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## I. Purpose of Report

The purpose of this report is to document the engineering assessment phase of project development, including all coordination that has been completed in preparation for this road project. This purpose of this report is limited in that the Seymour District has determined that a roundabout is the most cost-effective solution to reduce vehicle crashes at the project location. This report is being prepared to analyze the roundabout placement impacts in relation to utility relocation costs, environmental impacts, project costs and right-of-way acquisition cost. This document outlines the proposal and is intended to serve as a guide for subsequent survey, design, environmental, right of way and other project activities leading to construction. The preferred alternative identified in this document is considered predecisional, pending the outcome of environmental studies.

## II. Project Location

This project is located at the intersection of SR 56 and Boatman Road, approximately 1.36 miles west of I-65 in Scott County, Indiana. The project is located in the INDOT Seymour District.

## III. Project Purpose and Need

## Purpose

The purpose of this project is to improve the safety and reduce the frequency and severity of crashes that occur at the intersection of State Road 56 and Boatman Road. Thirty-four crashes have occurred at this intersection during the time frame of January 1, 2012 to March 13, 2017. Sixteen of the thirty-four crashes have been right angle collisions, which represents about 45\% of the crashes. Two incapacitating injury crashes and a fatal crash have been recorded within the last three years.

Given the types of accidents and their severity, it was decided that a roundabout was the best alternative, a SIDRA analysis was completed for the intersection of State Road 56 and Boatman Road. A SIDRA analysis determines at what Level of Service (LOS) the proposed roundabout will function. Using the design year and peak hour traffic counts, the SIDRA analysis shows a single lane roundabout functioning at a LOS A in the design year.

## Need

The need for this project is the high crash rates and the severity of crashes occurring at the intersection of State Route 56 and Boatman Road. A ROADHAT analysis completed at this location had an Index of Crash Frequency (ICF) score
of 3.15 and an Index of Crash Cost (ICC) score of 1.89. An ICF score of 2 or higher is considered a high crash location and warrants a discussion with INDOT Traffic Safety personnel. An ICC score of 1.89 means the severity of the crashes at the location of State Road 56 and Boatman Road is higher than nominal value, by nearly 2 standard deviations. The ROADHAT analysis can be found in Appendix I.

Crash narratives indicate that drivers are unaware that the intersection is a two way stop, rather than a four way stop, when approaching from the northbound and southbound directions (Boatman Road). Supplemental signs, speed bumps, nor the two-way flashing beacon has effectively reduced the crash rate at the intersection of State Route 56 and Boatman Road.

The geometry of the intersection and posted speed limit plays a role in the crash rate. The Indiana Design Manual, section 46-1.02, states the desirable skew of an intersection is less than a 20 -degree skew. The current intersection has a 28 degree skew, which reduces the driver's line of sight.

The intersection is also located in a reverse curve segment. With a posted speed limit of $50-55 \mathrm{mph}$ on State Route 56, the intersection sight distance required for a passenger car to turn from Boatman Road onto State Route 56 is 530 ft for a right turn and 730 ft for a left turn (Indiana Design Manual Figures 46 -10G and $46-10 \mathrm{H}$ ). The existing reverse curves reduce the intersection sight distance.

A traffic signal was considered but eliminated as an effective alternate as it would not address the number and severity of right-angle crashes that this intersection's crash history shows but may increase rear-end accidents as the signal introduces a stop situation between curves that may surprise motorists unfamiliar with the area.

The preferred alternative of placing a roundabout at the intersection of SR 56 and Boatman Rd would improve the geometry of the intersection by having the approach legs intersecting at 90 degrees which improve sight lines. Slower vehicle speeds entering the roundabout alternative would also improve the safety by reducing the severity of crashes. A well-lit roundabout also improves intersection sight lines and reduces crash rate.

## IV. Existing Facility

The classification of State Route 56 is Minor/Principal Arterial and is not part of the US National Highway System (NHS). The roadway is on the National Truck Network. The posted speed limit at the project location changes from 50 to 55 mph .

Boatman Road is classified as a Major Collector and is not part of the US National Highway System (NHS). There is not a posted speed limit for Boatman Road, therefore, the existing speed limit set by state law is 55 mph .

## Roadway

State Route 56 has a pavement width of 26 ft through the project limits. State Route 56 currently consists of two 12 - ft wide travel lanes with a 1 ft paved and 1 ft usable shoulders. The existing right-of-way along State Route 56 varies from 60 to 70 ft wide. State Route 56 and Boatman Rd is a two-way stop-controlled intersection, providing free flow traffic for SR 56 and a stop condition for Boatman Rd. A flashing beacon is also present at the intersection of SR 56 and Boatman Rd.

Boatman has a pavement width of 20 ft through the project limits. Boatman Rd currently consists of two 10 ft wide travel lanes with unmarked 1 ft usable earth shoulders. The existing right-of-way along Boatman Road varies from 36-40ft.

## Drainage

The terrain is level. The existing drainage through the project is primarily through sheet flow away from the road into adjoining open channel ditches. There is a 24 -inch corrugated metal pipe (CMP) culvert approximately 550 ft west of SR 56 and Boatman Rd intersection. This 24-inch culvert runs underneath SR 56 , north/south. There is also a 12 -inch CMP culvert approximately 350 ft south of the SR 56 and Boatman Rd intersection. This 12-inch culvert runs underneath Boatman Rd, east/west. A third 12 -inch CMP culvert is located in the northside open channel ditch at the intersection of SR 56 and Boatman Rd. This 12 -inch culvert runs underneath Boatman Rd, east/west, albeit is still located close enough to SR 56 to most likely be inside state right-of-way.

The proposed drainage though the project will be primarily sheet flow to adjoining roadside ditches. Per INDOT's request, First Group will attempt to avoid the 24-inch CMP located west of the Boatman Rd and SR 56 intersection. First Group will inspect and perform hydraulic analysis on all three of the CMP culverts (both 12 -inch and 24 -inch). Proposed treatment of the three CMP culverts may consist of replacing, lining and or pipe extensions.

## Land Use

The land use in the project area is a mix of residential, commercial and agricultural. There is an Indiana Farm Bureau Insurance Office and Farm Credit Mid-America Bank in the northeast quadrant of State 56 and Boatman Rd. There is a residential housing development in the northwest quadrant of the intersection. The southwest quadrant of the State Route 56 and Boatman Road intersection has parcels own by Elevation Church. The southeast quadrant of the State 56 and Boatman Road intersection has parcels that are used for
agricultural activities. The southside of State Route 56 has less conflicts for the placement of the roundabout due to the open fields with minimum obstructions.

| Roadway Information |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geometric Criteria - Boatman Road |  |  |  |
| Design Speed | Exist: 55 mph (Not Posted) Prop: 35 mph | Functional Class | Major Collector |
| Design Criteria | 4R (Non Freeway) | Rural/Urban | Rural |
| Terrain | Level | Access Control | None |
| Approach Cross Section |  |  |  |
| IDM Figure Reference | IDM 53-4 |  |  |
| Travel Lane Count | 2 | Travel Lane Width | Existing: 10 ft <br> Proposed: 12 ft |
| Shoulder Width (Usable) Curb \& Gutter | Existing: 1ft Proposed: 2ft | Shoulder Width (paved) | Existing: 0 ft Proposed: *2 ft |
| Mainline Pavement | HMA | Shoulder Pavement | Existing: HMA Proposed: HMA |

* Level 1 Design Exception


## Roadway Information

| Geometric Criteria - SR 56 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Speed | Exist: $50-55 \mathrm{mph}$ Prop: 45 mph | Functional Class | Minor Principal Arterial |
| Design Criteria | 4R (Non Freeway) | Rural/Urban | Rural |
| Terrain | Level | Access Control | None |
| Approach Cross Section |  |  |  |
| IDM Figure Reference | IDM 55-3F (Suburban) |  |  |
| Travel Lane Count | 2 | Travel Lane Width | Existing: 12 ft Proposed: 12 ft |
| Shoulder Width <br> (Usable) <br> Curb and Gutter | Existing: 1 ft <br> Proposed: *2ft <br> Concrete Gutter only | Shoulder Width (paved) | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Existing: } & 1 \mathrm{ft} \\ \text { Proposed: } & * 4 \mathrm{ft} . \end{array}$ |
| Mainline Pavement | HMA | Shoulder Pavement | Existing: HMA Proposed: HMA |

* Level 1 Design Exception


## V. Field Check

A Field Check was held on July 11, 2019 at the project site. The minutes for that meeting are attached to this report.

## VI. Traffic Data and Capacity Analysis

## Traffic Data

INDOT provided traffic turn count data from 3/6/2017 and data from GIS provided Site Count in June 2018 used:

2018 AADT - 9,820 VPD
2018 DHV - 870 VPH
2038 Design Year AADT - 14,377 VPD
2038 DHV - 1,290 VPH
Commercial Vehicles - 5.2\%
Growth Rate $=2.2 \%$ (Linear)
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See Appendix A for Traffic Data and Turning Counts.

## Capacity Analysis

The computer program SIDRA was used to analyze the intersection for a single lane roundabout with four approaching roadways. The intersection level of service (LOS) is used to grade the level of delay at an intersection with the following conditions:

Level of Service
Control Delay (seconds/vehicle)

UNSIGNALIZED Less than or equal to 10
Between 10.1 and 15
Between 15.1 and 25
Between 25.1 and 35
Between 35.1 and 50 Greater than 50

SIGNALIZED
Less than or equal to 10
Between 10.1 and 20
Between 20.1 and 35
Between 35.1 and 55
Between 55.1 and 80
Greater than 80

A LOS lower than a D is undesirable while a LOS of F is considered failure and warrants improvements to the capacity of the intersection.

The proposed roundabout at the intersection of State Route 56 and Boatman Rd, will operate at a Level of Service A for Design Year 2038.

The following is a Capacity Analysis summary for the roundabout. See Appendix A for SIDRA results.

| Roadway Approach | Level of Service <br> Peak Year 2038 |
| :--- | :---: |
| SR 56 - East Approach | Level of Service B |
| SR 56 - West Approach | Level of Service A |
| Boatman Rd - North Approach | Level of Service A |
| Boatman Rd - South Approach | Level of Service A |

## VII. Crash Data and Analysis

Crash data for this project uses the timeframe of January 1, 2012 to March 13, 2017. During that time, thirty-four crashes have occurred at this intersection. Sixteen of the thirty-four crashes have been right angle collisions, which represents about $45 \%$ of the crashes. Two incapacitating injury crashes and a fatal crash have been recorded within the last three years. The crash analysis table/chart for State Route 56 and Boatman Road can be found in the Appendix B. A summary of the severity of the collisions is shown below:

## Crash Summary

21 Property Damage
5 crashes with non-incapacitating injuries
7 crashes with incapacitating injuries
1 fatal crash
11 right angle collision
According to the Traffic Engineering Handbook, 6th Edition, potential factors in Right-Angle Crashes include restricted sight distance, excessive speed, inadequate roadway lighting, inadequate advance warning signs, large traffic volumes, and inadequate traffic control devices. Countermeasures to address these crashes include removal of the sight obstruction, provide all-way stop or signal, install or improve warning signs, reduce speed limit with enforcement, install rumble strips, install or improve lighting, install or improve warning signs, or reroute traffic. Left \& right turn crashes can be caused by large turn volumes, restricted sight distance, and excessive speed. Possible countermeasures include adding turn lanes, prohibiting left turns, rerouting left turn traffic, providing a traffic signal with a turn phase, removing sight obstructions, reducing the speed limit and improving enforcement. Rear end crashes can be caused by large turning volumes, slippery pavement, or inadequate roadway lighting. Potential countermeasures include prohibiting left turns, provide turn lanes, increasing the corner radius for right turns, reducing the speed limit with enforcement, overlaying the pavement or use an ultra-thin bonded wearing course, provide adequate drainage, groove the pavement, provide "Slippery When Wet" signs, and improve the lighting. Run-off-the-road and sideswipe crashes can be caused by excessive speed, slippery pavement, inadequate roadway lighting, poor visibility or lack of warning signs, narrow pavement, poor pavement markings, inadequate shoulder, or inadequate pavement maintenance. Potential countermeasures include reducing the speed limit with enforcement, overlaying the pavement, provide adequate drainage, groove pavement, provide "Slippery When Wet" signs, improve lighting, widen lanes and/or shoulders, install guardrail, improve pavement markings, upgrade the shoulder slope, and repair the roadway surface.

Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) provides a worksheet table named "Crash Reduction Factors Table", which estimates the crash reduction that might be achieved if a specific countermeasure is implemented at an intersection. A review of the FHWA Crash Reduction Factors Table shows that the best possible countermeasure for reducing crashes, including right-angle crashes, is a geometric change of the intersection to a roundabout. The Crash Reduction Factor for a roundabout is 82 , versus 74 for installing a traffic signal. The intersection currently has a two-way beacon and advance warning signs, which has not reduced the crash rates. The FHWA Crash Reduction Factors Tables can be found in the Appendix H .

## VIII. Alternatives and Recommendations

Three alternative options would convert the intersection into a single lane roundabout with 4 legs. All 4 approach legs would have horizontal curves introduced preceding the splitter islands to slow traffic and provide smooth transitions into and out of the roundabout.

All of the alternatives will include intersection lighting. Due to the intersection location being a rural suburban, high speed setting, the introduction of a roundabout at this location should be made highly visible with intersection lighting for the safety of motorist. Advance signing and lower speed limits would be needed on all 4 approaches. Traffic on SR 56 would no longer be free-flowing at 55 mph , as this alternative would require traffic on SR 56 to slow through the intersection and yield to traffic from other approaches in the roundabout. It is recommended that INDOT consider lowering the speed limit along SR 56 in advance of the roundabout. It is also recommended that Scott County Highway Department consider posting a lower speed limit along Boatman in advance of the roundabout. Lowering the speed limit in advance of the roundabout will result in shorter approach legs which reduces the construction costs.

All of the alternatives will include drainage culvert work. Three existing drainage culverts location within the project limits will require either pipe lining, pipe extension or full replacement.

All of the alternatives will include the closing of Mount Road. The pavement connecting Mount Road and SR 56 will be removed and an earth berm will be constructed to prevent vehicle passage. A truck turn around will be constructed approximately 300 ft from the intersection of Mount Road and SR 56. The closing of Mount Road will require R.O.W., which is included with the R.O.W. acquisition cost.

What differentiates the three alternatives is the placement of the circulating roadway within the project limits. The placement of the roundabout will impact utility relocation costs, private property and construction cost.

## Preferred Alternative One

Alternative one places the center of the circulating roadway approximately 65 ft southeast of the existing intersection. This location would require facility relocations of electric, water, telephone and a high-pressure gas main. Permanent right-of-way acquisition would be 3.5 acres from a total of 12 parcels. There would be no relocations and 1 total parcel take. The estimated cost of right-of-way acquisition is $\$ 108,825$.

The maintenance of traffic includes $\$ 112,000$ for traffic control and $\$ 328,500$ for temporary pavement.

There are no reimbursable utilities within the project limits. The relocation costs will be zero dollars.

The construction cost is estimated at $\$ 2,084,000$.
The total cost for the preferred option is $\$ 2,633,325$.
See Appendix C for Preferred Alternative Option 1 Design.

## Alternative Two

Alternative two places the center of the circulating roadway approximately 125 ft south of the existing intersection. This location would require facility relocations of electric, water, telephone and a high-pressure gas main.

Permanent right-of-way acquisition would be 3.7 acres from a total of 12 parcels. While there are no relocations, the Elevation Church parcel adjacent to SR 56 would require relocation of a digital billboard sign and impact the Church's future expansion plans. This option also includes 1 total parcel take. The estimated cost of right-of-way acquisition is $\$ 167,050$.

The maintenance of traffic includes $\$ 112,000$ for traffic control and $\$ 292,000$ for temporary pavement.

There are no reimbursable utilities within the project limits. The utility relocation costs will be zero dollars.

The construction cost is estimated at $\$ 2,134,000$.
The total cost for the Alternate Two option is $\$ 2,705,050$.
See Appendix C for Alternative Option 2 Design

## Alternative Three

Alternative three places the center of the circulating roadway at the center of the existing intersection. This location would require facility relocations of electric, water, telephone and a high-pressure gas main.

