Categorical Exclusion Appendix F Water Resources



INdiana Floodplain Information Portal



DOW Home | About Us | FEMA Map Service Center | FloodSmart.gov | Contact Us

Copyright 2018

https://dnrmaps.dnr.in.gov/appsphp/fdms/

SR 66 INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT AT EPWORTH ROAD VANDERBURGH & WARRICK COUNTY, IN

December 29, 2021 Waters of the U.S. Report Prepared by: Peter Putzier

Des. No.: 1400195 Contract No.: R-39921

Approved 12.30.2021 by: Maryssa Cngstrom



Lochmueller Group, Inc. 6200 Vogel Road Evansville, Indiana 47715 Phone: 812.479.6200

Appendix F: Water Resources

Waters of the U.S. Report SR 66 Intersection Improvement at Epworth Road Des. No. 1400195

Date(s) of Field Reconnaissance

August 10 and 11, 2021

Location

The project is located along State Road (SR) 66 in Vanderburgh and Warrick County, Indiana approximately 6.5 miles east of downtown Evansville (Page A1).

- Knight Township, Vanderburgh County and Ohio Township, Warrick County, Indiana
- Sections 19, 20, 29 and 30, Township 6 South, Range 9 West
- Newburgh 1:24,000 United States Geological Survey (USGS) Quadrangle (Pages A2 & A3)
- Latitude / Longitude: 37.976823° N / -87.444323° W

Project Description

The proposed project is located along SR 66 between the I-69 and SR 66 interchange and Grimm Road. The proposed project will eliminate left turning movements from the mainline (SR 66) to increase the capacity of the intersection. Designs under consideration include using displaced left turns in both directions or a hybrid displaced left turn (westbound) and boulevard left (eastbound). Approximately 0.02 acre of tree clearing is anticipated.

The Waters of the U.S. (WOTUS) investigation survey area limits were defined as approximately 1.03 miles in length along SR 66 including the east half of the I-69 / SR 66 cloverleaf interchange and extending nearly to the west side of Grimm Rd. The survey area limits extend 993 feet north and 886 feet south of SR 66 on Epworth Road and 133 feet north and south of the SR 66 centerline along SR 66. The landscape surrounding the survey area is predominantly commercial properties, residential apartments, and agricultural fields.

Soils

According to the Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO) Database dated June 2020 for Warrick and Vanderburgh Counties, Indiana, the survey area contains nationally listed hydric soils (Page A4). The Evansville silt loam is listed as 100% hydric.

Soil Name	Мар	Hydric Range
	Abbreviation	
Alford silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded	AfB2	Nonhydric (0%)
Alford silt loam, 5 to 10 percent slopes, severely	AfC3	Nonhydric (0%)
eroded		
Evansville silt loam	Ev	Hydric (100%)
Henshaw silt loam	Не	Hydric (1 to 32%)
Henshaw silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, rarely	HeA	Predominantly Nonhydric (1 to 32%)
flooded		



Muren silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	MuB2	Nonhydric (0%)
Patton silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Ра	Predominantly Hydric (66 to 99%)
Uniontown silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	UnB2	Nonhydric (0%)
Wakeland silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, frequently flooded	Wa	Predominantly Nonhydric (1 to 32%)

National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) Information

There are three linear, riverine, water features (R2UBHx, R4SBC, and R5UBFx) identified within the survey area (Page A5). The nearest U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) mapped NWI feature beyond the survey area limits is an unconsolidated bottom pond (PUBGx) located 46 feet west of the survey area south of SR 66 on Epworth Road.

Wetland Type	Description	Location
R2UBHx	Riverine, lower perennial, unconsolidated	Within survey area near cloverleaf.
	bottom, permanently flooded, excavated	Not associated with any water features
		identified within survey area (Photo 34,
		35,118, 120).
R4SBC	Riverine, intermittent streambed, seasonally	Within survey area along Epworth Road.
	flooded	Associated with UNT 1 to Howard Ditch
		(Photos 67, 68, 71).
R5UBFx	Riverine, unknown perennial, unconsolidated	Mapped within survey area near cloverleaf.
	bottom, semi permanently flooded, excavated	Associated with Relocated Howard Ditch
		(Photo 10, 49, 119).
PUBGx	Palustrine, unconsolidated bottom,	Open Water Area located 46 feet west of
	intermittently exposed, excavated	survey area on Epworth Road (Photo 88).

12-Digit HUC (Hydrologic Unit Code)

The SR 66 Intersection Improvement at Epworth Road is within the 051402020204 12-Digit HUC (Barnes Ditch-Pigeon Creek) (Page A2). The watershed for UNT 1 to Howard Ditch was determined to be 0.10 square mile using USGS *StreamStats* (<u>https://water.usgs.gov/osw/streamstats</u>). The watershed area for UNT 2 to Howard Ditch is within the watershed for UNT 1 to Howard Ditch. (Page A6).

FEMA Floodway/Floodplain

The Federal Emergency Management (FEMA) Flood Map Service Center (<u>https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home</u>) and the Indiana Floodplain Information Portal (<u>https://dnrmaps.dnr.in.gov/appsphp/fdms/</u>) Best Available Flood Zone data indicates portions of the survey area are mapped within IDNR Zone A/AE and IDNR Additional Floodplain Area (Page A7).

Attached Documents

- Location Map
- USGS Topographic Map (1:24,000)
- USGS Topographic Map (1:12,000)
- USDA SSURGO Soils Map



Note: A portion of the attachments have been removed to avoid duplication and reduce file size.

- USFWS NWI Project Map
- StreamStats Watershed Map
- Best Available Flood Hazard Map
- Water Resources Maps
- Photo Location Maps and Project Survey Photos
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Wetland Determination Data Forms
- USACE Pre-Jurisdictional Determination Form
- State Regulated Wetland Class Determination Worksheets Note: These worksheets were removed during the

Field Reconnaissance

Note: These worksheets were removed during the review process and were not included in the final approved Waters of the U.S. Report.

This field survey was conducted within the growing season. Wetland boundaries were determined using aerial photography and field mapping. For those linear features that displayed bed and bank, the ordinary high-water mark (OHWM) width and depth were measured at the maximum dimension observed beyond the influence of bridge and culvert structures. OHWM measurements were also documented for any stream features observed in the field that were not included as blue-line or NHD features.

Stream Feature(s)

The USGS Newburgh 1:24,000 topographic quadrangle includes two blue-line stream features within the survey area for the SR 66 Intersection Improvement at Epworth Road (Pages A2 and A3). Howard Ditch has been relocated from its original position, as it appears on the USGS map, into a new channel and two 12.5-foot diameter culverts located approximately 375 feet east as identified on Water Resource Map 1 (Page A8; Photo 10 and 49 on Pages A20 and A27). Photographs 32, 34, 35, 118, and 120 (Pages A24, A38) indicate surface conditions at the USGS mapped location of Howard Ditch showing that no surface features are present. The perennial blue-line stream feature, Howard Ditch, flows south to north through the survey area. The intermittent blue-line stream feature, associated with UNT 1 to Howard Ditch, starts at the northeast corner of the intersection of SR 66 and Epworth Road and flows north along the east side of Epworth Road until it exits the survey area.

The NHD GIS dataset includes twenty-six flow line features within the survey area (Page A7). Several NHD flow line features overlap with more than one mapped water resource. Eleven of the NHD features meet the USACE wetland criteria and are designated as Wetland B, Wetland C, Wetland D, Wetland G, Wetland J, Wetland I, and Wetland L. Six of the NHD features exhibit bed and bank with OHWM and are associated with UNT 2 to Howard Ditch and UNT 1 to Howard Ditch, and Howard Ditch. Three NHD features are associated with RSD2 and RSD3. Four NHD features are associated with either underground connectors (existing culverts) or under existing pavement and were determined not to be water features (Photos 31, 70, 74, 87; Pages A24, A30, A31, A33). Two NHD features are associated with underground connectors (culverts) associated with Howard Creek (Photos 10 and 49, Pages A20 and A27).

Howard Ditch

Howard Ditch is a perennial stream feature that begins south of the survey area and flows north through the survey area. Within the survey area, Howard Ditch is entirely contained within two 12.5-foot diameter culverts. Howard ditch contains water throughout the year and is groundwater fed; therefore,



it is a perennial stream. Approximately 512 linear feet of Howard Ditch is within the survey area; 485 feet of which is within a culvert. The drainage area for Howard Ditch is 1.37 square miles according to USGS *StreamStats.* (https://water.usgs.gov/osw/streamstats/) (Page A6). According to the Indiana Floodplain Information Portal (http://dnrmaps.dnr.in.gov/appsphp/fdms/), there is an "Additional IDNR Floodplain Area; .2 Percent Flood Hazard", associated with the northern end of Howard Ditch in the survey area (Page A7).

Howard Ditch has a medium width streambed with no defined riffles or pools and a silt substrate. The stream is channelized, does not display sinuosity, and has a flat gradient. The OHWM was measured at 24 feet wide and 3.3 feet deep. Photos 10 and 49 (Pages A20 and A27) indicate stream conditions for Howard Ditch. Howard Ditch is considered to exhibit poor quality based on substrate composition and channelization.

Howard Ditch is considered to be a relatively permanent waterway (RPW) with a connection to the Ohio River, a traditionally navigable waterway (TNW), via Pigeon Creek and Brandies Ditch. Howard Ditch meets the definition of a Waters of the U.S. under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act due to its designation as a perennial channel and connection to a traditionally navigable water, the Ohio River, This stream is not subject to USACE jurisdiction under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act.

UNT 1 to Howard Ditch

UNT 1 to Howard Ditch is an intermittent stream feature that begins in the survey area north of SR 66 and flows east towards Epworth Road and then turns and flows north along the east side of Epworth Road beyond the survey area (Page A9). UNT 1 to Howard Ditch is fed, through UNT 2 to Howard Ditch, by overflow from the open water feature south of the survey area and flows for significant periods after rainfall; therefore, it is an intermittent stream. Approximately 1,342 linear feet of UNT 1 to Howard Ditch is within the survey area, 87 linear feet of which is contained within a culvert. The drainage area for UNT 1 to Howard Ditch is 0.10 square miles according to USGS *StreamStats* <u>https://water.usgs.gov/osw/streamstats/</u>) (Page A6). According to the Indiana Floodplain Information Portal (<u>http://dnrmaps.dnr.in.gov/appsphp/fdms/</u>), there is an "Additional IDNR Floodplain Area; .2 Percent Flood Hazard", associated with the northern with Howard Ditch in the survey area (Page A7).

UNT 1 to Howard Ditch has a narrow width streambed with no defined riffles or pools and a silt substrate. The stream is channelized, does not display sinuosity, and has a flat gradient. Riparian vegetation is comprised primarily of floating willow primrose (*Ludwigia peploides*, OBL), tall false rye grass (*Schedonorus arundinaceus*, FACU), common rush (*Juncus effusus*, OBL), and narrow leaf cattail (*Typha angustifolia*, OBL). The OHWM was measured at 2.6 feet wide and 0.2 feet deep. Photos 67 through 73 (Pages A30 and A31) indicate stream and bank conditions for UNT 1 to Howard Ditch. UNT 1 to Howard Ditch is considered to exhibit poor quality based on substrate composition, bankfull width, and channelization.

UNT 1 to Howard Ditch is considered to be a RPW with a connection to the Ohio River, a TNW, via Pigeon Creek, Brandies Ditch, Lockwood Ditch, and Howard Ditch. UNT 1 to Howard Ditch meets the definition of a Waters of the U.S. under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act due to its designation as an



intermittent channel and connection to a traditionally navigable water, the Ohio River. This stream is not subject to USACE jurisdiction under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act.

UNT 2 to Howard Ditch

UNT 2 to Howard Ditch is an intermittent stream feature that begins south of SR 66 and west of Epworth Road at an open water pond outside the survey area and flows north through two culverts under SR 66 and Epworth Road into UNT 1 to Howard Ditch. UNT 2 to Howard Ditch is fed by overflow from the open water feature south of the survey area and flows for significant periods after rainfall; therefore, it is an intermittent stream. Approximately 728 linear feet of the stream is within the survey area, 223 feet of UNT 2 to Howard Ditch is contained within the two culverts. The drainage area for UNT 2 to Howard Ditch is included within the drainage area for UNT 1 to Howard Ditch according to USGS *StreamStats* (https://water.usgs.gov/osw/streamstats/) (Page A6). According to the Indiana Floodplain Information Portal (http://dnrmaps.dnr.in.gov/appsphp/fdms/), there are no mapped floodways or floodplains associated with UNT 2 to Howard Ditch (Page A7).

UNT 2 to Howard Ditch has a narrow width streambed that is predominantly run habitat. The substrate is dominated by silt (80%) and sand (20%). The stream is channelized with a flat gradient and does not display sinuosity. Riparian vegetation is comprised primarily of white clover (*Trifolium repens*, FACU), bermuda grass (*Cynodon dactylon*, FACU), johnson grass (*Sorghum halepense*, FACU), and crab grass (*Digitaria sanguinalis*, FACU). Riprap was observed within the stream and on the banks at the culvert inlet under Epworth Road. The OHWM was measured at 2.58 feet wide and 0.21 feet deep. Photos 58 through 62, and 93 (Page A28, A29, A34) indicate stream and bank conditions for UNT 2 to Howard Ditch. UNT 2 to Howard Ditch is considered to exhibit poor quality based on channelization, substrate composition, and bankfull width.

UNT 2 to Howard Ditch is considered to be a RPW with a connection to the Ohio River, a TNW, via Pigeon Creek, Brandies Ditch, Lockwood Ditch, and Howard Ditch, and UNT 1 to Howard Ditch. UNT 2 to Howard Ditch meets the definition of a Waters of the U.S. under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act due to its designation as an intermittent channel and connection to the Ohio River. This stream is not subject to USACE jurisdiction under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act.

UNT 3 to Howard Ditch

UNT 3 to Howard Ditch is an ephemeral stream feature that begins north of the SR 66 west to I-69 north ramp and flows east beyond the survey area into Howard Ditch. UNT 3 to Howard Ditch receives runoff from the roadway and after rainfall; therefore, it is ephemeral. Approximately 68 linear feet of the stream is within the survey area. The drainage area for UNT 3 to Howard Ditch is within the drainage area of Howard Ditch according to USGS *StreamStats* (http://water.usgs.gov/osw/streamstats/) (Page A6). According to the Indiana Floodplain Information Portal (http://dnrmaps.dnr.in.gov/appsphp/fdms/), there are no mapped floodways or floodplains associated with UNT 3 to Howard Ditch (Page A7).

UNT 3 to Howard Ditch has a narrow width streambed that is predominantly run habitat with silt substrate. The stream displays low sinuosity, and has a flat to moderate gradient. Riparian vegetation is comprised of eastern poison ivy (*Toxicodendron radicans*, FAC), *broadleaf* cattail (*Typha latifolia*, OBL), Johnson grass (*Sorghum halapense*, FACU), green bristlegrass (*Setaria* viridis, UPL), and narrowleaf



plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*, FACU). The OHWM was measured at 3.08 feet wide and 0.17 feet deep. Photos 7 and 8 (Page A20) indicate stream and bank conditions for UNT 3 to Howard Ditch. UNT 3 to Howard Ditch is considered to exhibit poor quality based on ephemeral stream flow, substrate composition, and bankfull width.

UNT 3 to Howard Ditch is considered to be a non-relatively permanent waterway (non-RPW) with a connection to the Ohio River, a TNW, via Pigeon Creek, Brandies Ditch, Lockwood Ditch, and Howard Ditch. UNT 3 to Howard Ditch meets the definition of a Waters of the U.S. under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act due to its designation as an ephemeral channel and connection to the Ohio River. This stream is not subject to USACE jurisdiction under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act.

