Appendix F – Traffic Data

TRAFFIC DATA

I-65 – South of SR 160		
2021 AADT	45,291	VPD
2043 AADT	49,452	VPD
2021 DHV	3,112	VPH
2043 DHV	3,398	VPH
DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION	49.7	%
SPEED LIMIT	65-70*	MPH
	32	% AADT
	23	% DHV

I-65 – North of SR 160		
2021 AADT	43,670	VPD
2043 AADT	48,812	VPD
2021 DHV	2,747	VPH
2043 DHV	3,071	VPH
DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION	51.5	%
SPEED LIMIT	65-70*	MPH
TRUCKS	22	% AADT
	27	% DHV

Biggs Rd		
2021 AADT	950	VPD
2043 AADT	1,050	VPD
2021 DHV	100	VPH
2043 DHV	110	VPH
DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION	55	%
SPEED LIMIT	30	MPH
	3	% AADT
IRUCNS	3	% DHV

SR 160		
2021 AADT	7,210	VPD
2043 AADT	8,100	VPD
2021 DHV	790	VPH
2043 DHV	890	VPH
DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION	65	%
SPEED LIMIT	45	MPH
	18	% AADT
	14	% DHV

Brownstown Rd			
2021 AADT	480	VPD	
2043 AADT	540	VPD	
2021 DHV	60	VPH	
2043 DHV	60	VPH	
DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION	66	%	
SPEED LIMIT	30	MPH	
	8	% AADT	
	5	% DHV	

CR 600 S		
2021 AADT	770	VPD
2043 AADT	870	VPD
2021 DHV	80	VPH
2043 DHV	90	VPH
DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION	68	%
SPEED LIMIT	35	MPH
	3	% AADT
IRUCKS	2	% DHV

Leota Rd		
2021 AADT	1,450	VPD
2043 AADT	1,630	VPD
2021 DHV	160	VPH
2043 DHV	180	VPH
DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION	57	%
SPEED LIMIT	40	MPH
TRUCKS	4	% AADT
	1	% DHV

Lake Rd West			
2021 AADT	2,410	VPD	
2043 AADT	2,700	VPD	
2021 DHV	240	VPH	
2043 DHV	270	VPH	
DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION	53	%	
SPEED LIMIT	30	MPH	
	2	% AADT	
IRUCKS	2	% DHV	

*65mph limit for heavy trucks and 70mph for all other traffic

Source: April 29, 2020 Project Traffic Forecast Report DES No.: 1700135 – by INDOT, Office of Traffic Statistics and Base Year (2016 to 2018) AADT volumes were obtained from the INDOT Traffic Count Database System.

TRAFFIC DATA		Henryville Rest Area I-65 Northbound Ramps
A.A.D.T.	(PROJ, 2023)	1,063 V.P.D.
A,A,D,T,	(PROJ, 2031)	1,105 V.P.D.
D.H.V	(PROJ. 2031)	108 V.P.H.
DIRECTIONAL DIST	RIBUTION	100 %
TRUCKS		47 % A,A,D,T,
		42 % D.H.V.

DESIGN DATA

DESIGN SPEED	35 M.P.H.
PROJECT DESIGN CRITERIA	PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE (FREEWAY)
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION	INTERSTATE
RURAL/URBAN	RURAL
TERRAIN	LEVEL
ACCESS CONTROL	FULL

TRAFFIC DATA		Henryville Rest Area I-65 Southbound Ramps	
A.A.D.T.	(PROJ, 2023)	1,057	V.P.D.
A,A,D,T,	(PROJ, 2031)	1,057	V.P.D.
D.H.V	(PROJ. 2031)	95	V.P.H.
DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUT	ION	100	%
TRUCKS		46 %	A,A,D,T,
		41 %	D.H.V.
DESIGN	DATA		
DESIGN SPEED		70	M.P.H.
PROJECT DESIGN CRITER	IA	PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE (FI	REEWAY)
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICA	TION	INTER	STATE
RURAL/URBAN		RURA	L
TERRAIN		LEVEL	
ACCESS CONTROL		FULL	

TRAFFIC DATA SR 56 to I-65 Northb Directional Ram		SR 56 to I-65 Northbou Directional Ramp	Ind
A.A.D.T.	(PROJ. 2023)	2,462	V.P.D.
A.A.D.T.	(PROJ. 2043)	2,462	V.P.D.
D,H,V	(PROJ, 2043)	209	V.P.H.
DIRECTIONAL DISTRI	BUTION	100	%
TRUCKS		9%	A.A.D.T.
		9%	D,H,V,
DESIG	N DATA		
DESIGN SPEED		35	M.P.H.
PROJECT DESIGN CRI	ITERIA	COMPLETE RECONSTRUCTION (F	REEWAY)
FUNCTIONAL CLASSI	ICATION	INTE	RSTATE
RURAL/URBAN		RUR/	AL.
TERRAIN		LEVE	L
ACCESS CONTROL		FULL	

TRAFFIC DATA		I-65 Northbound to SR 56
		Directional Kamp
A.A.D.T.	(PROJ. 2023)	2,457 V.P.D.
A.A.D.T.	(PROJ, 2043)	2,457 V.P.D.
D,H,V	(PROJ, 2043)	299 V.P.H.
DIRECTIONAL DI	STRIBUTION	100 %
TRUCKS		7 % A.A.D.T.
		7 % D,H,V,
DESI	GN DATA	
DESIGN SPEED		35 M.P.H.
PROJECT DESIGN	CRITERIA	COMPLETE RECONSTRUCTION (FREEWAY)
FUNCTIONAL CLA	SSIFICATION	INTERSTATE
RURAL/URBAN		RURAL
TERRAIN		LEVEL
ACCESS CONTRO	L	FULL

Appendix G – Public Involvement Materials and Responses



May 20, 2021

Dear Resident/Property Owner:

The Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT) is seeking input from residents and property owners who would benefit from the construction of noise barriers for the I-65 Added Travel Lanes project in Clark and Scott counties. This project includes construction of additional travel lanes along I-65 from approximately 0.5 mile north of the Blue Lick Road interchange to approximately 2.2 mile south of the SR 56 interchange.

INDOT evaluates noise abatement measures for feasibility and reasonableness. If proven feasible and reasonable, any residents and/or property owners who have been determined to benefit from the construction of a noise barrier are given the opportunity to provide their input. INDOT then makes the decision whether to construct the noise barrier based on feasibility, reasonableness, and percentage of supportive responses from the benefited residents and/or property owners. Preliminary findings show that a potential noise barrier near your residence or property is both feasible and reasonable. At this time, INDOT needs your input on whether you want the proposed noise barrier constructed in your area.

Enclosed are a map showing the location of the potential noise barrier and the survey postcard. After you have completed the survey postcard, please either return it by mail or scan and email via the contact information below. Please return the survey no later than **June 20, 2021**. Your input is needed regarding the possible construction of a noise barrier near your neighborhood. It is very important that you submit the survey postcard.

We look forwarding to hearing from you. If you have questions regarding the survey and potential noise barrier, please contact Monica Del Real at (317) 547-5580 or <u>mdelreal@structurepoint.com</u>.

Sincerely, American Structurepoint, Inc.

Monica Del Real Senior Environmental Specialist



www.in.gov/dot An Equal Opportunity Employer



Proposed Noise Barrier Along I-65





I-65 ADDED TRAVEL LANES PROJECT, CLARK AND SCOTT COUNTIES

GENERAL TRAFFIC NOISE INFORMATION

What influences traffic noise?

The level of highway traffic noise depends on four factors:

- Volume of traffic
- Speed of traffic
- Number of large trucks
- · Location of highway relative to house

As any of these factors change, noise levels change.

Who regulates traffic noise?

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has developed regulations regarding noise analysis on federally funded highway projects, and the Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT) has outlined its implementation guidance in its Traffic Noise Analysis Procedure (2017) (Traffic Noise Policy) at www. tinyurl.com/2z5w9yne.

What is the noise impact level?

The INDOT Traffic Noise Policy establishes two criteria for identifying an impact resulting from a project:

- Identifying where future predicted noise levels would approach or exceed a set of noise abatement criteria (NAC) established in the FHWA regulations. For exterior areas where frequent human use occurs in residential areas, the NAC is 67 decibels (dB(A)); INDOT defines "approaching the NAC" as within 1 dB (66 dB(A)) for residential areas). Locations where future noise levels are predicted to be 66 dB(A) or higher are considered "impacted."
- Identifying locations where noise levels are expected to increase by 15 dB(A) or more over existing levels.

How are noise levels predicted?

The FHWA Traffic Noise Model (TNM) Version 2.5 accounts for traffic noise factors to generate a 3-D model that can predict noise levels during the noisiest hour of the day. Based on noise levels predicted with a project, the model identifies where noise impacts occur and where mitigation should be considered.



How can noise be reduced?

Traffic noise can be potentially reduced by modifying either the source of the noise (speed, volume, or type of vehicles), the location of the receiver (the person who hears the noise), or the path by which the noise reaches the receiver. Because it is impractical to reduce the speed, volume, or type of vehicles on a highway, or to relocate residences solely due to noise impacts, the most common approach to mitigating noise is the construction of noise barriers.







What is a noise barrier?

Noise barriers are solid obstructions built between the highway and businesses or residences along a highway. Effective noise barriers typically reduce noise levels by 5 to 10 dB(A), which reduces the loudness of traffic noise by as much as one-half.

The most common noise barriers constructed typically consist of concrete/wood composite panels placed between steel supports. The height and location of a barrier is determined by the TNM analysis. The design-build contractor team will complete the final design of the noise barriers. This team will gather the input of adjacent property owners during the design phase to determine the final color and texture.

How humans perceive changes in sound level:

Changes in Sound	Perception
±3 db(A)	Barely perceptible
±5 db(A)	Clearly perceptible
±10 db(A)	Twice/half as loud

How does a noise barrier work?

Noise barriers reduce the sound from a highway by either absorbing the sound, reflecting it back across the highway, or forcing it to take a longer path to receivers. A noise barrier must be tall enough and long enough to block traffic noise from the area that is to be protected.

How is it determined whether to construct a noise barrier?

INDOT considers noise abatement when a noise impact occurs and a barrier is considered to be feasible and reasonable.

What is a feasible noise barrier?

