nty _	Ripley	Route	SR 6	2 over Laughery k	Des. No.	170018	35
C.	ATEGORICAL E	EXCLUSIO	N/ENV	vironmental Docu VIRONMEN JECT INFORMAT	TAL ASSE	SSMENT	FORM
Roa	d No./County:	State	Road (SR)	62/Ripley Cou	nty		
Desi	gnation Number:	17001	.85				
After	completing this form, I corw/approve if Level 4 CE):	<u> </u>	•	cture Replaceme			•
X	Categorical Exclusion Level 2 - table 1, CE						
	Categorical Exclusion Level 3 - table 1, CE						
	Categorical Exclusion Level 4 - table 1, CE					tegorical Excl	usion Manual
	Environmental Assis necessary to determ						ocumentation
	For documents prepared by or d to release for public involver			sion, it is not necessar	y for the ESM of the	district in which t	the project is
App	roval						
	ESM Signature		Date	ES Signatur	e	Date	;
Rele	ase for Public Involvem	FHWA Sign nent	nature	D	ate		
ESM	Initials	Date		ES Initials		Date	
Cert	ification of Public Invol			Involvement	Date	_	
Note:	Do not approve until after	Section 106 pub	lic involveme	nt and all other env	ironmental require	ments have been	n satisfied.
	T ES/District Env. wer Signature:			Е	Pate:		
Name	and Organization of CE/EA Pr	reparer: Christia	an Radeliff, Gr	een 3, LLC			

SR 62 over Laughery Creek Bridge Rehabilitation Date: September 9, 2020

This is page 1 of 22 Project name:

County	Ripley	Route	SR 62 over Laughery Creek	Des. No.	1700185
,		— Dort I DII			
			IBLIC INVOLVE		
Every Fedei project deve	ral action requires som lopment process. The l	ne level of public involve evel of public involve	lvement, providing for e ment should be comme	arly and continuous ensurate with the pr	opportunities throughout the oposed action.
_				Yes	No
If N	o, then:		I under the Historic Bridg	es PA*?	X
(Opportunity for a Public	Hearing Required?		_ X	
	aring is required for all PO, and the ACHP.	historic bridges proces	ssed under the Historic E	Bridges Programmatio	c Agreement between INDOT
					esidents (i.e. notice of entry)
<i>meetings, sp</i> Remarks:	Notice of entry letters	were mailed to potentia	etc.) have occurred for the lly affected property owner	ers near the project are	
			luals responsible for land son is included in Appendix (ities may be seen in the
			nents described in the cu		
	comment and/or reque	st a public hearing. There	equires the project sponsor efore, a legal notice will ap	pear in a local publicat	ion contingent upon the
	are fulfilled.	nt for public involvement	. This document will be rev	rised after the public inv	volvement requirements
Dublic Co.	otrovorov on Environn	cental Craumda			Voc. No.
	ntroversy on Environn nject involve substantial		g community and/or natu	ral resource impacts?	Yes No X
Remarks:	At this time there is n	o substantial controversy	concerning impacts to the	community or to natura	l resources.
<u>Part</u>	II - General P	<u>roject Identific</u>	ation, Descript	tion, and Des	sign Information
Sponsor of	the Project:	Indiana Department of	Transportation (INDOT)	INDOT Dis	strict: Seymour
•	e of the Facility:	SR 62			
Funding So	ource (<i>mark all that app</i>	ly): Federal X	State X Local	Other*	
*If other is	selected, please identif	y the funding source:			

SR 62 over Laughery Creek Bridge Rehabilitation Date: September 9, 2020

This is page 2 of 22 Project name:

County	Ripley	F		SR 62 over Laughery Creek	Des. No.	1700185
PURPOS	SE AND NEED					
n this section Need: The need for 9 and are in penetrate the exhibits admost meet the 2019 INDO Purpose: The purpose meet the minute of the purpose of t	on. (Refer to the or this project arise a serious condition he superstructure. It wanced deteriorate e current design so or inspection report in this project is inimum design cr	ses from deterioration. There is moderate This is causing addition. Additionally, the standard of 34 feet 6 fort, which indicates the stop of the provide a structure.	n of the existing to severe cracki itional water dare bridge superstructions. The bridge at it is deficient at with an overal adway width of 3	structure. The bridge d ing in the bridge beams mage to the superstruct acture provides a clear ge received a sufficience for vehicular traffic.	eck and wearing surface and wearing surface ure. The superstructor roadway width of 28 by rating of 58.0 out of	Face are both rated 3 out of that are allowing water to the is rated 4 out of 9 and 18 feet 2 inches, which does of 100 in the December 12, burpose of this project is to
County:	Ripley		Municipali	ty: Friendship		
Limits of P	roposed Work:					SR 62 and Cave Hill Road I (Appendix B, pages 9 to
Total Worl	k Length:	0.039 (205 feet)	_ Mile(s)	Total Work Area	a: <u>0.915</u>	Acre(s)
If yes, who	en did the FHWA	A grant a conditiona	al approval for t		·	Yes¹ No X Date: 'A with a request for final
oreferred al	ternative. Inclu		ogical termini.	le in detail the scope Discuss any major is		iect, including the t and how the project will
This is	page 3 of 22	Project name:	SR 62 over Lai	ighery Creek Bridge Ro	ehabilitation	Date: September 9, 2020

SR 62 over Laughery Creek Bridge Rehabilitation Date: September 9, 2020

			SR 62 over Laughery		
County	Ripley	Route	Creek	Des. No.	1700185

Project Location

The project is in southeastern Ripley County in Brown Township, Indiana. Specifically, the project is in Section 14, Township 6 North, Range 12 East as shown on the Cross Plains, Indiana US Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5-minute quadrangle map. The project termini are the west bridge approach, which is approximately 205 feet west of the intersection of SR 62 and Cave Hill Road, and the east bridge approach, which is at the intersection of SR 62 and Cave Hill Road. This project is not within a Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) area of jurisdiction. The project will be approximately 0.039 mile/205 feet in length. A project location map, USGS topographic map, and 2016 aerial map are included in Appendix B, pages 1 to 3.

Existing Conditions

The existing roadway provides a two-lane cross section with travel lanes that are 11 feet wide. Approach shoulders are present that are 5 feet in width. The roadway provides approximately 32 feet of clear roadway width and is generally in good condition. No concrete bridge approaches are present but HMA wedges were installed in a bridge rehabilitation in 1982. SR 62 is classified as a rural major collector. The roadway has a posted speed limit of 45 miles per hour (mph). No pedestrian facilities are present in the project area. The surrounding land use is primarily forested floodplain. The Friendship Volunteer Fire Department is located immediately east of the project area at the intersection of SR 62 and Cave Hill Road. Residential properties are present east of the project area, and an entrance to the Old Mill Campground and Flea Market is located within the project area near the eastern project terminus.

The existing bridge a three-span continuous prestressed concrete side-by-side box beam bridge that is approximately 202 feet in length and provides 28 feet 2 inches of clear roadway width. The bridge is not considered historic in the Indiana Historic Bridge Inventory. The bridge deck and wearing surface are both rated 3 out of 9 and are in serious condition, and the superstructure is rated 4 out of 9 and exhibits advanced deterioration. Additionally, the bridge clear roadway width is 28 feet, 2 inches, which does not meet current design standards. The bridge received a sufficiency rating of 58.0 out of 100 in the December 12, 2019 INDOT inspection report, which indicates that it is deficient for vehicular traffic. Overhead electrical and communication lines and two water lines are present in the vicinity of the project area.

