.19-4: Scrub-Shrub Wetlands within the Right of Way of Alternatives C1 through C4 and the RPA5.19-12

Table 5.19-5: Forested Wetlands within the Right of Way of Alternatives C1 through C4 and the RPA5.19-13

Table 5.19-6: Open Waters within the Right of Way of Alternatives C1 through C4 and the RPA5.19-13

Table 5.19-7: InWRAP Evaluation Ratings5.19-15

Table 5.19-8: Stream and Riparian Corridor Impacts.....5.19-18

Table 5.19-9: Stream Impacts by Type5.19-20

Table 5.19-10: Stream Impacts by Stream Hydrologic Regime.....5.19-22

Table 5.19-11: Stream Impacts by Weighted Habitat Evaluation Score.....5.19-22

Table 5.19-12: Stream Relocations by Type5.19-23

Table 5.19-13: Riparian Habitat Impacts (Acres) by Alternative.....5.19-24

Table 5.19-14: Floodplain Impacts5.19-31

Table 5.19-15: Groundwater Wells, Water Withdraw Facilities, and Wellhead Protection Areas5.19-37

Table 5.19-16: Acres of Wetland Mitigation5.19-39

Table 5.19-17: Open Water Impacts5.19-40

Table 5.19-18: Mitigation for Stream Impacts5.19-41

Table 5.19-19: Summary of Impacts to Surface Waters, by Alternative5.19-47

Section 5-20

5.20 Forest Impacts.....5.20-1

5.20.1 Introduction.....5.20-1

5.20.2 Methodology5.20-1

5.20.3 Analysis5.20-4

5.20.4 Mitigation5.20-18

5.20.5 Summary5.20-20

SECTION 5-20 LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.20-1: Forest Types and Associated Plant Species in the I-69 Section 6 Corridor5.20-5

Table 5.20-2: Potential Direct Impacts to Forested Areas, by Alternative5.20-7

Table 5.20-3: Wetland Impacts in Forested Areas (Forested Wetlands).....5.20-16

Table 5.20-4: Summary of Impacts to Core Forest Habitat5.20-17

Table 5.20-5: Summary of Forest Impacts and Potential Mitigation, by Forest Classification and Alternative5.20-20

SECTION 5-20 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 5.20-1: Forest Fragmentation.....5.20-2

Figure 5.20-2: Diagram of Core Forest Habitat5.20-3

Figure 5.20-3: Impacts to Core Forest F0205.20-21

Figure 5.20-4: Impacts to Core Forest F0425.20-22

Figure 5.20-5: Impacts to Core Forest F0585.20-23

Figure 5.20-6: Impacts to Core Forest F0655.20-24



Figure 5.20-7: Impacts to Core Forest F0765.20-25

Section 5-21

5.21 Karst Impacts5.21-1
 5.21.1 Introduction5.21-1
 5.21.2 Methodology5.21-1
 5.21.3 Analysis5.21-1
 5.21.4 Mitigation5.21-1
 5.21.5 Summary5.21-2

Section 5-22

5.22 Managed Lands and Natural Areas5.22-1
 5.22.1 Introduction5.22-1
 5.22.2 Methodology5.22-2
 5.22.3 Analysis5.22-2
 5.22.4 Mitigation5.22-6
 5.22.5 Summary5.22-6

SECTION 5-22 LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.22-1: Summary of Managed Land Impacts within I-69 Section 65.22-3

SECTION 5-22 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 5.22-1: I-69 Section 6 Alternatives and Cikana State Fish Hatchery5.22-4

Section 5-23

5.23 Permits5.23-1
 5.23.1 Introduction5.23-1
 5.23.2 Section 404 Permit5.23-2
 5.23.3 Section 9 and 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act5.23-3
 5.23.4 Section 401 Water Quality Certification5.23-4
 5.23.5 Isolated Wetlands5.23-4
 5.23.6 Construction in a Floodway Permit5.23-5
 5.23.7 National Pollution Discharge Elimination System Permit5.23-6
 5.23.8 Tall-Structure Permit/FAA Permit5.23-7