Permanent right-of-way acquisition would be 3.5 acres from a total of 12 parcels. This option would require a relocation of one residential parcel at a cost of
$\$ 200,000$. This option also includes 2 total parcels take. The estimated cost of right-of-way acquisition is $\$ 336,475$.

The maintenance of traffic includes $\$ 112,000$ for traffic control and $\$ 365,000$ for temporary pavement.

There are no reimbursable utilities within the project limits. The relocation costs will be zero dollars.

The construction cost is estimated at $\$ 2,070,000$.
The total cost for the Alternate Three option is $\$ 2,883,475$.
See Appendix C for Alternative Option 3 Design.

## IX. Maintenance of Traffic During Construction

Possible Detour routes around the intersection of SR 56 and Boatman Road are limited. The nearest parallel state route is SR 256 to the north and would create a detour of approximately 21 miles. The Seymour District of INDOT also recommended against a detour route. Using temporary pavement will to allow SR 56 to remain open to traffic will be costly. The estimated cost of the temporary pavement is between $\$ 300,000-\$ 365,000$, which includes borrow, subgrade treatment and compacted aggregate.

Appendix C provides a proposed Typical Cross Section along with a Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) Plan that uses temporary pavement lanes and shoulders to provide a safe construction zone while staying within the scope of the project.

## X. Cost Estimate

Below is a breakdown of every alternative cost. Appendix D shows the preliminary construction cost estimate.

| Preferred Alternative Location 1 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Construction | $\$ 2,084,000.00$ |
| Right of Way Engineering and Acquisition | $\$ 108,825.00$ |
| Maintenance of Traffic including Temp Pavement | $\$ 440,500.00$ |
| Total Project Cost | $\$ 2,633,325.00$ |


| Alternative Location 2 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Construction | $\$ 2,134,000.00$ |
| Right of Way Engineering and Acquisition | $\$ 167,050.00$ |
| Maintenance of Traffic including Temp Pavement | $\$ 404,000.00$ |
| Total Project Cost | $\$ 2,705,050.00$ |


| Alternative Location 3 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Construction | $\$ 2,070,000.00$ |
| Right of Way Engineering and Acquisition | $\$ 336,475.00$ |
| Maintenance of Traffic including Temp Pavement | $\$ 477,000.00$ |
| Total Project Cost | $\$ 2,883,475.00$ |

## XI. Environmental Impacts

A preliminary Red Flag investigation was completed in order to identify any potential environmental concerns within the project limits. Based on the investigation, there should be minimal effects. Midwest Natural Gas Corporation owns two (2) high-pressure 6-inch natural gas pipe lines within the project area. Early Utility Coordination and Subsurface Utility Engineering performed during survey will lessen the possibility of significant utility reimbursement expenses. This project will acquire about 3.5 acres of right-of-way. That amount of right-of-way acquisition means that this project appears to meet the requirements of a Level 2 Categorical Exclusion (CE-2). A Rule 5 approval from IDEM will be required as the project will result in more than one acre of ground disturbance. While there are no water resources within or adjacent to the project, a waters investigation by need to be and the findings documented in a Wetland Delineation/Waters of the U.S. Determination Report.
The Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat are within range of this project, but suitable habitat does not appear to be present in the project area.
A review of the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and the Indiana Register of Historic Sites and Structures did not identify any properties listed or likely eligible for inclusion in the NRHP within the project area. The Preliminary Red Flag Investigation can be view in Appendix E.

## XII. Survey Requirements

The survey limits extend approximately 700ft west and 1100 east of the State 56 and Boatman Road intersection, along State Route 56. The survey limits extend 400 ft north and 425 ft south of the State 56 and Boatman Road intersection, along Boatman Road. The survey limits extend 450 ft west of the intersection of State 56 and Mount Road, along Mount Road.

## XIII. Right-of-Way Impact

The southside of State Route 56 is preferred for locating the roundabout due to the open fields with minimum obstructions and no residential or commercial relocations. The land use in the project area is a mix of residential, commercial and agricultural.

The preferred location for the roundabout will require approximately 3.5 acres of permanent right-of-way and 12 parcels. There are three agricultural parcels totaling 1.6 acres. There are three residential parcels totaling 0.3 acres. There are six business parcels totaling 1.6 acres. See "Option 1" exhibit for Right-ofWay Impacts details in Appendix C.

The Alternative 2 will require approximately 3.7 acres of permanent right-of-way. There are two agricultural parcels totaling 2.5 acres. There are three residential parcels totaling 0.12 acres. There are seven business parcels totaling 1.1 acres. See "Option 2" exhibit for Right-of-Way Impacts details in Appendix C.

Alternative 3 will require approximately 3.5 acres of permanent right-of-way, but will require 1 relocation. There are three agricultural parcels totaling 1.2 acres. There are three residential parcels totaling 0.73 acres. There are seven business parcels totaling 1.6 acres. See "Option 3" exhibit for Right-of-Way Impacts details in Appendix C.

|  | Residential |  | Business |  | Agricultural |  | Relocation |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acres | Parcels | Acres | Parcels | Acres | Parcels | Acres | Parcels | Acres |
| Alternative 1 | 0.30 | 3 | 1.6 | 6 | 1.6 | 3 |  |  | 3.5 |
| Alternative 2 | 0.12 | 3 | 1.1 | 7 | 2.5 | 2 |  |  | 3.7 |
| Alternative 3 | 0.73 | 3 | 1.6 | 7 | 1.2 | 3 | .45 | 1 | 3.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## XIV. Railroad Impact

Railroads are not located within the project footprint, therefore no impacts.

## XV. Utility Impact

A completed topographical survey and the One Call Utility Locates listed the following facilities within the project area:

Frontier (Telephone), Insight Communications (Cable TV), Jackson County R.E.M.C. (Electric), Midwest Natural Gas Corporation/Ohio Valley (Gas), City of Scottsburg (Water, Electric and Sewer) and Stucker Fork Water Utility (Water).

The proposed design will try to avoid and if necessary, use minor relocations to minimize impacts to each utility. Midwest Natural Gas Corporation has a highpressure main within the project limits. The cost to relocate a high-pressure gas main is expensive, though a meeting with a representative from Midwest Natural Gas Corporation stated that there is not a gas easement. The extent of reimbursable utilities will not be known until the early stages of utility coordination.

## XVI. Coordination and Meetings

Scope of Services Meeting Minutes - December 6, 2018
Field Inspection Meeting Minutes - July 11, 2019

See Appendix F for meeting minutes.

## XVII. Report Concurrence Block

This document was prepared by:
 [Date] 12-22-20

Timothy Suber, PE
Design Engineer, First Group Engineering, Inc,

Reviewed by:
Project Manager Review
[Date] $\qquad$
Project Manager, Seymour District

Reviewed by:
SAM Review
[Date]
Systems Asset Manager, Seymour District

## APPENDIXA




| 6:45 PM | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 56 | 11 | 0 | 67 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 32 | 118 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hourly Total | 15 | 10 | 32 | 0 | 57 | 1 | 255 | 43 | 0 | 299 | 34 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 49 | 5 | 159 | 12 | 0 | 176 | 581 |
| 7:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 43 | 6 | 0 | 49 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 108 |
| 7:15 PM | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 37 | 5 | 0 | 42 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 76 |
| 7:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 35 | 5 | 0 | 40 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 75 |
| 7:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 33 | 6 | 0 | 39 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 68 |
| Hourly Total | 7 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 148 | 22 | 0 | 170 | 27 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 5 | 92 | 6 | 0 | 103 | 327 |
| 8:00 PM | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 26 | 8 | 0 | 34 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 75 |
| 8:15 PM | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 23 | 4 | 0 | 27 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 33 | 76 |
| 8:30 PM | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 33 | 7 | 0 | 40 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 99 |
| 8:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 36 | 62 |
| Hourly Total | 6 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 93 | 23 | 0 | 116 | 24 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 137 | 4 | 0 | 142 | 312 |
| 9:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 53 |
| 9:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 45 |
| 9:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 44 |
| 9:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 41 |
| Hourly Total | 3 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 63 | 15 | 0 | 78 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 77 | 1 | 0 | 78 | 183 |
| 10:00 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 7 | 0 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 48 |
| 10:15 PM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 32 |
| 10:30 PM | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 21 |
| 10:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 16 |
| Hourly Total | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 55 | 15 | 0 | 70 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 117 |
| 11:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 |
| 11:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 25 |
| 11:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 |
| 11:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 |
| Hourly Total | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 26 | 11 | 0 | 37 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 73 |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 12:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| 12:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 |
| 12:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 28 |
| 1:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| 1:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| 1:30 AM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Hourly Total | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 30 |
| 2:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 2:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 |
| 2:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
| 2:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 35 |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 |
| 3:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 13 |
| 3:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 17 |
| 3:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 19 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 56 |
| 4:00 AM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 22 |
| 4:15 AM | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 19 |
| 4:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 24 |
| 4:45 AM | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 35 |
| Hourly Total | 4 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 0 | 54 | 100 |
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| 5:00 AM | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 39 |
| 5:30 AM | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 37 | 62 |
| 5:45 AM | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 49 | 4 | 0 | 54 | 76 |
| Hourly Total | 5 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 40 | 4 | 0 | 44 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 129 | 6 | 0 | 138 | 217 |
| 6:00 AM | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 35 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 55 | 3 | 0 | 60 | 110 |
| 6:15 AM | 1 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 24 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 52 | 2 | 0 | 54 | 100 |
| 6:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 58 | 3 | 0 | 62 | 104 |
| 6:45 AM | 3 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 23 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 54 | 4 | 0 | 60 | 105 |
| Hourly Total | 5 | 7 | 25 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 79 | 23 | 0 | 102 | 35 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 44 | 5 | 219 | 12 | 0 | 236 | 419 |
| 7:00 AM | 3 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 23 | 8 | 0 | 31 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 49 | 2 | 0 | 53 | 105 |
| 7:15 AM | 5 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 28 | 5 | 0 | 33 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 74 | 5 | 0 | 81 | 149 |
| 7:30 AM | 5 | 8 | 20 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 87 | 12 | 0 | 100 | 169 |
| 7:45 AM | 3 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 74 | 14 | 0 | 88 | 144 |
| Hourly Total | 16 | 16 | 53 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 80 | 21 | 0 | 101 | 41 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 59 | 5 | 284 | 33 | 0 | 322 | 567 |
| 8:00 AM | 4 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 14 | 6 | 39 | 7 | 0 | 52 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 8 | 18 | 31 | 0 | 57 | 136 |
| 8:15 AM | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 51 | 101 |
| 8:30 AM | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 61 | 91 |
| 8:45 AM | 4 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 43 | 96 |
| Hourly Total | 17 | 24 | 4 | 2 | 47 | 10 | 101 | 19 | 0 | 130 | 1 | 25 | 9 | 0 | 35 | 23 | 18 | 171 | 0 | 212 | 424 |
| 9:00 AM | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 49 | 93 |
| 9:15 AM | 6 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 35 | 84 |
| 9:30 AM | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 34 | 64 |
| 9:45 AM | 14 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 38 | 0 | 49 | 81 |
| Hourly Total | 35 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 55 | 3 | 60 | 6 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 23 | 8 | 0 | 31 | 30 | 2 | 135 | 0 | 167 | 322 |
| 10:00 AM | 20 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 21 | 12 | 1 | 26 | 1 | 40 | 88 |
| 10:15 AM | 12 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 22 | 62 |
| 10:30 AM | 15 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 25 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 8 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 21 | 62 |
| 10:45 AM | 11 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 22 | 59 |
| Hourly Total | 58 | 24 | 3 | 2 | 87 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 34 | 23 | 0 | 60 | 31 | 2 | 71 | 1 | 105 | 271 |
| Grand Total | 307 | 209 | 417 | 5 | 938 | 28 | 2732 | 536 | 0 | 3296 | 508 | 222 | 80 | 0 | 810 | 134 | 2639 | 519 | 1 | 3293 | 8337 |
| Approach \% | 32.7 | 22.3 | 44.5 | 0.5 | - | 0.8 | 82.9 | 16.3 | 0.0 | - | 62.7 | 27.4 | 9.9 | 0.0 | - | 4.1 | 80.1 | 15.8 | 0.0 | - | - |
| Total \% | 3.7 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 11.3 | 0.3 | 32.8 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 39.5 | 6.1 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 9.7 | 1.6 | 31.7 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 39.5 | - |
| Motorcycles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| \% Motorcycles | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | - | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cars | 209 | 148 | 335 | 3 | 695 | 21 | 1962 | 414 | 0 | 2397 | 383 | 154 | 45 | 0 | 582 | 82 | 1896 | 357 | 0 | 2335 | 6009 |
| \% Cars | 68.1 | 70.8 | 80.3 | 60.0 | 74.1 | 75.0 | 71.8 | 77.2 | - | 72.7 | 75.4 | 69.4 | 56.3 | - | 71.9 | 61.2 | 71.8 | 68.8 | 0.0 | 70.9 | 72.1 |
| Light Goods Vehicles | 76 | 58 | 75 | 2 | 211 | 6 | 610 | 100 | 0 | 716 | 105 | 60 | 25 | 0 | 190 | 42 | 543 | 121 | 0 | 706 | 1823 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { Light Goods } \\ \text { Vehicles } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 24.8 | 27.8 | 18.0 | 40.0 | 22.5 | 21.4 | 22.3 | 18.7 | - | 21.7 | 20.7 | 27.0 | 31.3 | - | 23.5 | 31.3 | 20.6 | 23.3 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 21.9 |
| Buses | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 27 |
| \% Buses | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1.3 | - | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 12 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 62 | 13 | 0 | 76 | 14 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 26 | 5 | 78 | 19 | 0 | 102 | 223 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | 3.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 2.4 | - | 2.3 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 7.5 |  | 3.2 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 2.7 |
| Articulated Trucks | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 92 | 6 | 0 | 98 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 118 | 20 | 1 | 142 | 253 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 1.1 | - | 3.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 3.8 | - | 0.9 | 2.2 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 100.0 | 4.3 | 3.0 |
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Count Name: SD SR56 @ Boatman Rd (72) Site Code:
Start Date: 03/06/2017
Page No: 9

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (7:15 AM)

| Start Time | Boatman Rd. <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | SR56 <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | Boatman Rd. <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | SR56Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | U-Turn | App. Total |  |
| 7:15 AM | 5 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 28 | 5 | 0 | 33 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 74 | 5 | 0 | 81 | 149 |
| 7:30 AM | 5 | 8 | 20 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 87 | 12 | 0 | 100 | 169 |
| 7:45 AM | 3 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 74 | 14 | 0 | 88 | 144 |
| 8:00 AM | 4 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 14 | 6 | 39 | 7 | 0 | 52 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 8 | 18 | 31 | 0 | 57 | 136 |
| Total | 17 | 20 | 48 | 1 | 86 | 6 | 96 | 20 | 0 | 122 | 36 | 19 | 9 | 0 | 64 | 11 | 253 | 62 | 0 | 326 | 598 |
| Approach \% | 19.8 | 23.3 | 55.8 | 1.2 | - | 4.9 | 78.7 | 16.4 | 0.0 | - | 56.3 | 29.7 | 14.1 | 0.0 | - | 3.4 | 77.6 | 19.0 | 0.0 | - | - |
| Total \% | 2.8 | 3.3 | 8.0 | 0.2 | 14.4 | 1.0 | 16.1 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 6.0 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 1.8 | 42.3 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 54.5 | - |
| PHF | 0.850 | 0.625 | 0.600 | 0.250 | 0.652 | 0.250 | 0.615 | 0.714 | 0.000 | 0.587 | 0.600 | 0.528 | 0.375 | 0.000 | 0.842 | 0.344 | 0.727 | 0.500 | 0.000 | 0.815 | 0.885 |
| Motorcycles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% Motorcycles | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cars | 10 | 14 | 37 | 0 | 61 | 5 | 66 | 11 | 0 | 82 | 22 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 44 | 8 | 182 | 50 | 0 | 240 | 427 |
| \% Cars | 58.8 | 70.0 | 77.1 | 0.0 | 70.9 | 83.3 | 68.8 | 55.0 | - | 67.2 | 61.1 | 78.9 | 77.8 | - | 68.8 | 72.7 | 71.9 | 80.6 | - | 73.6 | 71.4 |
| Light Goods Vehicles | 6 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 25 | 7 | 0 | 33 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 63 | 10 | 0 | 75 | 147 |
| \% Light Goods Vehicles | 35.3 | 30.0 | 22.9 | 100.0 | 27.9 | 16.7 | 26.0 | 35.0 | - | 27.0 | 27.8 | 15.8 | 22.2 | - | 23.4 | 18.2 | 24.9 | 16.1 | - | 23.0 | 24.6 |
| Buses | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| \% Buses | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.8 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 1.6 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 11 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 10.0 | - | 3.3 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | - | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 3.2 | - | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | - | 1.6 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 4.7 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | - | 1.2 | 1.5 |
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SR 56 Traffic Count Information