<u>Preferred Alternative – Rehabilitation of the existing bridge by installing a new Continuous Composite Prestressed Concrete Type II I-Beam Superstructure</u>

The preferred alternative is to rehabilitate the existing bridge. The superstructure of the bridge will be replaced, including the bridge deck, beams, and guardrails. The new bridge superstructure will consist of semi integral end bents, concrete bridge railing, and guardrail transitions and will be approximately 37 feet 6 inches in width and will provide a clear roadway width of 34 feet 6 inches. Riprap will be placed in the channel for bank stabilization and the piers and footings will be widened to accommodate the additional bridge deck width. New HMA approach wedges will be installed to tie into the new bridge deck. The utility lines located in the vicinity of the project area will not be impacted. Project plans depicting the details of the scope of work are in Appendix B, pages 9 to 15. Traffic will be maintained through phased construction and a detour route for truck traffic. This alternative meets the purpose and need of the project by providing a superstructure that has a rating of at least 8 out of 9, provides the required clear roadway width of at least 34 feet 6 inches, and has minimal impact to the social and physical environment; therefore, it was selected as the preferred alternative.

OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

Describe all discarded alternatives, including the Do-Nothing Alternative and an explanation of why each discarded alternative was not selected.

The alternatives outlined below were considered in addition to the preferred alternative.

Alternative 1: Do Nothing

This alternative involves no work on the existing bridge or associated features and would not involve the use of any funds. This alternative would have no impacts to the social or physical environment; however, the bridge would continue to deteriorate. The bridge would eventually be subject to restrictive load ratings and potential closure if unaddressed. This alternative does not meet the purpose and need of this project because it does not improve the rating of the superstructure to at least 8 out of 9 or provide a clear roadway width of at least 34 feet 6 inches; therefore, it was discarded from further consideration.

Alternative 2: Rehabilitation of the existing bridge by installing a new Continuous Composite Spread Prestressed Concrete Box Beam Superstructure

This alternative involves replacing the existing superstructure with a new Continuous Composite Spread Prestressed Concrete Box Beam superstructure. This would closely mirror the existing superstructure and would be structurally sufficient for the proposed bridge cross section. This alternative addresses the purpose and need of the project by improving the rating of the superstructure to at least 8 out of 9 and providing a roadway width of at least 34 feet 6 inches; however, the added weight of this type of superstructure would require

This is page 4 of 22	Project name:	SR 62 over Laughery Creek Bridge Rehabilitation	Date:	September 9, 2020
			=	

SR 62 over Laughery 1700185 Ripley County Route Des. No. substantially higher construction costs due to the need for heavier cranes to install the superstructure. Additionally, the construction would be difficult due to the placement of the beams that would be used Therefore, this alternative was discarded from consideration. Alternative 3: Rehabilitation of the existing bridge by installing a new Continuous Composite Steel I-Beam Superstructure This alternative involves replacing the existing superstructure with a new Continuous Composite Steel I-Beam superstructure. The steel beams are lighter weight than the preferred alternative but would require several interior diaphragms and field splices. Additionally, this bridge would sit lower than the preferred alternative and may be subject to additional corrosion from high water events. This alternative addresses the project purpose and need by improving the rating of the superstructure to at least 8 out of 9 and provides a clear roadway width of at least 34 feet 6 inches; however, the potential for water damage that requires future maintenance is higher due to the lower profile of the bridge. Therefore, it was discarded from consideration. The Do Nothing Alternative is not feasible, prudent or practicable because (Mark all that apply): It would not correct existing capacity deficiencies; It would not correct existing safety hazards; It would not correct the existing roadway geometric deficiencies; It would not correct existing deteriorated conditions and maintenance problems; or It would result in serious impacts to the motoring public and general welfare of the economy. Other (Describe) **ROADWAY CHARACTER:** SR 62: Functional Classification: Major Rural Collector Current ADT: 404 VPD (2022) Design Year ADT: 509 VPD (2042) Design Hour Volume (DHV): 47 Truck Percentage (%) 23% Designed Speed (mph): 45 Legal Speed (mph): 45 **Existing Proposed** Number of Lanes: 2 Type of Lanes: **HMA** HMA Pavement Width: 32 ft. 32 ft. Shoulder Width: ft. ft. 5 5 Median Width: ft. ft. N/A N/A Sidewalk Width: ft. ft. N/A N/A Setting: Urban Suburban Rural Topography: Level Rolling Hilly

SR 62 over Laughery 1700185 Ripley Creek County Route Des. No. Cave Hill Road: Functional Classification: N/A VPD (2022) VPD (2042) Current ADT: N/A Design Year ADT: N/A Design Hour Volume (DHV): Truck Percentage (%) N/A N/A Designed Speed (mph): N/A Legal Speed (mph): N/A **Existing Proposed** Number of Lanes: Type of Lanes: Asphalt Asphalt Pavement Width: Varies ft. Varies ft. Shoulder Width: N/A ft. N/A ft. Median Width: N/A ft. N/A ft. Sidewalk Width: N/A ft. N/A ft. Setting: Urban Suburban Rural Topography: Level Rolling Hilly If the proposed action has multiple roadways, this section should be filled out for each roadway. **DESIGN CRITERIA FOR BRIDGES:** 58.0/100 (December 12, 2019) Structure/NBI Number(s): Sufficiency Rating: 062-69-05860 B/NBI 022530 INDOT Inspection Report) (Rating, Source of Information) **Existing Proposed** Bridge Type: Continuous Prestressed Concrete Continuous Composite Prestressed Side-by-Side Box Beam Concrete Type II I-Beam Number of Spans: 3 3 Weight Restrictions: N/A N/A ton ton Height Restrictions: N/A ft. N/A ft. Curb to Curb Width: 28.2 ft. 34-6 ft-in Outside to Outside Width: 30.2 37.5 ft. ft. Shoulder Width: ft. ft. 4.0 east/8.5 N/A west Length of Channel Work: 240 ft. Describe bridges and structures; provide specific location information for small structures.

Remarks:

Bridge 062-69-05860 A carries SR 62 over Laughery Creek and will be rehabilitated as part of this project. The existing structure is not considered historic in the Indiana Historic Bridges Collection. The rehabilitation of the structure will include removing and replacing the existing superstructure of the bridge, including the bridge deck, beams, and guardrails. The new bridge superstructure will consist of semi integral end bents, concrete bridge railing, and guardrail transitions and will be approximately 37 feet 6 inches in width and will provide a clear roadway width of 34 feet 6 inches. Riprap will be placed in the channel for bank stabilization and the piers and footings will be widened to accommodate the additional bridge deck width. New HMA approach wedges will be installed to tie into the new bridge deck.

The existing corrugated metal equalizer pipe culvert east of the existing bridge will be removed and replaced with 15 feet of new pipe. Project plans depicting the bridge and culvert work are shown in Appendix B, pages 9 to 15.