SECTION 5-23 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 5.23-1: Typical Wetland Subject to Regulation5.23-3

Section 5-24

5.24 Indirect and Cumulative Impacts5.24-1
 5.24.1 Introduction5.24-1
 5.24.2 Methodology5.24-2
 5.24.3 Analysis5.24-5
 5.24.4 Summary5.24-59

SECTION 5-24 LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.24-1: Percentages to Apply Growth to Non-Developed Land5.24-5
 Table 5.24-2: Amount of Land Cover Types in Induced Growth TAZs5.24-6



Table 5.24-3: Acres of Land Use Type Potentially Converted with I-69 Section 6 Induced Growth, by Alternative 5.24-7

Table 5.24-4: Induced Land Use Changes by Alternative5.24-17

Table 5.24-5: Other Projected Growth in I-69 Section 6 Geographic Scope (No-Build Scenario).....5.24-24

Table 5.24-6: Land Use Changes by 2045 for the No-Build Scenario.....5.24-25

Table 5.24-7: INWRAP Evaluation Ratings for the 67 Wetland Polygons5.24-36

Table 5.24-8: Impacts of I-69 Section 6 and Other Major Projects, by Alternative5.24-42

Table 5.24-9: Acres of Cumulative Land Use Changes to Agricultural Land.....5.24-44

Table 5.24-10: Acres of Cumulative Land Use Changes to Upland Forest5.24-50

SECTION 5-24 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 5.24-1: Land Use Changes Year 2045 No-Build Growth - Hendricks and Morgan Counties5.24-10

Figure 5.24-2: Land Use Changes Year 2045 No-Build Growth - Marion and Johnson Counties.....5.24-11

Figure 5.24-3: Indirect Land Use Changes 2045 Growth, C1, C3, C4, RPA - Hendricks and Morgan Counties..5.24-12

Figure 5.24-4: Indirect Land Use Changes 2045 Growth, C1, C3, C4, RPA - Marion and Johnson Counties5.24-13

Figure 5.24-5: Indirect Land Use Changes 2045 Growth, C2 – Hendricks and Morgan Counties5.24-14

Figure 5.24-6: Indirect Land Use Changes 2045 Growth, C2 – Marion and Johnson Counties5.24-15

Figure 5.24-7: Land in Farms by County - History and Trends.....5.24-29

Figure 5.24-8: Land in Forest - Hendricks, Johnson, Marion, and Morgan Counties5.24-31

Section 5-25

5.25 Energy Impacts.....5.25-1

5.25.1 Introduction.....5.25-1

5.25.2 Methodology.....5.25-1

5.25.3 Analysis5.25-2

5.25.4 Summary.....5.25-4

SECTION 5-25 LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.25-1: Energy Consumption in the Year 2045 by County5.25-3