SR 56 Traffic Count Projection Calculations

| Growth Rate Calculation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | $-8 \%$ |  |
| 2017 | $1 \%$ |  |
| 2016 | $0 \%$ |  |
| 2015 | $15 \%$ |  |
| 2014 | $1 \%$ |  |
| 2013 | $-1 \%$ |  |
| 2012 | $0 \%$ |  |
| 2011 | $1 \%$ |  |
| 2001 | $4 \%$ |  |
| 1997 | $9 \%$ |  |
|  | $2.2 \%$ | <=Avg Yearly <br> Growth |


| Calculated AADT Growth |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| YR | $2.2 \%$ | DELTA |
| 2018 | 9820 | 217 |
| 2019 | 10037 | 217 |
| 2020 | 10254 | 217 |
| 2021 | 10471 | 217 |
| 2022 | 10688 | 217 |
| 2023 | 10905 | 217 |
| 2024 | 11122 | 217 |
| 2025 | 11339 | 217 |
| 2026 | 11556 | 217 |
| 2027 | 11773 | 217 |
| 2028 | 11990 | 217 |
| 2029 | 12207 | 217 |
| 2030 | 12424 | 217 |
| 2031 | 12641 | 217 |
| 2032 | 12858 | 217 |
| 2033 | 13075 | 217 |
| 2034 | 13292 | 217 |
| 2035 | 13509 | 217 |
| 2036 | 13726 | 217 |
| 2037 | 13943 | 217 |
| 2038 | 14160 | 217 |
| 2039 | 14377 | 217 |


| DHV Growth |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| YR | $2.2 \%$ | DELTA |
| 2018 | 870 | 20 |
| 2019 | 890 | 20 |
| 2020 | 910 | 20 |
| 2021 | 930 | 20 |
| 2022 | 950 | 20 |
| 2023 | 970 | 20 |
| 2024 | 990 | 20 |
| 2025 | 1010 | 20 |
| 2026 | 1030 | 20 |
| 2027 | 1050 | 20 |
| 2028 | 1070 | 20 |
| 2029 | 1090 | 20 |
| 2030 | 1110 | 20 |
| 2031 | 1130 | 20 |
| 2032 | 1150 | 20 |
| 2033 | 1170 | 20 |
| 2034 | 1190 | 20 |
| 2035 | 1210 | 20 |
| 2036 | 1230 | 20 |
| 2037 | 1250 | 20 |
| 2038 | 1270 | 20 |
| 2039 | 1290 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |

Truck \% from 2017 Count for SR 56 at Boatman Intersection

| Articulated = | $1.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| SU Trucks = | $3.0 \%$ |
| Buses = | $0.5 \%$ |
| Truck \% DHV $=$ | $5.2 \%$ |

Roundabout at SR 56 and Boatman Rd
SR 56 is 2 lane and Boatman Rd is 1 lane
Roundabout
Design Life Analysis (Practical Capacity): Results for 20 years

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov ID | Turn | Demand Flow veh/h | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Deg. Satn v/c | Average Delay sec | Level of Service | 95\% Back <br> Vehicles veh | Queue Distance ft | Prop. Queued | Effective Stop Rate per veh | Average Speed mph |
| South: RoadName |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | L | 6 | 0.0 | 0.151 | 6.6 | LOS A | 0.5 | 13.6 | 0.48 | 0.87 | 19.9 |
| 8 | T | 21 | 0.0 | 0.151 | 6.6 | LOS A | 0.5 | 13.6 | 0.48 | 0.43 | 21.0 |
| 18 | R | 82 | 2.0 | 0.151 | 6.6 | LOS A | 0.5 | 13.6 | 0.48 | 0.51 | 20.8 |
| Approac |  | 110 | 1.5 | 0.151 | 6.6 | LOS A | 0.5 | 13.6 | 0.48 | 0.52 | 20.8 |
| East: RoadName |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L | 82 | 6.0 | 0.591 | 11.1 | LOS B | 4.4 | 111.0 | 0.26 | 0.86 | 18.6 |
| 6 | T | 542 | 2.0 | 0.591 | 11.1 | LOS B | 4.4 | 111.0 | 0.26 | 0.11 | 19.6 |
| 16 | R | 3 | 0.0 | 0.591 | 11.1 | LOS B | 4.4 | 111.0 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 19.3 |
| Approac |  | 627 | 2.5 | 0.591 | 11.1 | LOS B | 4.4 | 111.0 | 0.26 | 0.21 | 19.4 |
| North: RoadName |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | L | 53 | 3.0 | 0.244 | 9.4 | LOS A | 0.9 | 22.2 | 0.59 | 0.89 | 19.1 |
| 4 | T | 33 | 0.0 | 0.244 | 9.4 | LOS A | 0.9 | 22.2 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 19.8 |
| 14 | R | 55 | 3.0 | 0.244 | 9.4 | LOS A | 0.9 | 22.2 | 0.59 | 0.65 | 19.7 |
| Approach |  | 142 | 2.3 | 0.244 | 9.4 | LOS A | 0.9 | 22.2 | 0.59 | 0.72 | 19.5 |
| West: RoadName |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | L | 11 | 0.0 | 0.397 | 8.6 | LOS A | 1.8 | 48.0 | 0.40 | 0.98 | 19.4 |
| 2 | T | 345 | 5.0 | 0.397 | 8.6 | LOS A | 1.8 | 48.0 | 0.40 | 0.29 | 20.4 |
| 12 | R | 3 | 0.0 | 0.397 | 8.6 | LOS A | 1.8 | 48.0 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 20.3 |
| Approac |  | 359 | 4.8 | 0.397 | 8.6 | LOS A | 1.8 | 48.0 | 0.40 | 0.31 | 20.4 |
| All Vehic |  | 1237 | 3.1 | 0.591 | 9.8 | LOS A | 4.4 | 111.0 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 19.8 |

Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay \& v/c (HCM 2010).
Roundabout LOS Method: Same as Sign Control.
Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay and v/c ratio (degree of saturation) per movement
LOS F will result if $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}>1$ irrespective of movement delay value (does not apply for approaches and intersection).
Intersection and Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all movements (v/c not used as specified in HCM 2010).
Roundabout Capacity Model: US HCM 2010.
HCM Delay Model used. Geometric Delay not included.

## APPENDIX B

Crash Analysis for SR 56 and Boatman Rd, Scottsburg, Scott County
Date: 1/1/2012-3/13/2017

| 1.) | SEVERITY | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | TOTALS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Property Damage | 4 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 21 | 62\% |
|  | Non-Incapacitating Injury | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15\% |
|  | Incapacitating Injury | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 21\% |
|  | Fatality | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3\% |
|  | Unknown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | --- |  |
|  | TOTALS | 9 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 34 | 100\% |
| 2.) | COLLISION DIAGRAM | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | TOTALS |  |
|  | Rear End Collision (\#1) | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 24\% |
|  | Head-On Collision (\#2) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Same Direction Sideswipe (\#3) ... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Opposite Direction Sideswipe (\#4) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3\% |
|  | Off Road Collision (\#5) . | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 12\% |
|  | Right Angle Collision (\#6).. | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 32\% |
|  | Lt. Turn Collision (\#7-13).. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 15\% |
|  | Rt. Turn Collision (\#14-18). | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3\% |
|  | Animal/Object in Roadway . | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 9\% |
|  | Backing Crash | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Rear to Rear | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Left/Right Turn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Non-Collision | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3\% |
|  | Unknown. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | --- |  |
|  | TOTALS | 9 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 34 | 100\% |
| 3.) | SURFACE CONDITION | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | TOTALS |  |
|  | Dry (\#1).. | 9 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 30 | 88\% |
|  | Wet (\#2)................. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6\% |
|  | Snow/Ice/Slush (\#3,4,5). | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3\% |
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## APPENDIXD