INDOT requires noise abatement measures to be based on sound engineering practices and standards and requires that any measure be evaluated at the best location. Noise barriers require long, uninterrupted segments to be effective. If there are existing roadway access points and/ or driveways, it may not be feasible to construct effective noise barriers. Engineering feasibility also takes into account topography, drainage, safety, barrier height, utilities, existing bridges and maintenance needs.

INDOT requires that noise barriers achieve a minimum 5 dB(A) reduction at a majority (greater than 50%) of the impacted noise receptors. If a barrier cannot achieve this acoustic goal, it is not considered to be acoustically feasible.

What is a benefited receptor?

Benefited receptors are those properties that receive a minimum 5 dB(A) reduction in future noise levels.

What is a reasonable noise barrier?

The cost of constructing a noise barrier is a significant factor in determining whether a barrier is reasonable. To determine cost-effectiveness, the estimated cost of construction (including installation and additional necessary construction, such as foundations or guardrails) is divided by the number of benefited receptors. The INDOT Traffic Noise Policy considers a material and design cost of \$25,000 or less per benefited receptor to be cost-effective. Development in which more than 50% of the receptors were in place prior to the initial construction of the roadway in its current state will receive additional consideration for noise abatement. The criteria for cost-effectiveness in these cases is 20% greater (\$30,000 per benefited receptor).

INDOT's noise reduction design goal is 7dB(A) for a majority of the benefited first row receptors.

In addition to meeting INDOT's cost-benefit analysis, the noise barrier must also be desired by landowners.



I-65 ADDED TRAVEL LANES PROJECT, CLARK AND SCOTT COUNTIES

PROJECT-SPECIFIC NOISE INFORMATION

What is the I-65 Added Travel Lanes project?

INDOT is proposing the construction of additional travel lanes along I-65 from approximately 0.5 mile north of the Blue Lick Road interchange to approximately 2.2 miles south of the SR 56 interchange within the roadway median in Clark and Scott counties.

Where can I get a copy of the *I*-65 Added Travel Lanes Traffic Noise Technical Report?

The traffic noise technical report is accessible on INDOT Seymour District's website at www.in.gov/indot/2706.htm.

Where is INDOT suggesting a noise barrier be constructed?

Recent analysis determined that a noise barrier may be feasible and reasonable on the east side of northbound I-65, approximately 0.5 mile south of SR 160.

The project team analyzed possible noise barriers at 21 other locations. Of those locations, 20 were determined to be feasible but not reasonable, and one was determined to be neither feasible nor reasonable.

What is a noise survey?

A noise survey helps determine whether a noise barrier is reasonable, which requires INDOT to gather input from benefited receptors (residents and property owners), in close proximity to a proposed barrier. A noise survey is a postcard that is mailed to benefited residents and property owners to solicit their opinions about noise barriers. If the property owner is different from the current resident, both the owner and resident are surveyed.

If a barrier is proposed directly adjacent to the property line of a business, the business will also be mailed a survey to determine whether they have any concerns about line of sight.

How many residents are affected by traffic noise for this project?

A total of 216 receptors were identified in the noise analysis area. Of those, 109 are approaching/exceeding the noise abatement criteria in design year 2043. No receptors were identified as having predicted levels substantially exceeding the existing ambient levels.

How do benefited receptors obtain a noise survey?

Noise surveys are mailed directly to benefited receptors.

What if the benefited receptors don't complete the noise survey?

If a majority (greater than 50%) of benefited receptors and property owners do not respond to the survey, a second survey may be mailed. FHWA and INDOT will discuss the results of the surveys received and determine the next course of action if a majority of benefited receptors do not respond.

What if residents don't want a noise barrier?

INDOT surveys benefited property owners individually to determine whether or not they support a noise barrier. Once the public involvement efforts about the noise barriers are complete, FHWA and INDOT review the surveys to determine the public opinion.

When and how will INDOT determine where to install noise barriers?

The final decision of any abatement measures will be made upon final design and the conclusion of the public involvement process. It is essential that benefited receptors participate in the noise survey so INDOT can consider their opinions.

How much do noise barriers cost?

INDOT uses \$30/square foot to estimate noise barrier construction cost. The noise barrier design analyzed for the I-65 Added Travel Lanes project is estimated at \$614,786.





I-65 ADDED TRAVEL LANES, CLARK AND SCOTT COUNTIES

The Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT) is proposing the construction of additional travel lanes along I-65 from approximately 0.5 mile north of the Blue Lick Road interchange to approximately 2.2 miles south of the SR 56 interchange within the roadway median.

INDOT is soliciting input from residents and property owners who have been determined to benefit from the construction of noise barriers for this project.

INDOT needs your input on whether you want the proposed noise barrier constructed.



INDOT-SEYMOUR DISTRICT 185 AGRICO LANE SEYMOUR, INDIANA 47274

American Structurepoint Attn: Monica Del Real 9025 River Road, Suite 200 Indianapolis, IN 46240

www.in.gov/dot

Please refer to the enclosed letter, location map, and frequently asked questions document for more information about the I-65 Added Travel Lanes project and INDOT's Traffic Noise Policy.

After you have completed the survey card, either return it by mail or scan and email via the contact information below. Please return the survey no later than June 20, 2021.

Questions? Contact:

Monica Del Real 317.547.5580 mdelreal@structurepoint.com

The full traffic noise technical report is accessible on INDOT Seymour District's website at www.in.gov/indot/2706.htm

NOISE BARRIER SURVEY

Thank you for completing this survey card. Please complete only one card per household.

Contact information:

<<First Name>> <<Last Name>> <<Address>> <<City>>,<<State>> <<Zip Code>>

Are you the property owner or tenant?

Owner

🗌 Tenant

Contact information, if different from above (please print):

Name

Address

City/State/ZIP

Are you in favor of a noise barrier at your property or residence?

- Yes, I want the noise barrier to be constructed
- No, I do not want the noise barrier to be constructed.

H-100

Please refer to the enclosed letter, relocation map, and frequently asked questions document for more information about the I-65 Added Travel Lanes project and INDOT's Traffic Noise Policy.

After you have completed the survey card, either return it by mail or scan and email via the contact information below. Please return the survey no later than June 20, 2021.

Questions? Contact:

Monica Del Real 317.547.5580 mdelreal@structurepoint.com

The full traffic noise technical report is accessible on INDOT Seymour District's website at www.in.gov/indot/2706.htm

NOISE BARRIER SURVEY

Thank you for completing this survey card. Please complete only one card per household.

Contact information: 10-06-25-400-430.000-027 Robert T Hunt & Calissa D & Morgan Kay 800 Twin Oaks Drive Henryville, IN 47126

Are you the property owner or tenant?

🗌 Tenant

Contact information, if different from above (please print):

Name	Morgen 16 Dai VE
Address	Scothing and 17176
City/State/ZIP	Henry Wille Jan 4 1100

Are you in favor of a noise barrier at your property or residence?

- Yes, I want the noise barrier to be constructed
- No, I do not want the noise barrier to be constructed.

Please refer to the enclosed letter, relocation map, and frequently asked questions document for mo information about the I-65 Added Travel Lanes project and INDOT's Traffic Noise Pol cy.

After you have completed the survey card, either return it by mail or scan and ema v a the contact nformation below. Please return the survey no ater than June 20, 2021.

Questions? Contact: Monica Del Real 317.547.5580 mdelreal@structurepoint.com

The full traffic noise technical report s access b e on INDOT Seymour District www.in.gov indef

NOISE BARRIER SURVEY

Thank you for completing this survey card. Please complete only one card per household.

Contact information:

10-06-25-400-446.000-027 Danny P McDonough & Tasha L Freeman 801 Corner Mt Zion/Twin Oaks Dr Henryville, IN 47126

Are you the property owner or tenant?

Tenant

Contact information, if different from above (please print):

Name

Address _____ City/State/ZI ____P

constructed

Are you in favor of a noise barrier at your pr erepor residence? Yes I want the noise barrier to be

Please refer to the enclosed letter, relocation map, and frequently asked questions document for more information about the I-65 Added Travel Lanes project and INDOT's Traffic Noise Policy.

After you have completed the survey card, either return it by mail or scan and email via the contact information below. Please return the survey no later than June 20, 2021.

Questions? Contact: Monica Del Real 317.547.5580 mdelreal@structurepoint.com

The full traffic noise technical report is accessible on INDOT Seymour District's website at www.in.gov/indot/2706.htm

NOISE BARRIER SURVEY

Thank you for completing this survey card. Please complete only one card per household.

Contact information: 10-06-25-400-486.000-027 Victoria A Guernsey 817 Twin Oaks Drive Henryville, IN 47126

Are you the property owner or tenant?

Tonant

_ Tenant

Contact information, if different from above (please print):

	NT IC TO
Name	VICKIOUESNSey
Address	SIT Twin Oaks DP
City/State/	ZIPHENSYVILLE IN 47126

Are you in favor of a noise barrier at your property or residence?

- Yes, I want the noise barrier to be constructed
- No, I do not want the noise barrier to be constructed.

Managed your feedback.

Telecation map, and frequently asked questions document for more information about the I-65 Added Travel Lanes project and INDOT's Traffic Noise Policy.

After vou have completed the survey cear return it by mail or scan via the contact information below. Please return the survey no later than June 20, 2021.

Questions? Contact: Monica Del Real 317.547.5580 mdelreal@structurepoint.com



NOISE BARRIER SURVEY

Thank you for completing this survey card. Please complete only one card per household.

Contact information:

10-06-25-400-448.000-027 Kenneth McCullough 929 Twin Oaks Drive Henryville, IN 47126

Are you the property owner or tenant? Wowner Tenant

Contact information, if different from above (please print):

Name

Address

City/State/ZIP

Are you in favor of a noise barrier at your property or residence?

Appendix G Page G-11

H-104

Please refer to the enclosed letter, relocation map, and frequently asked questions document for more information about the I-65 Added Travel Lanes project and INDOT's Traffic Noise Policy.

After you have completed the survey card, either return it by mail or scan and email via the contact information below. Please return the survey no later than June 20, 2021.

Questions? Contact: Monica Del Real 317.547.5580 mdelreal@structurepoint.com

The full traffic noise technical report is accessible on INDOT Seymour District's website at www.in.gov/indot/2706.htm

NOISE BARRIER SURVEY

Thank you for completing this survey card. Please complete only one card per household.

Contact information:

10-06-25-400-439.000-027 Terry A Dupree 930 Twin Oaks Drive Henryville, IN 47126

Are you the property owner or tenant?