Section 5-26

5.26 Short-Term Uses versus Long-Term Productivity5.26-1

Section 5-27

5.27 Irreversible or Irretrievable Commitment of Resources.....5.27-1

Chapter 6

CHAPTER 6 – COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES.....6-1

6.1 Introduction6-2

6.1.1 Process for Evaluating Alternatives6-2

6.1.2 Subsections and Decision Areas6-3

6.2 Alternatives Descriptions6-5

6.2.1 Mainline Options.....6-6

6.2.2 Interchanges and Local Service Roads6-9

6.3 Alternatives Evaluation (DEIS).....6-9

6.3.1 Evaluation of Mainline Options6-10

6.3.2 Evaluation of Interchanges and Local Service Roads6-21



Section 6—Final Environmental Impact Statement

6.3.3	Overall Impacts of Alternatives	6-100
6.3.4	Overall Cost of Alternatives	6-103
6.3.5	DEIS Preferred Alternative (Alternative C4)	6-105
6.4	Refined Preferred Alternative (RPA) Evaluation	6-108
6.4.1	RPA Impact Changes by Subsection.....	6-108
6.4.2	Overall Impacts of the RPA compared with Alternative C4.....	6-121
6.4.3	Overall Cost of the RPA compared with Alternative C4.....	6-124
6.4.4	FEIS Preferred Alternative (The RPA).....	6-126
6.5	RPA Costs and Impacts Compared with Tier 1 Estimates	6-126
6.5.1	Project Cost.....	6-127
6.5.2	Right of Way and Relocations	6-128
6.5.3	Environmental Impacts	6-129
6.6	Summary	6-130

CHAPTER 6 LIST OF TABLES

Table 6-1:	Mainline Impacts, Subsection 1: Indian Creek to SR 39	6-13
Table 6-2:	Mainline Options, Subsection 2: SR 39 to Morgan St/Twin Branch Rd.....	6-14
Table 6-3:	Mainline Options, Subsection 3: Morgan St to Henderson Ford Rd.....	6-15
Table 6-4:	Mainline Options, Subsection 4: Henderson Ford Road to Banta Road	6-16
Table 6-5:	Mainline Options, Subsection 5: Banta Road to Fairview Road	6-17
Table 6-6:	Mainline Options, Subsection 6: Fairview Road to Wicker Road	6-17
Table 6-7:	Subsection 7: Mainline Options, Wicker Road to Banta Road (Marion Co).....	6-19
Table 6-8:	Subsection 8: Banta Road to and including I-465	6-19
Table 6-9:	Right of Way and Relocations of Mainline Options	6-20
Table 6-10:	Environmental Impacts of Mainline Options	6-21
Table 6-11:	Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 1-1: SR 39 Interchange	6-24
Table 6-12:	Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 1-2: Jordan Road.....	6-26
Table 6-13:	Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 1-3: Rogers Road	6-28
Table 6-14:	Environmental Impacts, Subsection 1: Indian Creek to SR 39 (DEIS)	6-29
Table 6-15:	Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 2-1: Burton Lane.....	6-32
Table 6-16:	Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 2-2: Ohio Street	6-34
Table 6-17:	Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 2-3: Grand Valley Boulevard	6-37
Table 6-18:	Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 2-4: SR 252 and SR 44 Interchange.....	6-40
Table 6-19:	Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 2-5: Twin Branch Rd/Cikana State Fish Hatchery	6-43
Table 6-20:	Environmental Impacts, Subsection 2; SR 39 to Morgan Street (DEIS).....	6-44
Table 6-21:	Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 3-1: Morgan Street and Myra Lane.....	6-48
Table 6-22:	Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 3-2: Egbert Road Grade Separation	6-50
Table 6-23:	Environmental Impacts, Subsection 3: Morgan Street to Henderson Ford Road (DEIS)	6-51
Table 6-24:	Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 4-1: Henderson Ford Road Interchange	6-56
Table 6-25:	Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 4-2: New Harmony Road	6-59
Table 6-26:	Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 4-3: Perry Road	6-61
Table 6-27:	Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 4-4: Waverly Road or Whiteland Road	6-62
Table 6-28:	Environmental Impacts, Subsection 4: Henderson Ford Road to Banta Road (DEIS)	6-63
Table 6-29:	Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 5-1: SR 144 Interchange	6-67
Table 6-30:	Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 5-2: West Local Service Road/Olive Branch Rd	6-70
Table 6-31:	Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 5-3/5-5: Smith Valley Rd + Wakefield Rd	6-73
Table 6-32:	Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 5-4: West Local Service Rd/Fairview Rd	6-75