| SR 56 IN SCOTTSBURG - QUANTITIES AND PAY ITEM LIST HMA PREFERRED ALTERNATE - PRELIMINARY ESTIMATE 11-Sep-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | DESCRIPTION | UNIT | QUANTITY | UNIT PRICE |  | total cost |  |
| 105-06845 | CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 47,000.00 | \$ | 47,000.00 |
| 110-01001 | MOBILIZATION AND DEMOBILIZATION | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 125,000.00 | \$ | 125,000.00 |
| 201-52370 | CLEARING RIGHT OF WAY | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 63,000.00 | \$ | 63,000.00 |
| 202-93995 | SIGNAL POLE FOUNDATION, REMOVE | EACH | 2.00 | \$ | 700.00 | \$ | 1,400.00 |
| 202-93999 | SIGNAL POLE, REMOVE | EACH | 2.00 | \$ | 450.00 | \$ | 900.00 |
| 202-95579 | SERVICE POINT, REMOVE | EACH | 1.00 | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | 25.00 |
| 202-96151 | TRANSPORTATION OF SALVAGEABLE ITEMS | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 4,500.00 | \$ | 4,500.00 |
| 203-02000 | EXCAVATION, COMMON | CYS | 4750.00 | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 95,000.00 |
| 203-02070 | BORROW | CYS | 1500.00 | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | 37,500.00 |
| 205-12108 | STORM WATER MANAGEMENT BUDGET | DOL | 20000.00 | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 |
| 205-12109 | SWQCP PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION, LEVEL 1 | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 |
| 207-08264 | SUBGRADE TREATMENT, TYPE II | SYS | 55.00 | \$ | 9.00 | \$ | 495.00 |
| 207-09935 | SUBGRADE TREATMENT, TYPE IC | SYS | 12600.00 | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | 315,000.00 |
| 211-09264 | STRUCTURE BACKFILL, TYPE 1 | CYS | 250.00 | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | 7,500.00 |
| 401-07347 | QC/QA-HMA, 3, 70, SURFACE, 12.5 mm | TON | 825.00 | \$ | 81.00 | \$ | 66,825.00 |
| 401-07398 | QC/QA-HMA, 3, 70, INTERMEDIATE, 19.0 mm | TON | 1370.00 | \$ | 72.00 | \$ | 98,640.00 |
| 401-07424 | QC/QA-HMA, 3, 64, BASE, 19.0 mm | TON | 3300.00 | \$ | 69.00 | \$ | 227,700.00 |
| 401-10258 | JOINT ADHESIVE, SURFACE | LFT | 2000.00 | \$ | 0.20 | \$ | 400.00 |
| 401-10259 | JOINT ADHESIVE, INTERMEDIATE | LFT | 2000.00 | \$ | 0.25 | \$ | 500.00 |
| 401-11785 | LIQUID ASPHALT SEALANT | LFT | 2000.00 | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | 200.00 |
| 401-12137 | QC/QA-HMA, 4, 76, INTERMEDIATE, OG, 19.0 mm | TON | 1240.00 | \$ | 80.00 | \$ | 99,200.00 |
| 406-05520 | ASPHALT FOR TACK COAT | TON | 7.50 | \$ | 475.00 | \$ | 3,562.50 |
| 415-11527 | BASE SEAL | TON | 2.50 | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | 1,250.00 |
| 605-06140 | CURB AND GUTTER, CONCRETE | LFT | 3000.00 | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | 75,000.00 |
| 605-06255 | CENTER CURB, D CONCRETE | SYS | 560.00 | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | 70,000.00 |
| 610-07488 | HMA FOR APPROACHES, TYPE C | TON | 150.00 | \$ | 90.00 | \$ | 13,500.00 |
| 610-09108 | PCCP FOR APPROACHES, 9 IN . | SYS | 55.00 | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | 3,300.00 |
| 616-02320 | GEOTEXTILES | SYS | 300.00 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 1,500.00 |
| 616-06405 | RIPRAP, REVETMENT | TON | 200.00 | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | 12,000.00 |
| 628-09402 | FIELD OFFICE, B | MOS | 12.00 | \$ | 2,200.00 | \$ | 26,400.00 |
| 715-05048 | PIPE, TYPE 4, CIRCULAR, 6 IN. | LFT | 5000.00 | \$ | 4.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 |
| 715-05053 | PIPE, UNDERDRAIN, OUTLET 6 IN. | LFT | 250.00 | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 2,500.00 |
| 715-05123 | PIPE, TYPE 1, CIRCULAR, 24 IN . | LFT | 115.00 | \$ | 70.00 | \$ | 8,050.00 |
| 715-05149 | PIPE, TYPE 2, CIRCULAR, 12 IN . | LFT | 715.00 | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | 35,750.00 |
| 715-05169 | PIPE, TYPE 3, CIRCULAR, 15 IN . | LFT | 60.00 | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | 3,600.00 |
| 715-46000 | PIPE END SECTION, DIAMETER 12 IN . | EACH | 14.00 | \$ | 575.00 | \$ | 8,050.00 |
| 715-46005 | PIPE END SECTION, DIAMETER 15 IN . | EACH | 2.00 | \$ | 600.00 | \$ | 1,200.00 |
| 715-46020 | PIPE END SECTION, DIAMETER 24 IN. | EACH | 4.00 | \$ | 800.00 | \$ | 3,200.00 |
| 718-06529 | OUTLET PROTECTOR, 2 | EACH | 4.00 | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | 2,000.00 |
| 718-06532 | VIDEO INSPECTION FOR UNDERDRAINS | LFT | 3000.00 | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 3,000.00 |
| 718-52610 | AGGREGATE FOR UNDERDRAINS | CYS | 420.00 | \$ | 35.00 | \$ | 14,700.00 |
| 718-99153 | GEOTEXTILES FOR UNDERDRAIN | SYS | 5000.00 | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 |
| 720-98174 | INLET, B15 | EACH | 26.00 | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | 65,000.00 |
| 801-06775 | MAINTAINING TRAFFIC | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 112,000.00 | \$ | 112,000.00 |
| 802- | SIGNING (LUMP SUM) | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 |
| 805-01300 | TRAFFIC SIGNAL EQUIPMENT, REMOVE | EACH | 3.00 | \$ | 1,410.00 | \$ | 4,230.00 |
| 807- | LIGHTING (LUMP SUM) | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 180,000.00 | \$ | 180,000.00 |
|  | CONTINGENCY (15\%) | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 285,000.00 | \$ | 285,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | \$ | 2,195,577.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | \$ | 2,196,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MOT | \$ | 112,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total (excluding MOT) |  |  | \$ | 2,084,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| SR 56 IN SCOTTSBURG - QUANTITIES AND PAY ITEM LIST hMA ALTERNATE 2 - PRELIMINARY ESTIMATE 11-Sep-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | DESCRIPTION | UNIT | QUANTITY | UNIT PRICE |  | total Cost |  |
| 105-06845 | CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 48,000.00 | \$ | 48,000.00 |
| 110-01001 | MOBILIZATION AND DEMOBILIZATION | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 128,000.00 | \$ | 128,000.00 |
| 201-52370 | CLEARING RIGHT OF WAY | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 64,000.00 | \$ | 64,000.00 |
| 202-93995 | SIGNAL POLE FOUNDATION, REMOVE | EACH | 2.00 | \$ | 700.00 | \$ | 1,400.00 |
| 202-93999 | SIGNAL POLE, REMOVE | EACH | 2.00 | \$ | 450.00 | \$ | 900.00 |
| 202-95579 | SERVICE POINT, REMOVE | EACH | 1.00 | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | 25.00 |
| 202-96151 | TRANSPORTATION OF SALVAGEABLE ITEMS | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 4,500.00 | \$ | 4,500.00 |
| 203-02000 | EXCAVATION, COMMON | CYS | 4750.00 | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 95,000.00 |
| 203-02070 | BORROW | CYS | 3000.00 | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | 75,000.00 |
| 205-12108 | STORM WATER MANAGEMENT BUDGET | DOL | 20000.00 | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 |
| 205-12109 | SWQCP PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION, LEVEL 1 | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 |
| 207-08264 | SUBGRADE TREATMENT, TYPE II | SYS | 55.00 | \$ | 9.00 | \$ | 495.00 |
| 207-09935 | SUBGRADE TREATMENT, TYPE IC | SYS | 12600.00 | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | 315,000.00 |
| 211-09264 | STRUCTURE BACKFILL, TYPE 1 | CYS | 250.00 | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | 7,500.00 |
| 401-07347 | QC/QA-HMA, 3, 70, SURFACE, 12.5 mm | TON | 825.00 | \$ | 81.00 | \$ | 66,825.00 |
| 401-07398 | QC/QA-HMA, 3, 70, INTERMEDIATE, 19.0 mm | TON | 1370.00 | \$ | 72.00 | \$ | 98,640.00 |
| 401-07424 | QC/QA-HMA, 3, 64, BASE, 19.0 mm | TON | 3300.00 | \$ | 69.00 | \$ | 227,700.00 |
| 401-10258 | JOINT ADHESIVE, SURFACE | LFT | 2000.00 | \$ | 0.20 | \$ | 400.00 |
| 401-10259 | JOINT ADHESIVE, INTERMEDIATE | LFT | 2000.00 | \$ | 0.25 | \$ | 500.00 |
| 401-11785 | LIQUID ASPHALT SEALANT | LFT | 2000.00 | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | 200.00 |
| 401-12137 | QC/QA-HMA, 4, 76, INTERMEDIATE, OG, 19.0 mm | TON | 1240.00 | \$ | 80.00 | \$ | 99,200.00 |
| 406-05520 | ASPHALT FOR TACK COAT | TON | 7.50 | \$ | 475.00 | \$ | 3,562.50 |
| 415-11527 | BASE SEAL | TON | 2.50 | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | 1,250.00 |
| 605-06140 | CURB AND GUTTER, CONCRETE | LFT | 3000.00 | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | 75,000.00 |
| 605-06255 | CENTER CURB, D CONCRETE | SYS | 560.00 | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | 70,000.00 |
| 610-07488 | HMA FOR APPROACHES, TYPE C | TON | 150.00 | \$ | 90.00 | \$ | 13,500.00 |
| 610-09108 | PCCP FOR APPROACHES, 9 IN. | SYS | 55.00 | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | 3,300.00 |
| 616-02320 | GEOTEXTILES | SYS | 300.00 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 1,500.00 |
| 616-06405 | RIPRAP, REVETMENT | TON | 200.00 | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | 12,000.00 |
| 628-09402 | FIELD OFFICE, B | MOS | 12.00 | \$ | 2,200.00 | \$ | 26,400.00 |
| 715-05048 | PIPE, TYPE 4, CIRCULAR, 6 IN. | LFT | 5000.00 | \$ | 4.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 |
| 715-05053 | PIPE, UNDERDRAIN, OUTLET 6 IN. | LFT | 250.00 | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 2,500.00 |
| 715-05123 | PIPE, TYPE 1, CIRCULAR, 24 IN . | LFT | 115.00 | \$ | 70.00 | \$ | 8,050.00 |
| 715-05149 | PIPE, TYPE 2, CIRCULAR, 12 IN . | LFT | 715.00 | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | 35,750.00 |
| 715-05169 | PIPE, TYPE 3, CIRCULAR, 15 IN . | LFT | 60.00 | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | 3,600.00 |
| 715-46000 | PIPE END SECTION, DIAMETER 12 IN. | EACH | 14.00 | \$ | 575.00 | \$ | 8,050.00 |
| 715-46005 | PIPE END SECTION, DIAMETER 15 IN . | EACH | 2.00 | \$ | 600.00 | \$ | 1,200.00 |
| 715-46020 | PIPE END SECTION, DIAMETER 24 IN. | EACH | 4.00 | \$ | 800.00 | \$ | 3,200.00 |
| 718-06529 | OUTLET PROTECTOR, 2 | EACH | 4.00 | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | 2,000.00 |
| 718-06532 | VIDEO INSPECTION FOR UNDERDRAINS | LFT | 3000.00 | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 3,000.00 |
| 718-52610 | AGGREGATE FOR UNDERDRAINS | CYS | 420.00 | \$ | 35.00 | \$ | 14,700.00 |
| 718-99153 | GEOTEXTILES FOR UNDERDRAIN | SYS | 5000.00 | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 |
| 720-98174 | INLET, B15 | EACH | 26.00 | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | 65,000.00 |
| 801-06775 | MAINTAINING TRAFFIC | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 112,000.00 | \$ | 112,000.00 |
| 802- | SIGNING (LUMP SUM) | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 |
| 805-01300 | TRAFFIC SIGNAL EQUIPMENT, REMOVE | EACH | 3.00 |  | 1,410.00 | \$ | 4,230.00 |
| 807- | LIGHTING (LUMP SUM) | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 180,000.00 | \$ | 180,000.00 |
|  | CONTINGENCY (15\%) | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 292,500.00 | \$ | 292,500.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | \$ | 2,245,577.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | \$ | 2,246,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MOT | \$ | 112,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total (excluding MOT) |  |  | \$ | 2,134,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| SR 56 IN SCOTTSBURG - QUANTITIES AND PAY ITEM LIST HMA ALTERNATE 3 - PRELIMINARY ESTIMATE 11-Sep-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM | DESCRIPTION | UNIT | QUANTITY | UNIT PRICE |  | total Cost |  |
| 105-06845 | CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 47,000.00 | \$ | 47,000.00 |
| 110-01001 | MOBILIZATION AND DEMOBILIZATION | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 124,000.00 | \$ | 124,000.00 |
| 201-52370 | CLEARING RIGHT OF WAY | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 62,000.00 | \$ | 62,000.00 |
| 202-93995 | SIGNAL POLE FOUNDATION, REMOVE | EACH | 2.00 | \$ | 700.00 | \$ | 1,400.00 |
| 202-93999 | SIGNAL POLE, REMOVE | EACH | 2.00 | \$ | 450.00 | \$ | 900.00 |
| 202-95579 | SERVICE POINT, REMOVE | EACH | 1.00 | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | 25.00 |
| 202-96151 | TRANSPORTATION OF SALVAGEABLE ITEMS | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 4,500.00 | \$ | 4,500.00 |
| 203-02000 | EXCAVATION, COMMON | CYS | 4750.00 | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 95,000.00 |
| 203-02070 | BORROW | CYS | 1000.00 | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | 25,000.00 |
| 205-12108 | STORM WATER MANAGEMENT BUDGET | DOL | 20000.00 | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 |
| 205-12109 | SWQCP PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION, LEVEL 1 | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 |
| 207-08264 | SUBGRADE TREATMENT, TYPE II | SYS | 55.00 | \$ | 9.00 | \$ | 495.00 |
| 207-09935 | SUBGRADE TREATMENT, TYPE IC | SYS | 12600.00 | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | 315,000.00 |
| 211-09264 | STRUCTURE BACKFILL, TYPE 1 | CYS | 250.00 | \$ | 30.00 | \$ | 7,500.00 |
| 401-07347 | QC/QA-HMA, 3, 70, SURFACE, 12.5 mm | TON | 825.00 | \$ | 81.00 | \$ | 66,825.00 |
| 401-07398 | QC/QA-HMA, 3, 70, INTERMEDIATE, 19.0 mm | TON | 1370.00 | \$ | 72.00 | \$ | 98,640.00 |
| 401-07424 | QC/QA-HMA, 3, 64, BASE, 19.0 mm | TON | 3300.00 | \$ | 69.00 | \$ | 227,700.00 |
| 401-10258 | JOINT ADHESIVE, SURFACE | LFT | 2000.00 | \$ | 0.20 | \$ | 400.00 |
| 401-10259 | JOINT ADHESIVE, INTERMEDIATE | LFT | 2000.00 | \$ | 0.25 | \$ | 500.00 |
| 401-11785 | LIQUID ASPHALT SEALANT | LFT | 2000.00 | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | 200.00 |
| 401-12137 | QC/QA-HMA, 4, 76, INTERMEDIATE, OG, 19.0 mm | TON | 1240.00 | \$ | 80.00 | \$ | 99,200.00 |
| 406-05520 | ASPHALT FOR TACK COAT | TON | 7.50 | \$ | 475.00 | \$ | 3,562.50 |
| 415-11527 | BASE SEAL | TON | 2.50 | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | 1,250.00 |
| 605-06140 | CURB AND GUTTER, CONCRETE | LFT | 3000.00 | \$ | 25.00 | \$ | 75,000.00 |
| 605-06255 | CENTER CURB, D CONCRETE | SYS | 560.00 | \$ | 125.00 | \$ | 70,000.00 |
| 610-07488 | HMA FOR APPROACHES, TYPE C | TON | 150.00 | \$ | 90.00 | \$ | 13,500.00 |
| 610-09108 | PCCP FOR APPROACHES, 9 IN. | SYS | 55.00 | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | 3,300.00 |
| 616-02320 | GEOTEXTILES | SYS | 300.00 | \$ | 5.00 | \$ | 1,500.00 |
| 616-06405 | RIPRAP, REVETMENT | TON | 200.00 | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | 12,000.00 |
| 628-09402 | FIELD OFFICE, B | MOS | 12.00 | \$ | 2,200.00 | \$ | 26,400.00 |
| 715-05048 | PIPE, TYPE 4, CIRCULAR, 6 IN. | LFT | 5000.00 | \$ | 4.00 | \$ | 20,000.00 |
| 715-05053 | PIPE, UNDERDRAIN, OUTLET 6 IN. | LFT | 250.00 | \$ | 10.00 | \$ | 2,500.00 |
| 715-05123 | PIPE, TYPE 1, CIRCULAR, 24 IN . | LFT | 115.00 | \$ | 70.00 | \$ | 8,050.00 |
| 715-05149 | PIPE, TYPE 2, CIRCULAR, 12 IN . | LFT | 715.00 | \$ | 50.00 | \$ | 35,750.00 |
| 715-05169 | PIPE, TYPE 3, CIRCULAR, 15 IN . | LFT | 60.00 | \$ | 60.00 | \$ | 3,600.00 |
| 715-46000 | PIPE END SECTION, DIAMETER 12 IN. | EACH | 14.00 | \$ | 575.00 | \$ | 8,050.00 |
| 715-46005 | PIPE END SECTION, DIAMETER 15 IN . | EACH | 2.00 | \$ | 600.00 | \$ | 1,200.00 |
| 715-46020 | PIPE END SECTION, DIAMETER 24 IN. | EACH | 4.00 | \$ | 800.00 | \$ | 3,200.00 |
| 718-06529 | OUTLET PROTECTOR, 2 | EACH | 4.00 | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | 2,000.00 |
| 718-06532 | VIDEO INSPECTION FOR UNDERDRAINS | LFT | 3000.00 | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 3,000.00 |
| 718-52610 | AGGREGATE FOR UNDERDRAINS | CYS | 420.00 | \$ | 35.00 | \$ | 14,700.00 |
| 718-99153 | GEOTEXTILES FOR UNDERDRAIN | SYS | 5000.00 | \$ | 1.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 |
| 720-98174 | INLET, B15 | EACH | 26.00 | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | 65,000.00 |
| 801-06775 | MAINTAINING TRAFFIC | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 112,000.00 | \$ | 112,000.00 |
| 802- | SIGNING (LUMP SUM) | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 |
| 805-01300 | TRAFFIC SIGNAL EQUIPMENT, REMOVE | EACH | 3.00 |  | 1,410.00 | \$ | 4,230.00 |
| 807- | LIGHTING (LUMP SUM) | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 180,000.00 | \$ | 180,000.00 |
|  | CONTINGENCY (15\%) | LS | 1.00 | \$ | 285,000.00 | \$ | 285,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | \$ | 2,181,077.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | \$ | 2,182,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | MOT | \$ | 112,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total (excluding MOT) |  |  | \$ | 2,070,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIXE

## LOCHMUELLER GROUP

## State Road (56) Intersection Improvement Project SR 56 and Boatman Road (CR 200 W) <br> Scott County, Indiana <br> Loch Group \# 218-0056

## Project Scope

The proposed project involves the construction of a roundabout at the SR 56 and Boatman Road intersection in order to improve safety for travelers at this intersection. Additional right-of-way is anticipated, but an estimated quantity was not available at the time this Preliminary Red Flag Investigation was completed. It is anticipated the amount of right-of-way required will exceed 0.5 -acre.

## Preliminary Red Flag Investigation

A preliminary Red Flag Investigation was completed for the project area by Lochmueller Group. The project was screened using 46 Geographic Information System (GIS) layers in order to identify any potential environmental concerns located within the immediate vicinity of the project.

Ecological Resources: No water resources are mapped within or adjacent to the project area. However, a waters investigation may be needed and the findings documented in a Wetland Delineation / Waters of the U.S. Determination Report. The project area is not located within the Potential Karst Features Region as identified in the Karst Memorandum of Understanding (MOU). The project area is not located in the St. Joseph’s Sole Source Aquifer.

The project is within the range of the federally endangered Indiana bat and federally threatened northern long-eared bat. Suitable habitat does not appear to be present within or adjacent to the project area. The proposed project is anticipated to qualify for the Range-wide Programmatic Informal Consultation for the Indiana bat and Northern Long-eared Bat (NLEB). Given the scope of the project, it is anticipated the resulting finding of this coordination will be "No Effect" or "Not Likely to Adversely Affect".

Cultural Resources: There are no properties listed in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) adjacent to the project. On October 15, 2018, Lochmueller Group reviewed the information presented on the Indiana State Historic Architectural and Archaeological Research Database (SHAARD) GIS and the Indiana Historic Buildings, Bridges, and Cemeteries Map (IHBBCM) for the proposed project location. The project area does not appear to be within or adjacent to an NRHP eligible or listed bridge, or historic district, and no cemeteries appear to be within or adjacent to the project limits. One property rated Contributing is located north of SR 56 on the west side of Boatman Road. Contributing resources are not typically considered individually eligible for inclusion in the NRHP.

A virtual review was conducted via Google Streetview imagery to assess soil disturbance in regard to the potential for intact archaeological resources. A portion of the project area appears to be within undisturbed soils. Therefore, an archaeological field review is recommended.

## Hazardous Materials: N/A

Infrastructure: Two (2) pipelines, owned by Midwest Natural Gas Corporation, are within, or adjacent to, the likely project area. While not mapped on the searched GIS layers, Elevation Church is located near the project area and owns undeveloped property in the southwest quadrant of the subject intersection that will likely be affected by the project.

## Mining/Mineral Exploration: N/A

Urbanized Area Boundary (UAB): A portion of the project area is located within a UAB, however, a Rule 13 Permit from IDEM has not been issued.

## Summary of Environmental Requirements (LOI Write-Up)

NEPA Documentation: This project appears to meet the requirements of a Level 2 Categorical Exclusion (CE-2) due to the anticipated amount of right-of-way, which is expected to exceed one half acre. The likely acquisition of more than one half acre of new right-of-way will prompt public involvement requirements, requiring at a minimum the advertisement of an opportunity for the public to request a hearing. Approval of the CE-2 is anticipated within 8-10 months due to the public involvement requirements.

A preliminary Red Flag Investigation was completed for the project area by Lochmueller Group. The project was screened using 46 Geographic Information System (GIS) layers in order to identify any potential environmental concerns located within the immediate vicinity of the project. The results of this preliminary review identified the following areas of concern.

- Elevation Church - This resource is located near the subject intersection, but according to Scott County GIS data, also owns undeveloped property in the southwest quadrant of the project. The undeveloped church property will likely be affected by the project. Coordination will occur with a representative of the church during the environmental process to identify any issues.
- Pipeline - Two (2) 6-inch natural gas pipelines, owned by Midwest Natural Gas Corporation, traverse the likely project area. During the development of the project, appropriate coordination with this utility will be required to determine any conflicts.