Tenant

Contact information, if different from above (please print):

Name	
Address	
City/State/ZIP	

Are you in favor of a noise barrier at your property or residence?

X.	res, I want the holse parrier to be
	constructed
	No, I do not want the noise barrier
	to be constructed.

Please refer to the enclosed letter, relocation map, and frequently asked questions document for more information about the I-65 Added Travel Lanes project and INDOT's Traffic Noise Policy.

After you have completed the survey card, either return it by mail or scan and email via the contact information below. Please return the survey no later than June 20, 2021.

Questions? Contact: Monica Del Real 317.547.5580 mdelreal@structurepoint.com

The full traffic noise technical report is accessible on INDOT Seymour District's website at www.in.gov/indot/2706.htm

NOISE BARRIER SURVEY

Thank you for completing this survey card. Please complete only one card per household.

Contact information:

10-06-25-400-441.000-027 Ronald L & Eva Hathaway 936 Twin Oaks Drive **G34** Henryville, IN 47126

Are you the property owner or tenant?

Owner

Contact information, if different from above (please print): Name CONALO L. EVA HATHAWAY Address 931 TWIN OAKS DR. City/State/ZiP

Are you in favor of a noise barrier at your property or residence?

- Yes, I want the noise barrier to be constructed
- No, I do not want the noise barrier to be constructed.

Please refer to the enclosed letter, relocation map, and frequently asked questions document for more information about the I-65 Added Travel Lanes project and INDOT's Traffic Noise Policy.

After you have completed the survey card, either return it by mail or scan and email via the contact information below. Please return the survey no later than June 20, 2021.

Questions? Contact: Monica Del Real 317.547.5580 mdelreal@structurepoint.com

The full traffic noise technical report is accessible on INDOT Seymour District's website at www.in.gov/indot/2706.htm

NOISE BARRIER SURVEY

Thank you for completing this survey card. Please complete only one card per household.

Contact information: 10-06-25-400-442.000-027 Cassandra R Warren 936 Twin Oaks Drive Henryville, IN 47126

Are you the property owner or tenant?

🗌 Tenant

Contact information, if different from above (please print):

Name	
Address	
City/State/ZIP	

Are you in favor of a noise barrier at your property or residence?

☐ Yes, I want the noise barrier to be ill constructed
☐ No, I do not want the noise barrier

to be constructed.

Please refer to the enclosed letter, relocation map, and frequently asked questions document for more information about the I-65 Added Travel Lanes project and INDOT's Traffic Noise Policy.

After you have completed the survey card, either return it by mail or scan and email via the contact information below. Please return the survey no later than June 20, 2021.

Ouestions? Contact: Monica Del Real 317.547.5580

pal@structurepoint.com

The full traffic noise technical report is accessible on INDOT Seymour District's website at www.in.gov/indot/2706.htm

NOISE BARRIER SURVEY

Thank you for completing this survey card. Please complete only one card per household.

Contact information: 10-06-25-400-443.000-027 Tiffany Green 938 Twin Oaks Drive Henryville, IN 47126

Are you the property owner or tenant?

Owner

Contact information, if different from above (please print):

Name	
Address	
City/State/ZIP	

Are you in favor of a noise barrier at your property or residence? Yes, I want the noise barrier to be constructed No, I do not want the noise barrier to be constructed.

W need your feedback.

ric____erefer to the enclosed letter, relocation map, and frequently asked questions document for more information about the I-65 Added Travel Lanes project and INDOT's Traffic Noise Policy.

After you have completed the survey card, either return it by mail or scan and email via the contact information below. Please return the survey no later than June 20, 2021.

Questions? Contact: Monica Del Real 317.547.5580 mdelreal@structurepoint.com

The full traffic noise technical report is accessible on INDOT Seymour District's website at www.in.gov/indot/2706.htm

NOISE BARRIER SURVEY

Thank you for completing this survey card. Please complete only one card per household.

Contact information:

10-06-25-400-431.000-027 Timothy & Shawna Lewis 802 Twin Oaks Drive Henryville, IN 47126

Are you the property owner or tenant?

Owner Denant

Contact information, if different from above (please print):

Name	
Address	
Citv/State/ZIP	

Are you in favor of a noise barrier at your property or residence?

Yes, I want the noise barrier to be constructed

No, I do not want the noise barrier to be constructed.

Please refer to the enclosed letter, relocation map, and frequently asked questions document for more information about the I-65 Added Travel Lanes project and INDOT's Traffic Noise Policy.

After you have completed the survey card, either return it by mail or scan and email via the contact information below. Please return the survey no later than June 20, 2021.

Questions? Contact: Monica Del Real 317.547.5580 mdelreal@structurepoint.com

The full traffic noise technical report is accessible on INDOT Seymour District's website at www.in.gov/indot/2706.htm

NOISE BARRIER SURVEY

Thank you for completing this survey card. Please complete only one card per household.

Contact information:

10-06-25-400-444.000-027 Caven D Stickels 940 Twin Oaks Drive Henryville, IN 47126

Are you the property owner or tenant?

Contact information, if different from above (please print):

Name	Caven Stickels
Address	940 Twin oaks driven
City/State/ZIP	HENRYVILLE IN 47126
2	

Are you in favor of a noise barrier at your property or residence?

- Kes, I want the noise barrier to be constructed
- No, I do not want the noise barrier to be constructed.

Please refer to the enclosed letter, relocation map, and frequently asked questions document for more information about the I-65 Added Travel Lanes project and INDOT's Traffic Noise Policy.

After you have completed the survey return it by mail or scan amail via the contact information below. Please return the survey no later than June 20, 2021.

Questions? Contact: Monica Del Real 317.547.5580 mdelreal@structurepoint.com

The full traffic noise technical report is accessible on INDOT Seymour District's website at www.in.gov/indot/2706.htm

NOISE BARRIER SURVEY

Thank you for completing this survey card. Please complete only one card per household.

Contact information: 10-06-25-400-453.000-027 Carla Ann Gutknecht 941 Twin Oaks Drive Henryville, IN 47126

Are you the property owner or tenant?

Tenant

Contact information, if different from above (please print):

CARIA GutKNECHT Name 941 TWIN OAK Address City/State/ZIP IN

Are you in favor of a noise barrier at your property or residence? Yes, I want the noise barrier to be constructed

No, I do not want the noise barrier to be constructed.

Please refer to the enclosed letter, relocation map, and frequently asked questions document for more information about the I-65 Added Travel Lanes project and INDOT's Traffic Noise Policy.

After you have completed the survey card, either return it by mail or scan and email via the contact information below. Please return the survey no later than June 20, 2021.

Questions? Contact: Monica Del Real 317.547.5580 mdelreal@structurepoint.com

The full traffic noise technical report is accessible on INDOT Seymour District's website at www.in.gov/indot/2706.htm

NOISE BARRIER SURVEY

Thank you for completing this survey card. Please complete only one card per household.

Contact information: 10-06-25-400-445.000-027 Terry S Chism 942 Twin Oaks Drive Henryville, IN 47126

Are you th	e property owner or tenant:
Owner	
🔲 Tenant	
Contact in (please pri	formation, if different from above nt):
Name	
Address	

Are you in favor of a noise barrier at your property or residence?

Ves, I want the noise barrier to b	e
constructed	
No, I do not want the noise barri	er

to be constructed.

City/State/ZIP _

NOISE BARRIER SURVEY

Thank you for completing this survey card. Please complete only one card per household.

Contact information:

10-06-25-400-440.000-027 Steven W Cole and Rhonda J Elswick 932 Twin Oaks Drive Henryville, IN 47126

Are you the property owner or tenant?

Tenant

Contact information, if different from above (please print):

Name

Address

City/State/ZIP _____

Are you in favor of a noise barrier at your property or residence?

Yes, I want the noise barrier to be constructed

No, I do not want the noise barrier to be constructed.

Please refer to the enclosed letter, relocation map, and frequently asked questions document for more information about the I-65 Added Travel Lanes project and INDOT's Traffic Noise Policy.

After you have completed the survey card, either return it by mail or scan and email via the contact information below. Please return the survey no later than June 20, 2021.

Guestions? Contact: Monica Del Real 317.547.5580 mdelreal@structurepoint.com

The full traffic poise technical reports accessible on INDOT Seymour District's website of www.m.gov/indov/2706.htm

NOISE BARRIER SURVEY

Thank you for completing this survey card. Please complete only one card per household.

Contact information: 10-06-25-400-447.000-027 Phillip Olivarez 927 Twin Oaks Drive Henryville, IN 47126

Are you the property owner or tenant?

Contact information, if different from above (please print):

771 Name Address enRIVILLE City/State/ZIP TH.

Are you in favor of a noise barrier at your property or residence? Yet, want the noise partier to be constructed No, I do not want the noise barrier to be constructed

I65-016-04220 DNBL I-65 NB over BLUE LICK CREEK



Inspection Date: 08/03/2021 Inspected By: Stephen F. Hurst Inspection Type(s): Routine

	PAGE NUMBER
LOCATION MAP	3
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
NATIONAL BRIDGE INVENTORY	6
ELEMENTS	10
PICTURES	11
MISCELLANEOUS ASSET DATA	21
SCOUR ANALYSIS	23
LOAD RATING - BRADIN	24
SCOUR CHANNEL PROFILE	25
SCOUR PLAN OF ACTION*	26
CRITICAL FINDINGS	29



Latitude: 38.5018 Longitude: -85.77067



Latitude: 38.5018 Longitude: -85.77067

General Inspection Notes: Overall the structure is in poor to satisfactory condition.

Bridge History:
1958 : New Bridge : DES # Unknown - Contract # 04444
1978 : Rehab A : Railing Replace Or Repair : DES # Unknown - Contract # R-10734
1981 : Rehab B : Bridge Deck Overlay 1 : DES # Unknown - Contract # B-12933
1989 : Rehab C : Bridge Deck Barrier Wall : DES # 8763774 - Contract # R-17485
2000 : Rehab D : Bridge Deck Overlay 2 : DES # 9614744 - Contract # R-24847
2023 : Replace Superstructure : DES # 1600744 - Contract # R-41529
There is no additional work scheduled in SPMS

Miscellaneous:

The structure has 3 spans, each with 6 concrete beams.

Maintenance/Deficiencies: There are no open maintenance items.

Critical Finding (8/3/2021): A large spall was found in Joint 2 on 8/3/2021. A critical finding was submitted. Temporary repairs were made that same day and a permanent repair was made on 8/4/2021. See the attached Critical Finding report for more.