Water Feature Name	Photo	Lat/Long	OHW Width (ft)	OHW Depth (ft)	USGS Blue-line? Type?	Riffles? Pools?	Substrate	Quality	Likely Waters of U.S.?
Howard Ditch	10, 49	37.976860 / -87.448804	24	3.3	Yes, Perennial	No	Silt	Poor	Yes
UNT 1 to Howard Ditch	67-73	37.978467 / -87.441131	2.6	0.2	Yes, Intermittent	No	Silt	Poor	Yes
UNT 2 to Howard Ditch	58-62, 94	37.977303 / -87.441440	2.58	0.21	No, Intermittent	No	Silt, Sand	Poor	Yes
UNT 3 to Howard Ditch	7, 8	37.977512 / -87.448992	3.08	0.17	No, Ephemeral	No	Silt	Poor	Yes

Stream Summary Table

Wetlands

The field investigation identified twelve (12) wetland features (Wetland A through L) within the SR 66 intersection improvement at Epworth Road survey area (Page A8-A10). Non-wetland data points (Neg1 and Neg2) were sampled within the two Evansville silt loam (100% Hydric) polygons on the SSURGO database to field verify the presence of hydric soils within the survey area.

Wetland A

Wetland A is a 0.14-acre emergent wetland within a roadside ditch located 70 feet northeast of the SR 66 W to I-69 N ramp. Wetland A does not directly abut or directly connect to any jurisdictional Waters of the U.S. Therefore, in accordance with the Navigable Waters Protection Rule, Wetland A is not considered a jurisdictional feature subject to Section 404 regulation under the Clean Water Act. INDOT acknowledges that the wetland would likely not meet the definition of a Waters of the US. However, INDOT is requesting that the USACE take jurisdiction of the wetland. As defined by *Cowardin et al.* (1979), this wetland would be classified as a palustrine, emergent, persistent (PEM1) wetland. Based on a qualitative assessment of Wetland A, this wetland is of poor quality as indicated by its size and quality



of vegetation. Photographs 3, 4, and 5 (Page A19) shows the conditions of Wetland A at the time of field review. Two soil data points defining Wetland A (AW1 and AU1) are discussed below.

Data Point (AW1) represents wetland conditions within Wetland A (Page A39-A41). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum consists of valley redstem (*Ammannia coccinea*, OBL). The non-dominant species within the herbaceous stratum consist of rough barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa muricata*, OBL) and shallow sedge (*Carex lurida*, OBL). The plant community passes the dominance test for hydrophytic vegetation, therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is present and no further vegetation analysis is required. Primary indicators of hydrology are surface water (A1), high water table (A2), and saturation (A3); therefore, wetland hydrology is present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that the data point is within the Patton silty clay loam (Pa, 66%-99% predominantly hydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 4/2 (90%) silty clay with 7.5YR 6/8 (10%) redox features from 0 to 8 inches and 10YR 4/1 (95%) silty clay with 7.5YR 4/6 (5%) redox features from 8 to 16 inches. The soil profile at this location meets the depleted matrix (F3) indicator; therefore, hydrology, and hydric soils; therefore, this data point is within a wetland.

The Data Point (AU1) represents upland conditions adjacent to Wetland A (Page A42-A44). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum consists of narrowleaf plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*, FACU), tall rye grass (*Schedonorus arundinaceus*, FACU), and Queen Anne's lace (*Daucus carota*, UPL). The non-dominant species within the herbaceous stratum is silver beard grass (*Borthriochloa laguroides*, UPL). Hydrophytic vegetation is not present since none of the dominant species are FAC or wetter. No primary or secondary indicators of wetland hydrology were observed; therefore, wetland hydrology is not present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that this data point is within the Patton silty clay loam (Pa, 66%-99% predominantly hydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 3/2 (100%) silty clay from 0 to 9 inches and 10YR 5/6 (100%) silt from 9 to 16 inches. No hydric soil indicators were observed, therefore; no hydric soil is present. This data point did not meet the requirements for hydrophytic vegetation, hydrology, or hydric soils; therefore, this data point is not within a wetland.

Wetland B

Wetland B is a 0.04-acre wetland within the I-69 N to SR 66 W cloverleaf located 133 feet north of the SR 66 centerline. Wetland B does not directly abut or directly connect to any jurisdictional Waters of the U.S. Therefore, in accordance with the Navigable Waters Protection Rule, Wetland B is not considered a jurisdictional feature subject to Section 404 regulation under the Clean Water Act. INDOT acknowledges that the wetland would likely not meet the definition of a Waters of the US. However, INDOT is requesting that the USACE take jurisdiction of the wetland. As defined by *Cowardin et al.* (1979), this wetland would be classified as a PEM1 wetland. Based on a qualitative assessment of Wetland B, this wetland is of poor quality based on its size and quality of vegetation. Photographs 19 and 20 (Page A22) shows the conditions of Wetland B at the time of field review. Two soil data points defining Wetland B (BW1 and BU1) are discussed below.

The Data Point (BW1) represents wetland conditions within Wetland B (Page A45-A47). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the



herbaceous stratum is rough barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa muricata*, OBL). The non-dominant species within the herbaceous stratum consist of softstem bullrush (*Schoenoplectus tabemaemontani*, OBL) and narrowleaf cattail (*Typha angustifolia*, OBL). The plant community passes the dominance test for hydrophytic vegetation, therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is present and no further vegetation analysis is required. Primary indicators of hydrology are surface water (A1), high water table (A2), and saturation (A3). Therefore, wetland hydrology is present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that the data point is within the Patton silty clay loam (Pa, 66%-99% predominantly hydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 4/1 (90%) with 10YR 5/8 (10%) redox features from 0 to 17 inches. The soil profile at this location meets the depleted matrix (F3) indicator; therefore, hydric soil is present. This data point meets the requirements for wetland vegetation, wetland hydrology, and hydric soils; therefore, this data point is within a wetland.

Data Point (BU1) represents upland conditions adjacent to Wetland B (Page A48-A50). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum consists of narrow leaf plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*, FACU) and dallisgrass (*Paspalum dilatatum*, FAC). Hydrophytic vegetation is not present since more than 50% of species are not FAC or wetter and the prevalence index is greater than three. No primary or secondary indicators of wetland hydrology were observed; therefore, wetland hydrology is not present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that this data point is within the Patton silty clay loam (Pa, 66%-99% predominantly hydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 3/1 (80%) clayey silt with 10YR 6/8 (20%) redox features from 0 to 16 inches. The soil profile at this location meets the depleted dark surface (F7) indicator; therefore, hydric soil is present. This data point meets the requirement for hydric soil and does not meet the requirements for hydrophytic vegetation and hydrology; therefore, this data point is not within a wetland.

Wetland C

Wetland C is a 0.02-acre wetland east of the I-69 N to SR 66 W cloverleaf and 144 feet north of the SR 66 centerline. Wetland C does not directly abut or directly connect to any jurisdictional Waters of the U.S. Therefore, in accordance with the Navigable Waters Protection Rule, Wetland C is not considered a jurisdictional feature subject to Section 404 regulation under the Clean Water Act. INDOT acknowledges that the wetland would likely not meet the definition of a Waters of the U.S. However, INDOT is requesting that the USACE take jurisdiction of the wetland. As defined by *Cowardin et al.* (1979), this wetland would be classified as a PEM1 wetland. Wetland C has formed within an excavated drainage feature for transportation purposes. Based on a qualitative assessment of Wetland C, this wetland is of poor quality based on its size and quality of vegetation. Photographs 17 and 18 (Page A21) show the conditions of Wetland C at the time of field review. Two soil data points defining Wetland C (CW1 and CU1) are discussed below.

The data point (CW1) represents wetland conditions within Wetland C (Page A51-A53). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum is rough barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa muricata*, OBL). The non-dominant species within the herbaceous stratum are shallow sedge (*Carex lurida*, OBL), and softstem bullrush (*Schoenoplectus tabemaemontani*, OBL). The plant community passes the dominance test for hydrophytic vegetation, therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is present and no further vegetation analysis



is required. Two secondary indicators of wetland hydrology, crayfish burrows (C8) and FAC-neutral test (D5) are present; therefore, wetland hydrology is present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that the data point is within the Henshaw silt loam (HeA, 1-32% predominantly nonhydric). The soil profile consists of 10Y 3/1 (90%) silty clay with 5YR 3/6 (10%) redox features from 0 to 6 inches and 10YR 5/1 (60%) silty clay with 10YR 5/8 (40%) redox features from 6 to 16 inches. The hydric soil indicator, a loamy leied matrix (F2) is present; therefore, hydric soil is present. This data point meets the requirements for wetland vegetation, wetland hydrology, and hydric soils; therefore, this data point is within a wetland.

The data point (CU1) represents upland conditions adjacent to Wetland C (Page A54-A56). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum consists of narrow leaf plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*, FACU), tall false rye grass (*Schedonorus arundinaceus*, FACU), green bristlegrass (*Setaria viridis*, UPL), and bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon*, FACU). Hydrophytic vegetation is not present since 50% of species are not FAC and the prevalence index is greater than three. No primary or secondary indicators of wetland hydrology were observed; therefore, wetland hydrology is not present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that the data point is within the Henshaw silt loam (1-32% predominantly nonhydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 4/2 (100%) silty clay from 0 to 2 inches and 10YR 6/1 (55%) clayey silt with 10YR 5/8 (45%) redox features from 2 to 16 inches. The hydric soil indicator, depleted matrix (F3) is present; therefore, hydric soil is present. This data point meets the requirement for hydric soil but does not meet the requirements for hydrophytic vegetation and hydrology; therefore, this data point is not within a wetland.

Wetland D

Wetland D is a 0.06-acre wetland within the SR 66 E to I-69 N cloverleaf and 95 feet south of the SR 66 centerline. Wetland D does not directly abut or directly connect to any jurisdictional Waters of the U.S. Therefore, in accordance with the Navigable Waters Protection Rule, Wetland D is not considered a jurisdictional feature subject to Section 404 regulation under the Clean Water Act. INDOT acknowledges that the wetland would likely not meet the definition of a Waters of the U.S. However, INDOT is requesting that the USACE take jurisdiction of Wetland D. As defined by *Cowardin et al.* (1979), this wetland would be classified as a PEM1 wetland. Based on a qualitative assessment of Wetland D, this wetland is of poor quality based on its size and quality of vegetation. Photographs 25 through 28 (Page A23) show the conditions of Wetland D at the time of field review. Two soil data points defining Wetland D (DW1 and DU1) are discussed below.

The data point (DW1) represents wetland conditions within Wetland D (Page A57-A59). There are no sapling/shrub or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the tree stratum is bur oak (*Quercus macrocarpa*, FAC). The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum are rough barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa muricata*, OBL) and path rush (*Juncus tenuis*, FAC). The non-dominant species within the herbaceous stratum is softstem bullrush (*Schoenoplectus tabemaemontani*, OBL). The plant community passes the dominance test for hydrophytic vegetation, therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is present and no further vegetation analysis is required. A primary indicator of hydrology, saturation (A3) is present; therefore, wetland hydrology is present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that the data point is within the Patton silty clay loam (Pa, 66-99% predominantly hydric). The



soil profile consists of 10YR 3/2 (100%) silty clay from 0 to 4 inches, 10YR 4/1 (60%) silty clay with 10YR 5/8 (40%) redox features from 4 to 10 inches, and 10YR 5/1 (80%) clayey silt with 10YR 5/8 (20%) redox features from 10 to 17 inches. The hydric soil indicator depleted matrix (F3) is present; therefore, hydric soil is present. This data point meets the requirements for wetland vegetation, wetland hydrology, and hydric soils; therefore, this data point is within a wetland.

The data point (DU1) represents upland conditions adjacent to Wetland D (Page A60-A62). There are no tree, sapling / shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum consists of narrow leaf plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*, FACU), and Bermuda grass (*Cynodon dactylon*, FACU). The non-dominant species consist of silver beard grass (*Bothriochloa laguroides*, UPL). Hydrophytic vegetation is not present since more than 50% of species are not FAC or wetter and the prevalence index is greater than three. No primary or secondary indicators of wetland hydrology were observed; therefore, wetland hydrology is not present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that this data point is within the Patton silty clay loam (Pa, 66%-99% predominantly hydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 3/2 (100%) silty clay from 0 to 5 inches and 10YR 4/3 (80%) clayey silt with 10YR 5/8 (20%) redox features from 5 to 16 inches. No hydric soil indicators were observed, therefore; no hydric soil is present. This data point did not meet the requirements for hydrophytic vegetation, hydrology, or hydric soils; therefore, this data point is not within a wetland.

Wetland E

Wetland E is a 0.003-acre wetland located 60 feet southeast of the I-69 N to SR 66 E ramp centerline. Wetland E does not directly abut or directly connect to any jurisdictional feature Waters of the U.S. Therefore, in accordance with the Navigable Waters Protection Rule, Wetland C is not considered a jurisdictional feature subject to Section 404 regulation under the Clean Water Act. INDOT acknowledges that the wetland would likely not meet the definition of a Waters of the U.S. However, INDOT is requesting that the USACE take jurisdiction of Wetland E. As defined by *Cowardin et al.* (1979), this wetland would be classified as a PEM1 wetland. Wetland E has formed within a scour hole at the outlet of a roadway culvert. Based on a qualitative assessment of Wetland E, this wetland is of poor quality based on its size and quality of vegetation. Photographs 41 and 43 (Page A25) show the conditions of Wetland E at the time of field review. Two soil data points defining Wetland E (EW1 and EU1) are discussed below.

The data point (EW1) represents wetland conditions within Wetland E (Page A63-A65). There are no sapling/shrub or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the tree stratum are black willow (*Salix nigra*, OBL), and callery pear (*Pyrus calleryana*, UPL). The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum are rough barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa muricata*, OBL), yellow nutsedge (*Cyperus esculentus*, FACW), and shallow sedge (*Carex lurida*, OBL). The plant community passes the dominance test for hydrophytic vegetation, therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is present and no further vegetation analysis is required. Primary indicators of hydrology, surface water table (A1), high water table (A2), and saturation (A3) are present; therefore, wetland hydrology is present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that the data point is within the Patton silty clay loam (Pa, 66-99% predominantly hydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 5/1 (70%) silty clay with 10YR 6/8 (30%) redox features from 0 to 12 inches and 10YR 5/1 (85%) silty clay with 10YR 6/8 (15%) redox features from 12 to 16 inches. The hydric soil indicator depleted matrix (F3) is present; therefore, hydric soil is present. This data point meets the



requirements for wetland vegetation, wetland hydrology, and hydric soils; therefore, this data point is within a wetland.

The data point (EU1) represents upland conditions adjacent to Wetland E (Page A66-A68). There are no sapling/shrub or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the tree stratum are black willow (*Salix nigra*, OBL), and callery pear (*Pyrus calleryana*, UPL). The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum consists of narrow leaf plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*, FACU), purpletop tridens (*Tridens flavus*, FACU), tall false rye grass (*Schedonorus arundinaceus*, FACU), and Japanese bristlegrass (*Setaria faberi*, FACU). Hydrophytic vegetation is not present since more than 50% of species are not FAC or wetter and the prevalence index is greater than 3. No primary or secondary indicators of wetland hydrology were observed; therefore, wetland hydrology is not present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that this data point is within the Patton silty clay loam (Pa, 66%-99% predominantly hydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 3/2 (100%) silty clay from 0 to 3 inches and 10YR 4/4 (80%) silty clay with 10YR 5/8 (20%) redox features from 3 to 16 inches. No hydric soil indicators were observed, therefore; no hydric soil is present. This data point did not meet the requirements for hydrophytic vegetation, hydrology, or hydric soils; therefore, this data point is not within a wetland.