The National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and the Indiana Register of Historic Sites and Structures (State Register) were reviewed using the State Historic Architectural and Archaeological Research Database (SHAARD) and the Indiana Historic Buildings, Bridges, and Cemeteries (IHBBC) Map. The results of this virtual review did not identify any properties listed or likely eligible (rated as Notable or Outstanding) for inclusion in the NRHP within the project area. Although, one property rated as Contributing is located north of the intersection. Contributing resources are not typically considered individually eligible for inclusion in the NRHP. As proposed, the project is likely to qualify as a Category B project under the Minor Projects Programmatic Agreement (MPPA) providing no archaeological resources are identified that are considered eligible for the NRHP. The application of the MPPA means formal Section 106 consultation will not be required.

Permits: Rule 5 approval from IDEM will be required as the project is expected to result in more than one acre of ground disturbance. Other permits, such as a Section 404 Permit and Section 401 Water Quality Certification may be required if the results of surveys identify Waters of the U.S. within the project area.




## APPENDIX F

# SR 56 at Boatman Road Roundabout <br> Des. No. 1800210 <br> Scope of Services Meeting Summary <br> Seymour District <br> 10 AM on December 6, 2018 

In attendance:
William Fortson - INDOT Project Manager - wfortson@indot.in.gov
Travis Mankin - Project Manager - tmankin@indot.in.gov
Jeremeih Shawn - Traffic Planning Engineer - jeshaw@indot.in.gov
Damon Brown - Acting Traffic Engineer - DaBrown@indot.in.gov
Greg Carleton - Consultant Services Manager - gcarleton@indot.in.gov
David Vorndran (via phone) - Senior Contract Engineer - dvondran@indot.in.gov
Shawn Strange, Consultant Project Manager, First Group Engineering, sstrange@firstgroupengineering.com
Jeff Brechbill, First Group Engineering, jbrechbill@firstgroupengineering.com
The following notes were transcribed from the project kick-off meeting:

- Project is scheduled for January, 2023 Letting
- Projected Construction Budget is $\$ 1.884$ million
- Scope of Work is to fix accident problem at intersection. The current intersection is in a reverse curve segment, and is at a skew with a 25 degree angle. $45 \%$ of the crashes are right angle crashes, and crash narratives have indicated a trend that drivers are not aware of the intersection. Crash narratives also indicate that drivers are unaware that the intersection is a two way stop rather than a four way stop when approaching from the northbound and southbound directions. A fatal crash and two incapacitating injury crashes have been recorded within the last three years, and the index of crash frequency rates at 3.21.
- There are no plans to add travel lanes to this section of SR 56.
- The accident rate has not been effectively reduced by the flasher at this location nor by speed bumps added by the county on the north approach or supplemental signs.
- According to FHWA Toolbox of Countermeasures and Crash Reduction Factors found at https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/tools/crf/resources/fhwasa08011/page2.cfm\#linktarget_6 the best alternative is reconstructing the intersection is a roundabout. ROADHAT analysis also determined that a roundabout was the most cost-effective alternative to reduce accidents at this location.
- A SIDRA analysis was completed using projected traffic volumes, and it shows that a single lane roundabout will function at a LOS of B in the design year during the peak hour. This indicates that the roundabout will only need a single circulating lane.
- Given the ROADHAT, SIDRA, traffic data collection, and accident analysis already performed, Greg Carleton recommended First Group start by compiling the data in an abbreviated Engineer's Report that evaluates the best location for the circulating roadway with respect to utility impacts, private property impacts, and construction cost.
- First Group pointed out that there are potential reimbursable gas mains that may be expensive to relocate and therefore will need to be more accurately located to ensure the roundabout location does not incur significant utility reimbursement expenses as high-
pressure gas mains can cost hundreds of thousands of dollars to relocate. A limited SUE will be performed at the same time the survey is picked up during the engineering assessment phase of this contract.
- INDOT recognized that due to the high concentration of utilities at the intersection, we should look at adding a Subsurface Utility Engineering Subconsultant to do a SUE analysis for the abbreviated Engineer's Report. First Group also added that in order to determine if utilities are in an easement and therefore reimbursable, early coordination requesting any easement documentation will be necessary.
- INDOT will furnish First Group with 2017 traffic counts.
- INDOT wanted to close off Mount Road to SR 56 as the intersection angle is severely substandard and it would be safer to force that turning traffic to the roundabout to access SR 56.
- INDOT requested two coordination meetings prior to the project's public hearing. They would like one with first responders and the school and another with property owners/public. These would not be advertised and would be more informal than a typical public hearing. Public flyers, door hangers, and coordination with county officials will be necessary to include in the design phase of the contract.
- INDOT would like us to include a review of the horizontal curve to the east in the survey to ensure superelevation requirements are met at the end of the project.
- INDOT would like the drives/approaches near the roundabout evaluated for intersection sight distance. INDOT would like Bob White drive access possibly controlled via a turn lane/by-pass lane and/or splitter island prohibiting left turns out of the development if the roundabout is placed near this intersection. Other options may need to be reviewed during the EA phase on this contract as well.
- INDOT would like lighting at the roundabout and chicanes and RPM's approaching the chicanes if approved by the IDM.
- INDOT would like to use a posted speed limit of 45 mph for SR 56 as the existing speed limit changes from 50 to 55 about 250 ' west of Boatman. This may require stepping down the speed from the west.
- INDOT would like RPM's in advance of the roundabout on SR 56.
- INDOT would like an independent construction cost estimate be provided as part of the EA to verify the programmed construction cost is accurate.
- INDOT would like to try to avoid the pipe structure located about 550 ' west of the Boatman Road intersection. The structure does appear to have scour problems and may be undersized and need rip rap. The structure is less than 48 " so it will be in the district maintenance logs and not in the INDOT BIAS System. INDOT could provide inspection reports but would replace as part of a maintenance contract if needed. First Group will check the hydraulic capacity of the existing structure and determine during design if the structure should be replaced.
- MOT - INDOT recommends against closing SR 56 for a temporary detour. There are no good alternate routes.
- The house at Boatman and mount may need to checked in the EA stage of the contract to ensure no Section 106 is required. INDOT can provide that review if requested by First Group.
- The church in the SW quadrant of the intersection did buy the land near the road recently for potential expansion. There are no known plans at this time for site improvements. Early coordination with locals my flesh out any potential site work. INDOT permits will
check for any pending drive work at this location. The county will know if anything is planned in the near future for this site.
- INDOT would like a preliminary and a final field check during the design of this project.
- The fee proposal will be due in late January and will be a PDF document emailed to Dave Vorndran and William Fortson.
- Fee to include SUE, Survey, and Engineering Assessment. Other services to be added via Supplemental Agreement.
- After visiting the site, it was determined that Ohio Valley Gas owned the high-pressure gas mains near the intersection of Boatman and SR 56. Their headquarters was located on the west site of Scottsburg where First Group met Kelly Shafer, a representative of Ohio Valley Gas who stated that the company had no easements for the gas mains located along SR 56 but may have one on Boatman Road and; therefore, were may not be reimbursable, but they would need to verify this. He also stated that the valve lids can be removed to see the top of the gate valves which are 6 " higher than the lines indicating the depth at that location. After visiting the site, popping the top off the gate valve, it was discovered the valve was half full of water. Given limited probing in the water it was determined that the gas mains were most likely around $40^{\prime \prime}$ to $48^{\prime \prime}$ deep.


## The following represent our understanding of the responsibilities for this project:

## Services Provided by INDOT:

- Pavement Cores
- Pavement History
- Pavement Design
- R/W Services (except for R/W Engineering)
- Accidents
- Traffic Data \& Traffic Projections
- Small Culvert Inspections
- Railroad Coordination

Services by FGE:

- Engineering Assessment
- Early Utility Coordination
- Survey
- SUE
- Geotechnical Investigation \& Recommendations
- No Public Involvement as part of Engineering Assessment
- Coordination with Police, Fire, School during the design phase of the contract
- Utility Coordination
- R/W Engineering \& Staking
- Title Search
- Categorical Exclusion
- Permits (Rule 5)
- Hydraulics - small structures
- Roadway Design / Maintenance of Traffic
- Public Hearing/Public Involvement
- Monthly Project Reports to William Fortson
- Signing Plans
- Lighting Plans

Summary of Meeting provided by: Shawn H. Strange


Looking West at Intersection from East
Looking South at Intersection from North


Looking North at Intersection from South


Looking East at Intersection from West

Field Inspection
State Route 56 and Boatman Road
Des 1800210
Field Inspection Sign-In Sheet

| Name of Attendee | Representing | Telephone <br> Number | E-Mail |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shawn Strange | First Group Engineering | $317-216-7705$ | sstrange@firstgroupengineering.com |
| Tim Suber | First Group Engineering | $317-290-9549$ | tsuber@firstgroupengineering.com |
| William Fortson | INDOT Project Manager | $812-524-3745$ | wfortson@indot.in.gov |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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## Field Inspection Agenda

State Route 56 and Boatman Roundabout
Des 1800210
Fiscal Year of Letting: 2023

## 1. Design

- Roundabout Location - Preferred Alternate (Need state’s approval of Option 1 - Circulating Roadway Southeast of existing intersection). This alternate limits impacts to properties on the north and west sides of the intersection.
- Speed Reduction - Our Preferred design for the SR 56 approaches use a design speed of 45 mph which is less than the $50-\mathrm{mph}$ posted speed limit. The Boatman Road approaches use a design speed of 35 mph even though the roadway does not have a posted speed; therefore, its 55 mph . Will INDOT require a design exception? We're trying to discourage speeding, so our thought is that the limiting geometry will give enough visual queues to the approaching driver that they will immediately start to slow down enough to yield at the circulating roadway.
- Project tie-in limits are further back than originally anticipated due to high speed approach design requiring quite a bit of length for the splitter islands to encourage deceleration. A 55mph design speed for the approaches will actually extend the splitter island another 90 ft each direction along SR 56. If a $55-\mathrm{mph}$ design speed were used for full deceleration on Boatman Road, it would extend the north-south approaches another 300 ft . Given the tighter property lines and other impacts such as potential Section 106 issues with the historic house located just northwest of the intersection of Mount Dr. and Boatman Rd., we decided on utilizing a lower design speed for the Boatman Road approaches to limit construction impacts.
- Closure of Mount Drive at SR 56. At this time, this intersection is outside the limits of this roundabout project and thus not included in this project at this time. The roadway is used as a short cut to northbound Boatman Road and sees high speed traffic coming off of westbound SR 56. This intersection is at such a poor skew that almost all traffic from Boatman utilize the main intersection at SR 56 to turn east (instead of this short cut). At this time, this project will not impact this intersection and will not be included with this project unless INDOT decides to expand the scope of the project to close this intersection due to safety concerns.

2. Drainage

- The proposed design utilizes curb and gutter to create visual queues to slow down as the lane looks narrower at the splitter island. Since there is no need for sidewalk, our proposed design will utilize curb turnouts to side ditches behind the curb to drain the project location. Pipes will be used to mover water from the east to the west and eventually the stream that
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crosses under SR 56 approximately 500 ft west of Boatman Road. The proposed outfall for the project is also a good location for an engineered stormwater control structure or sediment basin.

## 3. Property Impacts

- High Speed Characteristics of Boatman Rd were minimized and to a lesser extent on SR 56 to mitigate impacts to the surrounding properties including:
o One potential Historic 106 Property
o Church Property Access
o Minimize Impacts to Properties on County Road

4. Utilities

- Midwest Natural Gas Corporation (Gas) - High Pressure Gas is most likely nonreimbursable and will require relocation
- Frontier (Telephone) is most likely non-reimbursable and will require relocation
- Insight Communications (Cable TV) is most likely non-reimbursable and will require relocation
- Jackson County R.E.M.C. (Electric) is most likely non-reimbursable and will require relocation
- City of Scottsburg (Water, Electric and Sewer) is most likely non-reimbursable and will require relocation
- Stucker Fork Water Utility (Water) is most likely non-reimbursable and will require relocation

5. Existing Drainage

- 24 inch CMP 550ft west of intersection - The proposed design will require that this structure either be replaced or extended. After field inspection, the existing structure is in good enough condition to be extended.
- 12-inch CMP 350ft south of intersection. Given the condition of this structure, the proposed design will require that this structure be replaced.
- 12-inch CMP northside ditch at intersection. Given the condition of this structure, the proposed design will require that this structure be replaced.

6. ROW Acquisition

12 parcels - 3 Residential, 3 Agricultural and 6 Business (including Church)
Contributing House (Historic)
Elevation Church Parcels (2)
Relocating Church LCD Billboard (Damages)
One Additional Parcel will be needed if the Mount Drive approach to SR 56 is closed off as a cul-desac or simple turn-around will require one additional parcel (from the property needing access)
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { OF PROFESSIONAL } \\
& \text { LAND SURVEYORS, INC. }
\end{aligned}
$$

7. Construction MOT

Allowed to close Boatman Road? At this time we are proposing to maintain
8. Project Information
a. Construction Budget - $\$ 1.8$ million
b. Construction Year - FY 2023
c. Stage 1 Plans Due 12/6/19

The following notes were transcribed from the meeting:

- The preferred alternative will require acquiring right-of-way from 12 parcels. The closing of Mount Drive will require right-of-way acquisition from one more parcel if added. The cul-de-sac design required for closing Mount Drive will have to avoid a large tree and the primary driveway used to access the residence.
- Elevation Church has plans to build a structure. Discussed coordinating with architect to limit conflicts with new structure and proposed road design. Proposed road design will limit placement of access to Elevation Church's parcels.
- The $50-55 \mathrm{mph}$ posted speed limit on SR 56 dictates the use of high-speed approaches for the roundabout. The high-speed approaches require a splitter island approximately 350 ft long.
- There is a contributing house located on Boatman Rd., north leg. Contributing resources typically do not affect the environmental document.
- Boatman Road does not have a posted speed limit. Being that Boatman Road is county road located outside urban district, the maximum speed limit is 55 mph . The 55 mph speed limit would require high-speed approaches for the north and south legs of Boatman Road. Shorting Boatman's Road approach legs will reduce the proposed right-of-way. The question was about using a design exception for Boatman Road or reducing the design speed limit. Ask Damon Brown and Jeremeih Shawn about design speed limit for Boatman Rd.
- State Route 56 will use temporary pavement to maintain traffic during construction. Mount Drive could be used as a detour route for north leg of Boatman Rd.
- First Group met with Midwest Natural Gas. Midwest Natural Gas does not believe a gas easement is owned within the project limits.
- William Fortson requested design year traffic counts for First Group Engineering.
- First Group will submit the Engineering Report based on current design assumptions and will revise based on input from INDOT.

Summary provided by: Tim Suber
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## APPENDIX G

|  | Intersection Sight Distance <br> For Passenger Car |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Speed <br> $(\mathrm{mph})$ | Calculated <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Design <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ |
| 15 | 143.3 | 145 |
| 20 | 191.1 | 195 |
| 25 | 238.9 | 240 |
| 30 | 286.7 | 290 |
| 35 | 334.4 | 335 |
| 40 | 382.2 | 385 |
| 45 | 430.0 | 430 |
| 50 | 477.8 | 480 |
| 55 | 525.5 | 530 |
| 60 | 573.3 | 575 |
| 65 | 621.1 | 625 |
| 70 | 668.9 | 670 |

Note: Intersection sight distance shown is for a stopped passenger car to turn right onto or cross a two-lane highway with no median and grades of $3 \%$ or flatter. For other conditions, the time gap should be adjusted and the required sight distance recalculated.