Asset Name: I65-016-04220 Facility Carried: I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report

IDENTIFICATION

(1) STATE CODE:	185 - Indiana	(12) BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK:	: 1
(8) STRUCTURE:	034850	(13A) INVENTORY ROUTE:	000000001
(5 A-B-C-D-E) INV. ROUTE:	1 - 1 - 1 - 00065 - 0	(13B) SUBROUTE NUMBER:	01
(2) HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRICT:	05 - Seymour	(16) LATITUDE:	38.5018
(3) COUNTY CODE:	010 - CLARK	(17) LONGITUDE: (98) BORDER	-85.77067
(4) PLACE CODE:	00000 - N/A	A) STATE NAME:	
(6) FEATURES INTERSECTED:	BLUE LICK CREEK	B) PERCENT	%
(7) FACILITY CARRIED:	I-65 NB	(99) BORDER BRIDGE STRUCT. NO:	
(9) LOCATION:	02.68 S SR 160		
(11) MILEPOINT:	0016.570		
STRUCTURE TYPE AND M	ATERIAL		
DIROCIORE I IL MID M			
(43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN:		(45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT:	1 003
(43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN:A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN:	1 - Concrete	(45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT:(46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS:	1 003 0000
 (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: 	1 - Concrete 02 - Stringer/Multi- beam or Girder	 (45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT: (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS: (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE: 	V 003 0000 1 - Concrete Cast-in- Place
 (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: (44) STRUCTURE TYPE, APPROACH SPANS: 	1 - Concrete 02 - Stringer/Multi- beam or Girder	 (45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT: (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS: (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE: (108) WEARING SURFACE/PROT SYS: 	1 003 0000 1 - Concrete Cast-in- Place
 (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: (44) STRUCTURE TYPE, APPROACH SPANS: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: 	1 - Concrete 02 - Stringer/Multi- beam or Girder 0 - Other	 (45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT: (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS: (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE: (108) WEARING SURFACE/PROT SYS: A) WEARING SURFACE: 	V 003 0000 1 - Concrete Cast-in- Place 3 - Latex Concrete or similar additive
 (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: (44) STRUCTURE TYPE, APPROACH SPANS: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: 	 Concrete Stringer/Multibeam or Girder O - Other O0 - Other 	 (45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT: (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS: (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE: (108) WEARING SURFACE/PROT SYS: A) WEARING SURFACE: B) DECK MEMBRANE: 	V 003 0000 1 - Concrete Cast-in- Place 3 - Latex Concrete or similar additive 0 - None
 (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN/CONSTR: (44) STRUCTURE TYPE, APPROACH SPANS: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: 	 Concrete Stringer/Multibeam or Girder O - Other O - Other 	 (45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT: (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS: (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE: (108) WEARING SURFACE/PROT SYS: A) WEARING SURFACE: B) DECK MEMBRANE: C) DECK PROTECTION: 	V 003 0000 1 - Concrete Cast-in- Place 3 - Latex Concrete or similar additive 0 - None 0 - None
 (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: (44) STRUCTURE TYPE, APPROACH SPANS: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: 	1 - Concrete 02 - Stringer/Multi- beam or Girder 0 - Other 00 - Other	 (45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT: (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS: (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE: (108) WEARING SURFACE/PROT SYS: A) WEARING SURFACE: B) DECK MEMBRANE: C) DECK PROTECTION: 	V 003 0000 1 - Concrete Cast-in- Place 3 - Latex Concrete or similar additive 0 - None 0 - None
 (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN/CONSTR: (44) STRUCTURE TYPE, APPROACH SPANS: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: 	1 - Concrete 02 - Stringer/Multi- beam or Girder 0 - Other 00 - Other	 (45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT: (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS: (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE: (108) WEARING SURFACE/PROT SYS: A) WEARING SURFACE: B) DECK MEMBRANE: C) DECK PROTECTION: 	V 003 0000 1 - Concrete Cast-in- Place 3 - Latex Concrete or similar additive 0 - None 0 - None

(27) YEAR BUILT:	1958	(28) LANES:			
(106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED:	1989	A) ON BRIDGE:		02	
		B) UNDER BRIDGE:	00		
(42) TYPE OF SERVICE:		(29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC:	020765		
A) ON BRIDGE:	1 - Highway	(30) YEAR OF AVERAGE DAILY	2004		
B) UNDER BRIDGE:	5 - Water way	TRAFFIC:			
		(109) AVERAGE DAILY TRUCK	32	%	
		(19) BYPASS DETOUR LENGTH:	001	MI	

Asset Name: I65-016-04220 PACIENT Pacility Carried: I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report

GEOMETRIC DATA

(48) LENGTH OF MAX SPAN:	0037.0	FT	(35) STRUCTURE FLARED:	0 - No	flare
(49) STRUCTURE LENGTH:	00120.0	FT	(10) INV RTE, MIN VERT	99.99	FT
(50) CURB/SIDEWALK WIDTHS:			(47) TOT HODIZ CLEADANCE:	020 5	БŢ
A) LEFT	00.0	FT	(47) IOI HORIZ CLEARANCE:	039.5	F I FT
B) RIGHT:	00.0	FT	(53) VERT CLEAR OVER BR RDW Y:	99.99	FI
(51) BRDG RDWY WIDTH CURB-	039 5	FT	(54) MIN VERTICAL UNDERCLEARANCE		
TO-CURB:	057.5		A) REFERENCE FEATURE:	N	
(52) DECK WIDTH OUT-TO-OUT-	042.9	FT	B) MIN VERT UNDERCLEAR:	0	FT
(22) ADDROACH DOADWAY	040.0	ET	(55) LATERAL UNDERCLEARANCE		
(32) AFFROACH KOAD WAT	040.0	F1 	A) REFERENCE FEATURE	N	
(33) BRIDGE MEDIAN:	0 - No me	edian	B) MIN LATERAL UNDERCLEAR:	000.0	FT
(34) SKEW:	27 D	DEG	(56) MIN LATERAL UNDERCLEAR ON LEFT:	00.0	FT
INSPECTIONS					
(90) INSPECTION DATE: (92) CRITICAL FEATURE	08/0	03/2021	(91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY:	24 M	ONTHS
INSPECTION:			(93) CRITICAL FEATURE		
A) FRACTURE CRITICAL	Ν		INSPECTION DATE:		
REQUIRED/FREQUENCY:	ŊŢ		A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE:		
B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY:	Ν		B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE:		
C) OTHER SPECIAL INSPECTION	N N		C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE:		
REQUIRED/FREQUENCY:					
CONDITION					
(50) DECK					
(58) DECK:	6 - Satisfa	actory	(60) SUBSTRUCTURE:	5 - Fai	r Condition
(58) DECK:	6 - Satisfa Condition	actory n (minor	(60) SUBSTRUCTURE:	5 - Fai (minor	r Condition • section loss)
(58) DECK:	6 - Satisfa Condition deteriora	actory n (minor tion)	(60) SUBSTRUCTURE: (61) CHANNEL/CHANNEL	5 - Fai (minor 7 - Bar	r Condition section loss) k protection
(58) DECK: (58.01) WEARING SURFACE:	6 - Satisfa Condition deteriora 4 - Poor (actory n (minor tion) Condition	(60) SUBSTRUCTURE: (61) CHANNEL/CHANNEL PROTECTION:	5 - Fai (minor 7 - Bar needs r	r Condition section loss) hk protection minor repairs
(58) DECK: (58.01) WEARING SURFACE: (59) SUPERSTRUCTURE:	6 - Satisfa Condition deteriora 4 - Poor (5 - Fair C (minor se	actory n (minor tion) Condition Condition ection loss)	(60) SUBSTRUCTURE:(61) CHANNEL/CHANNELPROTECTION:(62) CULVERTS:	5 - Fai (minor 7 - Bar needs r N - No	r Condition section loss) hk protection minor repairs t Applicable

CONDITION COMMENTS

6 - Satisfactory Condition (minor deterioration)

There is minor cracking with efflorescence in the underside of the deck in the joint areas. Water is leaching at Bent 1 between Beam 5 and 6. There is a minor spall in Span A and in the coping of Span A.

(58.01) WEARING SURFACE: 4 - Poor Condition

Comments:

(58) DECK:

Comments:

There are moderate sealed cracks throughout with heavy patching around the joints.

Asset Name: I65-016-04220 PNBL Facility Carried: I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report

(59) SUPERSTRUCTURE:

5 - Fair Condition (minor section loss)

Comments:

There is light to moderate cracking, delamination and spalling in the ends of the girders totaling approximately 10 linear feet. There is some chalking of the beam ends. The diaphragms under the joints have light cracking, delamination and spalling with moderate amount of efflorescence present. The mudwalls have minor cracking with efflorescence.

(60) SUBSTRUCTURE: 5 - Fair Condition (minor section loss)

Comments:

There is cracking and delamination in the pier caps. There is an 8' crack with spalling on the south side of Pier 2 in the pier cap between Beam 1 and 2. There is a similar crack on the north side of Pier 2 in the same location. There are spalls in Bent 4 between beams 2-5 with heavy efflorescence at Beam 4 and 5. Both bent caps have cracking and delamination with some spalls. There is minor cracking in the bridge seats.

(61) CHANNEL/CHANNEL

7 - Bank protection needs minor repairs

PROTECTION

Comments:

The channel is lined with shale. There is minor erosion at the banks. There is no scour at the piers.

(62) CULVERTS: N - Not Applicable

Comments:

LOAD RATING AND POSTING

(31) DESIGN LOAD:	6 - HS 20+Mod	(66) INVENTORY RATING:	42
(70) BRIDGE POSTING	5 - Equal to or above legal loads	(65) INVENTORY RATING METHOD	: 1 - Load Factor (LF)
		(66B) INVENTORY RATING (H):	31
(41) STRUCTURE OPEN/POSTED/CLOSED:	A - Open	(66C) TONS POSTED :	
		(66D) DATE POSTED/CLOSED:	
(64) OPERATING RATING:	70	(002) 21112 1 001122, 0202221	
(63) OPERATING RATING METHOD:	1 - Load Factor (LF)		

APPRAISAL

SUFFICIENCY RATING:	84.8		(36) TRAFFIC SAFETY FEATURE:	
STATUS:	0		36A) BRIDGE RAILINGS:	1
(67) STRUCTURAL EVALUATION	:5		36B) TRANSITIONS:	1
(68) DECK GEOMETRY:	6		36C) APPROACH GUARDRAIL:	1
(69) UNDERCLEARANCES, VERTICAL & HORIZONTAL:	Ν		36D) APPROACH GUARDRAIL ENDS:	1
(71) WATERWAY ADEQUACY: 8 - Bridge Ab Comments:		ove Approaches		
(72) APPROACH ROADWAY ALIGNMENT: 8 - Equal to present desirable criteria Comments:				

Asset Name: I65-016-04220 PNBL Facility Carried: I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report

(113) SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGES:

3 - Foundations unstable for scour conditions

Comments:

Changed to 3 due to the Scour Letter dated 1/25/2019.