This is page 6 of 22	Project name:	SR 62 over Laughery Creek Bridge Rehabilitation	_ Date:	September 9, 2020
		Form Version: June 2013 Attachment 2		

County	Ripley	Route	SR 62 over Laughery Creek	Des. No.	1700185	
Will the str	ucture be rehabilitated or repla	ced as part of t	he project?	Yes X	No	N/A
	sed action has multiple bridges			e filled out for each	structure.	
MAINTEN	IANCE OF TRAFFIC (MOT) DURING CO	DNSTRUCTION:			
Is a tempor Will the pro- Provision Provision Provision Will the pro-	rary bridge proposed? rary roadway proposed? rary roadway proposed? rappect involve the use of a detougles will be made for access by the small be made for through-trains will be made to accommodate posed MOT substantially chartestantial controversy associate	local traffic and affic dependent ate any local sp age the environ	I so posted. businesses. pecial events or festivals. mental consequences of th		Yes X X X X	No X X X
Remarks:	The MOT for the project will r completed by leaving one side second phase will divert traff reconstructed. The detour route A temporary traffic signal will Campground and Flea Market existing alternate entrance to residences and businesses will roadways, and will not substa Appendix B, pages 10 to 12. Construction will pose a ten services); however, no signific Delays may occur during constructions.	of the bridge op- ic to the newly e for trucks will be installed to f on the east side that property w be maintained. In thially change the property inconve- cant delays are	en while the other side of the constructed side of the brid utilize SR 50 and SR 129 and facilitate traffic movement alo of the project bridge will be ill remain open on SR 62 i Maintenance of traffic will not be environmental consequence in the consequence of traveling motorist anticipated, and all inconver	bridge is demolished dige while the other standard will be approximate ong the bridge. The ecclosed for the duration the Town of Frient in the Town of Frient include any temporate of the action. The tast (including school priences will cease up	and reconstructed is demolished 20.8 miles in the entrance to the Connection of constructed diship. Access any crossings, tell MOT plan is significant to the connection of the entrance of th	ted. The hed and in length. Old Mill ion. The to local imporary hown in hergency
ESTIMAT	ED PROJECT COST AND	SCHEDULE:				
•	e 2020-2024 STIP will be upda		ect Manager at the end of	,	\$ <u>2,325,875</u> ment process)	(2022)
•	Start Date of Construction:	Spring 2022				
Date projec	ct incorporated into STIP	020-2024 STIP, No	, July 2, 2019 (Appendix H,	, page 2)		
Is the proje	ect in an MPO Area?	X				
If yes,						
Name of I	MPO N/A					
Location	of Project in TIP N/A					
Date of in	corporation by reference into t	he STIP N/	A			_
This is	page 7 of 22 Project name:	SR 62 over	· Laughery Creek Bridge Reha	abilitation	Date: Sept	ember 9, 2020

County	Ripley Route SR 62 or Creek	ver Laughery D	es. No.	1700185
RIGHT O	WAY:			
			mount (acre	s)
	Land Use Impacts	Permanent		Temporary
Commercia	al	0.136		0.00
Agricultura		0.714		0.00
	TOTAL	0.850		0.00
	area. Temporary ROW is not anticipated to be required for t	and project.		
	Existing ROW limits are the same width as the project brid approximately 20 feet from the centerline of SR 62. The Laughery Creek. The maximum proposed ROW width is a feet south of the centerline of SR 62. Advance acquisition of the project as proposed.	existing ROW use is the pproximately 90 feet no	ne project brid orth of the cen	ge carrying SR 62 over sterline of SR 62 and 70
Pa	approximately 20 feet from the centerline of SR 62. The Caughery Creek. The maximum proposed ROW width is a feet south of the centerline of SR 62. Advance acquisition of SR 62.	existing ROW use is the pproximately 90 feet not reacquisition is not a mounts change, the IND be contacted immediate	ne project brid orth of the cen nticipated to b OOT Environn ly.	ge carrying SR 62 over terline of SR 62 and 70 be necessary to complete mental Services Division
	approximately 20 feet from the centerline of SR 62. The Laughery Creek. The maximum proposed ROW width is a feet south of the centerline of SR 62. Advance acquisition of the project as proposed. If the scope of work or permanent or temporary ROW an (ESD) and the INDOT District Environmental Section will be approximately 20 feet from the centerline of SR 62. The or Laughery Creek.	existing ROW use is the pproximately 90 feet not reacquisition is not a mounts change, the IND be contacted immediate	ne project brid orth of the cen nticipated to b OOT Environn ly.	ge carrying SR 62 over terline of SR 62 and 70 be necessary to complete mental Services Division
A	approximately 20 feet from the centerline of SR 62. The Laughery Creek. The maximum proposed ROW width is a feet south of the centerline of SR 62. Advance acquisition of the project as proposed. If the scope of work or permanent or temporary ROW an (ESD) and the INDOT District Environmental Section will art III — Identification and Evaluation	existing ROW use is the pproximately 90 feet not reacquisition is not a mounts change, the IND be contacted immediate	ne project brid orth of the cen nticipated to b OOT Environn ly.	ge carrying SR 62 over terline of SR 62 and 70 be necessary to complete mental Services Division
A	approximately 20 feet from the centerline of SR 62. The Laughery Creek. The maximum proposed ROW width is a feet south of the centerline of SR 62. Advance acquisition of the project as proposed. If the scope of work or permanent or temporary ROW an (ESD) and the INDOT District Environmental Section will art III — Identification and Evaluation	existing ROW use is the pproximately 90 feet not reacquisition is not a mounts change, the IND be contacted immediate	ne project brid orth of the cen nticipated to b OOT Environn ly.	ge carrying SR 62 over terline of SR 62 and 70 be necessary to complete mental Services Division

staff, the aerial map of the project area (Appendix B, page 3), and the water resources map in the Red Flag Investigation (RFI) report (Appendix E, page 9), there are 15 streams located within the 0.5 mile search radius. There are two streams present within or adjacent to the project area.

A Waters of the U.S. Determination / Wetland Delineation Report was approved by INDOT Ecology and Waterway Permitting Office on May 28, 2020. Please refer to Appendix F, pages 1 to 36 for the Waters of the U.S. Determination / Wetland Delineation Report. It was determined that four jurisdictional streams are within the project area. Laughery Creek was given an average rating in the waters report due to the presence of riffle/run complexes, high sinuosity, moderate erosion, moderate in stream cover, and perennial flow conditions. Unnamed Tributary (UNT) 1, UNT 2, and UNT 3 to Laughery Creek. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) makes all final determinations regarding

This is page 8 of 22 Project name: SR 62 over Laughery Creek Bridge Rehabilitation Date: Septer	ember 9, 2020
---	---------------

	Dinloy	5 .	SR 62 over Laug	-	1700185				
ounty _	Ripley	Route	Creek	Des. No.	1700185				
Ī	jurisdiction.								
	Jurisdiction. No Federal, Wild and Scenic Rivers or State Natural, Scenic, and Recreational Rivers are within the project area. Laughery Creek is considered a navigable waterway; is listed on the National Rivers Inventory; and is considered an Outstanding River or Indiana because it meets the criteria for National River Inventory streams, Federal Public Land Rivers, which are potential additions to the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System, and the State Heritage Program Sites, which are waterways that have outstanding ecological value. Impacts to Laughery Creek will include approximately 161 feet of riprap placement for channel protection. Complete avoidance of these impacts would not address the scour on the bridge. A Section 401 Water Quality Certification (WQC) and Section 404 Regional General Permit (RGP) will be required for impacts to Laughery Creek. A Section 9 Permit for impacts to a navigable waterway will not be required for construction (see coordination information below). UNT 1 to Laughery Creek and UNT 2 to Laughery Creek will be impacted by construction activities. UNT 1 to Laughery Creek will have approximately 22 feet of impacts and UNT 2 to Laughery Creek will have approximately 57 feet of impacts. Mitigation for impacts to jurisdictional waterways within the project area will not be required because impacts will be below the 300 linear feet threshold. Early coordination letters were sent to the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), US Coast Guard (USCG), US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM), and Indiana Department of Natural Resources (IDNR) on March 13, 2020. The USACE did not respond to the early coordination letter. The USFWS responded on June 9, 2020 with recommendations to avoid or minimize impacts to waterways within the project area. These included restricting waterway work in streams to the placement of riprap, restricting channel work to the extent needed to install any structures, and to minimize the amount of hard armor bank								
	entering the stream disturbed streamba	m, minimizing in-channel nks following construction	disturbance, limiting. All applicable USF	p, implementation of measure g excavation in low flow a WS and IDNR recommenda	areas, and protecting all				
	Environmental Cor	nmitments section of this C	E document.						
eservoirs kes rm Ponds etention Ba	ce Waters Isins Management Fac	ilities	<u>Pr</u>	esence Impar	ots No				
marks:	staff, the 2016 aer (Appendix E, page present within the p	rial map of the project are 9) there are three other su project area; therefore, no in S. Determination / Wetland	ea (Appendix B, pag rface waters within the mpacts are expected. A Delineation Report	waters report site visit on A e 3), and the water resource 0.5 mile search radius. No was approved by the INDO ges 1 to 36 for the <i>Waters of</i>	e map in the RFI report other surface waters are \(\Gamma\) Ecology and Waterway				
	Early coordination not respond to the impacts to other s	letters were sent to the US early coordination letter. Usurface waters (Appendix	FWS, USACE, USC SCG responded on Ju C, page 19). USFW	e waters are within the projects, IDEM, and IDNR on Martine 5, 2020 but did not have a responded on June 9, 2020 to 21). IDEM responded w	ch 13, 2020. USACE did any recommendations for 20 but did not have any				