Figure 46-10H

| $*$ <br> $V_{\text {major }}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mph})$ | Passenger Car |  |  |  | Single-Unit Truck | Combination Truck |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Local Road |  | Collector or Arterial |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $t_{g}(\mathrm{~s})$ | ISD (ft) | $t_{g}(\mathrm{~s})$ | ISD (ft) | $t_{g}(\mathrm{~s})$ | ISD (ft) | $t_{g}(\mathrm{~s})$ | ISD (ft) |
| 15 | 7.5 | 170 | 7.5 | 170 | 9.5 | 210 | 11.5 | 260 |
| 20 | 7.5 | 220 | 7.5 | 220 | 9.5 | 280 | 11.5 | 340 |
| 25 | 7.5 | 280 | 7.5 | 280 | 9.5 | 350 | 11.5 | 430 |
| 30 | 7.5 | 330 | 7.5 | 330 | 9.5 | 420 | 11.5 | 510 |
| 35 | 7.5 | 390 | 7.5 | 390 | 9.5 | 490 | 11.5 | 600 |
| 40 | 7.5 | 440 | 7.5 | 440 | 9.5 | 560 | 11.5 | 680 |
| 45 | 7.5 | 500 | 7.5 | 500 | 9.5 | 630 | 11.5 | 760 |
| 50 | 7.5 | 550 | 8.5 | 630 | 10.5 | 780 | 12.5 | 920 |
| 55 | 7.5 | 610 | 9.0 | 730 | 11.0 | 890 | 13.0 | 1060 |
| 60 | 7.5 | 670 | 9.5 | 840 | 11.5 | 1020 | 13.5 | 1190 |
| 65 | 7.5 | 720 | 10.0 | 960 | 12.0 | 1150 | 14.0 | 1340 |
| 70 | 7.5 | 780 | 10.0 | 1030 | 12.0 | 1240 | 14.0 | 1440 |

$V_{\text {major }}=$ Design speed of major road
$t_{g} \quad=$ Time gap for minor road vehicle to enter major road
ISD = Intersection sight distance (length of leg of sight triangle along major road)

ISD is shown for a stopped vehicle to turn left onto a two-lane highway with approach grades of 3\% or flatter. For other conditions, the time gap should be adjusted and the required ISD recalculated using the formula ISD $=1.47 V_{\text {major }} t_{g}$.

For a left turn onto a two-way highway with more than two lanes, add 0.5 s for a passenger car, or 0.7 s for a truck for each additional lane from the left in excess of one, to be crossed by a turning vehicle.

For the minor-road approach, if its grade is an upgrade that is steeper than $3 \%$, add 0.2 s for each percent grade for a left turn. The adjustment for the minor-road approach grade is required only if the rear wheels of the design vehicle would be on an upgrade steeper than $3 \%$.

## INTERSECTION SIGHT DISTANCE

FOR STOP-CONTROLLED INTERSECTION

Figure 46-10G


## APPENDIX H

Desktop Reference for Crash Reduction Factors

## Tables for Intersection Crash Reduction Factors

Table 1. Signalization Countermeasures


|  | Right-angle | All |  | 4-leg | Signal |  | 49 |  | 4 | 18 |  |  | Experimental Design (Case Control Study) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Right-angle | Fatal/Injury |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 30 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | Fatal/Injury |  | 4-leg | Signal |  | 49 |  | -6 | 22 |  |  | Experimental Design (Case Control Study) |
|  | Right-angle | PDO |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 46 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Ped | Fatal/Injury |  |  | Signal |  | 49 |  | 37 |  |  |  | Comparison Group Before After |
| Increase yellow change interval | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 15 |  |  |  | Crosssection |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 30 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cross- } \\ & \text { section } \end{aligned}$ |
| Install emergency vehicle pre-emption systems | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Emerg } \\ \text { vehicle } \end{array}$ | All |  |  |  |  | 51 |  | 70 |  |  |  |  |
| Install pedestrian countdown signal heads | Ped | Fatal/Injury | Urban (San Francisco) |  | Signal |  | 32 |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| Install pedestrian signal | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ped | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 53 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ped | All |  |  | Signal |  | 5 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ped | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ped | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |
| Modify signal phasing (implement a leading pedestrian interval) | Ped | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Provide actuated signals | Left-turn | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 80 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cross- } \\ & \text { section } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 10 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cross- } \\ & \text { section } \end{aligned}$ |
| Provide Advanced Dilemma Zone Detection for rural high speed approaches | All | Fatal/Injury | Rural | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4-\operatorname{leg} \\ (1 \mathrm{app}) \end{array}$ | Signal |  | 61 |  | 39 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
| Provide protected left turn phase | All | All |  |  | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { <5,000/lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 30 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { <5,000/lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 36 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 15 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 25 |  |  |  | Crosssection |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 30 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 27 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} <5,000 / l a n e \\ \text { (Total) } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 41 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & >5,000 / l a n e \\ & \text { (Total) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 46 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 35 |  |  |  | Simple <br> Before-After |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 70 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cross- } \\ & \text { section } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 48 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Left-turn | Fatal/Injury | Urban |  | Signal |  | 31 | 30 | 16 | 2 |  |  | EB Before- After After |
|  | Right-angle | Fatal/Injury | Urban |  | Signal |  | 31 | 30 | 19 | 2 |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | Overturn | All |  |  | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { <5,000/lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 27 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Overturn | All |  |  | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & >5,000 / l a n e \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 35 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Overturn | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ped | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { <5,000/lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 27 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & >5,000 / l a n e \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 35 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & <5,000 / \text { lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 54 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { >5,000/lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 56 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 80 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 63 |  |  |  |  |
| Provide protected/permissive left-turn phase (leading flashing green) (Request MUTCD Experimentation) | Left-turn | Fatal/Injury | Urban |  | Signal |  | 31 | 15 | 16 | 4 |  |  | EB Before- After |
|  | Right-angle | Fatal/Injury | Urban |  | Signal |  | 31 | 15 | 12 | 4 |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
| Provide protected left-turn phase (leading green arrow) | Left-turn | Fatal/Injury | Urban |  | Signal |  | 31 | 20 | 17 | 2 |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | Right-angle | Fatal/Injury | Urban |  | Signal |  | 31 | 20 | 25 | 2 |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
| Provide signal coordination | All | All | All |  | Signal |  | 1 |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | Arizona |  | Signal |  | 3 |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 32 |  | 25 | 38 |  |
| Provide split phases | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| Remove flash mode (late night/early morning) | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 29 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 47 | 17 | 75 | 19 | 29 | 100 | Simple Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 80 |  |  |  |  |
| Replace existing WALK/DON'T WALK signals with pedestrian countdown signal heads | Ped | All | Urban (San |  | Signal |  | 32 |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  | \|Franci |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SIGNAL HARDWARE COUNTERMEASURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Add 3-inch yellow retroreflective sheeting to signal backplates | All | All | Urban |  | Signal |  | 54 |  | 15 | 51 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB Before- } \\ & \text { After } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Add additional signal and upgrade to 12-inch lenses | Older-driver | All |  | 4-leg | Signal |  | 39 | 33 | 31 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Youngerdriver | All |  | 4-leg | Signal |  | 39 | 33 | 17 |  |  |  |  |
| Add signal (additional primary head) | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | Urban | 4-leg | Signal |  | 14 | 63 | 28 |  | 20 | 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { EB Before- } \\ \text { After } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban | 4-leg | Signal |  | 14 | 63 | 17 |  | 10 | 25 | EB Before- After |
|  | All | PDO | Urban | 4-leg | Signal |  | 14 | 63 | 31 |  | 30 | 35 | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{EB} \text { Before- } \\ \text { After } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Rear-end | All | Urban | 4-leg | Signal |  | 14 | 63 | 28 |  | 0 | 45 | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { EB Before- } \\ \text { After } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 42 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | All | Urban | 4-leg | Signal |  | 14 | 63 | 35 |  | 15 | 45 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB Before- } \\ & \text { After } \end{aligned}$ |
| Convert signal from pedestal-mounted to mast arm | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 51 |  | 49 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 35 | 6 | 25 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Simple } \\ \text { Before-After } \end{array}$ |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 35 | 33 | 32 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Simple } \\ \text { Before-After } \end{array}$ |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 36 |  | 28 | 43 |  |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury |  |  | Signal |  | 51 |  | 44 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | PDO |  |  | Signal |  | 51 |  | 51 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  | Signal |  | 51 |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  | Signal |  | 51 |  | 41 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 51 |  | 74 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 35 | 6 | 63 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Simple } \\ \text { Before-After } \end{array}$ |
| Improve visibility of signal heads (increase signal lens size, install new backboards, add reflective tape to existing backboards, and/or install additional signal heads) | All | All | Urban |  | Signal |  | 52 | 224 | 7 |  |  |  | EB Before- <br> After |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban |  | Signal |  |  | 224 | 3 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB Before- } \\ & \text { After } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | All | PDO | Urban |  | Signal |  | 52 | 224 | 9 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB Before- } \\ & \text { After } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Day | All | Urban |  | Signal |  | 52 | 224 | 6 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { EB Before- } \\ \text { After } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Night | All | Urban |  | Signal |  | 52 | 224 | 6 |  |  |  | EB Before- After |
| Improve visibility of signal heads (install two red displays in each head) | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 36 |  |  |  |  |
| Install larger signal lenses (12 inch) | All | All | All |  | Signal |  | 1 |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 10 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cross- } \\ & \text { section } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 11 |  | 10 | 12 |  |
|  | All | All | Urban |  | Signal |  | 54 |  | 24 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cross- } \\ & \text { section } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban |  | Signal |  | 54 |  | 16 |  |  |  | Cross- section |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 47 | 44 | 46 |  | -89 | 100 | Simple <br> Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 48 |  |  |  |  |
| Install signal backplates only | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 13 |  | 2 | 24 |  |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 50 |  | 7 | 93 |  |
| Install signal backplates (or visors) | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 20 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cross- } \\ & \text { section } \end{aligned}$ |
| Install signals | All | All |  |  | No signal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { <5,000/lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 38 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | All | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & >5,000 / l a n e \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 20 |  |  |  | Simple <br> Before-After |
|  | All | All |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ |  | 28 |  | 33 |  | 20 | 45 |  |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ |  | 43 | 447 | 38 |  |  |  | Simple <br> Before-After |
|  | Right-turn | All |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { No } \\ \text { signal } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 43 |  | 50 |  |  |  | Simple <br> Before-After |
|  | All | All | Rural |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ |  | 43 | 447 | 15 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Simple } \\ \text { Before-After } \end{array}$ |
|  | All | Fatal |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { No } \\ \text { signal } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 43 | 447 | 38 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  | No signal |  | 43 | 447 | -48 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Simple } \\ & \text { Before-After } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  |  |  | 43 | 447 | 29 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Simple } \\ & \text { Before-After } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | All | All | Urban |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { No } \\ \text { signal } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 43 | 447 | 17 |  |  |  | Simple <br> Before-After |
|  | All | All |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ |  | 15 |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 15 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Simple } \\ & \text { Before-After } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | All | All |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No } \\ \text { signal } \end{array}$ |  | 15 |  | 13 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Simple } \\ \text { Before-After } \end{array}$ |
|  | All | All |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ |  | 15 |  | 20 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Simple } \\ \text { Before-Afer } \end{array}$ |
|  | All | All |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { No } \\ \text { signal } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 15 |  | 25 |  |  |  | Cross- section |
|  | All | All |  |  | No signal |  | 15 |  | 20 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban | 3-leg | Stop | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 11,750- & 900- \\ 42,000 & 4,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 34 |  | 14 | 32 |  |  | EB Before- <br> After |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban | 4-leg | Stop | $12,650-$ $2,400-$ <br> 22,400, 3,625 | 34 |  | 23 | 22 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB Before- } \\ & \text { After } \end{aligned}$ |
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|  | Overturn | All |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { <5,000/lar } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 15 |  | 22 |  |  |  | Simple <br> Before-After |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Overturn | All |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5,000/lar } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 15 |  | 20 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Simple } \\ & \text { Before-After } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <5,000 / l a r \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 15 |  | 22 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Simple } \\ \text { Before-After } \end{array}$ |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  | No signal | $\begin{aligned} & >5,000 / l a r \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 15 |  | 20 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rear-end | Fatal/Injury | Urban | 3-leg | Stop | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 11,750- & 9 \\ 42,000 & 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 900- \\ & 4,000 \end{aligned}$ | 34 |  | -50 | 51 |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | Rear-end | Fatal/Injury | Urban | 4-leg | Stop | $\begin{aligned} & 12,650- \\ & 22,400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,400- \\ & 3,625 \end{aligned}$ | 34 |  | -38 | 39 |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | No signal | $\begin{aligned} & <5,000 / l a r \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 15 |  | 74 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | No signal | $\begin{aligned} & >5,000 / l a r \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 15 |  | 43 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | No signal |  |  | 15 |  | 58 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | No signal |  |  | 15 |  | 60 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | No signal |  |  | 15 |  | 42 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 15 |  | 65 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cross- } \\ & \text { section } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 15 |  | 65 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Simple } \\ \text { Before-After } \end{array}$ |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 28 |  | 68 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 47 |  | 74 | 66 | 56 | 100 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Simple } \\ \text { Before-After } \end{array}$ |
|  | Right-angle | Fatal/Injury | Urban | 3-leg | Stop | $\begin{aligned} & 11,750- \\ & 42,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 900- \\ & 4,000 \end{aligned}$ | 34 |  | 34 | 45 |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | Right-angle | Fatal/Injury | Urban | 4-leg | Stop | $\begin{aligned} & 12,650- \\ & 22,400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,400- \\ & 3,625 \end{aligned}$ | 34 |  | 67 | 20 |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | All | PDO |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 43 | 447 | -15 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
| \|nstall signals (temporary) | Head-on | PDO |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 15 |  | 83 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Simple } \\ \text { Before-After } \end{array}$ |
|  | Left-turn | PDO |  |  | No signal |  |  | 15 |  | 11 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | Fatal/Injury |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{No} \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 15 |  | 39 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | PDO |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 15 |  | 73 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Simple } \\ & \text { Before-After } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Sideswipe | Fatal/Injury |  |  | No signal |  |  | 15 |  | 50 |  |  |  | Simple <br> Before-After |
| Install signals (to have one over each approach lane) | Right-angle | All | All |  |  |  |  | 35 |  | 46 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Simple } \\ & \text { Before-After } \end{aligned}$ |
| Remove unwarranted signals | All | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 15 |  | 75 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 15 |  | 100 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 15 |  | 50 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cross- } \\ & \text { section } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 15 |  | 75 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Simple } \\ \text { Before-After } \end{array}$ |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 28 |  | 52 |  | 50 | 53 |  |
|  | All | All | Urban |  | Signal |  |  | 21 |  | 24 |  |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban |  | Signal |  |  | 21 | 199 | 53 |  |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | All | PDO | Urban |  | Signal |  |  | 21 | 199 | 24 |  |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | Day | All | Urban |  | Signal |  |  | 21 | 199 | 22 |  |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | Fixed object | All | Urban |  | Signal |  |  | 21 | 199 | 31 |  |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | Night | All | Urban |  | Signal |  |  | 21 | 199 | 30 |  |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | Rea-end | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 15 |  | 95 |  | 90 | 100 |  |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 15 |  | 100 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 15 |  | 90 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cross- } \\ & \text { section } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Rear-end | All | Urban |  | Signal |  |  | 21 | 199 | 29 |  |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
|  | Right-angle | All | Urban |  | Signal |  |  | 21 | 199 | 24 |  |  |  | EB BeforeAfter |
| Replace signal lenses with optical lenses | All | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 28 |  | 17 |  | 15 | 18 |  |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 15 |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 15 |  | 15 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cross- } \\ & \text { section } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Head-on | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 15 |  | 20 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cross- } \\ & \text { section } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 15 |  | 10 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cross- } \\ & \text { section } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 15 |  | 10 |  |  |  | Crosssection |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 15 |  | 10 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cross- } \\ & \text { section } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ION SIGNAL | AND OTHER | R COU | RMEAS | UURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Install left-turn lane and add turn phase | All | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 28 |  | 58 |  | 46 | 69 |  |
| Install signals and add channelization | Head-on | PDO |  |  | No signal |  |  | 15 |  | 27 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Left-turn | PDO |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { No } \\ \text { signal } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 15 |  | 24 |  |  |  | Simple <br> Before-After |
|  | ROR | Fatal/Injury |  |  | No signal |  |  | 15 |  | 35 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | Fatal/Injury |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 15 |  | 67 |  |  |  | Simple <br> Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | DO |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 63 |  |  |  | Simple |
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|  | Left-turn | PDO |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 71 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ROR | Fatal/Injury |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 8 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | ROR | PDO |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 13 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rear-end | Fatal/Injury |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 29 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rear-end | PDO |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 32 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | Fatal/Injury |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 20 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | PDO |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 8 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Sideswipe | Fatal/Injury |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 50 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
| Install left-turn lane (painted separation) | All | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & <5,000 / \text { lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 50 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Rural | ${ }^{3-l e g}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5,000- \\ & 15,000 \end{aligned}$ | 13 |  | 22 | 14 |  |  | Metaanalysis |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Rural | 4-leg |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5,000- \\ & 15,000 \end{aligned}$ | 13 |  | -28 | 27 |  |  | Metaanalysis |
|  | All | PDO | Rural | ${ }^{3-1 e g}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5,000- \\ & 15,000 \end{aligned}$ | 13 |  | 20 | 19 |  |  | Metaanalysis |
|  | All | PDO | Rural | 4-leg |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5,000- \\ & 15,000 \end{aligned}$ | 13 |  | 26 | 12 |  |  | Metaanalysis |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & <5,000 / \text { lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 57 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & >5,000 / \text { lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 35 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Overturn | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & <5,000 / l a n e \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 54 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Overturn | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & >5,000 / l a n e \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 39 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & <5,000 / l a n e \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 54 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & >5,000 / \text { lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 39 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & <5,000 / \text { lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 62 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & >5,000 / \text { lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 49 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
| Install left-turn lane (physical channelization) | All | All | All |  | No signal |  | 1 |  | 35 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | All |  | Signal |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | Rural | 3-leg | No signal |  | 28 |  | 44 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | Rural | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 4-leg } \\ & (1 \mathrm{app}) \end{aligned}$ | No signal |  | 28 |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4-\operatorname{leg} \\ (2 \mathrm{app}) \end{array}$ | No signal |  | 28 |  | 42 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { <5,000/lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 51 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Simple } \\ & \text { Before-After } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | All | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & >5,000 / \text { lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 19 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | All | All | Urban | ${ }^{3-l e g}$ | No signal |  | 28 |  | 33 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | Urban | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 4-leg } \\ (1 \mathrm{app}) \end{array}$ | No signal |  | 28 |  | 27 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Rural | 3-leg |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5,000- \\ & 15,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 13 |  | 27 | 13 |  |  | Metaanalysis |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Rural | 4-leg |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5,000- \\ & 15,000 \end{aligned}$ | 13 |  | 4 | 12 |  |  | Metaanalysis |
|  | All | PDO | Rural | ${ }^{3-l e g}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5,000- \\ & 15,000 \end{aligned}$ | 13 |  | -20 | 23 |  |  | Metaanalysis |
|  | All | PDO | Rural | ${ }^{4-l e g}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5,000- \\ & 15,000 \end{aligned}$ | 13 |  | 16 | 22 |  |  | Metaanalysis |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { <5,000/lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 24 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & >5,000 / \text { lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 24 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Left-turn | Fatal/Injury |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 50 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | ROR | PDO |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 50 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Overturn | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { <5,000/lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 50 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Overturn | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & >5,000 / l a n e \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 28 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { <5,000/lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 50 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & >5,000 / l a n e \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 28 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rear-end | Fatal/Injury |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 11 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rear-end | PDO |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 56 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Simple } \\ & \text { Before-After } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & <5,000 / \text { lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 68 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & >5,000 / \text { lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 55 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | Fatal/Injury |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 58 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Right-angle | PDO |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 54 |  |  |  | Simple Before-After |
| Install left-turn lane (signal has left-turn phase) | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 31 |  | 25 | 36 |  |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 51 |  | 35 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 44 |  | 43 | 45 |  |
|  | Older-driver head on | All |  | ${ }^{4-\operatorname{leg}}$ | Signal |  | 39 | 13 | 73 |  |  |  |  |