The 2000 Repair Plans did not call for any scour countermeasures.

The footings are all set in shale. The 1958 flow line was @ 471.00' The bottom of the critical footing (Piers #2 & #3 ??) is @ 465.40' If further review indicates that the shale is not easily scoured away, this code could be changed to an '8'.[WTD, 04/16/2001]

Spread footings, NO piles, set on shale

CLASSIFICATION

(20) TOLL:	3 - On Free Road	(21) MAINT. RESPONSIBILITY:	01 - State Highway Agency		
(22) OWNER:	01 - State Highway Agency	(26) FUNCTIONAL CLASS OF INVENTORY RTE:	01 - Rural - Principal Arterial - Interstate		
(37) HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE	: 5 - Not eligible				
(101) PARALLEL STRUCTURE:	R - Right structure	(100) STRAHNET HIGHWAY:	Is on an Interstate STRAHNET route		
(103) TEMPORARY STRUCTURE:	(North or East)	(102) DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC:	1-way traffic		
(105) FEDERAL LANDS	0-Not Applicable	(104) HIGHWAY SYSTEM OF INVENTORY ROUTE:	1 - Structure/Route is on NHS		
(112) NBIS BRIDGE LENGTH:	Yes	(110) DESIGNATED NATIONAL NETWORK:	Inventory route on National Truck Network		
NAVIGATION DATA					
(38) NAVIGATION CONTROL:	0 - No navigation	(39) NAVIGATION VERTICAL CI	LEAR: 000.0 FT		
	(bridge permit not required)	(116) MINIMUM NAVIGATION VERT. FT CLEARANCE, VERT. LIFT BRIDGE:			
(111) PIER OR ABUTMENT PROTECTION:		(40) NAV HORIZONTAL CLEARA	ANCE: 0000.0 FT		
PROPOSED IMPROVEMEN	VTS				
(75A) TYPE OF WORK:		(95) ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT	COST: \$ 000000		
(75B) WORK DONE BY:		(06) TOTAL PROJECT COST:	\$ 000000		
(76) LENGTH OF IMPROVEMENT	: 00000.0 FT	(90) YR OF IMPROVEMENT COS	\$ 000000 T FST·		
(94) BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT	\$ 000000	(114) FUTURE AVG DAILY TRAF	FIC: 039132		
0.001.		(115) YR OF FUTURE ADT:	2030		

	Environment	Total Quantity	Units	Condition State 1	Condition State 2	Condition State 3	Condition State 4
12 - Reinforced Concrete Deck	2 - Low	5154	sq. ft.	5150	4	0	0
	120.56' X 42	.75' = 515	3.94 SI	=			
510 - Wearing Surfaces		4763	sq. ft.	4741	22	0	0
	120.56' X 39	.50' = 476	2.12 SF	=			
110 - Reinforced Concrete Open Girder/Beam	2 - Low	720	ft.	700	20	0	0
	40.0' X 3 spa	ans X 6 be	ams =	720.0'			
210 - Reinforced Concrete Pier Wall	2 - Low	57	ft.	45	12	0	0
	28.25' X 2 piers = 56.50'						
215 - Reinforced Concrete Abutment	2 - Low	95	ft.	87	8	0	0
	47.5' X 2 abı	utments =	95.0'				
234 - Reinforced Concrete Pier Cap	2 - Low	97	ft.	52	25	20	0
	48.25' X 2 piers = 96.50'						
301 - Pourable Joint Seal	2 - Low	192	ft.	94	48	40	10
	4 joints X 42.75'/cos 27º = 191.92'						
331 - Reinforced Concrete Bridge Railing	2 - Low	242	ft.	242	0	0	0
	120.56' X 2 rails = 241.12'						


PHOTO 2 Elevation, Condition Description West side.



PHOTO 3 Condition







PHOTO 4 Condition Description South joint.

Asset Name: 165-0 Facility Carried: 165-0

I65-016-04220 DNBL I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 5 Condition, Other, Maintenance - Bridge

Description Joint 2 facing west.



PHOTO 6 Condition Description Joint 2 ove

tion Joint 2 over Pier 2. CF close out.

Asset Name: 165 Facility Carried: 1-6

I65-016-04220 DNBL I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 7 Condition

Description

Joint 3 facing west.



PHOTO 8ConditionDescriptionNorth joint.





PHOTO 10 Condition

Description Span A facing south.



PHOTO 12ConditionDescriptionSpan C facing north.



PHOTO 14 Condition Description Pier 2 south side.

> Page 17 of 30 H-130



 PHOTO 16
 Condition

 Description
 Pier 2 north side, west nose.



PHOTO 18 Condition Description Pier 3 north side.

I65-016-04220 DNBL I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 20 Condition Description Channel facing east.

	Miscellaneous Asset Data	034850		
	Asset Management			
Load Rating 2				
Has the dead I carrying memb	oad or the structural condition of the primary load pers changed since the last inspection?	No - Load Rating Update Not Required		
Extended Fre	quency:	Submittal Date:		
Inspector:				
INDOT Review	ver:			
This bridge has I	been accepted into the Extended Frequency Program.	Approval Date:		
Joints:	* Indicate location, type, and rating of lowest rated joint.			
Transverse South/West	O - XJS	3 - Very Poor Condition, leaking, punctures		
Comments:				
The joints are The joints cont	leaking and have numerous patches. A critical find was f tinually fail and develop spalls that require patching.	ound and repaired in Joint 2.		
Terminal Join Comments:	t <u>s:</u> *Rating of lowest rated terminal joint. N	I		
Concrete Slo	Dewall: *Rating of lowest rated slopewall. N	 I		
Bearings: * N - No Bearing Comments:	Indicate type, and rating of lowest rated bearing.			

Approach Slabs: * Indicate if present & condition rating.

2 - Approach Slab but paved over

Comments:

Paint:* Indicate if paint present , year painted & condition rating.N - No PaintNComments:

Endangered Species: * If yes, add one photo to the dropdown field

Bats: seen or heard under structure? *N - No evidence of batsBirds/swallows/nests seen? Empty nests present? *N - No Birds and/or Nests Visi

BRIDGE Culvert Geometry: Barrel Length: Height: Width:

NBI Data come from National I	nventory				
NBI 113: Scour Critical Bridges	3 NBI 113	a Scour Critical Bridges C	Comments	Changed to 3 Letter dated	3 due to the Scour 1/25/2019.
To be completed by Hydraulic	<u>5</u>			The 2000 Re for any scour	epair Plans did not call r countermeasures.
				The footings The 1958 flo The bottom of (Piers #2 & # If further revi shale is not et this code cou [WTD, 04/16]	are all set in shale. w line was @ 471.00' of the critical footing (3 ??) is @ 465.40' ew indicates that the easily scoured away, uld be changed to an '8'. /2001]
				Spread footir shale	ngs, NO piles, set on
Scour Analysis Status 1-Scou Analys on file	r Scour Analysis Date is	9 01/25/2019	Scour Analysis	Determination	2 – Scour Analysis complete, bridge IS hydraulically scour critical by analysis
Hydraulics Comments					
To Be Completed by Bridge In	spection				
Scour Critical Safety Status	3-Bridge IS Date of scour critical based on analysis findings and Scour POA is ON FILE	Counter Measure Placed	l or Field Verified	1	
Bridge Inspectoin Comments	"The bridge footir is unknown if the	ngs are founded in s shale is competent	shale per the or scour res	e plans and in sistant."	spection report, but it

Scour Delineators installed

LOAD RATING - BRADIN

National Bridge Inventory	<u>(NBI):</u>		
(65) INVENTORY RATING METHOD:	1	(31) DESIGN LOAD: 6	
(66) INVENTORY RATING:	42	(70) BRIDGE POSTING: 5	
(63) OPERATING RATING METHOD:	1	(41) STRUCTURE OPEN/POSTED/CLOSED: A	
(64) OPERATING RATING:	70	(66C) TONS POSTED:	
Posting Configurations:		(66D) DATE POSTED/CLOSED:	
Emergency Vehicles:			
EV2: LEGAL RF:	2.223	<u>5-Axles:</u>	
EV3: LEGAL RF:	1.528	AASHTO TYPE 3S2: LEGAL RF:	2.796
		SU5: LEGAL RF:	2.116
<u>2-Axles:</u>		TOLL ROAD LOADING NO. 1: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:	
H20-44: LEGAL RF:	2.594	<u>6+-Axles:</u>	
ALTERNATE MILITARY: LEGAL RF:	2.099	AASHTO TYPE 3-3: LEGAL RF:	3.064
<u>3-Axles:</u>		LANE TYPE: LEGAL RF:	
HS20: LEGAL RF:	1.959	SU6: LEGAL RF:	2.15
AASHTO TYPE 3: LEGAL RF:	2.554	SPECIAL TOLL ROAD TRUCK: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:	
4-Axles:		SU7: LEGAL RF:	2.017
SU4: LEGAL RF:	2.257	MICHIGAN TRAIN TRUCK NO. 5: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:	
TOLL ROAD LOADING NO. 2: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:		MICHIGAN TRAIN TRUCK NO. 8: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:	
Other Configurations:			
H20-44: DESIGN RF:	1.563	SUPERLOAD-11 AXLES: SPECIAL PERMIT RF:	1.409
NRL: LEGAL RF:	1.916	SUPERLOAD-13 AXLES: SPECIAL PERMIT RF:	1.666
		SUPERLOAD-14 AXLES: SPECIAL PERMIT RF:	1.144

- SUPERLOAD-19 AXLES (152.5T): SPECIAL PERMIT RF: 1.619
- SUPERLOAD-19 AXLES (240.045T): SPECIAL PERMIT RF: 1.244

Inspector: Stephen F. Hurst		Structure Number:	034850	
Inspection Date: 08/03/2021		Facility Carried:	I-65 NB	
	Bridge Inspection Report			
Channel Measurement				
Date of Channel Measurements:	08/03/2021		Number of Fixed Objects in Channel:	4
Distance Measured From:	0		Water Level:	21.5
Depth Measured From:	0		High Water Mark:	10.27
Number of Measurement Points Taken:	7		Measurement Type:	Depth from Reference Point



INDOT BRIDGE INSPECTION DIVISION

SCOUR PLAN OF ACTION

GENERAL INFORMATION

District: 05							
NBI Number: 034850		Facility Carried : I-65 NB					
Feature Intersected:	BLUE LICK CREEK	Location: 02.68 S SR 160					
SCOUR STATUS SUMMARY							
Scour Critical Rating:	3 Substructure	Rating: 5 Channel and Channel 7					
Culvert Rating: N	Waterway Adequacy Appraisal:	8					
Scour/Flood History:							

INITIAL SCOUR INSPECTION

Bridge Scour Critical Components:

Piers and abutments.