response on March 13, 2020 with recommendations to obtain the proper permits for impacts to other surface waters

	Ripley	Route	SR 62 over Laughery Creek	Des. No.	1700185
		ppendix C, pages 1	on April 9, 2020 but did no 6 to 18). All applicable ago E document.		
Wetlands			Presence	<u>Impa</u> Yes	acts No
Total wetla	and area: 0.0	acre(s) To	tal wetland area impacted:	<u>0.0</u> ac	re(s)
If a determ	ination has not been mad	e for non-isolated/is	solated wetlands, fill in the t	otal wetland area ir	npacted above.)
<i>N</i> etlands (Mark all that apply)		<u>Documentation</u>	ES Ap	pproval Dates
Wetland De	etermination		X	N	Iay 28, 2020
	lated Waters Determination	on			
Substa Unique Substa The pro	entially increased project of engineering, traffic, main intial adverse social, econoject not meeting the iden of avoid, minimize, and minimiz	osts; Intenance, or safety Intenance Intena		Inventory (NWI 25, 2020 and waters of 2), and the RFI report of wetlands present waters of the Waters of the Waters of the Maters of the Waters of the Maters of the Waters of the Water	report site visit on April rt (Appendix E page 9), within or adjacent to the Ecology and Waterway the U.S. Determination /
	not respond to the early about wetlands (Appendi	coordination letter. Ux C, page 19). USFV	ACE, USCG, USFWS, IDEM USCG responded on June 5, 2 VS responded on June 9, 2020 21). IDEM responded on Ma	2020 but did not issu O but did not issue ar	e any recommendations ny recommendations for

				SR 62 over L	aughery			
County	Ripley		Route	Creek		Des. No.	1700	0185
Terrestrial Unique or H	Habitat High Quality Ha	bitat		<u>Pro</u>	<u>X</u>	Impacts Yes X	No	
Use the remarks:	Based on a de the project are area. The importance in the agricultural field tree species the found along the removal will project, as the clearing trees of species or the be approximal	ntify each type of a sktop review, a site a (Appendix B, pag- nediate project area trance to the Old M elds beyond the imrat are indigenous to he roadside. Impact be necessary for co- re are no areas of a needed for constru- hat are conducive to telly 0.915 acre. (6)	e visit on Februa ge 3), there are sa consists of profill Campground mediate project to southern India ts to forested aronstruction according forest that ction access. The	ary 25, 2020 and stands of trees we rimarily forested and Flea Mark area to the west and that can be foreas will include ess. No habitat will be divided, his project is no ies introduction.	April 14, 2020 vithin the forest d floodplain an et is located with of the project a bund in floodplate e removal of ap fragmentation Impacts to tent t anticipated to The total area	O by Green 3 stated floodplain in defended in the immedia area. Forested area ins. Areas of enproximately 0.1 is anticipated to restrial habitat we create condition disturbed by con	the vicinity reels east the project the pr	6 aerial map of y of the project of the existing area. There are various native getation can be trees. This tree a result of the imized by only yent movement is anticipated to
	did not respo revegetate all plan for any u Indiana bat or USFWS respo methods with standard spec (Appendix C, recommendati agency recom	ation letters were seend to the early condisturbed areas as navoidable habitat in Northern Long-early and the property of the pages 20 to 21). The property of	soon as possible impacts that will red bat roosting 2020 with record soil, to revent clear trees IDEM responder permits founded in the Enveloper of the Envelop	er. The IDNR le upon complei ll occur within the from April 1 the ommendations or understory ed on March 12 or impacts to terrorize to terrorize area, or in project area, or in the component of	responded on action of construction of construction floodway; and rough Septemb to implement the dareas upon vegetation of the construction of the	April 9, 2020 we tion; to develop d to limit the rener 30. (Appendix temporary erosic project complete the construction automatic Appendix C, pagon of this CE documents.)	and submodular and submodular and submodular and section follow ruction zonated responses 3 to 9).	mendations to an antigation ees suitable for 16 to 18). The diment control ving INDOT's one boundaries one letter with All applicable
Karst Is the p	proposed project st features loca	et located within or ated within or adja	r adjacent to th	ne potential Ka otprint of the pr		diana?	'es	No X X
	Based on a de 13, 1993 Me (Appendix B, project area. I indicate that k high liquefact gravel resource because there	e project impact a ntify any karst feat 193) sktop review, the programmer of Und page 2), the RFI re In the early coording arst features exist in tion potential, occur are no mining fall with the designer	roject is located derstanding (M port (Appendix nation response n the project are s within a flood wells are presental idition of petrol	l outside the des (OU). Accordin E, page 9), there dated March ea (Appendix C, dway, has a lownt within 0.5 mi oleum wells within 0.5 mi	ignated karst reg to the USG e are no karst for 16, 2020, the I pages 10 to 12 potential for integration of the project thin the project	gion of Indiana as S topographic reatures identified ndiana Geologic b). They also indian pacting bedrook t area. These fea	as outlined map of th within or al Survey cated that k resource tures will	in the October e project area adjacent to the (IGS) did not the project has s and sand and not be affected
This is p	page 11 of 22	Project name:	SR 62 over I	Laughery Creek	Bridge Rehabil	itation	_ Date:	September 9, 2020