Wetland F

Wetland F is a 0.20-acre wetland located west of Epworth Road and 80 feet north of the SR 66 centerline. Wetland F does not directly abut or directly connect to any jurisdictional Waters of the U.S. Therefore, in accordance with the Navigable Waters Protection Rule, Wetland C is not considered a jurisdictional feature subject to Section 404 regulation under the Clean Water Act. INDOT acknowledges that the wetland would likely not meet the definition of a Waters of the U.S. However, INDOT is requesting that the USACE take jurisdiction of Wetland F. As defined by *Cowardin et al.* (1979), this wetland would be classified as a PEM1 wetland. Wetland F has formed within an excavated drainage feature for transportation purposes. Hydrology indicators, vegetation, and elevation were used to determine boundaries of Wetland F, in addition to wetland data points. Because this wetland is contained within the roadside ditch, the boundaries were clearly defined by abrupt change in elevation. Based on a qualitative assessment of Wetland F, this wetland is of poor quality based on its size and quality of vegetation. Photographs 54 through 56 (Page A27-A28) show the conditions of Wetland F at the time of field review. Two soil data points defining Wetland F (FW1 and FU1) are discussed below.

The data point (FW1) represents wetland conditions within Wetland F (Page A69-A71). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum are rough barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa muricata*, OBL) and shallow sedge (*Carex lurida*, OBL). The non-dominant species consist of yellow nutsedge (*Cyperus esculentus*, FACW). The plant community passes the dominance test for hydrophytic vegetation; therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is present and no further vegetation analysis is required. A primary indicator of hydrology, saturation (A3), is present; therefore, wetland hydrology is present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that the data point is within the Patton silty clay loam (Pa, 66%-99% predominantly hydric). The soil profile consists of 5G 4/1 (95%) silty clay with 7.5YR 5/8 (5%) redox features from 0 to 9 inches and 10YR 5/1 (90%) silty clay with 10YR 6/8 (10%) redox features from 9 to 16 inches. The hydric soil indicator, loamy leied matrix (F2) is present; therefore, hydric soil is present. This data point meets the



requirements for wetland vegetation, wetland hydrology, and hydric soils; therefore, this data point is within a wetland.

The data point (FU1) represents upland conditions adjacent to Wetland F (Page A72-A74). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum consists of Bermuda grass (*Cynodon dactylon*, FACU), and purpletop tridens (*Tridens flavus*, FACU). Non-dominant species consists of narrow leaf plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*, FACU) and (*Paspalum dilatum*, FAC). Hydrophytic vegetation is not present since no dominant species are FAC or wetter. No primary or secondary indicators of wetland hydrology were observed; therefore, wetland hydrology is not present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that this data point is within the Patton silty clay loam (Pa, 66%-99% predominantly hydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 4/3 (100%) silty clay from 0 to 6 inches and 10YR 5/6 (85%) clayey silt with 10YR 5/1 (15%) redox features from 6 to 14 inches. No hydric soil indicators were observed, therefore; no hydric soil is present. This data point did not meet the requirements for hydrophytic vegetation, hydrology, or hydric soils; therefore, this data point is not within a wetland.

Wetland G

Wetland G is a 0.37-acre wetland located west of Epworth Road and 89 feet south of the SR 66 centerline. Wetland G provides surface flow to UNT 2 to Howard Ditch which has connection to a TNW the Ohio River via UNT 1 to Howard Ditch, Howard Ditch, Lockwood Ditch, Brandies Ditch and Pigeon Creek and therefore is considered a jurisdictional water of the U.S subject to Section 404 regulation under the Clean Water Act. As defined by *Cowardin et al.* (1979), this wetland would be classified as a PEM1 wetland. Based on a qualitative assessment of Wetland G, this wetland is of poor quality based on its size and quality of vegetation. Photographs 51, 52, 53, 94, and 95 (Page A27 and A34) show the conditions of Wetland G at the time of field review. Four (4) soil data points defining Wetland G (GW1, GU1, GW2, GW2) are discussed below.

The data point (GW1) represents wetland conditions within the east portion of Wetland G (Page A75-A77). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum is yellow nutsedge (*Cyperus esculentus*, FACW). The non-dominant species consist of rough barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa muricata*, OBL) and shallow sedge (Carex *lurida*, OBL). The plant community passes the dominance test for hydrophytic vegetation, therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is present and no further vegetation analysis is required. Three primary indicators of hydrology, high water table (A2), saturation (A3), and oxidized rhizospheres on living roots (C3), are present; therefore, wetland hydrology is present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that the data point is within the Patton silty clay loam which is considered a hydric soil (Pa, 66%-99% predominantly hydric). The soil profile consists of 10GY 3/1 (100%) silty clay from 0 to 9 inches and 5Y 4/2 (90%) silt with 5Y 5/6 (10%) redox features from 9 to 17 inches. The hydric soil indicator, loamy leied matrix (F2) is present; therefore, hydric soil is present. This data point meets the requirements for wetland vegetation, wetland hydrology, and hydric soils; therefore, this data point is within a wetland.

The data point (GU1) represents upland conditions adjacent to the east side of Wetland G (Page A78-A80). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum consists of bermuda grass (*Cynodon dactylon*, FACU), johnson



grass (*Sorghum halepense*, FACU), tall false rye grass (*Schedonorus arundinaceus*, FACU), and carpetgrass (*Arthraxon hispidus*, FACW). Non dominant species consist of field bindweed (*Convulvulus arvensis*, UPL). Hydrophytic vegetation is not present since less than 50% of dominant species are FAC or wetter. No primary or secondary indicators of wetland hydrology were observed; therefore, wetland hydrology is not present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that this data point is within the Patton silty clay loam (Pa, 66%-99% predominantly hydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 4/2 (95%) silt from 0 to 17 inches with 10YR 4/6 (5%) redox features. The hydric soil indicator, depleted matrix (F3) is present; therefore, hydric soil is present. This data point meets the requirement for hydric soil but does not meet the requirements for hydrophytic vegetation and hydrology; therefore, this data point is not within a wetland.

The data point (GW2) represents wetland conditions within west portion of Wetland G (Page A81-A83). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum is rough barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa muricata*, OBL). The non-dominant species consist of yellow nutsedge (*Cyperus esculentus*, FACW) and shallow sedge (*Carex lurida*, OBL). The plant community passes the dominance test for hydrophytic vegetation, therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is present and no further vegetation analysis is required. Three secondary indicators of hydrology, surface soil cracks (B6), crayfish burrows (C8), and FAC-neutral test are present; therefore, wetland hydrology is present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that the data point is within the Uniontown silt loam (UnB2, 0% nonhydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 4/1 (90%) clayey silt with 10YR 5/8 (10%) redox features from 0 to 4 inches, 10YR 6/3 (60%) clayey silt with 10YR 6/8 (40%) redox features from 12 inches, and 10YR 7/1 (80%) clayey silt with 10YR 6/8 (20%) redox features from 12 to 16 inches. The hydric soil indicator, depleted matrix (F3) is present; therefore, hydric soil is present. This data point meets the requirements for wetland vegetation, wetland hydrology, and hydric soils; therefore, this data point is within a wetland.

The data point (GU2) represents upland conditions adjacent to the west portion of Wetland G (Page A84-A86). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum consists of narrow leaf plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*, FACU), and carpetgrass (*Arthraxon hispidus*, FACW). The non-dominant species consist of tall false rye grass (*Schedonorus arundinaceus*, FACU), and johnson grass (*Sorghum halepense*, FACU). Hydrophytic vegetation is not present since 50% of dominant species are FACU or drier and the prevalence index is greater than three. No primary or secondary indicators of wetland hydrology were observed; therefore, wetland hydrology is not present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that this data point is within the Uniontown silt loam (UnB2, 0% nonhydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 4/3 (100%) clayey silt from 0 to 6 inches and 10YR 6/1 (70%) silt with 10YR 5/8 (30%) redox features from 6 to 16 inches. The hydric soil indicator, depleted matrix (F3) is present; therefore, hydric soil is present. This data point meets the requirement for hydric soil but does not meet the requirements for hydrophytic vegetation and hydrology; therefore, this data point is not within a wetland.

Wetland H

Wetland H is a 0.04-acre wetland located along the west side of Epworth Road. Wetland H provides surface flow to UNT 2 to Howard Ditch which has connection to a TNW, the Ohio River, via UNT 1 to



Howard Ditch, Howard Ditch, Lockwood Ditch, Brandies Ditch and Pigeon Creek. Therefore, Wetland H is considered a jurisdictional water of the U.S subject to Section 404 regulation under the Clean Water Act. As defined by *Cowardin et al.* (1979), this wetland would be classified as a (PEM1) wetland. Based on a qualitative assessment of Wetland H, this wetland is of poor quality due to its size and quality of vegetation. Photographs 90-92 (Page A34) show the conditions of Wetland G at the time of field review. Two (2) soil data points defining Wetland H (HW1, HU1) are discussed below.

The data point (HW1) represents wetland conditions within Wetland H (Page A87-A89). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum is broadleaf cattail (*Typha latifolia*, OBL). The non-dominant species consist of rice cutgrass (*Leersia oryzoides*, OBL) and shallow sedge (Carex lurida, OBL). The plant community passes the dominance test for hydrophytic vegetation, therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is present and no further vegetation analysis is required. Primary indicators of hydrology including high water table (A2), saturation (A3), and oxidized rhizospheres on living roots (C3) are present; therefore, wetland hydrology is present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that the data point is within the Alford silt loam (AfB2, 0% nonhydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 4/1 (95%) silty clay with 10YR 4/6 (5%) redox features from 0 to 17 inches. The hydric soil indicator, depleted matrix (F3) is present; therefore, hydrology, and hydric soils; therefore, this data point is within a wetland.

The data point (HU1) represents upland conditions adjacent to Wetland H (Page A90-A92). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum consists of tall false rye grass (*Festuca arundinacea*, FACU), white clover (*Trifolium repens*, FACU), and Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*, FAC). The prevalence index is greater than three (3); therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is not present. No primary or secondary indicators of wetland hydrology were observed; therefore, wetland hydrology is not present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that this data point is within the Alford silt Ioam (AfB2, 0% nonhydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 4/2 (100%) silty clay from 0 to 5 inches and 10YR 4/2 (70%) silty clay with 10YR 6/8 (30%) redox features from 5 to 16 inches. The hydric soil indicator, depleted matrix (F3) is present; therefore, hydric soil is present. This data point meets the requirement for hydric soil but does not meet the requirements for hydrophytic vegetation and hydrology; therefore, this data point is not within a wetland.

Wetland I

Wetland I is a 0.03-acre wetland located along the east side of Epworth Road. Wetland I does not directly abut or directly connect to any jurisdictional Waters of the U.S. Therefore, in accordance with the Navigable Waters Protection Rule, Wetland I is not considered a jurisdictional feature subject to Section 404 regulation under the Clean Water Act. INDOT acknowledges that the wetland would likely not meet the definition of the Waters of the U.S. However, INDOT is requesting that the USACE take jurisdiction of Wetland I. As defined by *Cowardin et al.* (1979), this wetland would be classified as a PEM1 wetland. Wetland I has formed within an excavated drainage feature for transportation purposes. Based on a qualitative assessment of Wetland I, this wetland is of poor quality due to its size and quality of vegetation. Photographs 75 and 78 through 80 (Page A31) show the conditions of Wetland I at the time of field review. Two soil data points defining Wetland I (IW1 and IU1) are discussed below.



The data point (IW1) represents wetland conditions inside Wetland I (Page A93-A95). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum is rice cutgrass (*Leersia oryzoides*, OBL) and shallow sedge (*Carex lurida*, OBL). The non-dominant species consist of swamp milkweed (*Asclepias incarnata*, OBL) and broadleaf cattail (*Typha latifolia*, OBL). The plant community passes the dominance test for hydrophytic vegetation, therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is present and no further vegetation analysis is required. Primary indicators of hydrology including saturation (A3) and oxidized rhizospheres on living roots (C3) are present; therefore, wetland hydrology is present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that the data point is within the Alford silt loam (AfB2, 0% nonhydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 4/1 (90%) silt with 5YR 4/6 (10%) redox features from 0 to 5 inches, 10YR 6/1 (80%) silt with 10YR 5/8 (20%) redox features from 5 to 11 inches, and 10YR 6/1 (70%) silt with 10YR 5/6 (30%) redox features from 11 to 17 inches. The hydric soil indicator, depleted matrix (F3) is present; therefore, hydric soil is present. This data point meets the requirements for wetland vegetation, wetland hydrology, and hydric soils; therefore, this data point is within a wetland.

The data point (IU1) represents upland conditions adjacent to Wetland I (Page A96-A98). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum consists of tall false rye grass (*Festuca arundinacea*, FACU), Johnson grass (*sorghum halepense*, FACU) and small carpetgrass (*Arthaxon hispidus*, FACW). Non-dominant species include narrowleaf plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*, FACU). The prevalence index is greater than three (3); therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is not present. No primary or secondary indicators of wetland hydrology were observed; therefore, wetland hydrology is not present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that this data point is within the Alford silt loam (AfB2, 0% nonhydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 4/3 (100%) clayey silt from 0 to 4 inches and 10YR 4/6 (100%) silt from 4 to 16 inches. No hydric soil indicators were observed, therefore; no hydric soil is present. This data point did not meet the requirements for hydrophytic vegetation, hydrology, or hydric soils; therefore, this data point is not within a wetland.

Wetland J

Wetland J is a 0.18-acre wetland located south of and parallel to SR 66, east of Epworth Road. Wetland J provides surface flow to UNT 1 to Howard Ditch which has connection to a TNW, the Ohio River, Howard Ditch, Lockwood Ditch, Brandies Ditch and Pigeon Creek. Therefore, Wetland J is considered a jurisdictional water of the U.S. subject to Section 404 regulation under the Clean Water Act. As defined by *Cowardin et al.* (1979), this wetland would be classified as a PEM1 wetland. Wetland J has formed within a drainage feature excavated for transportation purposes. Based on a qualitative assessment of Wetland J, this wetland is of poor quality due to its size and quality of vegetation. Photographs 77 and 96 through 99 (Page A31, A34, and A35) show the conditions of Wetland J at the time of field review. Four (4) soil data points defining Wetland J (JW1, JU1, JW2, JU2) are discussed below.

The data point (JW1) represents wetland conditions inside the east portion of Wetland J (Page A99-A101). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum is rough barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa muricata*, OBL), floating willow primrose (*Ludwigia peploides*, OBL), path rush (*Juncus tenuis*, FAC). The plant community passes



the dominance test for hydrophytic vegetation; therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is present and no further vegetation analysis is required. Primary indicators of hydrology including surface water (A1), high water table (A2), saturation (A3), and oxidized rhizospheres on living roots (C3) are present; therefore, wetland hydrology is present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that the data point is within the Alford silt loam (AfB2, 0% nonhydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 4/1 (90%) silty clay with 7.5YR 4/6 (10%) redox features from 0 to 10 inches and 10YR 4/1 (70%) silty clay with 10YR 6/8 (30%) redox features from 10 to 17 inches. The hydric soil indicator depleted matrix (F3) is present; therefore, hydric soil is present. This data point meets the requirements for wetland vegetation, wetland hydrology, and hydric soils; therefore, this data point is within a wetland.