I-69 EVANSVILLE TO INDIANAPOLIS TIER 2 STUDIES

Section 6—Final Environmental Impact Statement

Table 6-33: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 5: Banta Road to Fairview Road (DEIS).....	6-77
Table 6-34: Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 6-1: County Line Road Interchange	6-81
Table 6-35: Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 6-2: West Local Service Road	6-83
Table 6-36: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 6: Fairview Road to Wicker Road (DEIS)	6-84
Table 6-37: Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 7-1: Southport Road Interchange Layout.....	6-90
Table 6-38: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 7: Wicker Road to Banta Road (DEIS)	6-91
Table 6-39: Environmental Impacts, Decision Area 8-1: I-69/I-465 Interchange Layout	6-98
Table 6-40: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 8: Banta Road to I-465 (DEIS)	6-101
Table 6-41: Total End-to-End Impacts by Alternative (DEIS) ¹	6-102
Table 6-42: Estimated Cost by Cost Item (\$ Millions)* for Alternatives C1 through C4 (DEIS)	6-104
Table 6-43: Estimated Cost by Subsection (\$ Millions)* for Alternatives C1 through C4 (DEIS).....	6-104
Table 6-44: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 1: Indian Creek to SR 39.....	6-109
Table 6-45: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 2: SR 39 to Morgan Street	6-111
Table 6-46: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 3: Morgan St to Henderson Ford Rd	6-112
Table 6-47: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 4: Henderson Ford Rd to Banta Rd.....	6-114
Table 6-48: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 5: Banta Rd to Fairview Rd	6-116
Table 6-49: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 6: Fairview Road to Wicker Road.....	6-118
Table 6-50: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 7: Wicker Road to Banta Road.....	6-120
Table 6-51: Environmental Impacts, Subsection 8: Banta Road to I-465	6-121
Table 6-52: Total End-to-End Impacts of Alternative C4 and the RPA.....	6-122
Table 6-53: Estimated Cost by Cost Item (\$ Millions) for Alternative C4 and the RPA.....	6-124
Table 6-54: Estimated Cost by Subsection (\$ Millions) for Alternative C4 and the RPA	6-125
Table 6-55: Comparison of I-69 Section 6 Tier 1 and RPA Impacts.....	6-127

CHAPTER 6 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 6-1: Typical Freeway Retaining Wall.....	6-8
Figure 6-2: Elevated Freeway with Local Underpass – Mainline Option M1	6-8
Figure 6-3: Decision Area 1-1 Configurations	6-22
Figure 6-4: Decision Area Locations - Subsections 1 and 2.....	6-23
Figure 6-5: Decision Area 1-2 Configurations	6-26
Figure 6-6: Decision Area 1-3 Configurations	6-28
Figure 6-7: Decision Area Locations - Subsection 2	6-31
Figure 6-8: Decision Area 2-1 Configurations	6-32
Figure 6-9: Decision Area 2-2 Configurations	6-34
Figure 6-10: Decision Area 2-3 Configurations	6-36
Figure 6-11: Decision Area 2-4 Configurations	6-39
Figure 6-12: Kristi Road Intersection.....	6-40
Figure 6-13: Decision Area 2-5 Configurations	6-42
Figure 6-14: Decision Area Locations - Subsection 3	6-45
Figure 6-15: Decision Area 3-1 Configurations at Morgan Street.....	6-46
Figure 6-16: Decision Area 3-1 Configurations at Myra Lane	6-47
Figure 6-17: Decision Area 3-2 Configurations	6-49
Figure 6-18: Decision Area 4-1 Configurations	6-52
Figure 6-19: Decision Area Locations - Subsection 4 (Part 1)	6-53
Figure 6-20: Decision Area Locations - Subsection 4 (Part 2)	6-54
Figure 6-21: Decision Area Locations - Subsections 4 and 5.....	6-55
Figure 6-22: Decision Area 4-2 Configurations	6-58
Figure 6-23: Decision Area 4-3 Configurations	6-60