|  | Younger- driver head on | All |  | 4-leg | Signal |  |  | 39 | 13 | 63 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Install left-turn lane (signal has no turn phase) | All | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 28 |  | 23 | 21 | 25 |  |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 28 |  | 50 | 46 | 54 |  |
| Install left-turn lane (with channelization and existing left-turn phase) | All | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 15 |  | 35 |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 15 |  | 35 |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Simple } \\ \text { Before-After } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 15 |  | 35 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cross- } \\ & \text { section } \end{aligned}$ |
| Install left-turn lane (with channelization and no left-turn phase) | All | All |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 15 |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 15 |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Simple } \\ \text { Before-After } \end{array}$ |
|  | All | All |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 15 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cross- } \\ & \text { section } \end{aligned}$ |
| Install left-turn lane (within existing curbs) | All | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 28 |  | 26 |  |  |  |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  | Signal |  |  | 28 |  | 66 |  |  |  |
| Install left-turn refuge within flush median | All | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & <5,0001 \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | lane | 15 |  | 24 |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Simple } \\ \text { Before-After } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | All | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & >5,0001 \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | lane | 15 |  | 44 |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Simple } \\ \text { Before-After } \end{array}$ |
|  | Head-on | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & >5,000 \\ & (\text { Total }) \end{aligned}$ | lane | 15 |  | 52 |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & >5,000 / \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | lane | 15 |  | 77 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Simple } \\ & \text { Before-After } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Overturn | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { <5,000 } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | lane | 15 |  | 44 |  |  | Simple <br> Before-After |
|  | Overturn | All |  |  |  | -5,000 (Total) | lane | 15 |  | 40 |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Simple } \\ \text { Before-After } \end{array}$ |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} <5,000 / \\ (\text { Total }) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | lane | 15 |  | 44 |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} >5,0001 \\ \text { (Total) } \end{array}$ | lane | 15 |  | 40 |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | Sideswipe | All |  |  |  | (Total) |  | 15 |  | 52 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Simple } \\ & \text { Before-After } \end{aligned}$ |
| Remove left-turn lane | All | All | Rural | 3-leg | Signal |  |  | 6 |  | -18 |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | Rural | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 4-\mathrm{leg} \\ & (1 \mathrm{app}) \end{aligned}\right.$ | Signal |  |  | 6 |  | -22 |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | Rural | $\begin{gathered} 4-\mathrm{leg} \\ (2 \mathrm{app}) \end{gathered}$ | Signal |  |  | 6 |  | -49 |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | Urban | 3-leg | Signal |  |  | 6 |  | -8 |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | Urban | 3-leg | Stop |  |  | 6 |  | -49 |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | Urban | $\begin{gathered} 4-\mathrm{leg} \\ (1 \mathrm{app}) \end{gathered}$ | Signal |  |  | 6 |  | -11 |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | Urban | $\begin{gathered} 4-\mathrm{leg} \\ (1 \mathrm{app}) \end{gathered}$ | Stop |  |  | ${ }^{6}$ |  | -37 |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | Urban | $\begin{gathered} 4-\mathrm{leg} \\ (2 \mathrm{app}) \end{gathered}$ | Signal |  |  | 6 |  | -23 |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 4-\operatorname{leg} \\ & (2 \mathrm{app}) \end{aligned}$ | Stop |  |  | 6 |  | -88 |  |  |  |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Rural | 3-leg | Signal |  |  | 6 |  | -16 |  |  |  |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Rural | $\begin{gathered} 4-\operatorname{leg} \\ (1 \mathrm{app}) \end{gathered}$ | Signal |  |  | 6 |  | -21 |  |  |  |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Rural | $\begin{aligned} & 4-\operatorname{leg} \\ & (2 \mathrm{app}) \end{aligned}$ | Signal |  |  | 6 |  | -45 |  |  |  |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban | 3 -leg | Signal |  |  | 6 |  | -6 |  |  |  |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban | 3-leg | Stop |  |  | 6 |  | -53 |  |  |  |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 4-\operatorname{leg} \\ (1 \mathrm{app}) \end{array}\right)$ | Signal |  |  | 6 |  | -10 |  |  |  |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban | $\begin{gathered} 4-\mathrm{eg} \\ (1 \mathrm{app}) \end{gathered}$ | Stop |  |  | ${ }^{6}$ |  | -41 |  |  |  |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 4-\mathrm{leg} \\ & (2 \mathrm{app}) \end{aligned}$ | Signal |  |  | ${ }^{6}$ |  | -21 |  |  |  |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & 4-\operatorname{leg} \\ & (2 \mathrm{app}) \end{aligned}$ | Stop |  |  | 6 |  | -98 |  |  |  |
|  | RIGHT-TUR | NCOUNTER | RMEA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increase length of right-turn lane | All | \|Fatal/Injury | All | All | All |  |  | 158 |  | 15 |  |  |  |
| Install right-turn lane | All | All | All | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4-\mathrm{leg} \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | Signal | $\begin{array}{r} 4,200- \\ 55,100 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100- \\ & 26,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 22 |  | 4 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB Before- } \\ & \text { After } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | All | All | All | $\begin{aligned} & 4-\operatorname{leg} \\ & (1 \mathrm{app}) \end{aligned}$ | Stop | $\begin{aligned} & 1,100- \\ & 40,600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25- \\ & 11,800 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 22 |  | 14 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{EB} \text { Before- } \\ & \text { After } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | All | All | All | $\begin{gathered} 4-\mathrm{leg} \\ (2 \mathrm{app}) \end{gathered}$ | Signal | $\begin{aligned} & 4,200- \\ & 55,100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100- \\ & 26,000 \end{aligned}$ | 22 |  | 8 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB Before- } \\ & \text { After } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | All | All | All | $\begin{gathered} 4-\mathrm{leg} \\ (2 \mathrm{app}) \end{gathered}$ | Stop | $\begin{aligned} & 1,100- \\ & 40,600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25- \\ & 11,800 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 22 |  | 26 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB Before- } \\ & \text { After } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | All | All | All | All | All |  |  | 58 |  | 35 |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | All |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 25 |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | Rural | $\begin{array}{\|c} 4-\mathrm{leg} \\ (1 \mathrm{app}) \end{array}$ | Signal |  |  | 28 |  | 14 |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | Rural | $\begin{aligned} & 4-\mathrm{leg} \\ & (1 \mathrm{app}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 28 |  | 21 | 14 | 27 |  |
|  | All | All |  | All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 28 |  | 27 | 24 | 30 |  |
|  | All | All |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 25 |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 25 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cross- } \\ & \text { section } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | All | All |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 25 |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Simple } \\ \text { Before-After } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | All | All |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 25 |  |  | Simple Before-After |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | All | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4-\mathrm{leg} \\ (1 \mathrm{app}) \end{array}$ | Signal | $\begin{array}{r} 4,200- \\ 55,100 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100- \\ & 26,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 22 |  | 9 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB Before- } \\ & \text { After } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | All | $\begin{aligned} & 4-\mathrm{eg} \\ & 1 \mathrm{app}) \end{aligned}$ | Stop | $\begin{array}{r} 1,100- \\ 40,600 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25- \\ & 11,800 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 22 |  | 23 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB Before- } \\ & \text { After } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | All | All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 58 |  | 35 |  |  |  |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | All | All | Signal |  |  | 58 |  | 35 |  |  |  |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury |  | All |  |  |  | 51 |  | 40 |  |  |  |
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Table 2. Geometric Countermeasures

| Countermeasures | Crash Type | Crash Severity | Area Type | Config | Control | Major Minor <br> Daily Traffic Volume <br> (veh/day)  |  | Ref | Obs | Effectiveness |  |  |  | Study Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Crash Reduction Factor/Function |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std } \\ & \text { Error } \end{aligned}$ | Range |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |
| OTHER GEOMETRIC COUNTERMEASURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Convert four-leg to two T-intersections | All | All |  | 4-leg | No signal |  |  |  | 28 |  | 57 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban | 4-leg |  | <70\%* | >30\%** | 13 |  | 33 | 6 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban | 4-leg |  | >85\%* | <15\%** | 13 |  | -35 | 15 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban | 4-leg |  | 70-85\%* | 15-30\%* | 13 |  | 25 | 5 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | All | PDO | Urban | 4-leg |  | <70\%** | >30\%** | 13 |  | 10 | 5 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | All | PDO | Urban | 4-leg |  | >85\%* | <15\%** | 13 |  | -15 | 6 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | All | PDO | Urban | 4-leg |  | 70-85\%* | 15-30\%* | 13 |  | 0 | 5 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | All | All |  | 4-leg |  |  |  | 51 |  | 57 |  |  |  | Meta-analysis |
| Convert intersection to roundabout | All | All | All |  | All |  |  | 50 | 55 | 35 | 3 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | All | All |  | Signal |  |  | 50 | 9 | 48 | 5 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | All | All |  | Signal |  |  | 21 | 23 | 40 |  |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | All | All |  | Stop (2-way) |  |  | 50 | 36 | 44 | 4 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | All | All |  | Stop (4-way) |  |  | 50 | 10 | -3 | 15 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | All | Rural | 1-lane | Stop (2-way) |  |  | 50 | 9 | 72 | 4 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | All | Rural |  | Stop | 7,185-17,220 |  | 44 |  | 58 | 7 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | All |  | 3-leg |  |  |  | 15 |  | 50 |  |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | All | All |  | 4-leg |  |  |  | 15 |  | 75 |  |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury |  |  |  |  |  | 55 | 181 | 65 |  |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | All | PDO |  |  |  |  |  | 55 | 181 | 42 |  |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | Ped | All |  |  |  |  |  | 55 | 181 | 89 |  |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | All | All | Urban |  | Stop | $\begin{aligned} & 13,272- \\ & 30,418 \end{aligned}$ |  | 44 |  | 5 | 10 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | All | Urban |  | Signal | 5,322-31,525 |  | 44 |  | 35 | 9 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | All | Urban |  | Signal |  |  | 50 | 5 | 1 | 12 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | All | Urban |  | Signal |  |  | 21 | 4 | 35 |  |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | All | Urban |  | Stop (2-way) |  |  | 50 | 27 | 31 | 6 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | All | Urban | 1-lane | Stop (2-way) |  |  | 50 | 16 | 56 | 6 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | All | Urban | 2-lane | Signal |  |  | 50 | 4 | 67 | 4 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | All | Urban | 2-lane | Stop (2-way) |  |  | 50 | 11 | 18 | 8 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | All | Urban |  | Stop | 4,600-17,825 |  | 44 |  | 72 | 6 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | All |  | All |  |  | 50 | 55 | 76 | 3 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | All |  | Signal |  |  | 50 | 9 | 78 | 6 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | All |  | Stop (2-way) |  |  | 50 | 36 | 82 | 3 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | All |  | Stop (4-way) |  |  | 50 | 10 | -28 | 41 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | All |  | All |  |  | 21 | 23 | 80 |  |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Rural | 1-lane | Stop (2-way) |  |  | 50 | 9 | 87 | 3 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Rural |  | Stop | 7,185-17,220 |  | 44 |  | 82 | 9 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury |  |  | No signal |  |  | 11 | 62 | 44 |  | 34 | 52 | EB and Metaanalysis |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury |  |  | Signal |  |  | 11 | 34 | 32 |  | 19 | 43 | EB and Metaanalysis |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 96 | 39 |  | 31 | 45 | EB and Meta- |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban |  | Signal |  |  | 50 | 5 | 60 | 12 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban |  | Stop (2-way) |  |  | 50 | 27 | 74 | 6 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban | 1-lane | Stop (2-way) |  |  | 50 | 16 | 78 | 7 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban | 2-lane | Stop (2-way) |  |  | 50 | 11 | 72 | 9 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban |  | Signal | 5,322-31,525 |  | 44 |  | 74 | 14 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban |  | Stop | 4,600-17,825 |  | 44 |  | 88 | 8 |  |  | EB Before-After |
|  | Ped | Fatal/Injury |  |  | No signal |  |  | 11 |  | 27 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ped | Fatal//njury |  |  | Signal |  |  | 11 |  | -28 |  |  |  |  |
| Improve intersection alignment (reduce skew) | All | All | Rural | 3-leg | Stop |  |  | 6 |  | 100(1-EXP $\left(0.0048^{*}\right.$ \|intersection angle $\left.-90^{\circ} \mid\right)$ ); angle in degrees |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | Rural | 4-leg | Stop |  |  | 6 |  | $100\left(1-\operatorname{EXP}\left(0.0054^{*} \mid\right.\right.$ intersection angle - $\left.\left.90^{\circ}\right)\right)$; angle indegrees |  |  |  |  |
| Improve sight distance in 1 quadrant | All | All | Rural | 4-leg | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stop/Yield } \\ & \text { (2-way) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 23 |  | 5 |  |  |  | Expert Panel |
| Improve sight distance in 2 quadrants | All | All | Rural | 4-leg | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stop/Yield } \\ & \text { (2-way) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 23 |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |
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Table 3. Signs/Markings/Operational Countermeasures