			SR 62 over Laughe	ry	
County	Ripley	Route	Creek	Des. No.	1700185
				<u>Presence</u>	<u>Impacts</u>
Threatene	ed or Endangered	Species			Yes No
		any federal species		X	X
		ed within project area			
		project area (based upon info			
State s	pecies found in pro	ject area (based upon consi	ultation with IDNR)		
Is Sect	ion 7 formal consul	tation required for this actior	Ye:	S No X	
Remarks:	2020, the IDNR in (Appendix E, located within th pages 16 to 18), rare species are k Project informati an official speciendangered Indi	top review and the RFI report Ripley County Endangered, TI pages 12 to 13). The highlight e county. According to the ID the Natural Heritage Program' nown to occur within the proje on was submitted through the es list was generated (Appen ana bat (Myotis sodalis) and No additional species were found to the solution of the solution o	hreatened and Rare (ET ted species on the list in NR early coordination is Database has been of the ct area. There were no USFWS's Information dix C, pages 36 to 4 dig the federally threat	FR) Species List has been deflect the federal and state response letter dated Aprinecked and the no state encritical habitats identified value for Planning and Consult 11. The project is within tened northern long-eared	checked and is included identified ETR species 19, 2020 (Appendix C, dangered, threatened, or within the project area. ation (IPaC) portal, and range of the federally bat (NLEB) (Myotis
	bat (NLEB), date Transit Administ the responses pre NLEB (Appendi USFWS's review was concluded commitments in This precludes the Act, as amended.	fies for the Range-wide Progra and May 2016 (revised February ration (FTA), and USFWS. Are ovided, the project was found at C, pages 22 to 35). INDOT we of the finding. No response we they concur with the finding the Environmental Commitmer the need for further consultation. If new information on endang contacted for consultation.	2018), between FHWA and effect determination was affect, not like reviewed and verified was received from USF. Avoidance and Mittage and M	A, Federal Railroad Admin tey was completed on Marcely to adversely affect" the the effect finding on AprifWS within the 14-day revigation Measures (AMMs ment.	istration (FRA), Federal th 5, 2020, and based on the Indiana bat and/or the 16, 2020 and requested the period; therefore, it is are included as firm the Endangered Species
	USFWS will be o	contacted for consultation.			
SECTION	N B – OTHER RE	SOURCES			
			Pres		pacts
	Water Resources			Yes	No
	ad Protection Area			v	W.
	Water System(s) ntial Well(s)		<u> </u>	X	X
	Water Protection	Area(s)			
	ource Aquifer (SSA				
	A is present, answ	•			
	•	-	<u> Y</u>	es No	
		St. Joseph Aquifer System?			
		SA MOU Applicable?			
		ssessment Required? er Assessment Required?			
D.	otanoa Groundwate	n noocoomont Nequileu!			

This is page 12 of 22 Project name: SR 62 over Laughery Creek Bridge Rehabilitation Date: September 9, 2020

County	Ripley	Route	SR 62 over Laughery Creek	Des. No.	1700185
Remarks:	The project is in Ripley of legally designated sole so (EPA) Sole Source Aquit expected.	urce aquifer in the s	state of Indiana. Therefore	e, the FHWA/Environn	nental Protection Agency
	The Indiana Departm (http://www.in.gov/idem/c not located within a Wellh	eleanwater/pages/wel		March 13, 2020 by Gree	en 3 staff. This project is
	The Indiana Depar (https://www.in.gov/dnr/w projet. Therefore, no impa	vater/3595.htm) was			
	Based on a desktop review 2020, and the RFI report expected.				
	Based on a desktop review the project area (Appendix				
			Pres		pacts
Transve Project	ns dinal Encroachment erse Encroachment located within a regulated located in floodplain within			Yes X X X X	No
Discuss imp Remarks:	Based on a desktop reviewebsite (http://dnrmaps.dr located in a regulatory flocoordination letter was se not respond within the 30 indicated that this project mitigation plan due to imp Category 3 per the curren project will result in an ir increase in flood heights at the natural and beneficial do not have substantial penas been determined that the	ew of The Indiana ar.in.gov/appsphp/fdr odplain as determine on March 13, 2020 and time frame. The will require formal areacts to vegetation with the INDOT CE Manual assubstantial change is and flood limits. The floodplain values; the tential for interruption	Department of Natural Rms/) by Green 3 staff on Med from approved IDNR for the local Floodplain are IDNR responded to the approval under the IDNR thin the floodway (Appen al, which states, "the mode in their capacity to carry feese minimal increases will not result in subston or termination of emer	Resources Indiana Floo March 13, 2020, and the Hoodplain maps (Apper Administrator. The flo e early coordination let for construction in a fl dix C, pages 16 to 18). Hifications to drainage s Hood water. This chang I not result in any substantial change in flood	dway Information Portal RFI report; this project is ndix B, page 5). An early odplain administrator did ter on April 9, 2020 and oodway and to develop a This project qualifies as a tructures included in this ge could cause a minimal antial adverse impacts on risks or damage; and they
-	ural Lands armland (per NRCS)		<u>Presence</u>	Yes	No X
	nts (from Section VII of CF r greater, see CE Manual for g		116		
See CE Mar Remarks:	Based on a desktop review the project area (Appendi Policy Act. An early co (NRCS). Coordination w NRCS's threshold score for this project score is less to	y, a site visit on Febr x B, page 3), the pro- coordination letter wa with NRCS resulted a for significant impact	ruary 25, 2020 and April 1 pject will not convert any as sent on March 13, 202 in a score of 116 on the s to farmland that result i	4, 2020 by Green 3 sta farmland as defined by 20, to Natural Resourc NRCS AD-1006 Form n the consideration of a	the Farmland Protection res Conservation Service (Appendix C, page 15). alternatives is 160. Since

This is page 13 of 22 Project name: SR 62 over Laughery Creek Bridge Rehabilitation Date: September 9, 2020

County	Ripley	Route	SR 62 over Laughery Creek	Des. No.	1700185
	will result from this project. without reevaluating impacts		her than those previously dis	scussed in this docum	ent will be investigated
SECTION	I C – CULTURAL RESOU	RCES			
Minor Projed	cts PA Clearance	Category Ty B A	pe INDOT Approv 12 July 2, 2020 9 July 2, 2020	val Dates	N/A
Results of I	Research	Eligible and/o			
Archaeolog NRHP Build NRHP Distr NRHP Brido	lings/Site(s) ict(s)				
Project Effe	ect				
No Historic	Properties Affected	No Adverse	Effect Adver	se Effect	
Historic Pro Historic Pro Archaeologi Archaeologi Archaeologi Archaeologi Archaeologi APE, Eligibi 800.11 Doci	perties Short Report perty Report cal Records Check/ Review cal Phase Ia Survey Report cal Phase Ic Survey Report cal Phase Il Investigation Re cal Phase III Data Recovery lity and Effect Determination umentation m of Agreement (MOA)	Prepared X X Oort	ES/FHWA Approval Date(s) July 2, 2020 July 2, 2020 MOA Signature Dates (1	SHPO Approval Da N/A N/A N/A List all signatories)	ate(s)
categories d in local nev	roadways, waterways and replacement, widening, or ra (when both the superstructure	The completion of publication date publication date ch must be completed. Cultural Resource egory B, Type 12 to projects include bridge piers with ising the elevation re and substructure	of the Section 106 process te, name of paper(s) and leted at a later date, such a e Office (CRO) determined to under the Minor Projects Prog- installation, repair, or repla- tin previously disturbed soi	the comment periods mitigation or deed that this project falls grammatic Agreement acement of erosion of the comment of t	gal Notice be published od deadline. Likewise op trenching. within the guidelines of tt, (Appendix D, pages 1 control measures along pe 12 projects include lege replacement projects facent to an individually

This is page 14 of 22 Project name: SR 62 over Laughery Creek Bridge Rehabilitation Date: September 9, 2020

County	Ripley	Route	SR 62 over Laughery Creek	Des. No.	1700185
	archaeology report was comple approved by INDOT CRO on area were listed or eligible for process and the responsibilities	July 2, 2020, did listing in the NR	not indicate that any of the HP. No further consultation	e features documented n is required. This com	within the investigated
SECTION	D - SECTION 4(f) RESOU	RCES/ SECTI	ON 6(f) RESOURCES	3	
Parks & O Public	ther Recreational Land ly owned park ly owned recreation area (school, state/national forest, bi		<u>Presence</u>	Yes No	
"D	rogrammatic Section 4(f)* le minimis" Impact* dividual Section 4(f)		Evaluations Prepared	FHWA Approval date]
Nation Nation State	Waterfowl Refuges all Wildlife Refuge all Natural Landmark Wildlife Area Nature Preserve		<u>Presence</u>	Yes No	
"D	ogrammatic Section 4(f)* e minimis" Impact* dividual Section 4(f)		Evaluations Prepared	FHWA Approval date]
Historic P Sites 6	roperties eligible and/or listed on the NRF	I P	<u>Presence</u>	Yes No]
"D Ind	ogrammatic Section 4(f)* e minimis" Impact* dividual Section 4(f)	ument also sen	Evaluations Prepared //es as approval of any S	FHWA Approval date Section 4f Programma] atic and/or De minimis
Discuss Pro	e) discussed below. Sigrammatic Section 4(f) and "disciplination must be separate Draft are section 4(f) evaluations please	nd Final docum	ents. For further discus	sions on Programma	ntic, "de minimis" and

This is page 15 of 22 Project name: SR 62 over Laughery Creek Bridge Rehabilitation Date: September 9, 2020

Discuss proposed alternatives that satisfy the requirements of Section 4(f).