The data point (JU1) represents upland conditions adjacent to the east portion of Wetland J (Page A102-A104). There are no tree or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the sapling/shrub stratum is red mulberry (*Morus rubra*, FACU). The non-dominant species within the herbaceous stratum consist of tall false rye grass (*Festuca arundinacea*, FACU) and white clover (*Trifolium repens*, FACU). The non-dominant species within the herbaceous stratum consist of tall false rye grass (*Festuca arundinacea*, FACU) and white clover (*Trifolium repens*, FACU). The non-dominant species within the herbaceous stratum consist of small carpetgrass (*Arthaxon hispidus*, FACW), Japanese honeysuckle (*Lonicera japonica*, FACU), and ground ivy (*Glechoma hederacea*, FACU). The prevalence index is greater than three (3); therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is not present. No primary or secondary indicators of wetland hydrology were observed; therefore, wetland hydrology is not present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that this data point is within the Alford silt loam (AfB2, 0% nonhydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 2/2 (100%) silt from 0 to 3 inches and 10YR 4/1 (95%) silt with 10YR 4/6 (5%) redox features from 3 to 16 inches. The hydric soil indicator depleted matrix (F3), is present; therefore, hydric soil is present. This data point meets the requirements for hydric soils and does not meet the requirements for hydrology or hydrophytic vegetation; therefore, this data point is not within a wetland.

The data point (JW2) represents wetland conditions within the west portion of Wetland J (Page A105-A107). There are no tree, sapling / shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum are softstem bullrush (*Schoenoplectus tabernaemontani*, OBL) and floating willow primrose (*Ludwigia peploides*, OBL). The non-dominant species consist of rough barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa muricata*, OBL) and rice cutgrass (*Leersia oryzoides*, OBL). The plant community passes the dominance test for hydrophytic vegetation, therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is present and no further vegetation analysis is required. Primary indicators of hydrology including surface water table (A1), high water table (A2), and saturation (A3) are present; therefore, wetland hydrology is present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that the data point is within the Patton silty clay loam (Pa, 66% to 99% predominantly hydric). The soil profile consists of Gley1 3/10Y (95%) silty clay with 10YR 6/8 (5%) redox features from 0 to 12 inches and 10YR 6/1 (60%) clayey silt with 10YR 6/8 (40%) redox features from 12 to 17 inches. The hydric soil indicators, loamy leied matrix (F2) and depleted matrix (F3) are present; therefore, hydric soil is present. This data point is within a wetland.

The data point (JU2) represents upland conditions for the west portion of Wetland J (Page A108-A110). There are no tree or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the sapling/shrub stratum is red mulberry (*Morus rubra*, FACU). The dominant species within the



herbaceous stratum consists of tall false rye grass (*Festuca arundinacea*, FACU), and white clover (*Trifolium repens*, FACU). Non-dominant species include Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*, FAC), small carpetgrass (*Arthaxon hispidus*, FACW), *Johnson* grass (*sorghum halepense*, FACU), and Virginia creeper (*Parthenocissus quinquefolia*, FACU). None of the dominant species are FAC or wetter; therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is not present. No primary or secondary indicators of wetland hydrology were observed; therefore, wetland hydrology is not present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that this data point is within the Patton silty clay loam (Pa, 66% to 99% predominantly hydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 3/1 (80%) silty clay with 10YR 6/8 (20%) from 0 to 16 inches. No hydric soil indicators were observed, therefore; no hydric soil is present. This data point did not meet the requirements for hydrophytic vegetation, hydrology, or hydric soils; therefore, this data point is not within a wetland.

Wetland K

Wetland K is a 0.01-acre wetland located north of and parallel to SR 66 west of Grimm Road. Wetland K does not directly abut or directly connect to any jurisdictional Waters of the U.S. Therefore, in accordance with the Navigable Waters Protection Rule, Wetland K is not considered a jurisdictional feature subject to Section 404 regulation under the Clean Water Act. INDOT acknowledges that the wetland would likely not meet the definition of Water of the U.S. However, INDOT is requesting that the USACE take jurisdiction of Wetland K. As defined by *Cowardin et al.* (1979), this wetland would be classified as a PEM1 wetland. Wetland K has formed within a drainage feature that was excavated for transportation purposes. Based on a qualitative assessment of Wetland K, this wetland is of poor quality due to its size and quality of vegetation. Photographs 110, 111, and 112 (Page A37) show the conditions of Wetland K at the time of field review. Two soil data points defining Wetland K (KW1 and KU1) are discussed below.

The data point (KW1) represents wetland conditions for Wetland K (Page A111-A113). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum are rough barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa muricata*, OBL) and shallow sedge (*Carex lurida*, OBL). The plant community passes the dominance test for hydrophytic vegetation, therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is present and no further vegetation analysis is required. The primary indicator of hydrology includes an algal mat (B4) and secondary indicators of wetland hydrology includes crayfish burrows (C8) and FAC-neutral test are present; therefore, wetland hydrology is present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that the data point is within the Wakeland silt loam (Wa, 1% to 32% predominantly nonhydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 4/1 (80%) silty clay with 10YR 5/8 (15%) redox features from 0 to 7 inches and 10YR 3/1 (100%) silty clay from 7 to 17 inches. The hydric soil indicator depleted matrix (F3) is present; therefore, hydric soils; therefore, this data point is within a wetland.

The data point (KU1) represents upland conditions for Wetland K (Page A114-A116). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum is tall false rye grass (*Festuca arundinacea*, FACU) and Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*, FAC). The non-dominant species within the herbaceous stratum is green bristlegrass (*Setaria* viridis, UPL). The prevalence index is greater than three (3); therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is not present. No primary or secondary indicators of wetland hydrology were observed; therefore, wetland hydrology is not present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that the data point is within the Wakeland silt loam



(Wa, 1% to 32% predominantly nonhydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 3/1 (80%) silty clay with 10YR 5/8 (20%) redox features from 0 to 6 inches and 2.5Y 5/4 (100%) silt from 6 to 16 inches. No hydric soil indicators were observed, therefore; no hydric soil is present. This data point did not meet the requirements for hydrophytic vegetation, hydrology, or hydric soils; therefore, this data point is not within a wetland.

Wetland L

Wetland L is a 0.06-acre wetland located south of and parallel to SR 66 west of Grimm Road. Wetland L does not directly abut or directly connect to any jurisdictional Waters of the U.S. Therefore, in accordance with the Navigable Waters Protection Rule, Wetland L is not considered a jurisdictional feature subject to Section 404 regulation under the Clean Water Act. INDOT acknowledges that the wetland would likely not meet the definition of a Waters of the U.S. However, INDOT is requesting that the USACE take jurisdiction of Wetland L. As defined by *Cowardin et al.* (1979), this wetland would be classified as a PEM1 wetland. Wetland L has formed within a drainage feature that was excavated for transportation purposes. Based on a qualitative assessment of Wetland L, this wetland is of poor quality due to its size and quality of vegetation. Photographs 113 through 117 (A37-A38) show the conditions of Wetland L at the time of field review. Two soil data points defining Wetland L (Page LW1 and LU1) are discussed below.

The data point (LW1) represents wetland conditions for Wetland L (Page A117-A119). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum is narrow leaf cattail (*Typha angustifolia*, OBL). The non-dominant species within the herbaceous stratum is shallow sedge (*Carex lurida*, OBL). The plant community passes the dominance test for hydrophytic vegetation, therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is present and no further vegetation analysis is required. A primary indicator of hydrology, saturation (A3) is present; therefore, wetland hydrology is present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that the data point is within the Wakeland silt loam (Wa, 1% to 32% predominantly nonhydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 4/1 (80%) silty clay with 10YR 5/6 (20%) redox features from 0 to 14 inches and 10YR 5/1 (50%) clay with 10YR 5/6 (50%) redox features from 14 to 16 inches. The hydric soil indicator depleted matrix (F3) is present; therefore, hydrology, and hydric soils; therefore, this data point is within a wetland.

Data point LU1

The data point (LU1) represents upland conditions for Wetland L (Page A120-A122). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum is tall false rye grass (*Festuca arundinacea*, FACU) and Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*, FAC). The non-dominant species within the herbaceous stratum is Johnson grass (*Sorghum halepense*, FACU). The prevalence index is greater than three (3); therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is not present. No primary or secondary indicators of wetland hydrology were observed; therefore, wetland hydrology is not present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that the data point is within the Wakeland silt loam (Wa, 1% to 32% predominantly nonhydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 3/2 (100%) silty clay from 0 to 10 inches and 10YR 3/2 (65%) silty clay with 10YR 6/6 (35%) redox features from 10 to 16 inches. No hydric soil indicators were observed, therefore; no hydric soil is present. This data point did



not meet the requirements for hydrophytic vegetation, hydrology, or hydric soils; therefore, this data point is not within a wetland.

Neg1

Negative data point 1 (Neg1) was collected to investigate a potential wetland located north of SR 66 and west of Grimm Road. Neg1 represents upland conditions north of SR 66 and west of RSD 10 (Page A123-A125). There are no tree, sapling/shrub, or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum is dallisgrass (*Paspalum dilatatum*, FAC). The non-dominant species within the herbaceous stratum are purpletop tridens (*Tridens flavus*, FACU), and silver beard grass (*Borthriochloa laguroides*, UPL). The prevalence index is greater than three (3); therefore, hydrophytic vegetation is not present. No primary or secondary indicators of wetland hydrology were observed; therefore, wetland hydrology is not present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that the data point is within the Alford silt loam which is not considered a hydric soil (AfB2, 1% to 32% predominantly nonhydric). The soil profile consists of 10YR 3/3 (70%) silty clay from 0 to 6 inches with 10YR 4/6 (30%) mottling and 10YR 4/6 silt from 6 to 16 inches. No hydric soil indicators were observed, therefore; no hydric soil is present. This data point did not meet the requirements for hydrophytic vegetation, hydrology, or hydric soils; therefore, this data point is not within a wetland.

Neg2

Negative data point 2 (Neg2) was collected to investigate the Evansville silt loam (100% hydric), a nationally listed hydric soil on the SSURGO database, that is mapped in the vicinity of the SR 66 and I-69 interchange. Negative data point (Neg2) represents upland conditions in a mapped hydric soil on the north side of the SR 66 west to I-69 north ramp embankment (Page A126-A128). There are no tree or woody vine stratum within the plot area. The dominant species within the sapling/shrub stratum is callery pear (Pyrus calleryana, UPL). The non-dominant species within the sapling/shrub stratum are red mulberry (Morus rubra, FACU) and common hackberry (Celtis occidentalis, FACU). The dominant species within the herbaceous stratum are Johnson grass (Sorghum halapense, FACU), purpletop tridens (Tridens flavus, UPL), and narrowleaf plantain (Plantago lanceolata, FACU). Non-dominant species within the herbaceous stratum are purple crownvetch (Securigera varia, UPL) and Japanese honeysuckle (Lonicera japonica, FACU). None of the dominant species are FAC or wetter, therefore; hydrophytic vegetation is not present. No primary or secondary indicators of wetland hydrology were observed; therefore, wetland hydrology is not present. The USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey indicates that the data point is within the Evansville silt loam which is considered a hydric soil (Ev, 100% hydric). The soil profile consists of 7.5YR 4/2 (100%) silty clay from 0 to 9 inches and 10YR 4/2 (100%) silt 9 to 14 inches. No hydric soil indicators were observed, therefore; no hydric soil is present. This data point did not meet the requirements for hydrophytic vegetation, hydrology, or hydric soils; therefore, this data point is not within a wetland.

Wetland Name	Photo(s)	Lat/Long	Туре	Total Area (acres)	Quality	Likely Waters of the U.S.?
Wetland A	3-5	37.978397/ -87.450592	PEM1	0.14	Poor	No



SR 66 Intersection Improvement at Epworth Road Des. No. 1400195 Vanderburgh and Warrick County, Indiana Waters of the U.S. Report

Wetland B	19, 20	37.977261/ -87.450884	PEM1	0.04	Poor	No
Wetland C	17, 18	37.977238/ -87.449994	PEM1	0.02	Poor	No
Wetland D	25-28	37.976459/ -87.450270	PEM1	0.06	Poor	No
Wetland E	41, 43	37.975023/ -87.449691	PEM1	0.003	Poor	No
Wetland F	53-56	37.977041/ -87.444002	PEM1	0.20	Poor	No
Wetland G	51, 52, 94, 95	37.976538/ -87.442235	PEM1	0.37	Poor	Yes
Wetland H	90-92	37.976264/ -87.441466	PEM1	0.04	Poor	Yes
Wetland I	75, 78-80	37.975804/ -87.441055	PEM1	0.03	Poor	No
Wetland J	77, 96-99	37.976530/ -87.436697	PEM1	0.18	Poor	Yes
Wetland K	110- 112	37.977030/ -87.433172	PEM1	0.01	Poor	No
Wetland L	113-117	37.976529/ -87.432576	PEM1	0.06	Poor	No

Data Point	Vegetation	Soils	Hydrology	Wetland
AW1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
AU1	No	No	No	No
BW1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
BU1	No	Yes	No	No
CW1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CU1	No	Yes	No	No
DW1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
DU1	No	No	No	No
EW1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Data Point	Vegetation	Soils	Hydrology	Wetland
EU1	No	No	No	No
FW1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
FU1	No	No	No	No
GW1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
GU1	No	Yes	No	No
GW2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
GU2	No	Yes	No	No
HW1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes



HU1	No	Yes	No	No
IW1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
IU1	No	No	No	No
JW1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
JU1	No	Yes	No	No
JW2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
JU2	No	No	No	No
KW1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
KU1	No	No	No	No
LW1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
LU1	No	No	No	No
Neg1	No	No	No	No
Neg2	No	No	No	No

Open Water

There are no open water areas for consideration as WOTUS or non-WOTUS features within the survey area.

Roadside Ditch

Eleven (11) roadside ditch (RSD) features within the survey area limits were evaluated and documented.

RSD 1

RSD 1 is a 245-foot long grass lined ditch along the north side of the SR 66 east to I-69 north ramp that receives drainage from the roadway which drains southeast toward Wetland A. Photos 1 and 2 (Page A19) indicate conditions along RSD 1. The roadside ditch does not exhibit bed and bank with OHWM and is not a realigned segment of a natural stream. RSD 1 is not considered a jurisdictional feature.

<u>RSD 2</u>

RSD 2 is a 378-foot long grass lined ditch along the north side of the SR 66 east to I-69 north ramp that receives drainage from the roadway which drains northwest beyond the survey area into Howard Ditch. Photos 12 and 13 (Page A20 and A21) indicate conditions along RSD 2. The roadside ditch does not exhibit bed and bank with OHWM and is not a realigned segment of a natural stream. RSD2 is not considered a jurisdictional feature.

<u>RSD 3</u>

RSD 3 is a 152-foot-long grass lined ditch along the west side of Epworth Road and north of SR 66 that receives drainage from the roadway and adjacent commercial property. RSD 3 drains south before entering a culvert under Venetian Drive into UNT 1 to Howard Ditch. Photos 65 and 66 (Page A29) indicate conditions along RSD 3. The roadside ditch does not exhibit bed and bank with OHWM and is not a realigned segment of a natural stream. RSD 3 is not considered a jurisdictional feature.



RSD 4

RSD 4 is a 171-foot long grass lined ditch along the east side of Epworth Road and south of SR 66 that receives drainage from the roadway and adjacent residential property. RSD 4 is split into two parts by a 48-foot-long culvert beneath a residential driveway and drains north before entering a culvert beneath SR 66 Frontage Road that leads to Wetland I. Photographs 84, 85 and 86 (Page A32 and A33) indicate conditions along RSD 4. The roadside ditch does not exhibit bed and bank with OHWM and is not a realigned segment of a natural stream. RSD 4 is not considered a jurisdictional feature.

RSD 5

RSD 5 is a 142-foot-long grass lined ditch on the south side of SR 66 Frontage Road South that receives drainage from the roadway and adjacent residential property. RSD 5 drains west to a culvert that leads to Wetland I. Photographs 82 and 83 (Page A32) indicate conditions along RSD 5. The roadside ditch does not exhibit bed and bank with OHWM and is not a realigned segment of a natural stream. RSD 5 is not considered a jurisdictional feature.