Trigger:

2.5" of rainfall in a 24 hour period.

Initial Scour Inspection following Trigger(Date/Findings):

MONITORING PLAN

Monitoring Required after Initial Scour Inspection (Y/N): Reason for Bridge Monitoring:

If monitoring is required after initial inspection, the Bridge Scour Monitoring Log shall be used.

Person or Agency that will monitor the bridge: INDOT Bridge Inspection Personnel Monitoring Methodology: Inspect bridge for any scour around the abutments. Look for any sinking of the structure.

Monitoring History/Comments:

Monitoring Termination Criteria: Once the water level recedes to normal flow levels and no issues were noted the monitoring can be terminated.

Bridge Owner Contact Information (Primary):

Chris Everman (812) 524-3717

COUNTERMEASURE INFORMATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Existence/Type of Countermeasures Present:

Rip rap at the piers and abutments. The foundations are in shale.

Countermeasures Observations:

The original rip rap appears to remain in place.

Countermeasures Recommendations:

Class 1 riprap shall be used for the abutments, and class 2 riprap for the piers.

EMERGENCY TRAFFIC INFORMATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Closure Plan: Personnel from the Sellersburg Unit will close the bridge when the rainfall amount exceeds 2.5" in a 24 hour period, or if notified by the bridge inspection team.

Suggested Detour Route:

I-65 NB to Memphis/Blue Lick Road to US 31 to SR 160 to I-65 NB

Re-opening Procedures:

The bridge will be reopened once the water level recedes enough to allow inspection of the substructure units by bridge inspection personnel. If no issues are found with the substructure and channel, the bridge will be reopened.

Provide recommendations as needed, such as reduced routine inspection frequency, need for future underwater inspections, countermeasure recommendations, and other comments.

None

Scour POA Author (Name/Title)	Chris Everman, Seymour Bridge Inspection Engineer	Date	08/12/2021
Scour POA Approved (Name/Title)	Anthony Marino / Bridge Inspection Area Engineer	Date	08/12/2021
Scour POA Updated (Name/Title)		Date	08/12/2021

	CR	ITICAL FINDING			034850		
Data Entry By:	Stephen	Hurst Entry Date:	08/03	3/2021 Team	Leader Reporting	:	Hurst, Stephen F.
Team Leader Nur	mber: II	N000422-2018-ATL-F Str	ucture #:	l65-016-0	04220 DNBL	NBI	034850
Facility Carried:		I-65 NB	Featur	e Intersected:	BLUE L	ICK CR	EEK
Location		02.68 S SR 160		County:			010
Critical Finding T	уре	Severe-Action completed	/ 30 days	Date of Finding	:	08/03/202	21
Notification of SF	PM Date:	08/03/2021	No	otification of Owne	er Date:	08/0	3/2021
Description of Iss Team Leader Recommended Actions:	There is a 4' passing lane Joint 2 over F they are work safely travers pose a safety This is a Sev	(transverse) x 2' (lor that could be hazar Pier 2 of the structur king on a fix. I obser sing it, including one hazard for the moto ere Critical Finding v	ngitudin dous to e. I have ved veh motorc oring pu with an	al) x 6" deep smaller vehic e notified mai icles travellin ycle, but prok blic. action time of	pothole in the cles and moto intenance abc ig at the poste onged impact f 30 days.	e center o rcycles. out the is ed speed s with th	of the It is in sue and I limit e pothole
	Patch the ho	le as soon as a safe	e repair	can be made			
		Recommend	ded Date o	of Action:	09/02/2	2021	
		I have attache	d () pho	otos to this do	cument.	1	
Safety Action Taken (By Whom/When)	Maintenance maintenance attached on	patched the hole w applied a concrete 8/4/2021.	ith bitur patch o	ninous mater n 8/4/2021. A	ial on 8/3/202 A picture of the	1. Bridge e work w	e /as
	Recommend	closing out this Crit	ical Fin	ding. Bill Dittr	ich 08-04-202	21	
Safety Action Closeout	Email corres the defect w photograph Critical Findi - 8/5/2021].	Critica pondence from Sey ould be addressed t on 8/4/2021 confirm ng closed out. [Anth	I Finding / mour D he follo ing that iony Ma	Addressed istrict Mainter wing morning the spalled a rino, PE Ad	08/04 nance on 8/3/ J. Steve Hurst irea had been cting Bridge Ir	/2021 2021 sta emailed properly ispection	ated that l y patched. n Manager
	Ľ	Must si	ubmit to Stat	e Program Manager	through WorkFlow.		
Date Closed by S	tate Program Man	ager in BIAS: C	8/05/2021	Estimated F	inal Resolution Da	ate 08/	/04/2021
Final Resoluti	ion Taken						
Maintenanc a concrete	e patched the patch on 8/4/2	e hole with bituminou 2021. A picture of th	us mate e work v	rial on 8/3/20 was attached	21. Bridge ma on 8/4/2021.	aintenan	ce applied

Final Resolution Date 08/04/2021



File Description	Joint 2 facing west.
File Type Category	Condition, Maintenance - Bridge, Other



Joint 2 over Pier 2. CF File Description close out. File Type Category

Condition

Page 30 of 30

I65-016-04220 DSBL I-65 SB over BLUE LICK CREEK



Inspection Date: 08/03/2021 Inspected By: Stephen F. Hurst Inspection Type(s): Routine

	PAGE NUMBER
LOCATION MAP	3
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
NATIONAL BRIDGE INVENTORY	6
ELEMENTS	10
PICTURES	11
MISCELLANEOUS ASSET DATA	21
SCOUR ANALYSIS	23
LOAD RATING - BRADIN	24
MAINTENANCE - BRIDGE	25
SCOUR CHANNEL PROFILE	26
SCOUR PLAN OF ACTION*	27



Latitude: 38.50167 Longitude: -85.77097

Page 3 of 29



Latitude: 38.50167 Longitude: -85.77097

Bridge Inspection Report

General Inspection Notes: Overall the structure is in fair to satisfactory condition with the wearing surface in poor condition.

Bridge History:

1958 : New Bridge : DES # Unknown - Contract # 04444
1978 : Rehab A : Railing Replace Or Repair : DES # Unknown - Contract # R-10734
1981 : Rehab B : Bridge Deck Overlay 1 : DES # Unknown - Contract # B-12933
1989 : Rehab C : Bridge Deck Barrier Wall : DES # 8763774 - Contract # R-17485
2000 : Rehab D : Bridge Deck Overlay 2 : DES # 9614744 - Contract # R-24847
2023 : Replace Superstructure : DES # 1600750 - Contract # R-41529
There is no additional work scheduled in SPMS

Maintenance/Deficiencies: A yellow deficiency was submitted for deck patching.

Beam end cracking and spalling:

Span A: South abutment: Cracking in Beam 3 and 4. 2' spall in Beam 5. Pier 2: Cracking in Beam 5. 2' spalls in Beam 1 and 6. Span B: Pier 2: Cracking in Beam 4. Pier 3: Cracking in Beam 3. 1' spall in Beam 5. Span C: Pier 3: Cracking in Beam 1 and 4. 3' spall in Beam 2. North abutment: 2' spalls in Beam 4 and 5.

Bridge Inspection Report

IDENTIFICATION

 (1) STATE CODE: (8) STRUCTURE: (5 A-B-C-D-E) INV. ROUTE: (2) HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRICT: (3) COUNTY CODE: 	185 - Indiana 034860 1 - 1 - 1 - 00065 - 0 05 - Seymour 010 - CLARK	 (12) BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK: (13A) INVENTORY ROUTE: (13B) SUBROUTE NUMBER: (16) LATITUDE: (17) LONGITUDE: 	1 0000000001 01 38.50167 -85.77097
(4) PLACE CODE:	00000 - N/A	(98) BORDER A) STATE NAME:	
(6) FEATURES INTERSECTED:(7) FACILITY CARRIED:(9) LOCATION:(11) MILEPOINT:	BLUE LICK CREEK I-65 SB 02.68 S SR 160 0016.570	B) PERCENT (99) BORDER BRIDGE STRUCT. NO:	%
STRUCTURE TYPE AND M (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN:	ATERIAL	(45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN	N 003
A) KIND OFMATERIAL/DESIGN:B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR:(44) STRUCTURE TYPE,	1 - Concrete 02 - Stringer/Multi- beam or Girder	 (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS: (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE: (108) WEARING SURFACE/PROT 	0000 1 - Concrete Cast-in- Place
APPROACH SPANS: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN:	0 - Other	SYS: A) WEARING SURFACE:	3 - Latex Concrete or similar additive
B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR:	00 - Other	B) DECK MEMBRANE: C) DECK PROTECTION:	0 - None 0 - None
AGE OF SERVICE		I	

(27) YEAR BUILT:	1958	(28) LANES:		
(106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED:	1989	A) ON BRIDGE:	02	
		B) UNDER BRIDGE:	00	
(42) TYPE OF SERVICE:		(29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC:	020765	
A) ON BRIDGE:	1 - Highway	(30) YEAR OF AVERAGE DAILY	2004	
B) UNDER BRIDGE:	5 - Water way	TRAFFIC:		
		(109) AVERAGE DAILY TRUCK	32 %	
		(19) BYPASS DETOUR LENGTH:	001 MI	