County	Ripley	Route	SR 62 over Laughery Creek	Des. No.	1700185
County _		Noute		DOS. 140.	
Remarks:	Section 4(f) of the U.S. Depart for federally funded transporta significant publicly owned par properties regardless of owners	ation facilities unks, recreation arthin Lands subjection	aless there is no feasible eas, wildlife / waterfowl ct to this law are considere	and prudent alternative refuges, and NRHP eled Section 4(f) resources	e. The law applies to igible or listed historic s.
	Based on a desktop review, a sproject area (Appendix B, page within the 0.5 mile search rad campground and is therefore no	e 3), and the RFI ius. The campgro	report (Appendix E, page ound adjacent to and sout	8) there are no Section heast of the project are	n 4(f) resources located
Section 6(f) Involvement		<u>Presence</u>	<u>Use</u>	
Section 6(f) Property			Yes No	
Discuss prop	osed alternatives that satisfy t	he reauirements	of Section 6(f). Discus	s anv Section 6(f) inv	olvement.
Remarks:	The U.S. Land and Water Conwhich was created to preserve, prohibits conversion of lands put A review of 6(f) properties on a total of 12 properties in Riple the project area. Therefore, there	servation Fund A develop, and assurchased with LW the INDOT Envir by County (Apper	ct of 1965 established the ure accessibility to outdoo /CF monies to a non-recre ronmental Policy website and ix I, page 1). None of the	Land and Water Consum recreation resources. ation use. at https://www.in.gov/iese properties are locat	ervation Fund (LWCF), Section 6(f) of this Act
SECTION	E – Air Quality				
<u>Air (</u>	Quality				
ls t	nformity Status of the Project he project in an air quality non- 'ES, then: Is the project in the most currer is the project exempt from cor If the project is NOT exempt fuls the project in the Transplis a hot spot analysis requ	-attainment or ment MPO TIP? Informity? Informity, Information Plan (1)	then:	Yes No X]]]
Lev	vel of MSAT Analysis required?	?			
Lev	vel 1a X Level 1b	Level 2 L	evel 3 Level 4	Level 5	
Remarks:	This project is included in to (Appendix H, page 2).	he Fiscal Year (l	FY) 2020-2024 Statewide	Transportation Improv	vement Program (STIP)
	This project is located in Ri IDEM map on (https://www.in.gov/idem/air CFR Part 93 do not apply.	current	nonattainment a	and maintenance	areas map
	This project is of a type qualiclean Air Act conformity rule				

This is page 16 of 22 Project name: SR 62 over Laughery Creek Bridge Rehabilitation Date: September 9, 2020

County	Ripley	Route	SR 62 over Laughery Creek	_ Des. No.	1700185
SECTION	F - NOISE				
	v of Noise Analysis This project is a Type	No Yes/ D	egulations and INDOT's traffice state dance with 23 CFR 772 and cedure, this action does not a	the current India	
SECTION	G – COMMUNITY IME	PACTS			
Will the pro Will the pro Will constru Does the co If No, a	posed action result in sub	the local/regional de estantial impacts to de estantial impacts to le mmunity events (festarted transition plan? dvance the communication.	ocal tax base or property valitivals, fairs, etc.)?		Yes No X X X X X X X X
Remarks:	Indiana STIP. It will not website www.fairsandfe:festivals in the vicinity of Campground and Flea Market from motorists at entrance immediately eat property in the Town of I Ripley County has an at ensures that a government in future facilities. This property is the property of the property of the property in the Town of I Ripley County has an attention of the property of the prop	have a substantial im stivals.net was review f the project area. The Markey property and v rriving from the west st of the project bridge Friendship further east pproved Americans was at entity is aware of the project complies with the	onal development patterns in the pact to community cohesion, of yed to determine if the project Friendship Flea Market occurs will occur during the construct will be made available via the ge will be closed for the dura along SR 62 will remain open. With Disabilities Act (ADA) Te facilities that it currently man the Ripley County ADA Transi	or local tax bases a ct would impact a adjacent to the pro- ion season. Acces ne phased construc- tion of construction ransition Plan. The ages as well as pla- tion Plan because	and property values. The any community fairs or oject area at the Old Mill is to the Friendship Flea ction of the bridge. The on. The entrance to that the ADA Transition Plan ans for ADA compliance it will not restrict access
			and it does not involve any pe will ensure safe vehicular trave		
	nd Cumulative Impacts posed action result in sub	ostantial indirect or c	umulative impacts?		Yes No X
Remarks:	reasonably foreseeable. in the pattern of land use	Indirect effects may in e, population density, pact of the action who	y the action and are later in time clude growth inducing effects a or growth rate. Cumulative in added to other past, present, such actions.	and other effects re inpacts affect the e	elated to induced changes environment which result
	change the general patter rate. Reasonably foresee	rn of land use, is not lable actions in the pro	s because it is not likely to cau likely to change the population oject area are unlikely to caus adway will likely be within the	density, or to char e any cumulative	ange the existing growth impacts for this project.
This is p	page 17 of 22 Project r	ame: SR 62 over	Laughery Creek Bridge Rehab	ilitation	Date: September 9, 2020

			SR 62 over Laughery			
County	Ripley	Route	Creek	Des. No.	1700	0185
	this project and w	ill not likely include any subs	tantial impacts to the phys	sical, social, or economi	c environi	nent.
	This project will h	nave a net positive impact. The ficient.	e proposed rehabilitated br	ridge will meet current o	design sta	ndards and will
Will the pro private utilit	ies, emergency se	t in substantial impacts on larvices, religious institutions show the maintenance of t	s, airports, public transpo	ortation or pedestrian	Yes	No X
Remarks:	project area (Appe 8), there are two 0.5 mile of the p project area. Relo Utility coordination buses that may us upon project comp duration of construction Early coordination early coordination section of this CE	n letters were sent to officials n letter. All applicable agen	sheets (Appendix B, page cal line, one (1) telephone that may be utilized by ion lines may be required esign engineer and the utility over Laughery Creek with estand streets in the vicinity within Ripley County on acy recommendations are to notify school corporation.	e line, and two (2) public emergency services will but no interruptions to lity companies. Emerge ill experience a temporality of the project area will harch 13, 2020. No coincluded in the Envir	report (Ap lic water l ithin and to service a ency servi ary delay will be mai	pendix E, page lines within the adjacent to the are anticipated. I ces and school that will cease intained for the lesponded to the Commitments
During the or Does the proof of YES, there are are are are are are are are are	development of the roject require an E. n.: n: ny EJ populations I	(Presidential EO 12898) e project were EJ issues ide J analysis? located within the project al adversely high or dispropol	rea?	opulations?	Yes	No X
Remarks:	Under FHWA Ordensure that their plow-income popul is required for any will require 0.850 0.714 acre will composed by the sum of the	der 6640.23A, FHWA and the rograms, policies, and activiti lations. Per the current INDC project that has two or more a cre of permanent right of we me from agricultural property cts are detected by locating no lations of EJ concern exists a ce population may be a count is Ripley County. The communic AC is Census Tract 9689. A low-income or if the low-ince to US Census Bureau Website minority and low-income pop	e project sponsor, as a reciples do not have a disproport Categorical Exclusion of relocations or 0.5 acre of way. Approximately 0.136. Therefore, an EJ Analysis minority and low-income part whether there could be try, city or town and is callumity that overlaps the production of the proportion of t	pient of funding from Fritionately high and adve Manual, an Environment additional permanent reference is is required. populations relative to a decide disproportionately high ed the community of conject area is called the afor concern for EJ if the form is 125% of the CO gov/ on March 12, 2020	erse effect ntal Justice ight-of-wa commercia a reference h and advomparison ffected con population C. Data find 0 by Gree	e (EJ) Analysis ay. The project all property and e population to erse impacts to (COC). In this mmunity (AC). on is more than rom 2017 was