<u>RSD 6</u>

RSD 6 is a 129-foot-long grass lined ditch on the north side of SR 66 Frontage Road South that receives drainage from the roadway and grassy median. RSD 6 drains west into Wetland I. Photographs 80 and 81 (Page A32) indicate conditions along RSD 6. The roadside ditch does not exhibit bed and bank with OHWM and is not a realigned segment of a natural stream. RSD 6 is not considered a jurisdictional feature.

<u>RSD 7</u>

RSD 7 is a 170-foot-long grass lined ditch located south of SR 66 and east of Epworth Road which receives drainage from the roadway. RSD 7 drains west into Wetland J. The roadside ditch does not exhibit bed and bank with OHWM and is not a realigned segment of a natural stream. RSD 7 is not considered a jurisdictional feature.

<u>RSD 8</u>

RSD 8 is a 289-foot long grass lined ditch located south of SR 66 and east of Epworth Road that receives drainage from the roadway. RSD 8 drains to the east. Photographs 107 and 108 (Pages A36) indicate conditions along RSD 8. The roadside ditch does not exhibit bed and bank with OHWM and is not a realigned segment of a natural stream. RSD 8 is not considered a jurisdictional feature.

<u>RSD 9</u>

RSD 9 is a 447-foot long grass and riprap lined ditch located north of SR 66 and east of Epworth Road that receives drainage from the roadway. RSD 9 drains to the east and is divided by a 92-foot-long culvert below an access drive. Photographs 101 through 106 (Pages A35 and A36) indicate conditions along RSD 9. The roadside ditch does not exhibit bed and bank with OHWM and is not a realigned segment of a natural stream. RSD 9 is not considered a jurisdictional feature.



Conclusions

The Waters of the U.S. investigation conducted for the SR 66 Intersection Improvement at Epworth Road concludes that there are twelve (12) wetland features and no WOTUS or non-WOTUS open water features identified within the survey area. Three (3) wetland features (wetland G, J, and I) have significant nexus to Waters of the U.S. and are considered a jurisdictional water of the U.S. subject to Section 404 regulation under the Clean Water Act. The nine (9) remaining wetlands would not be considered jurisdictional features subject to Section 404 regulation in accordance with the Navigable Waters Protection Rule. INDOT acknowledges that the wetland would likely not meet the definition of Water of the U.S. However, INDOT is requesting that the USACE take jurisdiction these nine (9) wetlands (wetlands A-F, H, K-L). The nine (9) roadside ditches in the survey area lacked bed, bank and OHWM and were identified as non-jurisdictional flow line features. One perennial stream feature (Howard Ditch) was identified within the survey area, two intermittent stream features (UNT 1 to Howard Ditch and UNT 2 to Howard Ditch) and one ephemeral stream feature (UNT 3 to Howard Ditch) were identified within the survey area. Howard Ditch, UNT 1 to Howard Ditch, UNT 2 to Howard Ditch, and UNT 3 to Howard Ditch are likely to be considered under USACE jurisdiction per Section 404 of the CWA. There are no water resources under USACE jurisdiction per Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act within the survey area limits.

Every effort should be taken to avoid and minimize impact to the waterways. If impacts are necessary, then mitigation may be required. The INDOT Environmental Services Division should be contacted immediately if impacts will occur. The final determination of jurisdictional waters is ultimately made by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. This report is our best judgment based on the guidelines set forth by the Corps.

Drainage structures within the survey area were examined on August 10 and 11, 2021 for the presence of bat and bird species. No direct or indirect signs of bat species were documented within any structures during the field survey.

Acknowledgement

This waters determination has been prepared based on the best available information, interpreted in the light of the investigator's training, experience and professional judgement in conformance with the 1987 *Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual*, the appropriate regional supplement, the USACE *Jurisdictional Determination Form Instructional Guidebook*, and other appropriate agency guidelines.

Peter Putzier

tout-

Environmental Geologist, LPG Lochmueller Group, Inc.



Sector and				A PRIVILEY ST
AfB2	Alford silt loam, 2 to 5 percer	nt slopes, eroded	0% Nonhydric	
AfC3	Alford silt loam, 5 to 10 perce	ent slopes, severely eroded	0% Nonhydric	LEBNA
Ev	Evansville silt loam		100% Hydric	GMUM
Не	Henshaw silt loam	and the state of the second	1-32% Predominantly Nonhydric	S1168 C
HeA	Henshaw silt loam, 0 to 2 per	cent slopes, rarely flooded	1-32% Predominantly Nonhydric	8.2658
MuB2	Muren silt loam, 2 to 6 perce	nt slopes, eroded	0% Nonhydric	Contraction of the
Pa	Patton silty clay loam, 0 to 2	percent slopes	66-99% Predominantly Hydric	
UnB2	Uniontown silt loam, 2 to 6 p	ercent slopes, eroded	0% Hydric	E
Wa	Wakeland silt loam, 0 to 2 pe	rcent slopes, eroded	1-32% Predominantly Nonhydric	
W Pa Une: Ha Pa Va Pa			HEA ATE2 ATE2 UI ATC3 ATE2 ATE2 ATE2 ATE2 ATE2 ATE2 ATE2 ATE2	Pa A
Leger	nd		HoB	AIGE
Su	Irvey Area	He	G	SA
Hydric	Classification			WeD3
H	/dric (100%)			
Pr	edominantly Hydric (66 - 99%)	位 由市市市 國	S Barrow Barrow	2011
Pa	rtially Hydric (33 - 65%)	IVA	A MARCA	fD3
Dr	edominantly Nonhydric (1 - 32%)	roo: 2020 National Agricultural Imagent Pro-	
	dric (0%)	Soil Source	e: Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO) datab	base
		He/ 06/20 for V	anderburgh & Warrick County, Indiana	
	LOCHMUELLER GROUP	USDA SSURGO Soils Des. No. 1400195 Waters of the U.S. Rep	s Map County: Vanderburgh & Wan Township: Knight & Ohio State: Indiana	rick
	Evansville IN, 47715 Phone: (812) 479-6200 Toll Free: (800) 423-7411	0 500 1,000	SR 66 Intersection Improvement at Epw Created:12/29/2021, P.Putzier	orth Road
Waters of	the US Report	Des. No. 1400195		A4

Waters of the US Report



- 1











Appendix 2 - PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (PJD) FORM

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR PJD: December 29, 2021

- B. NAME AND ADDRESS OF PERSON REQUESTING PJD: Peter Putzier, Lochmueller Group, 6200 Vogel Road, Evansville, IN 47715
- C. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:

D. PROJECT LOCATION(S) AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

The proposed project (Des. No. 1400195) is located along SR 66 between the I-69 and SR 66 interchange and Grimm Road. The proposed project will eliminate left turning movements from the mainline (SR 66) to increase the capacity of the intersection. Designs under consideration include using displaced left turns in both directions or a hybrid displaced left turn (westbound) and boulevard left (eastbound). The Waters of the U.S. investigation conducted for the SR 66 Intersection Improvement at Epworth Road concludes that there are twelve wetland features and no WOTUS or non-WOTUS open water features identified within the survey area. One perennial stream feature (Howard Ditch), Two intermittent stream features (UNT 1 to Howard Ditch and UNT 2 to Howard Ditch) one ephemeral stream feature (UNT 3 to Howard Ditch) are in the survey area.

(USE THE TABLE BELOW TO DOCUMENT MULTIPLE AQUATIC RESOURCES AND/OR AQUATIC RESOURCES AT DIFFERENT SITES)

 State: Indiana
 County/parish/borough: Vanderbugh and Warrick Counties
 City: Evansville & Newburgh

 Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format):

Lat.: 37.976823 Long.: -87.444323

Universal Transverse Mercator: 16S 548802.49E 4203389.11N

Name of nearest waterbody: Howard Ditch

E. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date:
- Field Determination. Date(s):

TABLE OF AQUATIC RESOURCES IN REVIEW AREA WHICH "MAY BE" SUBJECT TO REGULATORY JURISDICTION.

Site number	Latitude (decimal degrees)	Longitude (decimal degrees)	Estimated amount of aquatic resource in review area (acreage and linear feet, if applicable)	Type of aquatic resource (i.e., wetland vs. non-wetland waters)	Geographic authority to which the aquatic resource "may be" subject (i.e., Section 404 or Section 10/404)
UNT 1 to Howard Ditch	37.978467	-87.441131	1,342 linear feet	non-wetland waters	Section 404
UNT 2 to Howard Ditch	37.977303	-87.441440	728 linear feet	non-wetland waters	Section 404
UNT 3 to Howard Ditch	37.977513	-87.448992	68 linear feet	non-wetland waters	Section 404
Wetland A	37.978397	87.450592	0.14 Acre	wetland	Section 404
Wetland B	37.977261	-87.450884	0.04 Acre	wetland	Section 404
Wetland C	37.977238	-87.449994	0.02 Acre	wetland	Section 404

Wetland D	37.976459	-87.450270	0.06 Acre	Wetland	Section 404
Wetland E	37.975023	-87.449691	0.003 Acre	Wetland	Section 404
Wetland F	37.977041	-87.444002	0.20 Acre	Wetland	Section 404
Wetland G	37.976538	-87.442235	0.37 Acre	Wetland	Section 404
Wetland H	37.976264	-87.441466	0.04 Acre	Wetland	Section 404
Wetland I	37.975804	-87.441055	0.03 Acre	Wetland	Section 404
Wetland J	37.97653	-87.436697	0.18 Acre	Wetland	Section 404
Wetland K	37.977030	-87.433172	0.009 Acre	Wetland	Section 404
Wetland L	37.976529	-87.432576	0.06 Acre	Wetland	Section 404
Howard Ditch	37.976860	-87.448804	512 linear feet	non-wetland waters	Section 404
- The Corps of Engineers believes that there may be jurisdictional aquatic resources in the review area, and the requestor of this PJD is hereby advised of his or her option to request and obtain an approved JD (AJD) for that review area based on an informed decision after having discussed the various types of JDs and their characteristics and circumstances when they may be appropriate.
- 2) In any circumstance where a permit applicant obtains an individual permit, or a Nationwide General Permit (NWP) or other general permit verification requiring "preconstruction notification" (PCN), or requests verification for a non-reporting NWP or other general permit, and the permit applicant has not requested an AJD for the activity, the permit applicant is hereby made aware that: (1) the permit applicant has elected to seek a permit authorization based on a PJD, which does not make an official determination of jurisdictional aquatic resources; (2) the applicant has the option to request an AJD before accepting the terms and conditions of the permit authorization, and that basing a permit authorization on an AJD could possibly result in less compensatory mitigation being required or different special conditions; (3) the applicant has the right to request an individual permit rather than accepting the terms and conditions of the NWP or other general permit authorization; (4) the applicant can accept a permit authorization and thereby agree to comply with all the terms and conditions of that permit, including whatever mitigation requirements the Corps has determined to be necessary; (5) undertaking any activity in reliance upon the subject permit authorization without requesting an AJD constitutes the applicant's acceptance of the use of the PJD; (6) accepting a permit authorization (e.g., signing a proffered individual permit) or undertaking any activity in reliance on any form of Corps permit authorization based on a PJD constitutes agreement that all aguatic resources in the review area affected in any way by that activity will be treated as jurisdictional, and waives any challenge to such jurisdiction in any administrative or judicial compliance or enforcement action, or in any administrative appeal or in any Federal court; and (7) whether the applicant elects to use either an AJD or a PJD, the JD will be processed as soon as practicable. Further, an AJD, a proffered individual permit (and all terms and conditions contained therein), or individual permit denial can be administratively appealed pursuant to 33 C.F.R. Part 331. If, during an administrative appeal, it becomes appropriate to make an official determination whether geographic jurisdiction exists over aquatic resources in the review area, or to provide an official delineation of jurisdictional aquatic resources in the review area, the Corps will provide an AJD to accomplish that result, as soon as is practicable. This PJD finds that there "may be" waters of the U.S. and/or that there "may be" navigable waters of the U.S. on the subject review area, and identifies all aquatic features in the review area that could be affected by the proposed activity, based on the following information:

SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for PJD (check all that apply)

Checked items should be included in subject file. Appropriately reference sources below where indicated for all checked items:

Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the PJD requestor: Map: Location map, topographic, soils, NWI, floodplain, aerial
 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the PJD requestor. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Rationale:
Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
Corps navigable waters' study:
U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: <u>Newburgh 1.24,000</u> .
Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:
National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: <u>https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Mapper.html</u> .
State/local wetland inventory map(s):
FEMA/FIRM maps: FIRM Map Numbers 18163C0205E, 18173C0202D
100-year Floodplain Elevation is:(National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): National Agricultural Imagery Program 2020
or Other (Name & Date): Ground photos
Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
Other information (please specify):

IMPORTANT NOTE: The information recorded on this form has not necessarily been verified by the Corps and should not be relied upon for later jurisdictional determinations.

Signature and date of Regulatory staff member completing PJD Peter Putzier Digitally signed by Peter Putzier Date: 2021.12.29 14:17:33 -06'00'

Signature and date of person requesting PJD (REQUIRED, unless obtaining the signature is impracticable)¹

¹ Districts may establish timeframes for requestor to return signed PJD forms. If the requestor does not respond within the established time frame, the district may presume concurrence and no additional follow up is necessary prior to finalizing an action.

Categorical Exclusion Appendix G Public Involvement



NOTICE OF SURVEY

February 21, 2018

RE: SR 66 & Epworth Road Improvement Warrick County, Indiana

Sample Notice of Survey

Dear Property Owner:

Our information indicates that you own or occupy property near this proposed highway project. Our employees will be doing a survey of the project area in the near future. It may be necessary for them to come onto your property to complete this work. This is allowed by law by Indiana Code IC 8-23-7-26. They will show you their identification, if you are available, before coming onto your property. If you have sold this property, or it is occupied by someone else, please let us know the name and address of the new owner or current occupant so we can contact them about the survey.

At this stage we generally do not know what effect, if any, our project may eventually have on your property. If we determine later that your property is involved, we will contact you with additional information.

The survey work will include mapping the location of features such as trees, buildings, fences and drives, and obtaining ground elevations. The survey work may also include the identification and mapping of wetlands, archaeological investigations (which may include excavation of small shovel test probes), and various other environmental studies. The survey is needed for the proper planning and design of this highway project. Please be assured of our sincere desire to cause you as little inconvenience as possible during this survey. If any problems do occur, please contact our field crew or contact me at the phone number or address shown herein.

Sincerely,

VS Engineering, Inc. Alex J Daugherty, PS 812-401-0303

Des. No. 1400195

March 31, 2022

Mr. Brian Malone Consultant Services Manager INDOT Vincennes District 3650 S US Highway 41 Vincennes, Indiana 47591

Re: Request for Public Involvement Certification Des No. 1400195 SR 66 at Epworth Road, 0.16 Mile East of I-69 Warrick County, Indiana

Dear Mr. Malone:

We are transmitting the following materials in support of our request for certification of public involvement requirements.

LOCHMUELLER

GROUP

- 1. CE-4 release for public involvement signature page
- 2. Legal Notice of Public Hearing
- 3. Publisher's affidavit from The Evansville Courier & Press
- 4. Adjacent Property Owners/Stakeholders Mailing Lists
- 5. Public Hearing PowerPoint Presentation
- 6. Public Hearing Handout
- 7. Public Hearing Sign-In Sheet
- 8. List of Virtual Participants
- 9. Comments/Requests for Information
- 10. Public Involvement Certification Checklist

The legal notice of public hearing was published in *The Evansville Courier & Press* on February 22, 2022 and March 1, 2022. A copy of the legal notice was mailed to adjacent property owners and early coordination stakeholders, and other stakeholders.