Figure 6-24: Decision Area 4-4 Configurations 6-62

Figure 6-25: Decision Area Locations - Subsection 5 6-65

Figure 6-26: Decision Area Locations - Subsections 5 and 6..... 6-66

Figure 6-27: Decision Area 5-1 Configurations 6-67

Figure 6-28: Decision Area 5-2 Configurations 6-69

Figure 6-29: Decision Area 5-2 at Stones Crossing Road..... 6-70

Figure 6-30: Decision Areas 5-3 and 5-5 Configurations – C1, C2 6-72

Figure 6-31: Decision Areas 5-3 and 5-5 Configurations – C3, C4 6-73

Figure 6-32: Decision Area 5-4 Configurations 6-76

Figure 6-33: Decision Area Locations - Subsections 5 and 6..... 6-79

Figure 6-34: Decision Area 6-1 Configurations 6-80

Figure 6-35: Decision Area 6-2 Configurations 6-82

Figure 6-36: Decision Area Locations - Subsections 7 and 8..... 6-85

Figure 6-37: Decision Area 7-1 Configurations 6-87

Figure 6-38: Decision Area Locations - Subsection 8 (Part 1) 6-93

Figure 6-39: Decision Area Locations - Subsection 8 (Part 2) 6-94

Figure 6-40: Decision Area Locations - Subsection 8 (Part 3) 6-95

Figure 6-41: Decision Area 8-1 Configurations 6-96

Figure 6-42: Decision Area 8-1 Near Southside German Market Gardeners District 6-97

Figure 6-43: The RPA in Martinsville..... 6-110

Figure 6-44: The RPA from SR 144 to Stones Crossing Road 6-115

Figure 6-45: The RPA at Southport Road 6-119

Chapter 7

CHAPTER 7 – MITIGATION AND COMMITMENTS 7-1

7.1 Introduction 7-1

7.2 Major Mitigation Initiatives..... 7-2

 7.2.1 Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS)/Community Advisory Committees (CAC). 7-2