This is page 18 of 22 Project name: SR 62 over Laughery Creek Bridge Rehabilitation Date: September 9, 2020

SR 62 over Laughery Ripley Creek 1700185 County Route Des. No. Table: Minority and Low-Income Data (US Census Data, 2017) COC - (Ripley County) (Census Tract 9689. AC-1 County, Indiana) Percent Minority 4.4% 0.8% 125% of \overline{COC} 5.5% AC < 125% COC EJ Population of Concern No Percent Low-Income 10.5% 7.5% 125% of COC 13.1% AC < 125% COC EJ Population of Concern AC-1, Census Tract 9689 has a percent minority of 0.8% which is below 50% and is below the 125% COC threshold. Therefore, AC-1 does not contain minority populations of EJ concern. AC-1, Census Tract 9689 has a percent lowincome of 7.5% which is below 50% and is below the 125% COC threshold. Therefore, AC-1 does not contain lowincome populations of EJ concern. The census data sheets, map, and calculations can be found in Appendix I, pages 2 to 8. No further environmental justice analysis is warranted. Relocation of People, Businesses or Farms Yes No Will the proposed action result in the relocation of people, businesses or farms? Is a Business Information Survey (BIS) required? X Is a Conceptual Stage Relocation Study (CSRS) required? Has utility relocation coordination been initiated for this project? Number of relocations: Residences: 0 Businesses: 0 Farms: 0 Other: 0 If a BIS or CSRS is required, discuss the results in the remarks box. Remarks: No relocations of people, businesses, or farms will take place as a result of this project. SECTION H - HAZARDOUS MATERIALS & REGULATED SUBSTANCES **Documentation** Hazardous Materials & Regulated Substances (Mark all that apply) Red Flag Investigation Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (Phase I ESA) Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (Phase II ESA) Design/Specifications for Remediation required? Yes/ Date **ES** Review of Investigations March 20, 2020 Include a summary of findings for each investigation. Based on a review of GIS and available public records, an RFI was completed on March 20, 2020 by Green 3 staff Remarks: (Appendix E, Pages 1 to 13). One (1) state cleanup site, one (1) underground storage tank (UST) site, and one (1) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) facility are located within 0.5 mile of the project area, No hazardous material sites are located within the project area. No hazmat sites were identified in or within 0.5 mile of the project area that will impact the project. The nearest state cleanup site is 0.23 mile from the project area, the nearest UST site is 0.23 mile from the project area, and the nearest NPDES facility is 0.40 mile from the project area. No impacts are expected. Further investigation for hazardous material concerns is not required at this time.

SR 62 over Laughery Creek Bridge Rehabilitation

Date: September 9, 2020

This is page 19 of 22 Project name:

SR 62 over Laughery 1700185 Ripley Creek County Route Des. No. **SECTION I - PERMITS CHECKLIST** Permits (mark all that apply) **Likely Required** Army Corps of Engineers (404/Section10 Permit) Individual Permit (IP) Nationwide Permit (NWP) Regional General Permit (RGP) X Pre-Construction Notification (PCN) Wetland Mitigation required Stream Mitigation required **IDEM** Section 401 WQC Isolated Wetlands determination Rule 5 Other Wetland Mitigation required Stream Mitigation required **IDNR** Construction in a Floodway Navigable Waterway Permit Lake Preservation Permit Other Mitigation Required Others (Please discuss in the remarks box below)

Remarks:

The project is within the floodplain of Laughery Creek and does not meet any exemption criteria, therefore, it will likely require a Construction in a Floodway permit with the IDNR. The IDNR early coordination response letter dated April 9, 2020 indicated that formal approval from the IDNR would be required for impacts to the floodplain (Appendix C, pages 16 to 18). An IDEM Rule 5 permit is not anticipated because land disturbance will be below 1 acre in size. Work below the ordinary high-water mark of streams will be necessary. Impacts are anticipated to be below 0.1 acre/300 linear feet of stream, so an IDEM Section 401 WQC and USACE Section 404 RGP are likely required.

Applicable recommendations provided by IDNR are included in the Environmental Commitments section of this document. If permits are found to be necessary, the conditions of the permit will be requirements of the project and will supersede these recommendations.

It is the responsibility of the project sponsor or their agent to obtain all necessary permits prior to construction activities.

			SR 62 over Laughery		
County	Ripley	Route	Creek	Des. No.	1700185
				_	

SECTION J- ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS

The following information should be provided below: List all commitments, name of agency/organization requesting the commitment(s), and indicating which are firm and which are for further consideration. The commitments should be numbered.

Remarks:

Firm:

- 1. If the scope of work or permanent or temporary right of way amounts change, INDOT Environmental Services Division (ESD) and the INDOT District Environmental Section will be contacted immediately. (INDOT ESD)
- 2. It is the responsibility of the project sponsor to notify school corporations and emergency services at least two weeks prior to any construction that would block or limit access. (INDOT ESD).
- 3. General AMM1: Ensure all operators, employees, and contractors working in areas of known or presumed bat habitat are aware of all FHWA environmental commitments, including all applicable AMMs. (USFWS)
- 4. Lighting AMM1: Direct temporary lighting away from suitable habitat during the active season. (USFWS)
- 5. Tree Removal AMM1: Modify all phases/aspects of the project (e.g., temporary work areas, alignments) to avoid tree removal. (USFWS)
- 6. Tree Removal AMM2: Apply time of year restrictions (April 1 to September 30) for tree removal when bats are not likely to be present, or limit tree removal to 10 or fewer trees per project at any time of year within 100 feet of existing road/rail surface and **outside of documented** roosting/foraging habitat or travel corridors; visual emergence survey must be conducted with no bats observed. (USFWS)
- 7. Tree Removal AMM3: Ensure tree removal is limited to that specified in project plans and ensure that contractors understand clearing limits and how they are marked in the field (e.g., install bright colored flagging/fencing prior to any tree clearing to ensure contractors stay within clearing limits). (USFWS)
- 8. Tree Removal AMM4: Do not remove **documented** Indiana bat or NLEB roosts that are still suitable for roosting, or trees within 0.25 miles of roosts, or **documented** foraging habitat any time of year. (USFWS)
- 9. USFWS Bridge/Structure Assessment shall take place no earlier than two (2) years prior to the start of construction. If construction will begin after February 25, 2022, an inspection of the structure by a qualified individual, must be performed. Inspection of the structure should check for presence of bats/bat indicators and/or presence of birds. The results of the inspection must indicate no signs of bats or birds. If signs of bats or birds are documented during this inspection, the INDOT District Environmental Manager must be contacted immediately. (INDOT ESD)

For Further Consideration:

- 10. The new, replacement, or rehabbed structure should not create conditions that are less favorable for wildlife passage under the structure compared to the current conditions. (IDNR)
- 11. Do not cut any trees suitable for Indiana bat or Northern Long-eared bat roosting from April 1 through September 30. (IDNR)
- 12. Avoid all work within the inundated part of the stream channel during the fish spawning season (April 1 through June 30); except for work within sealed structures such as caissons or cofferdams that were installed prior to the spawning season. No equipment shall be operated below Ordinary High Water Mark during this time unless the machinery is within the caissons or on the cofferdams. (USFWS)
- 13. Evaluate wildlife crossings under bridge/culverts projects in appropriate situations. Suitable crossings include flat areas below bridge abutments with suitable ground cover, high water shelves in culverts, amphibian tunnels, and diversion fencing. (USFWS)
- 14. Minimize the extent of hard armor (riprap) in bank stabilization by using bioengineering techniques whenever possible. If riprap is utilized for bank stabilization, extend it below low-water elevation to provide aquatic habitat.