The public hearing was held on March 9, 2022 at 6:00 PM at the Friedman Park Event Center located at 2700 Park Blvd, Newburgh, Indiana. Nineteen people signed in at the hearing. An opportunity to join the hearing virtually was also offered. Five members of the public registered and attended the meeting virtually. The environmental document and preliminary design plans were made available for in-person public inspection at the Newburgh Chandler Public Library and the INDOT Vincennes District office. Those with limited access to the internet were encouraged to request mailed copies of these documents. No such requests were received. The comment period ended on March 24, 2022.

No formal written or verbal public comments were received during the public hearing. Three requests for additional information and two comments were received by email following the public hearing.

Please contact me at (812) 759-4107, or by email at <u>hhume@lochgroup.com</u>, if there are any questions or if additional information is needed.

Thank you,

Ally dume

Holly Hume Environmental Specialist II Lochmueller Group, Inc.

cc: Mr. Ben Carnahan, AECOM Project Manager

6200 Vogel Road Evansville, Indiana 47715 PHONE: 812.479.6200 •TOLL FREE: 800.423.7411



DES. # 1400195

LEGAL NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING Proposed Intersection Improvement at SR 66 and Epworth Road in Warrick County

The Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT) will host a public hearing on Wednesday, March 9th, 2022, from 6:00 pm to 8:00 pm with a formal presentation at 6:15 pm, at the Friedman Park Event Center located at 2700 Park Blvd, Newburgh, Indiana 46730. If you prefer to participate in the hearing virtually, email hhume@lochgroup.com by Friday, March 4th, 2022 to register. The purpose of the public hearing is to offer all interested persons an opportunity to comment on current preliminary design plans to modify the intersection at SR 66 and Epworth Road in Warrick County.

The primary purpose of the project is to reduce the number of crashes within the intersection. The need for this project stems from a high number of crashes along SR 66. The crashes are predominantly rear-end with a considerable amount of eastbound (EB) and westbound (WB) left turn crashes. There were approximately 141 collisions at the intersection between 2014 and 2016. Approximately 76% of the crashes occurred along SR 66. The intersection is located approximately 1,500 feet east of the exit ramp from northbound (NB) I-69, which results in an undesirable weaving situation for vehicles exiting the interstate and turning left onto NB Epworth Road.

As proposed, the project will replace left turning movements along the mainline with displaced left turns in both directions. The NB ramps to I-69 will be realigned as part of the project. The project will include some redesign of signaling. The potential area of impact extends approximately 2,900 feet west and 2,600 feet east of the intersection along SR 66; approximately 900 feet south of the intersection along Epworth Road; and approximately 1,000 feet north of the intersection along Epworth Road. New 44-foot tall lights will be placed near the displaced left turns and at the Epworth intersection. Several small structures will be replaced or extended throughout the project area including two culverts under the NB I-69 to EB SR 66 exit ramp, one under the WB SR 66 to NB I-69 entrance ramp, and a 36-inch pipe beneath SR 66 on the eastern side of the SR 66/Epworth Road intersection. None of the small structures have structure numbers due to their size. In order to provide adequate separation from the reconstructed Epworth Road intersection, the NB I-69 to EB SR 66 exit ramp will be changed to a signalized "T" intersection and the WB SR 66 to NB I-69 entrance ramp will be changed to reduce the curve radius which will create separation from the Epworth Road intersection. On Epworth Road north of SR 66, an additional auxiliary lane will be added in order to create enough width for dual left turn lanes. On Epworth Road south of SR 66, a SB right turn lane will be added between SR 66 and the Deaconess Hospital entrance and an auxiliary lane will be added along the NB lanes to create enough width for dual left turn lanes. Grading and drive construction will likely be required along SR 66 and Epworth Road.

The Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) plan for the project involves three phases. Phase 1 will restrict one through lane on WB SR 66 between I-69 and Grimm Road to construct improvements on the north side of SR 66 including the new SR 66 WB to I-69 NB entrance ramp. In Phase 1, Epworth Road north of SR 66 will be restricted to one NB lane, one SB through and right-turn lane combined,



and one SB left-turn lane. Phase 2 will shift the traffic on SR 66 toward the outside, leaving two 10foot through lanes in each direction. Phase 3 will restrict one through lane on EB SR 66 between I-69 and Grimm Road to construct improvements on the south side of SR 66 including the new I-69 NB to SR 66 EB exit ramp. There will also be a single lane restriction on WB SR 66 near the existing SR 66 WB to I-69 NB entrance ramp to remove the ramp pavement. Two 11-foot dual leftturn lanes will remain open, as well as a 12-foot through lane in each direction on Epworth Road south of SR 66. The existing SR 66 WB to I-69 NB entrance ramp will remain open during construction. Epworth Road north of SR 66 will be unrestricted in its current lane configuration. During MOT Phases 1 and 2, detours will be in place for left turns. The detour for left turns onto SB Epworth Road from WB SR 66 will utilize the I-69 interchange ramp. The detour for left turns onto NB Epworth Road from EB SR 66 will utilize I-69 and SR 662. In addition, a wide load detour utilizing I-69, SR 62, and SR 261 will be in place for all phases. MOT details will be presented during the public hearing. Access to all properties will be maintained during construction. INDOT will coordinate with emergency services, local school corporation officials and project stakeholders to ensure potential disruptions and impacts are minimized as much as possible. The project will require approximately 0.20 acre of permanent new right-of-way, in addition to some temporary right-of-way needed during construction.

Federal and state funds are proposed to be used for construction of this project. INDOT and the Federal Highway Administration have agreed that this project poses minimal impact to natural environment. A Categorical Exclusion (CE), Level 4 (CE-4) environmental document has been prepared for the project. The environmental documentation and preliminary design information is available to view prior at the following locations:

- 1. Newburgh Chandler Public Library 4111 Lakeshore Drive, Newburgh, IN 47630
- INDOT Vincennes District Office 3650 S US Highway 41, Vincennes 47591 (855-INDOT4U (463-6848))

A project webpage will be created prior to the public hearing to ensure project information is available on-line via the INDOT Vincennes District page (<u>https://www.in.gov/indot/2707.htm</u>). In addition, project information, including the environmental document, may be mailed upon request.

Public statements for the record will be taken as part of the public hearing procedure. All verbal statements recorded during the public hearing and all written comments submitted prior to, during and for a period of two (2) weeks following the hearing date, will be evaluated, considered and addressed in subsequent environmental documentation. Written comments may be submitted prior to the public hearing and within the comment period to Holly Hume at Lochmueller Group, 6200 Vogel Road, Evansville, IN 47715. E-Mail: https://www.hume@lochgroup.com. INDOT respectfully requests comments be submitted by Thursday, March 24, 2022.

With advance notice, INDOT will provide accommodations for persons with disabilities with regards to participation and access to project information as part of the hearings process including arranging auxiliary aids, interpretation services for the hearing impaired, services for the sight impaired and other services as needed. In addition, INDOT will provide accommodations for persons of Limited English Proficiency (LEP) requiring auxiliary aids including language interpretation services and document conversion. Should accommodation be required please contact Brian Malone, INDOT Vincennes District at (812) 836-7474 or bmalone@indot.in.gov.

In the event of inclement weather resulting in hazardous driving conditions, please call Holly Hume, Lochmueller Group at (812) 759-4107 to learn of any postponement of the public

hearing. If the public hearing is postponed due to inclement weather, it will be rescheduled for a later date and time, and the public comment period will be extended.

This notice is published in compliance with Code of Federal Regulations, Title 23, Section 771 (CFR 771.111(h)(1)) states: "Each State must have procedures approved by the FHWA to carry out a public involvement/public hearing program." 23 CFR 450.212(a)(7) states: "Public involvement procedures shall provide for periodic review of the effectiveness of the public involvement process to ensure that the process provides full and open access to all and revision of the process as necessary." approved by the Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation on July 7, 2021.



Affidavit of Publication

PROOF OF PUBLICATION OF LEGAL ADVERTISEMENT

Account Number: 1321525

STATE OF WISCONSIN BROWN COUNTY

RE: LOCHMUELLER GROUP AD: 0005140575-01 Publication Cost: 173.06

of Affidavits1

This is not an invoice

I, being sworn, am an employee of the **Evansville Courier Company**, publisher of **The Evansville Courier**, a daily newspaper published in the city of Evansville, in said county and state and that the legal advertisement, of which the attached is a true copy was printed in its issues of:

EC-Evansville Courier & Press

The issues dated: The issues dated: 02/22/2022 03/01/2022

1210/22 ______ Date 3/1/22

Notary Public

Notary is Resident of Brown County, State of Wisconsin

My Commission expires: 5.15.23

NANCY HEYRMAN Notary Public State of Wisconsin

WV8 I O JOJJ

EVANSVILLE IN

LOCHMUELLER GROUP 6200 VOGEL ROAD

47715

General Form No. 99P (Rev. 2002)

To: Evansville Courier & Press

(Governmental Unit)

County, Indiana

Evansville, IN

PUBLISHER'S CLAIM

	246 lines, 1 columns wide equals 246 equivalent lines at \$0.35 per line @ 2 days,	\$173.06
	Website Publication	<u>\$0</u>
Acet #:1321525 Ad #: 0005140575	Charge for proof(s) of publication	<u>\$0.00</u>
DATA FOR COMPUTING COST Width of single column 9.5 ems Number of insertions <u>2</u> Size of type <u>7</u> point	TOTAL AMOUNT OF CLAIM	<u>\$173.06</u>

Pursuant to the provisions and penalties of IC 5-11-10-1, I here by certify that the foregoing account is just and correct, that the amount claimed is legally due, after allowing all just credits, and that no part of the same has been pair

Claim No. _____ Warrant No. _____

IN FAVOR OF **Evansville Courier & Press** Evansville, IN Vanderburgh County, IN PO Box 268, Evansville IN 47702 I have examined the within claim and hereby certify as follows:

That it is in proper form.

This it is duly authenticated as required by law.

(incorrect)

That it is based upon statutory authority.

That it is apparently (correct) On Account of Appropriation For

FED. ID

#06-1032273

\$

Allowed ______, 20_____

In the sum of \$_____

I certify that the within claim is true and correct; that the services there-in itemized and for which charge is made were ordered by me and were necessary to the public business.

LEGAL NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING Proposed Intersection Improvement at SR 66 and Epworth Road in Warrick County

Epworth Road in Warrick County The Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT) will host a public hearing on Wednesday, March 9th, 2022, from 6:00 pm to 8:00 pm with a formal presentation at 6:15 pm, at the Friedman Park Event Center located at 2700 Park Blvd, Newburgh, Indiana 46730. If you prefer to participate in the hearing virtually, email hhume@lochgroup. com by Friday, March 4th, 2022 to register. The purpose of the public hearing is to offer all interested persons an opportunity to comment on current preliminary design plans to modify the intersection at SR 66 and Epworth Road in Warrick County.

Warrick County. The primary purpose of the project is to reduce the number of crashes within the intersection. The need for this project stems from a high number of crashes along SR 66. The crashes are predominantly rear-end with a considerable amount of eastbound (EB) and westbound (WB) left turn crashes. There were approximately 141 collisions at the intersection between 2014 and 2016. Approximately 76% of the crashes occurred along SR 66. The intersection is located approximately 1,500 feet east of the exit ramp from northbound (NB) 1-69, which results in an undesirable weaving situation for vehicles exiting the interstate and turning left onto NB Epworth Road.

cles exiting the interstate and turning left onto NB Epworth Road. As proposed, the project will replace left turning movements along the mainline with displaced left turns in both directions. The NB ramps to 1-69 will be realigned as part of the project. The project will include some redesign of signaling. The potential area of impact extends approximately 2,900 feet west and 2,600 feet east of the intersection along SR 66; approximately 900 feet south of the intersection along Epworth Road; and approximately 1,000 feet worth Road. New 44-foot tall lights will be placed near the displaced throughout the project area including two culverts under the NB 1-69 to EB SR 66 exit ramp, one under the WB SR 66 to NB 1-69 entrance ramp, and a 36-inch pipe beneath SR 66 on the eastern side of the SR 66/Epworth Road intersection. None of the small structures have structure numbers due to their size. In order to provide adequate separation from the resection, the NB 1-69 to EB SR 66 exit ramp will be changed to a signalized "T" intersection and the WB SR 66 to NB 1-69 entrance ramp will be changed to a signalized "T" intersection and the vors for the SR oth Neide of the SR 66 exit here curve radius which will create separation from the Epworth Road intersection. On Epworth R ate enough width for dual left turn lanes. On Epworth Road south of SR 66, a SB right turn lane will be added between SR 66 and the Deaconess Hospital entrance and an auxiliary lane will be added along the NB lanes to create enough width for dual left turn lanes. Grading and drive construction will likely be required along SR 66 and Epworth Road.

The Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) plan for the project involves three phases. Phase 1 will restrict one through lane on WB SR 66 between I-69 and Grimm Road to construct improvements on the new SR 66 WB to I-69 NB entrance ramp. In Phase 1, Epworth Road north of SR 66 will be restricted to one NB lane, one SB through and right-turn lane combined, and one SB left-turn lane. Phase 2 will shift the traffic on SR 66 toward the outside, leaving two 10-foot through lanes in each direction. Phase 3 will restrict one through lane on EB SR 66 between I-69 and Grimm Road to construct improvements on the south side of SR 66 including the new I-69 NB to SR 66 EB exit ramp. There will also be a single lane restriction on WB SR 66 mear the existing SR 66 WB to I-69 NB entrance ramp to remove the ramp pavement. Two 11-foot dual left-turn lanes will remain open, as well as 12-foot through lane in each direction on Epworth Road south of SR 66. The existing SR 66 WB to I-69 NB entrance ramp will remain open during construction. Epworth Road north of SR 66 will utilize the I-69 interchange ramp. The detour for left turns onto SB Epworth Road from WB SR 66 will utilize the I-69 interchange ramp. The detour for left turns onto NB Epworth Road from WB SR 66 will utilize I-69 and SR 66. In addition, a wide load detour utilizing Iplace for all phases. MOT details will be maintained during contorution. INDOT will coordinate with emergency services, local school corporation officials and project stakeholders to ensure potential disruptions and impacts are minimized as much as possible. The project will require approximately 0.20 acre of permanent new rightof-way, in addition to some temporary right-of-way needed during construction.

Federal and state funds are proposed to be used for construction of this project. INDOT and the Federal Highway Administration have agreed that this project poses minimal impact to natural environment. A Categorical Exclusion (CE), Level 4 (CE-4) environmental document tab been prepared for the project. The environmental documentation and preliminary design information is available to view prior at the following locations:

1. Newburgh Chandler Public Library - 4111 Lakeshore Drive, Newburgh, IN 47630 2. INDOT Vincennes District Office -3650 S US Highway 41, Vincennes 47591 (855-INDOT4U (463-6848))

A project webpage will be created prior to the public hearing to ensure project information is available on-line via the INDOT Vincennes District page (<u>https://www.in</u> .gov/indot/2707.htm). In addition, project information, including the environmental document, may be mailed upon request.

mailed upon request. Public statements for the record will be taken as part of the public hearing procedure. All verbal statements recorded during the public hearing and all written comments submitted prior to, during and for a period of two (2) weeks following the hearing date, will be evaluated, considered and addressed in subsequent environmental documentation. Written comments may be submitted prior to the public hearing and within the comment period to Holly Hume at Lochmueller Group, 6200 Vogel Road, Evansville, IN 47715. E-Mail: <u>hhume@lochgroup.com. INDOT respectfully requests comments be submitted by Thursday, March 24, 2022.</u>

2022. With advance notice, INDOT will provide accommodations for persons with disabilities with regards to participation and access to project information as part of the hearings process including arranging auxiliary aids, interpretation services for the hearing impaired, services for the hearing impaired, services for the sight impaired and other services as needed. In addition, INDOT will provide accommodations for persons of Limited English Proficiency (LEP) requiring auxiliary aids including language interpretation services and document conversion. Should accommodation be required please contact Brian Malone, INDOT Vincennes District at (812) 836-7474 or <u>bmalone@ind</u> ot.in.gov.