 7.2.2 Indiana Bat Hibernacula 7-4

 7.2.3 Wetland Mitigation 7-5

 7.2.4 Forest Mitigation 7-7

 7.2.5 I-69 Community Planning Program..... 7-7

 7.2.6 Update of Aboveground Surveys of Historic Resources 7-8

 7.2.7 Biological Surveys on Wildlife and Plants 7-9

 7.2.8 Southwest Indiana Geographic Information System (GIS) 7-11

 7.2.9 Distance Learning..... 7-12

7.3 I-69 Section 6 Mitigation Measures and Commitments..... 7-12

 7.3.1 Land Use 7-13

 7.3.2 Social and Neighborhood 7-15

 7.3.3 Relocations..... 7-19

 7.3.4 Cemeteries 7-19

 7.3.5 Noise 7-20

 7.3.6 Construction 7-21

 7.3.7 Historic and Archaeological Resources 7-25

 7.3.8 Visual Impacts 7-28



7.3.9 Hazardous Material Impacts 7-29

7.3.10 Floodplain Impacts 7-29

7.3.11 Wetland Impacts 7-29

7.3.12 Farmland Impacts 7-31

7.3.13 Forest Impacts 7-31

7.3.14 Stream and Water Body Modification Impacts 7-32

7.3.15 Ecosystems Impacts 7-34

7.3.16 Water Quality Impacts 7-35

7.3.17 Managed Lands 7-36

7.3.18 Threatened and Endangered Species 7-37

7.4 Environmental Mitigation Costs 7-46

CHAPTER 7 LIST OF TABLES

Table 7-1: Major Mitigation Initiatives 7-3

Table 7-2: Locations and Types of Bicycle and Pedestrian Accommodations 7-17

Table 7-3: Estimated Cost for I-69 Section 6 Mitigation 7-48

Chapter 8

CHAPTER 8 – SECTION 4(F) 8-1

8.1 Introduction 8-1

8.2 Purpose and Need 8-3

8.2.1 Tier 1 8-4

8.2.2 Tier 2 8-5

8.3 Identification of 4(f) Resources 8-5

8.3.1 Parks and Recreation 8-5

8.3.2 Historic Resources 8-8

8.3.3 Properties Not Eligible for Section 4(f) Protection 8-11

8.4 Section 4(f) Use 8-13

8.4.1 Parks and Recreation 8-13

8.4.2 Historic Resources 8-17

8.4.3 Identification of Alternatives 8-19

8.4.4 Consideration of Alternatives 8-20

8.5 Development and Evolution of Alternatives Considered 8-21

8.5.1 Conceptual Alternatives 8-21

8.5.2 Preliminary Alternatives 8-23

8.5.3 Reasonable Alternatives 8-25

8.5.4 Development of Individual Section 4(f) Evaluation Alternatives 8-29

8.6 Comparison of Alternatives 8-37

8.6.1 Feasibility and Prudence of Avoidance Alternatives 8-37

8.6.2 Use of Section 4(f) Properties by Remaining Alternatives 8-38

8.7 Assessment of Least Overall Harm to Section 4(f) Properties 8-39

8.7.1 Mitigate Adverse Impacts 8-39

8.7.2 Relative Severity of the Remaining Harm 8-41

8.7.3 Relative Significance of each Section 4(f) property 8-41



Section 6—Final Environmental Impact Statement

8.7.4 Views of the Officials with Jurisdiction8-42

8.7.5 Purpose and Need.....8-44

8.7.6 Resources not Protected by Section 4(f)8-44

8.7.7 Costs8-45

8.7.8 Summary of Least Overall Harm8-45

8.8 Measures to Minimize Harm8-46

8.9 Coordination8-47

8.10 Section 6(f) Resources8-50

8.11 Summary8-50

CHAPTER 8 LIST OF TABLES

Table 8-1: Parks and Recreation Facilities8-14

Table 8-2: Avoidance Alternatives Developed8-30

Table 8-3: Least Overall Harm Alternatives8-32

Table 8-4: Section 4(f) Direct Impacts by Remaining Least Harm Alternatives8-38

Table 8-5: Section 4(f) Impacts by Remaining Alternative8-46

CHAPTER 8 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 8-1: Section 4(f) Properties8-6

Figure 8-2: Views of 4401 Bluff Road with I-465 in Background8-18

Figure 8-3: Initial Conceptual Alternatives.....8-22

Figure 8-4: Preliminary Alternatives8-24

Figure 8-5: Alternatives C1, C2, and C3 - I-465 at I-69 and SR 378-27

Figure 8-6: Retaining Wall on South Side of I-465, Southside German Market Gardeners Historic District.....8-35

Figure 8-7: Retaining Wall on North Side of I-465, Southside German Market Gardeners Historic District8-35

Figure 8-8: Earthen Slope and Retaining Walls at Southside German Market Gardeners District identified in Alternative C4 and the RPA8-37

Chapter 9..... 9-1

Chapter 10..... 10-1

Chapter 11

CHAPTER 11 – COMMENTS, COORDINATION, AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT 11-1

11.1 Introduction 11-2

11.2 Summary of Comments 11-4

 11.2.1 Comments Prior to the DEIS 11-4

 11.2.2 Comments on the DEIS 11-8

 11.2.3 Comments on the Refined Preferred Alternative 11-11

11.3 Public and Community Outreach 11-12

 11.3.1 Outreach Activities..... 11-13

 11.3.2 Community Advisory Committees (CACs)..... 11-19

 11.3.3 Stakeholder Working Group 11-22



I-69 EVANSVILLE TO INDIANAPOLIS TIER 2 STUDIES

Section 6—Final Environmental Impact Statement

11.3.4	Public Information Meetings and Public Hearings	11-22
11.3.5	Public Information Communication Tools	11-25
11.3.6	Social Media	11-26
11.3.7	Project Office	11-27
11.4	Agency Review and Coordination	11-28
11.4.1	Notice of Intent (NOI).....	11-28
11.4.2	Agency Coordination	11-28
11.5	Summary	11-35