County	Ripley	Route	SR 62 over Laughery Creek	Des. No.	1700185				
	(USFWS)								
		15. Restrict below low-water work in streams to placement of culverts, piers, pilings, and/or footings, shaping of the spill slopes around the bridge abutments, and placement of riprap. (USFWS)							
	16. Culverts should span the active stream channel, should be either embedded or a 3-sided or open-arch culvert, and be installed where practicable on an essentially flat slope. When an open-bottom culvert or arch is used in a stream, which has a good natural bottom substrate, such as gravel, cobbles, and boulders, the existing substrate should be left undisturbed beneath the culvert to provide natural habitat for the aquatic community. (USFWS)								

SECTION K-EARLY COORDINATION

Please list the date coordination was sent and all agencies that were contacted as a part of the development of this Environmental Study. Also, include the date of their response or indicate that no response was received. INDOT and FHWA are automatically considered early coordination participants and should only be listed if a response is received.

Remarks:

An Early Coordination packet was sent to regulatory agencies on March 13, 2020 with a response deadline of April 13, 2020. INDOT and FHWA are automatically contacted as a part of all federally funded transportation projects. The Early Coordination packet contained project graphics and ground-level photographs of the project area. A sample of the Early Coordination letter that was sent to the regulatory agencies can be found in Appendix C, pages 1 to 2. Responses received from agencies are listed below. Agencies that did not issue a response to the Early Coordination Packet are marked as "No Response Received".

Agency	Response Date
IGS	March 16, 2020
IDEM	March 13, 2020
NRCS	March 17, 2020
USCG, Eight District	June 5, 2020
USFWS	June 9, 2020
IDNR	April 9, 2020
USFWS IPaC Species List	April 6, 2020
IPaC Concurrence Letter	April 6, 2020
Ripley County Surveyor	March 16, 2020
National Park Service	No Response Received
US Department of Housing and Urban Development	No Response Received
USACE Louisville District	No Response Received
Ripley County Floodplain Administrator	No Response Received
Ripley County Council	No Response Received
Ripley County Highway Department	No Response Received
Old Mill Campground and Flea Market	No Response Received
Friendship Volunteer Fire Department	No Response Received

Des No 1700185 CE-2 Appendices

Table of Contents

Appendix A: CE Threshold Table	
CE Threshold Table	A-
Appendix B: Graphics and Plan Sheets	
Project Location Map. Topographic Map. Aerial Map. NWI Map. Floodplains Map. Photo Location and Orientation Map. Project Site Photos. Plan Sheets.	B-: B-: B-: B-: B-: B-:
Appendix C: Early Coordination	
Sample Early Coordination Letters Early Coordination Mailing List IDEM Electronic Response Letter IGS Response Letter Ripley County Surveyor Response NRCS Response Letter NRCS Form AD 1006 IDNR Response Letter USCG Response Letter USFWS Response Letter IPaC Concurrence Letter IPaC Species List	C-1 C-1 C-1 C-1 C-1 C-1 C-2 C-2 C-3
Appendix D: Section 106 of the NHPA	5
MPPA Assessment Form	D-1
Appendix E: Red Flag Investigation	
Red Flag Investigation Narrative	E-1

Appendix F: Water Resources	
Waters of the US ReportPreliminary Jurisdictional Determination Form	F-1 F-33
Appendix G: Public Involvement:	
Notice of Entry Letter	G-1
Appendix H: Air Quality	
Project Listing in 2018-2021 STIPProject Listing in 2020-2024 STIP	H-1 H-2
Appendix I: Additional Studies	
LWCF Properties in Ripley CountyEJ Analysis	I-1 I-2

Des 1700185 CE-2 Appendix A CE Threshold Chart

Categorical Exclusion Level Thresholds

	PCE	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4 ¹
Section 106	Falls within guidelines of Minor Projects PA	"No Historic Properties Affected"	"No Adverse Effect"	-	"Adverse Effect" Or Historic Bridge involvement ²
Stream Impacts	No construction in waterways or water bodies	< 300 linear feet of stream impacts	≥ 300 linear feet of stream impacts	-	Individual 404 Permit
Wetland Impacts	No adverse impacts to wetlands	< 0.1 acre	-	< 1 acre	≥ 1 acre
Right-of-way ³	Property acquisition for preservation only or none	< 0.5 acre	≥ 0.5 acre	-	-
Relocations	None None	-	-	< 5	≥5
Threatened/Endangered Species (Species Specific Programmatic for Indiana bat & northern long eared bat)	"No Effect", "Not likely to Adversely Affect" (Without AMMs ⁴ or with AMMs required for all projects ⁵)	"Not likely to Adversely Affect" (With any other AMMs)	-	"Likely to Adversely Affect"	Project does not fall under Species Specific Programmatic
Threatened/Endangered Species (Any other species)	Falls within guidelines of USFWS 2013 Interim Policy	"No Effect", ""Not likely to Adversely Affect"	-	-	"Likely to Adversely Affect"
Environmental Justice	No disproportionately high and adverse impacts	-	-	-	Potential ⁶
Sole Source Aquifer	Detailed Assessment Not Required	-	-	-	Detailed Assessment
Floodplain	No Substantial Impacts	-	-	-	Substantial Impacts
Coastal Zone Consistency	Consistent	-	-	-	Not Consistent
National Wild and Scenic	Not Present	-	-	-	Present
River Nov. Alignment	Nana				A
New Alignment Section 4(f) Impacts	None None	<u>-</u>	-	<u>-</u> -	Any Any
Section 6(f) Impacts	None	<u> </u>	-	-	Any
Added Through Lane	None	-	-	_	Any
Permanent Traffic Alteration	None	-	-	-	Any
Coast Guard Permit	None	-	-	_	Any
Noise Analysis Required	No	-	-	-	Yes
Air Quality Analysis Required	No No	-	-	-	Yes ⁷
Approval Level	Concurrence by INDOT District				
• District Env. Supervisor	Environmental or	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
• Env. Services Division	Environmental			Yes	Yes
FHWA Coordinate with INDOT Environmental Section 1.	Services				Yes

¹Coordinate with INDOT Environmental Services. INDOT will then coordinate with the appropriate FHWA Environmental Specialist.

²Any involvement with a bridge processed under the Historic Bridge Programmatic Agreement.

³Permanent and/or temporary right-of-way.

⁴AMMs = Avoidance and Mitigation Measures.

⁵AMMs determined by the IPAC decision key to be needed that are listed in the USFWS *User's Guide for the Range-wide Programmatic Consultation* for Indiana bat and Northern long-eared bat as "required for all projects".
⁶Potential for causing a disproportionately high and adverse impact.

⁷Hot Spot Analysis and/or MSAT Quantitative Emission Analysis.

^{*}Substantial public or agency controversy may require a higher-level NEPA document.