In the event of inclement weather resulting in hazardous driving conditions, please call Holy Hume, Lochmueller Group at (812) 759-4107 to learn of any postponement of the public hearing. If the public hearing is postponed due to inclement weather, it will be rescheduled for a later date and time, and the public comment period will be extended.

period will be extended. This notice is published in compliance with Code of Federal Regulations, Title 23, Section 771 (CFR 771.111(h)(1)) states: "Each State must have procedures approved by the FHWA to carry out a public involvement/public hearing program." 23 CFR 450.212(a)(7) states: "Public involvement procdures shall provide for periodic review of the effectiveness of the public involvement process to ensure that the process provides full and open access to all and revision of the process as necessary." approved by the Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation on July 7, 2021. (Courier & Press, Feb 22, Mar 1,

J	
Name: Deaconess Hospital, Inc	Name: Crossroads Christian Church
Address: 600 Mary Street	Address: PO Box 5386
City: Evansville State: IN Zip Code: 47710	City: Evansville State: IN Zip Code: 47716
Name: Wolfson Young, LLC	Name: KR Development Co.
	c/o S. Schen
Address: PO Box 78038	Address: 6200 Newburgh Rd
City: Indianapolis State: IN Zip Code: 46278	City: Evansville State: IN Zip Code: 47715
Name: Newburgh Property Management, LLC	Name: Schapker, David J
Address: 1800 N. Wabash Rd, Ste 300	Address: 3955 Clover Drive
City: Marion State: IN Zip Code: 46952	City: Newburgh State: IN Zip Code: 47630
Name: Indiana Valley, LLC	Name: J&M Evansville, LLC
	c/o Jim Fletcher
Address: 6066 Waterbury Court	Address: 15724 Beach Drive NE
City: Newburgh State: IN Zip Code: 47630	City: Lake Forest Park State: WA Zin Code: 98155
Name: German American Bancorp	Name: CMB Realty, LLC
Address: 711 Main Street	Address: 3922 Venetian Way, Ste 1
City: Jasper State: IN Zip Code: 47546	City: Newburgh State: IN Zip Code: 47630
Name: State of Indiana	Name: Summit Land Development, LLC
INDOT Real Estate Division	
Address: 100 N Senate Ave.	Address: 801 Saint Mary's Dr., Ste 250W
City Indiananalia States DI Zin Cada: 4(204 2210	City Evenewille States DI Zin Cades 47714
Name: St Marys Building Corporation	Name: Maple Hill Holdings Company LLC
Name. St Marys Bunding Corporation	Name. Maple Thin Holdings Company, LLC
Address: 4040 Vincennes Cir	Address: 5744 Cliftmeere Drive
City: Indianapolis State: IN Zip Code: 46268	City: Newburgh State: IN Zip Code: 47630
Name: MSK Holdings, LLC	Name: Grimm, Robert Bryon & Herbert Paul
Address: 225 Crosslake Dr	Address: 10355 Lockwood Lane
City: Evansville State: IN Zip Code: 47715	City: Newburgh State: IN Zip Code: 47630
Name: Jenkins, Scott A	Name: Epworth East, LLC
Address: 10395 W State Route 66	Address: 400 F. Sycamore Street
Truitess. 10375 W. State Route 00	Autress. 100 L. Sycamore Succe
City: Newburgh State: IN Zip Code: 47630	City: Evansville State: IN Zip Code: 47713

List of Adjacent Property Owners

Name: Jacobsville Development East, LLC	Name: Warrick County (Board of Commissioners)
Address: 515 Read Street	Address: 107 W. Locust Street
City: Evansville State: IN Zip Code: 47710	City: Boonville State: IN Zip Code: 47601
Name: Evansville VP, LLC	Name: Mounts, David G
Address: 801 Sunset Dr, Bldg D, Ste 1	Address: PO Box 322
City: Johnson City State: TN Zip Code: 37604	City: Evansville State: IN Zip Code: 47702
Name: Jamerson, James Thomas; Jamerson, John	Name: MBA Holdings, LLC
Robert; & Jamerson, Gary Ray	
Address: 1211 Russell Road	Address: 206 E. Ninth Street
City: Chandler State: IN Zip Code: 47610	City: Mount Carmel State: IL Zip Code: 62863
Name: Allen, Glenn H & Delores L Trust	
Address: 1088 Old Plank Rd	
City: Chandler State: IN Zip Code: 47610	

List of Adjacent Property Owners Cont.

Early Coordination Stakeholders

Agency	Salu	Name	Title	Address1	Address2	City	State	Zip
Warrick County Board of Commissioners	Commissioners		Warrick County Commissioners	Old Courthouse	107 West Locust Suite 301	Boonville	IN	47601
					107 W Locust			
Warrick County Council	Council Members		Warrick County Council	Warrick County Courthouse	Room 310B	Boonville	IN	47601
Warrick County, Ohio Township Trustee	Mr. Bennett	Chad Bennett	Trustee, Ohio Township of Warrick County	Ohio Township Office	4333 Epworth Rd	Newburgh	IN	47630
Warrick County Surveyor	Mr. Baxter	Phil Baxter	Warrick County Surveyor	107 W. Locust St.	Suite 206 Courthouse	Boonville	IN	47601
Warrick County EMA	Mr. Greer	James Greer	EMA Director	Emergency Management Agency	107 W Locust St. Rm. 307	Boonville	IN	47601
Evansville MPO	Mr. Shokouhzadeh	Seyed Shokouhzadeh	Executive Director	Evansville Metropolitan Planning Organization	1 NW Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.	Evansville	IN	47708
St. Luke's Lutheran Church	Sir or Madam			St. Luke Lutheran Church	4200 Epworth Road	Newburgh	IN	47630
Orthopaedic Associates (East Newburgh)	Sir or Madam		Othopaedic Associates (East Newburgh)	10455 Orthopaedic Dr.		Newburgh	IN	47630
Basinski & Juran MDs	Sir or Madam			Basinski & Juran MDs	3922 Venetian Way, Suite 1	Newburgh	IN	47630
					100 St. Mary's			
St. Vincent's Urgent Care - Epworth Crossing	Sir or Madam			St. Vincent's Urgent Care - Epworth Crossing	Epworth Crossing #B1	Newburgh	IN	47630
The Lung Centre	Dr. Selby	Dr. Jeff Selby		The Lung Centre	10288 Hwy 66	Newburgh	IN	47630
Oral Surgery Group	Sir or Madam		Oral Surgery Group and Dental Implant Center	4121 Gateway Blvd		Newburgh	IN	47630
Deaconess Orthopedic Neuroscience Hospital	Sir or Madam		Deaconess Orthopedic Neuroscience Hospital	4011 Gateway Blvd		Newburgh	IN	47630
Floodplain Administrator	Mr. Ballew	David Ballew	Floodplain Administrator	1 NW Martin Luther King Jr Blvd	Civic Center Complex, Room 310	Evansville	IN	47708

Other Stakeholders

Name

Bobby Howard Steve Sherwood Daniel Parod Mike Allen John Greaney Chad Bennett Evan L. Beck Philip Rawley J.T. McCarty John Lamb Rodney Russell Vajravel Prasad Scott Hamrick Shawn McCoy Jared Florence Cindy Basinski Scott Edmond SVN Chris Stuard SVN Ron Bacon Steve Smith Paul Perry Greg Richmond Courtney TenBarge **Tony Alysward** Jerry Aigner Aric Pryor Randy Miller Dan Saylor **Brandon Hayes** Randall Pemberton Lynn Lingafelter **Michael Andreas** Jordan Aigner **David Hachmeister Brad Overton** Ted Metzger Chris Whetstine **Terry Phillippe Bob Johnson** Herb Davis Holly Gossman **Todd Glass** James Morley Jeramy Elrod

Agency/Business Warrick County Warrick County Ascension Evansville Christian School Ascension Ohio Township Trustee Woodward Realty **Tristate Orthopaedics Colonial Classics Landscaping & Nursery** German American German American **Digestive Care Center Digestive Care Center** Deaconess Deaconess Basinski & Juran, MDs Success Warrick County Warrick County Warrick County Warrick County **RR** Appraisals Warrick County Warrick County Warrick County Warrick County Success Warrick County Warrick County Morley Morley













Hybrid Boulevard Left / Displaced Left Turn Intersection













Stakeholder Coordination

From September 2019 to February 2021, over twenty meetings were held with INDOT, Warrick County and AECOM's team to discuss the project. Major points of discussion included:

- Traffic Growth Rates eventually revised to include more growth
- Additional Alternate Designs Examined / Refined
 - All Dual Left Turn Lanes did not help crashes or reduce back ups on SR 66
 - Dual Displaced Left Turns for SR 66

These meetings eventually led to the abandonment of the hybrid boulevard left/displaced left-turn option in favor of the dual displaced left-turn option. This option was preferred by both Warrick County and INDOT.



NextLevel

Dual Displaced Left-Turn Alternative – East Leg











Maintenance of Traffic During Construction

- 3 Phases during construction
- Phase 1
 - Restrict one westbound through lane
 - Two 10-foot through lanes remain open and one left turn lane to southbound Epworth Road
 - Existing ramp from westbound SR 66 to northbound I-69 open
 - Epworth Road north of SR 66 restricted to one lane in each direction and one left-turn lane
 - Improvements on north side of SR 66 constructed



NextLevel

Maintenance of Traffic During Construction

• Phase 3

- Restrict one eastbound SR 66 lane from I-69 to Grimm Road
- Restrict one westbound SR 66 lane near I-69 to remove old ramp
- Two 11-foot through lanes in each direction will remain open
- Epworth Road will be unrestricted in its current configuration
- No left turns to Epworth Road from SR 66
- During MOT Phases 2 and 3, detours will be in place for left turns. The detour for left turns onto SB Epworth Road from WB SR 66 will utilize the I-69 interchange ramps. The detour for left turns onto NB Epworth Road from EB SR 66 will utilize I-69 and SR 62.
- Improvements on the south side of SR 66 constructed

• All Phases - wide load detour using I-69, SR 62 and SR 261



NextLevel









SR 66 / Epworth Road Intersection Improvement Project

Project Description

This project involves an intersection improvement at SR 66 and Epworth Road, 0.16 mile east of I-69.

Within the project area, SR 66 is an east-west route and is functionally classified as a principal arterial roadway with a design speed of 50 mph. SR 66 at the Epworth Road intersection consists of six 12-foot wide travel lanes, three in each direction, with a 10-foot wide paved shoulder. At the intersection, each travel direction has 12-foot wide left and right turning lanes. Epworth Road at the SR 66 intersection is a north-south route and is functionally classified as a major collector with a design speed of 30 mph. Epworth Road north of the intersection consists of five travel lanes with a 4-foot wide median. From west to east they are a 12-foot wide combined southbound (SB) through lane/WB right turn lane, a 12-foot wide SB through lane, an 11-foot wide EB left turn lane, a 4-foot wide median, and two 12-foot wide NB through lanes. Epworth Road south of the intersection consists of six travel lanes. From west to east they are two 12-foot wide SB through lanes, two 11-foot wide WB left turn lanes, one 11-foot wide NB through lane, and one EB right turn lane. Two existing frontage roads are located east of the intersection, one north and one south of SR 66. Both consist of two 10-foot travel lanes (one in each direction).

The intersection will be reconstructed to introduce displaced left-turns in both directions for SR 66. The proposed project will replace left turning movements along the mainline with displaced left turns in both directions. The project will include some redesign of signaling. New 44-foot tall lights will be placed near the displaced left turns and at the Epworth intersection. Several small structures will be replaced or extended throughout the project area including two culverts under the NB I-69 to EB SR 66 exit ramp, one under the WB SR 66 to NB I-69 entrance ramp, and a 36-inch pipe beneath SR 66 on the eastern side of the SR 66/Epworth Road intersection. In order to provide adequate separation from the reconstructed Epworth Road intersection, the NB I-69 to EB SR 66 exit ramp will be changed to a signalized "T" intersection and the WB SR 66 to NB I-69 entrance ramp will be changed to reduce the curve radius which will create separation from the Epworth Road intersection. On Epworth Road north of SR 66, an additional auxiliary lane will be added between SR 66 and the Deaconess Hospital entrance and an auxiliary lane will be added along the NB lanes to create enough width for dual left turn lanes. Grading and drive construction will likely be required along SR 66 and Epworth Road.



Project Purpose

The need for this project stems from a high number of crashes along SR 66. The crashes are predominantly rear-end with a considerable amount of eastbound (EB) and westbound (WB) left turn crashes. There were approximately 141 collisions at the intersection between 2014 and 2016. Approximately 76% of the crashes occurred along SR 66. The intersection is located approximately 1,500 feet east of the exit ramp from northbound (NB) I-69, which results in an undesirable weaving situation for vehicles exiting the interstate and turning left onto NB Epworth Road. The primary purpose of the project is to reduce the number of crashes within the intersection.

Environmental Document

Categorical Exclusion, Level 4 Released for Public Involvement - February 4, 2022

The project falls within the guidelines of Categories A-2, A-3, B-1, B-2 and B-3 under the Minor Projects Programmatic Agreement. All work will occur in previously disturbed soils, there are no archaeological concerns. For these reasons, no further consultation with INDOT Cultural Resources Office is required.

There are no Section 4(f) resources within or adjacent to the project area.

Environmental Permits Anticipated

IDEM Section 401 Water Quality Certification IDEM Construction Storm Water Permit U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 Permit

<u>Design Data</u>

SR 66

Project Design Criteria: 3R (Non-Freeway) Functional Classification: Principal Arterial Terrain: Level Design Speed: 50 mph Posted Speed Limit: 50 mph Access Control: Partial Number of Lanes and Width: 3 thru lanes @ 12 ft Left Turn Lane: 12 ft Maximum Right-of-Way Width: 260 ft; Minimum Right-of-Way Width: 120 ft from the center of the road



Epworth Road

Project Design Criteria: 3R (Non-Freeway) Functional Classification: Local Agency Urban Collector Terrain: Level Design Speed: 30 mph Posted Speed Limit: 30 mph Access Control: None Number of Lanes and Width: 2 thru lanes @ 12 ft Left Turn Lane: 12 ft Maximum Right-of-Way Width: 420 ft; Minimum Right-of-Way Width: 50 ft from the center of the road

Description of Right of Way

The project requires approximately 0.20 acre of permanent ROW from the German American Bank and the former Boston's restaurant at the northwest quadrant of the SR 66/Epworth Road intersection. The acquisition area is approximately 6 to 30 feet wide and 578 feet long and currently consists of parking lot, business signage, and maintained grass. The project also requires approximately 0.05 acre of temporary ROW from German American Bank that includes two separate areas, a 34-foot wide by 37-foot long area in the southeastern portion of the parking lot and a 125-foot long, 10-foot wide strip of maintained grass to the south of the bank's drive through area.

Estimated Cost

The overall estimated project cost is \$8.0 million, which includes design, right of way, construction, and utility costs.

Maintenance of Traffic During Construction

The MOT for the project will be carried out in three phases:

Phase 1 will restrict one through lane on WB SR 66 between I-69 and Grimm Road to construct improvements on the north side of SR 66 including the new SR 66 WB to I-69 NB entrance ramp. Two 10-foot through lanes will remain open, as well as a left turn lane to SB Epworth Road. The existing ramp will remain open during construction. In Phase 1, Epworth Road north of SR 66 will be restricted to one NB lane, one SB through and right-turn lane combined, and one SB left-turn lane.

Phase 2 will shift the traffic toward the outside, leaving two 10-foot through lanes in each direction. Work during this phase will be in the median of SR 66. Epworth Road will be unrestricted in its current lane configuration.