CHAPTER 11 LIST OF TABLES

Table 11-1:	I-69 Section 6 Outreach Activities (as of September 15, 2017).....	11-14
Table 11-2:	I-69 Section 6 Project Office Visits and Public Comments (as of August 31, 2017)	11-27
Table 11-3:	I-69 Section 6 Meetings with Resource Agencies	11-30

CHAPTER 11 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 11-1:	Land Use Panel Meeting.....	11-13
Figure 11-2:	Community Advisory Meeting	11-20
Figure 11-3:	INDOT Briefing Local Media	11-23
Figure 11-4:	Public Information Meeting at Martinsville High School	11-23
Figure 11-5:	Image of I-69 Section 6 Website.....	11-24
Figure 11-6:	Example of I-69 Section 6 Newsletter	11-26
Figure 11-7:	Resource Agency Bus Tour	11-31

Chapter 12..... 12-1

Chapter 13

CHAPTER 13 – GLOSSARY, ACRONYMS, AND INDEX 13-1

13.1	Glossary of Terms	13-1
13.2	Acronyms.....	13-20
13.2.1	Terms	13-20
13.2.2	Agencies and Organizations.....	13-26
13.3	Index.....	13-28



LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A, Business Needs and Church Survey
Appendix B, Community Advisory Committee (CAC)/ Stakeholder Working Group (SWG) Meeting Summaries
Appendix C, Agency Coordination Correspondence
Appendix D, Cost Estimation Methodology
Appendix E, Final Wetland Technical Report
Appendix F, Historical Outreach to Resource Agencies (2004 – 2014)
Appendix G, Hazardous Materials Report
Appendix H, Air Quality Technical Report
Appendix I, Outreach to Schools
Appendix J, NRCS Forms
Appendix K, USDOT Air Quality Guidance
Appendix L, Final Stream Assessment Report
Appendix M, Section 106 Documentation
Appendix N, Indiana Bat Surveys
Appendix O, Correspondence - Government Other Than Resource Agencies
Appendix P, Environmental Justice (EJ)
Appendix Q, Revised Tier 1 Conceptual Forest and Wetland Mitigation Plan and Comparison of Tier 1 Plans
Appendix R, I-69 Planning Grant Program Update
Appendix S, Wetlands Memorandum of Understanding
Appendix T, Final Noise Technical Report
Appendix U, Emergency Responder Coordination
Appendix V, Indirect Impact Analysis
Appendix W, Revised Tier 1 Biological Opinion and Amendments
Appendix X, Public Information Meeting Summaries
Appendix Y, Travel Demand Forecasting
Appendix Z, Fish, Unionid, and Crayfish Report
Appendix AA, Wildlife Corridors Information
Appendix BB, Intentionally Left Blank
Appendix CC, I-69 Tier 2, Section 6 Conceptual Alternatives Evaluation Report, May 18, 2015
Appendix DD, I-69 Tier 2, Section 6 Preliminary Alternatives Selection Report, June 30, 2015
Appendix EE, I-69 Tier 2, Section 6 Preliminary Alternatives Screening Report, March 29, 2016
Appendix FF, Section 4(f) Documentation
Appendix GG1, Redacted Section 6 Tier 2 Biological Assessment

I-69 EVANSVILLE TO INDIANAPOLIS TIER 2 STUDIES

Section 6 – Final Environmental Impact Statement



Appendix GG2, Redacted Section 6 Tier 2 Biological Opinion
Appendix HH, Tier 1 - Tier 2 Impact Comparison
Appendix II, Refined Preferred Alternative Plan & Profile Drawings
Appendix JJ, Level 1 & 2 Design Exceptions (as needed)
Appendix KK, Construction Sequencing/Prioritization