Bridge Inspection Report

GEOMETRIC DATA

(48) LENGTH OF MAX SPAN:	0037.0 FT		(35) STRUCTURE FLARED:	0 - No flare		
(49) STRUCTURE LENGTH:	00120.0	FT	(10) INV RTE, MIN VERT	99.99	FT	
(50) CURB/SIDEWALK WIDTHS:			(47) TOT HODIZ CLEADANCE.	020 5	ET	
A) LEFT	00.0	FT	(47) TOT HORIZ CLEARANCE:	039.5	FI	
B) RIGHT:	00.0	FT	(55) VERT CLEAR OVER BR RDW I:	99.99	F I	
(51) BRDG RDWY WIDTH CURB-	039.5	FT	UNDERCLEARANCE:			
TO-CURB:			A) REFERENCE FEATURE:	Ν		
(52) DECK WIDTH, OUT-TO-OUT:	042.9	FT	B) MIN VERT UNDERCLEAR: (55) LATERAL UNDERCLEARANCE	0	FT	
(32) APPROACH ROADWAY	040.0	FT	RIGHT:			
(33) BRIDGE MEDIAN:	0 - No me	edian	A) REFERENCE FEATURE:	Ν		
			B) MIN LATERAL UNDERCLEAR:	: 000.0	FT	
(34) SKEW:	27 D	DEG	(56) MIN LATERAL UNDERCLEAR ON LEFT:	00.0	FT	
INSPECTIONS						
(90) INSPECTION DATE:	08/0	03/2021	(01) DESIGNATED INSPECTION	24 M	ONTHS	
(92) CRITICAL FEATURE	00/0	55/2021	FREQUENCY:	24 IVI	ONTIS	
(92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION:	00/0	55/2021	(91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE	24 I vi	ONTIS	
 (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/EREQUENCY: 	N	55/2021	(91) DESIGNATED INSPECTIONFREQUENCY:(93) CRITICAL FEATUREINSPECTION DATE:	24 101		
 (92) CRITICAL FEATURE (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION 	N	55/2021	 (91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE: 	24 101		
 (92) CRITICAL FEATURE (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: 	N N	JJ/2021	 (91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE: B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE: 	24 W		
 (92) CRITICAL FEATURE (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSPECTION 	N N N N	JJ/2021	 (91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE: B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE: 	24 W		
 (92) CRITICAL FEATURE (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: 	N N N N	JJ/2021	 (91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE: B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE: 	24 W		
 (92) CRITICAL FEATURE (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: CONDITION 	N N N	55/2021	 (91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE: B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE: 	24 W		
 (92) CRITICAL FEATURE (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: CONDITION (58) DECK: 	N N N N 6 - Satisfa	actor y	 (91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE: B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE: (60) SUBSTRUCTURE:	5 - Fai	ir Condition	
 (92) CRITICAL FEATURE (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: CONDITION (58) DECK: 	N N N N 6 - Satisfa Condition deteriora	actor y n (minor	 (91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE: B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE: (60) SUBSTRUCTURE: 	5 - Fai (minor	ir Condition r section loss)	
 (50) INSTECTION DATE. (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: (58) DECK: 	N N N Ondition deterior a 4 - Poor (actory n (minor ttion)	 (91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE: B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE: (60) SUBSTRUCTURE: (61) CHANNEL/CHANNEL PROTECTION: 	5 - Fai (minor 7 - Bai needs	ir Condition r section loss) nk protection minor renairs	
 (50) INSTECTION DATE. (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: (58) DECK: (58.01) WEARING SURFACE:	N N N N Ondition deteriora 4 - Poor (actory n (minor ution) Condition	 (91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE: B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE: (60) SUBSTRUCTURE: (61) CHANNEL/CHANNEL PROTECTION: 	5 - Fai (minor 7 - Bar needs	ir Condition r section loss) nk protection minor repairs	
 (92) CRITICAL FEATURE (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: (50) DECK: (58) DECK: (59) SUPERSTRUCTURE:	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	actory n (minor action) Condition Condition ection loss)	 (91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE: B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE: (60) SUBSTRUCTURE: (61) CHANNEL/CHANNEL PROTECTION: (62) CULVERTS: 	5 - Fai (minor 7 - Bar needs : N - No	ir Condition r section loss) nk protection minor repairs ot Applicable	

CONDITION COMMENTS

6 - Satisfactory Condition (minor deterioration)

(58) DECK: Comments:

There is minor cracking with efflorescence on deck underside around the joints.

(58.01) WEARING SURFACE: 4 - Poor Condition

Comments:

There are numerous concrete and bituminous patches around the joints. There is a 1'x1' spall in joint 3 in the passing lane.

Bridge Inspection Report

(59) SUPERSTRUCTURE: 5 - Fai

5 - Fair Condition (minor section loss)

Comments:

The girder ends have numerous areas of moderate cracking, delamination and spalling for a total of approximately 21 linear feet. See the Executive Summary for detailed list. The girder diaphragms at the joint areas have light cracking, delamination and spalling with a moderate amount of efflorescence present. There are minor cracks with efflorescence in both mud walls.

(60) SUBSTRUCTURE: 5 - Fair Condition (minor section loss)

Comments:

Both pier caps have cracking and delamination with spalling. There are two large spalls in the pier cap on the north side of Pier 2. There is minor cracking in the bridge seats. There is minor erosion at the abutments. Both bent caps have cracking with minor delamination.

(61) CHANNEL/CHANNEL	7 - Bank protection needs minor repairs
PROTECTION	

Comments:

The channel is lined with shale. There is minor erosion at the banks. There is no scour at the piers.

(62) CULVERTS: N - Not Applicable

Comments:

LOAD RATING AND POSTING

(31) DESIGN LOAD:	5 - HS 20	(66) INVENTORY RATING:	49			
(70) BRIDGE POSTING	5 - Equal to or above legal loads	(65) INVENTORY RATING METHOD	: 3 - Load and Resistance Factor (LRFR)			
(41) STRUCTURE OPEN/POSTED/CLOSED:	A - Open	(66B) INVENTORY RATING (H):	37			
(64) OPERATING RATING:	59	(66C) TONS POSTED :				
(63) OPERATING RATING METHOD:	3 - Load and Resistance Factor (LRFR)	(66D) DATE POSTED/CLOSED:				
APPRAISAL						
SUFFICIENCY RATING:	84.8	(36) TRAFFIC SAFETY FEATURE:				
STATUS:	0	36A) BRIDGE RAILINGS:	1			
(67) STRUCTURAL EVALUATION	:5	36B) TRANSITIONS:	1			
(68) DECK GEOMETRY:	6	36C) APPROACH GUARDRAIL:	1			
(69) UNDERCLEARANCES, VERTICAL & HORIZONTAL:	Ν	36D) APPROACH GUARDRAIL ENDS:	1			
(71) WATERWAY ADEQUACY: Comments:	6 - Occasiona	casional Overtopping of Approaches - Insignificant Delays				
(72) APPROACH ROADWAY ALIGNMENT: 8 - Equal to present desirable criteria Comments:						

Bridge Inspection Report

(113) SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGES:

3 - Foundations unstable for scour conditions

Comments:

Changed to 3 due to the Scour Letter dated 1/25/2019.

The 2000 Repair Plans did not call for any scour countermeasures.

The footings are all set in shale. The 1958 Flow Line was @ 471.00'. The bottom of the critical footing (Piers #2 & #3 ??) is @ 465.21'. If further review indicates that the shale is not easily scoured away, this code could be changed to an '8'.[WTD, 04/16/2001]

Spread footings, NO piles, set on shale

CLASSIFICATION

CLASSIFICATION						
(20) TOLL:	3 - On Free Road	(21) MAINT. RESPONSIBILITY:	01 - State Highway Agency			
(22) OWNER:	01 - State Highway Agency	(26) FUNCTIONAL CLASS OF INVENTORY RTE:	01 - Rural - Principal Arterial - Interstate			
(37) HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE: 5 - Not eligible						
(101) PARALLEL STRUCTURE:	L - Left structure (South	(100) STRAHNET HIGHWAY:	Is on an Interstate STRAHNET route 1-way traffic			
(103) TEMPORARY STRUCTURE:	or West)	(102) DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC:				
(105) FEDERAL LANDS	0-Not Applicable	(104) HIGHWAY SYSTEM OF INVENTORY ROUTE:	1 - Structure/Route is on NHS			
(112) NBIS BRIDGE LENGTH:	Yes	(110) DESIGNATED NATIONAL NETWORK:	Inventory route on National Truck Network			
NAVIGATION DATA						
(38) NAVIGATION CONTROL:	0 - No navigation	(39) NAVIGATION VERTICAL CLEAR: 000.0 FT				
	(bridge permit not required)	(116) MINIMUM NAVIGATION VERT. FT CLEARANCE, VERT. LIFT BRIDGE:				
(111) PIER OR ABUTMENT PROTECTION:		(40) NAV HORIZONTAL CLEAR	ANCE: 0000.0 FT			
PROPOSED IMPROVEMEN	JTS					
(75A) TYPE OF WORK:		(95) ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT	`COST:\$ 000000			
(75B) WORK DONE BY:		(06) TOTAL PROJECT COST.	\$ 000000			
(76) LENGTH OF IMPROVEMENT: 00000.0 FT		(97) YR OF IMPROVEMENT COST EST.				
(94) BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT	\$ 000000	(114) FUTURE AVG DAILY TRAF	FIC: 039132			
0031:		(115) YR OF FUTURE ADT:	2030			

	Environment	Total Quantity	Units	Condition State 1	Condition State 2	Condition State 3	Condition State 4
12 - Reinforced Concrete Deck	2 - Low	5154	sq. ft.	5134	20	0	0
	120.56' X 42.75' = 5153.94 SF						
510 - Wearing Surfaces		4763	sq. ft.	4678	84	1	0
	120.56' X 39.50' = 4762.12 SF						
110 - Reinforced Concrete Open Girder/Beam	2 - Low	720	ft.	699	18	3	0
	40.0' X 3 spans X 6 beams = 720.0'						
210 - Reinforced Concrete Pier Wall	2 - Low	57	ft.	49	8	0	0
	28.25' X 2 piers = 56.50'						
215 - Reinforced Concrete Abutment	2 - Low	95	ft.	90	5	0	0
	47.5' X 2 abutments = 95.0'						
234 - Reinforced Concrete Pier Cap	2 - Low	97	ft.	76	15	6	0
	48.25' X 2 piers = 96.50'						
301 - Pourable Joint Seal	2 - Low	192	ft.	150	40	2	0
	4 joints X 42.75'/cos 27° = 191.92'						
331 - Reinforced Concrete Bridge Railing	2 - Low	242	ft.	242	0	0	0
	120.56' X 2 rails = 241.12'						

Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 2 Elevation, Condition Description East side.