Phase 3 will restrict one through lane on EB SR 66 between I-69 and Grimm Road to construct improvements on the south side of SR 66 including the new I-69 NB to SR 66 EB exit ramp. There will also be a single lane restriction on WB SR 66 near the existing SR 66 WB to I-69 NB entrance ramp to remove the ramp pavement. Two 11-foot dual left-turn lanes will remain open, as well as a 12-foot through lane in each direction on Epworth Road south of SR 66. The existing SR 66 WB to I-69 NB entrance ramp will remain open during construction. Epworth Road north of SR 66 will be unrestricted in its current lane configuration.

During MOT Phases 2 and 3, detours will be in place for left turns. The detour for left turns onto SB Epworth Road from WB SR 66 will utilize the I-69 interchange ramps. The detour for left turns onto NB Epworth Road from EB SR 66 will utilize I-69 and SR 62. In addition, a wide load detour utilizing I-69, SR 62, and SR 261 will be in place for all phases.

<u>Schedule</u>

Public Hearing:	March 9, 2022
Final CE Document:	April 2022
Right-of-Way Process:	April 2022 – August 2022
Letting:	August 10, 2022
Construction:	September 2022 – December 2023





PUBLIC HEARING COMMENT SHEET SR 66 at Epworth Road Intersection Improvement Project

Please provide your comments, concerns, and/or suggestions regarding the proposed SR 66 at Epworth Road Intersection Improvement Project. Your comments are important to us, and we sincerely appreciate your time and participation during the public involvement process. Please submit comments by <u>Thursday, March 24th</u>, <u>2022</u>. Comments may be mailed or submitted via email to the contact below.

Holly Hume Lochmueller Group, Inc. 6200 Vogel Road Evansville, Indiana 47715 Phone: (812) 759-4107 Email: <u>hhume@lochgroup.com</u>

Hearing Date: March 9, 2022 Project: SR 66 at Epworth Road Intersection Improvement Project (Des. No. 1400195)

Name: (Please print) Address:
COMMENTS:

SIGNATURE:
STAMP

Holly Hume Lochmueller Group, Inc. 6200 Vogel Road Evansville, Indiana 47715



+2 from Long Center

SR 66 at Epworth Road Intersection Improvement Project (Des. No. 1400195) March 9, 2022 / 6:00 PM Friedman Park Event Center, Newburgh, IN

Note: Personal email addresses have been removed.

Name (Print)	Mailing Address	Email
David Schapker	Address: 3953 CLOVER DIVE	3
4	city: Newburgh state: IN zip: 47630	
Dal Ca	Address: 4510 FRATZULLE RD	
DAN GROMM	City: EVANSUILLE State: IN Zip: 47710	
	Address: Warrick County Chamber of Commerce	
Shari Shermah	City: State: Zip:	
	Address: YEUD Rosebud Ln	
Jim Morley Jr	city: Newburgh state: IN Zip: 47630	
1	Address: 1088 Old Plynk	
BAY Aller	City: Chundlen State: In Zip: 47610	
De Donali	Address: 225 (1055/4/ Dr.	
L'AUL PEILICY	City: Exchosv, 1/e State: IN Zip: 477/5	8
Chad Bennett	Address: P.U. Box 635	
Ohio Township Trustee	City: Newburgh State: IN Zip: 47629	
Gun Carrot	Address: 8765 Black Pa	
VOGN GREATENT	City: Marine State: 1- Zip: 4703	
Der Siller	Address: 10288W St Rt 44	
Dana Sciby	City: Newburgh State: IN Zip: U7630	



SR 66 at Epworth Road Intersection Improvement Project (Des. No. 1400195) March 9, 2022 / 6:00 PM Friedman Park Event Center, Newburgh, IN

Name (Print)	Mailing Address	Email
Herb Davis	Address: 2211 Kenyon Ridge Ct.	
	City: Neubursh State: IN Zip: 47630	
	Address: 603 E. Main SM	
Chadpippin	City: Norris City State: 12 Zip: 62869	^
	Address: 107. W. LOCUST STI	
STEVE HERDoch	City: BOODYILLE State: IN Zip: 47601	
INDIANA STATE POLE	Address: 675 18441 HCNY 41 N	
I OM WEBEL	City: EVANSMUE State: IV Zip: 47705	š
	Address: 1466 BELL ROAD	* ^
JACK GERHARDT	City: CHANDLER State: IN Zip: 47610	
	Address:	
	City: State: Zip:	4 ⁶ - a
	Address:	
	City: State: Zip:	
	Address:	
	City: State: Zip:	
	Address:	
	City: State: Zip:	



SR 66 at Epworth Road Intersection Improvement Project (Des. No. 1400195) March 9, 2022 / 6:00 PM Friedman Park Event Center, Newburgh, IN

Name (Print)	Ma	uiling Address		Email
SAN Saylor	Address: 7388 Bosma DR.	A.		
Commissioner	City: Newburgh	State: IN	Zip: 47630	
Carol	Address: 7011 Shamrocl	(Circle		
Schapker	city: newburgh	State: 1	Zip: 47630	
DAVID	Address: 5113W SHER	WOOD DR		
SANDINE	City: NEWBURGH	State: /N	Zip: 47630	1
Sean	Address: 5701 Lost Bend	Ln.		
Selby	city: Evolusville	State: DN	Zip: 47715	
1	Address:			
	City:	State:	Zip:	
	Address:			
	City:	State:	Zip:	
	Address:			
	City:	State:	Zip:	
	Address:			
	City:	State:	Zip:	
	Address:			
	City:	State:	Zip:	



SR 66 at Epworth Road Intersection Improvement Project (Des. No. 1400195) March 9, 2022 / 6:00 PM Friedman Park Event Center, Newburgh, IN

Name (Print)		Mailing Address	S. States High States	Email
	Address: 2366 BRIARC	CLIFF DR		
NAOMI CURTIS	City: NEWBURGH	State:N	Zip: 47630	
	Address:			
	City:	State:	Zip:	
	Address:			
	City:	State:	Zip:	
	Address:			
	City:	State:	Zip:	
	Address:			
	City:	State:	Zip:	
	Address:			
	City:	State:	Zip:	
	Address:			
	City:	State:	Zip:	
	Address:	й, 		
	City:	State:	Zip:	
	Address:			
	City:	State:	Zip:	

Public Hearing Virtual Attendees

Name	Agency/Organization
Greg Richmond	Warrick County
Butch Moors	Digestive Care Center
Jerry Blanton	Orthopaedic Associates
Mike Allen	Evansville Christian School
Carrie Teague	Deaconess Health System

Holly Hume

From:	Holly Hume
Sent:	Thursday, March 10, 2022 1:49 PM
To:	
Cc:	David Goffinet; Mark Brendel
Subject:	RE: Epworth/ St. Rt. 66 video

Hi Dana,

The link for the "INDOT Alternative Intersections - Displaced Left Turns" video is below. Please let me know if you have any questions.

<u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8D2a6qhU-nw</u> Thanks!

Holly



This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s), and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient(s), please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you!

From: David Goffinet <DGoffinet@lochgroup.com> Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 12:50 PM To: Mark Brendel <MBrendel2@lochgroup.com>; Holly Hume <HHume@lochgroup.com> Cc:

Subject: FW: Epworth/ St. Rt. 66 video

I'm glad to have had the chance as well. Mark or Holly will provide the link in response to this email.

Regards,

David

David Goffinet Regional Leader - Southwestern Indiana - Sr. Associate

Lochmueller Group

Direct: 812.759.4120 Mobile: 812.893.0642

From: Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 12:29 PM To: David Goffinet <<u>DGoffinet@lochgroup.com</u>> Subject: Epworth/ St. Rt. 66 video

Hello Mr. Goffinet,

I appreciate the time you took speaking with Dr. Selby and me last evening. As discussed, we would like to request the link to the video.

Thank you,

Dana Selby Practice Administrator The Lung Centre & STAT-CARE

(812) 401-5040 - Phone (812) 401-5070 - Fax

*Please note my email address has been changed to danas@selbymd.com

This e-mail and any attachments hereto may contain CONFIDENTIAL information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient or the intended recipients agent of this e-mail or communication, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized use, dissemination or copying of this e-mail or the information contained in it or attached to it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail or communication in error, please delete it and immediately notify the person named above by

reply e-mail or calling (812) 853-5864. Thank you.

Holly Hume

From:	Holly Hume
Sent:	Thursday, March 24, 2022 8:14 AM
То:	Butch Moors
Subject:	RE: Des 14000195 SR 66 at Epworth Road - Virtual Public Hearing Follow-Up
Attachments:	Des 1400195 MOT Phase 2 & 3 Detour Plan Sheets.pdf

Good morning,

I reached out to the project team and they anticipate each phase of the maintenance of traffic (MOT) to last 2-3 months. During MOT Phases 2 and 3, detours will be in place for left turns. The detour for left turns onto NB Epworth Road from EB SR 66 will utilize I-69 and SR 62. The detour for left turns onto SB Epworth Road from WB SR 66 will utilize the I-69 interchange ramp. I have attached plan sheets for your reference. Please let me know if you have any additional questions.

Thanks,

Holly



voul

From: Butch Moors

Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2022 9:56 AM To: Holly Hume <HHume@lochgroup.com> Subject: RE: Des 14000195 SR 66 at Epworth Road - Virtual Public Hearing Follow-Up

Hi Holly,

Could you also provide an estimated length of time that drivers will not be able to turn north onto Epworth from the Lloyd and also a description of the detours to Epworth. I believe this is part of Phase 2 of the project. Thanks, Butch

Butch Moors, CPA

Chief Financial Officer



From: Holly Hume <<u>HHume@lochgroup.com</u>>
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 7:57 AM
To: Butch Moors
Cc: Daniel Townsend <<u>DTownsend@lochgroup.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Des 14000195 SR 66 at Epworth Road - Virtual Public Hearing Follow-Up

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Hi Butch,

I have attached a pdf of the PowerPoint slides with the diagrams of the improved intersection. Please let me know if this is not what you are looking for or if you need anything else. Thanks,

Holly



This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s), and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient(s), please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you!

From: Butch Moors

Sent: Thursday, March 10, 2022 10:32 AM

To: Holly Hume <<u>HHume@lochgroup.com</u>>

Subject: RE: Des 14000195 SR 66 at Epworth Road - Virtual Public Hearing Follow-Up

Hi Holly, Could I also get the pictorial diagrams from last night's meeting. Thanks, Butch

Butch Moors, CPA Chief Financial Officer





PUBLIC HEARING COMMENT SHEET SR 66 at Epworth Road Intersection Improvement Project

Please provide your comments, concerns, and/or suggestions regarding the proposed SR 66 at Epworth Road Intersection Improvement Project. Your comments are important to us, and we sincerely appreciate your time and participation during the public involvement process. Please submit comments by <u>Thursday, March 24th</u>, <u>2022</u>. Comments may be mailed or submitted via email to the contact below.

Holly Hume Lochmueller Group, Inc. 6200 Vogel Road Evansville, Indiana 47715 Phone: (812) 759-4107 Email: <u>hhume@lochgroup.com</u>

Hearing Date: <u>March 9, 2022</u> Project: SR 66 at Epworth Road Intersection Improvement Projec <u>t (Des. No. 1400195)</u>
Name: (Please print) Dare Selby Address:
COMMENTS: At the presentation, it was discussed the reduction
of crash rates at several types of intersections - what is the
crash reduction rate of the proposed intersection design?
Has the number of additional stoplights been studied for
an assessment of increase or reduction of crashes? Why is
an over pass not being considered to eliminate a stiplight
on the highway? Or has it been considered and if so, what
are the crash increase or reduced percentenged?
·

SIGNATURE:

Des. No. 1400195 | 11700892ED



PUBLIC HEARING COMMENT SHEET SR 66 at Epworth Road Intersection Improvement Project

Please provide your comments, concerns, and/or suggestions regarding the proposed SR 66 at Epworth Road Intersection Improvement Project. Your comments are important to us, and we sincerely appreciate your time and participation during the public involvement process. Please submit comments by **Thursday, March 24th**, **2022.** Comments may be mailed or submitted via email to the contact below.

Holly Hume Lochmueller Group, Inc. 6200 Vogel Road Evansville, Indiana 47715 Phone: (812) 759-4107 Email: <u>hhume@lochgroup.com</u>

Hearing Date: March 9, 2022 Project: SR 66 at Epworth Road Intersection Improvement Project (Des. No. 1400195)
Name: (Please print) <u>Sean Selby</u> Address:
COMMENTS: As neutioned to the state engineer, contractor, and
in an inferview at the heaving, I am concerned about
this type of intersection and the quadrupaking of stoplights, It appears this may be a solution in search of a profilm
and I was concerned especially that the state engineer
could not answer my query to the ideal crash rate of
an ingo's ector of that hat up?
SIGNATURE: San alley
- 0

Des. No. 1400195 | 11700892ED

Commenter	Name/Organization/	Comment	Designer Response
1 1	Dana Selby	Written Comment:	Response to written comment:
1	Dana Selby The Lung Centre & STAT- CARE 10288 SR 66 Newburgh, IN 47630 March 10, 2022 (written comment)	 <u>Written Comment:</u> A. I appreciate the time you took speaking with Dr. Selby and me last evening. As discussed, we would like to request the link to the video. B. At the presentation, it was discussed the reduction of crash rates at several types of intersections - what is the crash reduction rate of the proposed intersection design? Has the number of additional stoplights been studied for an assessment of increase or reduction of crashes? Why is an overpass not being considered to eliminate a stoplight on the highway? Or has it been considered and if so, what are the crash 	 <u>Response to written comment:</u> A. A link to the INDOT Alternative Intersections - Displaced Left Turn Video was provided on March 10, 2022. B. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) states a displaced left turn should provide a 24% reduction in crashes. However, reducing congestion for SR 66 through movements should provide additional reduction in rear- end crashes. The FHWA crash reduction rate includes the installation of signals to displace the left turns. Assuming overpass means a grade-separated interchange, this option was not analyzed since it would require the closure of Venetian Drive / Epworth Crossing
	March 24, 2022	increase or reduced percentages?	and the Deaconess entrance due to their
	(written comment)	Written Comment:	proximity to SR 66.
2	Digestive Care Center	A. Could I also get the pictorial diagrams from last night's meeting?	A. Meeting graphics were provided on March 14, 2022.
	3800 Venetian Way Newburgh, IN 47630 March 10, 2022	 B. Could you also provide an estimated length of time that drivers will not be able to turn north onto Epworth from the Lloyd and also a description of the detours to Epworth. I believe this is part of Phase 2 of the project. 	 B. It is anticipated that each phase of the maintenance of traffic (MOT) will last 2-3 months. During MOT Phases 2 and 3, detours will be in place for left turns. The detour for left turns onto NB Epworth Road from EB SR
	(written comment) March 17, 2022 (written comment)		left turns onto SB Epworth Road from WB SR 66 will utilize the I-69 interchange ramp.

		-	
Commenter No.	Name/Organization/ Comment Date	Comment	Designer Response
3	Sean Selby March 24, 2022 (written comment)	 <u>Written Comment:</u> A. As mentioned to the state engineer, contractor, and in an interview at the hearing, I am concerned about this type of intersection and the quadrupling of stoplights. It appears this may be a solution in search of a problem and I was concerned especially that the state engineer could not answer my query to the ideal crash rate of an intersection of that nature. 	Response to written comment:A.Regarding the additional stoplights, green time for SR 66 through traffic will actually be increased under this design. The lights that cross traffic into the displaced left-turn lanes will be green while the SR 66 is stopped to allow north-south traffic through at Epworth Road. The time that is currently dedicated in the SR 66/Epworth signal for SR 66 left-turns onto Epworth Road will no longer be needed, which creates more green time for SR 66 through traffic. Regarding the crash rate, the crash rate of the intersection was compared to intersections with similar geometry and