| Countermeasures | Crash Type | Crash Severity | Area Type | Config | Control | Major Minor <br> Daily Traffic <br> Volume <br> (veh/day)  |  | Ref | Obs | Effectiveness |  |  |  | Study Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Crash Reduction Factor/Function |  | Std Error | Range |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  | High |  |
| SIGNS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Install double stop signs | All | All |  |  | No signal |  |  |  | 28 |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | No signal |  |  | 47 | 10 | 55 | 52 | -38 | 100 | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | No signal |  |  | 28 |  | 36 |  |  |  |  |
| Install flashing beacons as advance warning | All | All |  | 3-leg |  |  |  | 15 |  | 70 |  |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | All | All |  | 4-leg |  |  |  | 15 |  | 39 |  |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
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|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 27 |  | 25 | 28 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 25 |  |  |  | Cross-section |
|  | All | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 27 |  |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | All | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 25 |  |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | Left-turn | Fatal/Injury |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 67 |  |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | Left-turn | PDO |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 79 |  |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | Rear-end | All |  | 4-leg | Signal |  | 39 |  | 36 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | All |  | 4-leg | Signal |  | 39 |  | 62 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | Fatal/Injury |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 73 |  |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | Right-angle | Fatal/Injury |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 73 |  |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | Right-angle | PDO |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 62 |  |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
| Install larger stop signs | All | All |  |  | Stop | $\begin{aligned} & >5,000 / \text { /lane } \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 15 |  | 19 |  |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
| Install pedestrian signing | All | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ped | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| Install advance warning signs (positive guidance) | All | All | All |  |  |  | , |  | 35 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 22 |  | 3 | 40 |  |
|  | All | All | Urban |  |  |  | 15 |  | 30 |  |  |  | Cross-section |
|  | All | All | Rural |  |  |  | 15 |  | 40 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 47 | 11 | 35 |  | 20 | 100 | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 35 |  |  |  |  |
| Provide overhead lane-use signs | Rear-end | All |  |  |  |  | 51 |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sideswipe | All |  |  |  |  | 51 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | T MAR | NGS/MO | DIFICATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Add centerline and move STOP bar to extended curb lines | All | All |  |  | No signal |  | 28 |  | 29 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | No signal |  | 28 |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |
| Add centerline and move STOP bar to extended curb lines, double stop signs | All | All |  |  | No signal |  | 28 |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | No signal |  | 28 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Add centerline and STOP bar, replace 24-inch with 30 -inch stop signs | Right-angle | All |  |  | No signal |  | 47 |  | 67 | 11 | 27 | 100 | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | No signal |  | 28 |  | 67 |  |  |  |  |
| Improve pavement friction (groove) | All | All |  |  |  |  | 28 |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wet | All |  |  |  |  | 28 |  | 59 |  | 42 | 75 |  |
| Improve/install pedestrian crossing | All | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ped | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| Install pedestrian crossing | Ped | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ped | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ped | Fatal/Injury | Rural |  |  |  | 38 |  | 60 |  |  |  | EB Before-After |
| Install pedestrian crossing (raised) | All | All |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 30 | 67 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 36 | 54 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | Ped | All |  |  |  |  | 28 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Install raised intersection | All | Fatal/Injury |  | 4-leg |  |  | 13 |  | -5 |  |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | All | PDO |  | 4-leg |  |  | 13 |  | -13 |  |  |  | Meta-analysis |
| Install raised pavement markers | All | All |  |  |  |  | 28 |  | 10 |  | 6 | 13 |  |
|  | Wet | All |  |  |  |  | 28 |  | 25 |  | 20 | 30 |  |
|  | Wet/Night | All |  |  |  |  | 28 |  | 33 |  | 20 | 16 |  |
| Install STOP bars (pedestrian crosswalk) | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 18 |  | 10 | 25 |  |
| Install STOP bars (STOP bar on minor road | All | All |  |  |  |  | 28 |  | 19 |  | 10 | 27 |  |
| approaches, with short segments of centerline) | Right-angle | All |  |  |  |  | 28 |  | 47 |  |  |  |  |
| Install transverse pavement markings | All | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 18 |  |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | Speedrelated | Fatal//njury |  |  | Stop |  | 18 |  | 57 | 8 |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | Speedrelated | Serious injury |  |  | Stop |  | 18 |  | 74 | 13 |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | Speedrelated | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Slight } \\ & \text { injury } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Stop |  | 18 |  | 52 | 11 |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | Speedrelated and day | All |  |  | Stop |  | 18 |  | 66 | 8 |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | Speedrelated and dry | All |  |  | Stop |  | 18 |  | 45 | 15 |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | Speedrelated | All |  |  | Stop |  | 18 |  | 48 | 14 |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | Speedrelated and wet | All |  |  | Stop |  | 18 |  | 68 | 11 |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
| Install transverse rumble strips on approaches | All | All | Rural |  | No signal |  | 28 |  | 35 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  | Stop |  | 15 |  | 28 |  |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | All | All |  |  |  |  | 28 |  | 23 |  | 2 | 44 |  |
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|  | Rear-end | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 90 |  |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mark pavement with supplementary warning messages | All | All |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} N o \\ \text { signal } \end{array} \end{array}$ |  | 28 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { No } \\ \text { signal } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 28 |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | All | Urban |  | Stop |  | 47 | 5 | 30 | 66 | -20 | 100 | Simple Before- After |
| Provide bicycle box (advance stop bar to leave dedicated space for cyclists) | Bicycle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 51 |  | 36 |  |  |  |  |
| Provide bike lanes | Bicycle | All |  |  |  |  | 51 |  | 36 |  |  |  |  |
| Resurface pavement | All | All |  |  |  |  | 28 |  | 33 |  | 7 | 59 |  |
|  | Wet | All |  |  |  |  | 28 |  | 47 |  | 42 | 75 |  |
| REGULATORY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Convert STOP control to Yield control | All | All | All |  | Stop |  | 21 | 141 | -137 |  |  |  | Comparison <br> Group Before <br> After |
|  | All | All | Urban | 4-leg | Stop |  | 33 |  | -127 | 70 |  |  | Comparison Group Before After |
| Convert to all-way STOP control (from 2-way control) | All | All | All |  | Stop |  | 21 | 360 | 47 |  |  |  | Before-After with Likelihood Functions |
|  | All | All |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { No } \\ \text { signal } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  | 28 |  | 64 |  | 53 | 74 |  |
|  | All | All |  |  | Stop |  | 15 |  | 53 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | Fatal/Injury | Urban |  | Stop |  | 30 |  | 71 | 6 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Simple Before- } \\ & \text { After } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Left-turn | All | Urban |  | Stop |  | 30 |  | 20 | 52 |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  | Stop |  | 15 |  | 20 |  |  |  | Cross-section |
|  | Ped | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 39 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ped | All | Urban |  | Stop |  | 30 |  | 39 | 8 |  |  | Before-After |
|  | Rear-end | All | Urban |  | Stop |  | 30 |  | 13 | 13 |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Simple Before- } \\ \text { After } \end{array}$ |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  | Stop |  | 15 |  | 13 |  |  |  | Cross-section |
|  | Right-angle | All | Urban |  | Stop |  | 30 |  | 72 | 3 |  |  | Simple BeforeAfter |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { No } \\ \text { signal } \end{array} \end{array}$ |  | 28 |  | 84 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Stop |  | 15 |  | 72 |  |  |  | Cross-section |
|  | Right-angle | All | Urban |  | Stop |  | 47 | 10 | 80 | 41 | 49 | 100 | Simple BeforeAfter |
| Convert two-way to one-way roadway | All | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 26 |  |  |  | Cross-section |
| Convert Yield control to STOP control | All | All |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { signal } \end{aligned}$ |  | 28 |  | 29 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { No } \\ \text { signal } \end{array}$ |  | 28 |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Install no left-turn and no u-turn signs | All | All | Urban |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 19,435-42,000 \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 7 |  | 62 | 6 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Simple Before- } \\ & \text { After } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Left-turn (or } \\ \text { u-turn) } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | All | Urban |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 19,435-42,000 \\ & \text { (Total) } \end{aligned}$ | 7 |  | 59 | 5 |  |  | Simple Before- After |
| Permit right-turn-onred | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 5 |  | -7 | 1 |  |  | Simple Before- <br> After |
|  | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 10 |  | -5 | 1 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Simple Before- } \\ \text { After } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Ped | All | New Orleans |  | Signal |  | 5 |  | -81 | 88 |  |  | Before-After |
|  | Ped | All | New York |  | Signal |  | 5 |  | -43 | 24 |  |  | Before-After |
|  | Ped | All | Ohio |  | Signal |  | 5 |  | -57 | 31 |  |  | Before-After |
|  | Ped | All | Wisconsin |  | Signal |  | 5 |  | -108 | 51 |  |  | Before-After |
|  | Right-turn | Fatal/Injury |  |  | Signal |  | 13 |  | -60 | 5 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
|  | Right-turn | PDO |  |  | Signal |  | 13 |  | -10 | 1 |  |  | Meta-analysis |
| Prohibit left-turns | All | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | -45 |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 45 |  |  |  | Cross-section |
|  | Left-turn | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 90 |  |  |  | Cross-section |
|  | Ped | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 30 |  |  |  | Cross-section |
| Prohibit right-turn-onred | All | All |  |  | Signal |  | 28 |  | 23 |  | 20 | 25 |  |
|  | ROR | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 30 |  |  |  | Cross-section |
|  | Rear-end | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 20 |  |  |  | Cross-section |
|  | All | All | Urban/suburban |  | Signal |  | 62 |  | 100(1-(0.984)^n); n=number of signalized intersection appraoches where RTOR is prohibited |  |  |  | Expert Panel |
|  | Right-angle | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 30 |  |  |  | Cross-section |
|  | Sideswipe | All |  |  | Signal |  | 15 |  | 20 |  |  |  | Cross-section |
| Prohibit turns | All turns | All | All |  |  |  | 1 |  | 45 |  | 40 | 90 |  |
| Restrict parking near intersections (to offstreet) | All | All |  |  |  |  | 28 |  | 49 |  | 8 | 90 |  |
|  | Ped | All |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Vary speed | All | All | Rural |  |  |  | 6 |  | 100(1-EXP(0.019(V-55))); V=majorroad speed limit (or design speed) (mph) |  |  |  |  |
|  | All | All | Urban |  |  |  | 6 |  | 100(1-EXP(0.005(V-40))); V=majorroad speed limit (or design speed) (mph) |  |  |  |  |
| LIGHTING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Improve lighting at intersection | Ped | Fatal |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 78 | 87 |  |  |  |
|  | Ped | Injury |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 42 | 18 |  |  |  |
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## APPENDIXI

## Route Information

## Project Information

ROUTE TYPE: State Road
ROUTE: 56
LENGTH: . 1
START RP: $116+4$
END RP: 116 + 14
LOCATION: At Boatman Road (CR 200W) west of Scottsburg

CITY:

COUNTY NAME: Scott

DISTRICT: Seymour

WORK CATEGORY: Intersection Improvement Project

WORK TYPE: Intersection Improvement, Roundabout

ASSET GROUP: Safety

SCORE: 80

## Project Costs

| Des \# | CN Costs | RW Costs | PE Costs | Other Costs | Total Costs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1800210 (*Origin Des) | \$1,884,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$250,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,134,000.00 |

Cost are from latest stage: CN = CN, RW = RW1+RW2, PE =PE1+PE2, Other= UT1+UT2+RR1+RR2+CE+RQP+WZP
INITIAL STATEMENT OF ESSENTIAL PROJECT NEED(PROBLEM): The current intersection is in a reverse curve segment, and is at a skew with a 25 degree angle. $45 \%$ of the crashes are right angle crashes, and crash narratives have indicated a trend that drivers are not aware of the intersection. Crash narratives also indicate that drivers are unaware that the intersection is a two way stop rather than a four way stop when approaching from the Northbound and Southbound directions. A fatal crash and two incapacitating injury crashes have been recorded within the last three years, and the index of crash frequency rates at 3.21.
INITIAL STATEMENT OF ESSENTIAL PROJECT PURPOSE: The intent of this project is to improve the safety of the intersection and reduce the frequency and severity of crashes that occur by reconstructing the intersection as a roundabout.

PRIOR PLANNING, ENGINEERING OR OTHER STUDY OR ACTIVITY PROMPTING AND SUPPORTING THE PROJECT:

ANTICIPATED ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY: Cateqorical Exclusion
ADDITIONAL ENGINEERING NEEDED TO ESTABLISH DEFINITE PROJECT IMPROVEMENTS: No ANTICIPATED NUMBER OF MONTHS TO COMPLETE:

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

## Attachments

Name Do_ Document Type Description

$$
\begin{aligned}
P D_{\text {Equivalent }} & =58 h+6 y+2 \\
& =58(1+6)+6(5)+(21) \\
& =457
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
A_{\text {nival }} P D_{\text {equiv. }}=P D_{\text {equiv. }} / 5
$$

$$
=457 / 5=91 \text { crashes per year }
$$

$$
C R=A_{\text {annual }} P D_{\text {equiv. }} \times C R F_{\text {composite }}
$$



$$
C E_{\text {safety }}=A / C R
$$

| Conventional | $C E_{\text {safety }}=472,000 / 28=\$ 2,571$ per $P D_{\text {air crash reduced }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Roundabout | $C E$ safety $=\$ 06,000 / 52=\$ 2,038$ per $P D_{\text {equiv cash reduced }}$ |
| Offset $T$ | $C E$ safety $=$ |


| Index of Crash Frequency and Cost - Form F1 |  |  | Page 1/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | SR 56 and Boatman Rd |  |  |
| Scottsburg, Scott County |  |  |  |
| GIS |  |  |  |
| Post |  |  |  |
| Analyst | Damon Brown |  |  |
| Date |  |  |  |
| INPUT |  |  |  |
| Road Facility Type |  | Unsignalized Urban State-Local Intersection |  |
| Major Road AADT (veh/day) |  |  | 10589 |
| T-intersection Indicator (1 if present, 0 otherwise) |  |  | 0 |
| Major Collector Indicator for Crossing Local Road (1 if present, 0 otherwise) |  |  | 1 |
| First Year with Crash Data (yyyy) |  |  | 2014 |
| Last Year with Crash Data (yyyy) |  |  | 2016 |
| Number of Crashes (crash/period) |  |  |  |
| Fatal and Incapacitating Injury Crashes |  |  | 3 |
| Non-Incapacitating and Possible Injury Crashes |  |  | 2 |
| Property Damage Only Crashes |  |  | 14 |
| Route or Road Type |  | Unsignalized Urban | ersection |
| Average Crash Costs (\$) |  |  |  |
| Fatal and Incapacitating Injury Crashes |  |  | 279300 |
| Non-Incapacitating and Possible Injury Crashes |  |  | 31400 |
| Property Damage Only Crashes |  |  | 6500 |
| Crash Cost Year (yyyy) |  |  | 2017 |
| OUTPUT |  |  |  |
| Expected Crash Frequency (crash/year) |  |  |  |
| Fatal and Incapacitating Injury Crashes |  |  | 0.029 |
| Non-Incapacitating and Possible Injury Crashes |  |  | 0.19 |
| Property Damage Only Crashes |  |  | 0.75 |
| All Crashes |  |  | 0.97 |
| Index of Crash Frequency |  |  | 3.15 |
| Index of Crash Cost |  |  | 1.89 |

Index of Crash Frequency and Cost - Form F1

| Location | SR 56 and Boatman Rd |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Scottsburg, Scott County |  |  |
| GIS |  |  |
| Post |  |  |
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