PHOTO 4 Condition Description Roadway south.

> Page 12 of 29 H-155





PHOTO 5 Condition Description South joint.



PHOTO 6 Condition Description Joint 2 facing east.

I65-016-04220 DSBL I-65 SB

Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 7 Condition

Description Joint 3 facing east.



PHOTO 8 Condition, Other, Maintenance - Bridge

Description Joint 3 facing east.

Asset Name: 165-DSE Facility Carried: 1-65

I65-016-04220 DSBL I-65 SB

Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 9 Condition Description North joint.



PHOTO 10ConditionDescriptionSpan A facing south.

Page 15 of 29 H-158



PHOTO 11 Condition

Description Beam 5 in Span A at south abutment.



Description Span B facing north.


PHOTO 13 Condition

Description Span C facing north.



PHOTO 14 Condition, Other

Description Beam 2 in Span C at Pier 3.







PHOTO 16 Condition Description Pier 2 north side.

Asset Name: 165-0 PSE Facility Carried: 1-65

Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 17 Condition

Description Pier 3 south side.



PHOTO 18ConditionDescriptionPier 3 north side.



PHOTO 20 Condition Description Channel facing west.

	Miscellaneous Asset Data	034860
	Asset Management	
Load Rating 2:		
Has the dead load carrying members	or the structural condition of the primary load changed since the last inspection?	No - Load Rating Update Not Required
Extended Freque	ncy:	Submittal Date:
Inspector:		
INDOT Reviewer:		
This bridge has been	accepted into the Extended Frequency Program.	Approval Date:
Joints: * Inc	licate location, type, and rating of lowest rated joint.	
Mid-Section	O - XJS	3 - Very Poor Condition, leaking, punctures
Comments:		
The joints are leak and develop spalls	ing and have numerous patches. Joint 3 has a 1'x1' that require patching.	spall. The joints continually fail
Terminal Joints: Comments:	*Rating of lowest rated terminal joint.	J
Concrete Slopew	all: *Rating of lowest rated slopewall.	 V
Comments:		
Bearings: * India	cate type, and rating of lowest rated bearing.	
N - No Bearing(s)		
Comments:		

Approach Slabs: * Indicate if present & condition rating.

2 - Approach Slab but paved over Comments: Both approach slabs have been paved over.

Paint:* Indicate if paint present , year painted & condition rating.N - No PaintNComments:

Endangered Species: * If yes, add one photo to the dropdown field

Bats: seen or heard under structure? *N - No evidence of batsBirds/swallows/nests seen? Empty nests present? *N - No Birds and/or Nests Visi

BRIDGE Culvert Geometry: Barrel Length: Height: Width:

NBI Data come from Nationa	l Inventory					
NBI 113: Scour Critical Bridge	s 3	NBI 113a S	cour Critical Bridges (Comments	Changed to 3 Letter dated	3 due to the Scour 1/25/2019.
To Be Completed by Hydrau	lics				The 2000 Re for any scour	epair Plans did not call r countermeasures.
					The footings The 1958 Flo The bottom of (Piers #2 & # If further revi shale is not e this code cou [WTD, 04/16	are all set in shale. by Line was @ 471.00'. of the critical footing \$3 ??) is @ 465.21'. ew indicates that the easily scoured away, ald be changed to an '8'. (2001]
					Spread footii shale	ngs, NO piles, set on
Scour Analysis Status 1-Sc Anal on fi	our Scour Ana ysis e	alysis Date	01/25/2019	Scour Analysis	Determination	2 – Scour Analysis complete, bridge IS hydraulically scour critical by analysis
Hydraulics Comments						
To Be Completed by Bridge	Inspection					
Scour Critical Safety Status	3-Bridge IS scour critical based on analysis findings and Scour POA is ON FILE	Date of Co	unter Measure Placed	d or Field Verified	d	
Bridge Inspectoin Comments	"The bridg is unknow	ge footings n if the sh	are founded in ale is competen	shale per the t or scour res	e plans and ir sistant."	nspection report, but it

Scour Delineators installed

LOAD RATING - BRADIN

1.603

2.154

1.693

National Bridge Inventory	<u>(NBI):</u>		
(65) INVENTORY RATING METHOD:	3	(31) DESIGN LOAD:	5
(66) INVENTORY RATING:	49	(70) BRIDGE POSTING:	5
(63) OPERATING RATING METHOD:	3	(41) STRUCTURE OPEN/POSTED/CLOSED:	А
(64) OPERATING RATING:	59	(66C) TONS POSTED:	
Posting Configurations:		(66D) DATE POSTED/CLOSED:	
Emergency Vehicles:			
EV2: LEGAL RF:	2.46	<u>5-Axles:</u>	
EV3: LEGAL RF:	1.671	AASHTO TYPE 3S2: LEGAL RF:	2.403
		SU5: LEGAL RF:	1.815
<u>2-Axles:</u>		TOLL ROAD LOADING NO. 1: ROUTINE PERMIT RF	:
H20-44: LEGAL RF:	2.268	<u>6+-Axles:</u>	
ALTERNATE MILITARY: LEGAL RF:	1.805	AASHTO TYPE 3-3: LEGAL RF:	2.565
3-Axles:		LANE TYPE: LEGAL RF:	99
HS20: LEGAL RF:	1.642	SU6: LEGAL RF:	1.642
AASHTO TYPE 3: LEGAL RF:	2.213	SPECIAL TOLL ROAD TRUCK: ROUTINE PERMIT R	F:
<u>4-Axles:</u>		SU7: LEGAL RF:	1.541
SU4: LEGAL RF:	1.927	MICHIGAN TRAIN TRUCK NO. 5: ROUTINE PERMI	T RF:
TOLL ROAD LOADING NO. 2: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:		MICHIGAN TRAIN TRUCK NO. 8: ROUTINE PERMI	T RF:
Other Configurations:			
H20-44: DESIGN RF:	1.879	SUPERLOAD-11 AXLES: SPECIAL PERMIT RF:	1.889
NRL: LEGAL RF:	1.464	SUPERLOAD-13 AXLES: SPECIAL PERMIT RF:	2.142

SUPERLOAD-14 AXLES: SPECIAL PERMIT RF:

SUPERLOAD-19 AXLES (152.5T): SPECIAL PERMIT RF:

SUPERLOAD-19 AXLES (240.045T): SPECIAL PERMIT RF:

Date Reported: 08/09/2021

Priority: Yellow - 2

Work Code: Deck Patch

Deficiency Description: There is a 1'x1' spall at Joint 3. Work Description:

Date Repairs Completed:

Maintenance Comments:

Stage: Open



PHOTO 1 Description Joint 3 facing east.

Stage: Open



PHOTO 2 Description Joint 3 facing east.

Inspector: Stephen F. Hurst		Structure Number:	034860	
Inspection Date: 08/03/2021		Facility Carried:	I-65 SB	
	Bridge Inspection Report			
Channel Measurement				
Date of Channel Measurements:	08/03/2021		Number of Fixed Objects in Channel:	4
Distance Measured From:	0		Water Level:	20.5
Depth Measured From:	0		High Water Mark:	10.41
Number of Measurement Points Taken:	7		Measurement Type:	Depth from Reference Point



INDOT BRIDGE INSPECTION DIVISION

SCOUR PLAN OF ACTION

GENERAL INFORMATION

District: 05		
NBI Number: 034860	Fac	cility Carried : I-65 SB
Feature Intersected: BI	LUE LICK CREEK	Location: 02.68 S SR 160
SCC	OUR STATUS SUMMARY	
Scour Critical Rating:	3 Substructure Ratin	ng: 5 Channel and Channel 7
Culvert Rating: N	Waterway Adequacy 6 Appraisal:	Protection Rating:
Scour/Flood History:		

INITIAL SCOUR INSPECTION

Bridge Scour Critical Components:

Piers and abutments.

Trigger:

2.5" of rainfall in a 24 hour period.

Initial Scour Inspection following Trigger(Date/Findings):

MONITORING PLAN

Monitoring Required after Initial Scour Inspection (Y/N): Reason for Bridge Monitoring:

If monitoring is required after initial inspection, the Bridge Scour Monitoring Log shall be used.

Person or Agency that will monitor the bridge: INDOT Bridge Inspection Personnel.

Monitoring Methodology:

Inspect bridge for any scour around the abutments. Look for any sinking of the structure.

Monitoring History/Comments:

Monitoring Termination Criteria: Once the water level recedes to normal flow levels and no issues were noted the monitoring can be terminated.

Bridge Owner Contact Information (Primary):

Chris Everman (812) 524-3717

COUNTERMEASURE INFORMATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Existence/Type of Countermeasures Present:

Rip rap at the piers and abutments. The foundations are in shale.

Countermeasures Observations:

The original rip rap appears to remain in place.

Countermeasures Recommendations:

Class 1 riprap shall be used for the abutments, and class 2 riprap for the piers.

EMERGENCY TRAFFIC INFORMATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Closure Plan: Personnel from the Sellersburg Unit will close the bridge when the rainfall amount exceeds 2.5" in a 24 hour period, or if notified by the bridge inspection team.

Suggested Detour Route:

I-65 SB to SR 160 to US 31 to Memphis/Blue Lick Road to I-65 SB.

Re-opening Procedures:

The bridge will be reopened once the water level recedes enough to allow inspection of the substructure units by bridge inspection personnel. If no issues are found with the substructure and channel, the bridge will be reopened.

Provide recommendations as needed, such as reduced routine inspection frequency, need for future underwater inspections, countermeasure recommendations, and other comments.

None

Scour POA Author Chris (Name/Title)	s Everman, Seymour Bridge Inspection Engineer	Date	08/12/2021
Scour POA Approved Ai (Name/Title)	nthony Marino / Bridge Inspection Area Engineer	Date	08/12/2021
Scour POA Updated (Name/Title)		Date	08/12/2021

I65-017-04222 DNBL I-65 NB over CANEY FORK



Inspection Date: 08/03/2021 Inspected By: Chris Everman Inspection Type(s): Routine

	PAGE NUMBER
LOCATION MAP	3
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
NATIONAL BRIDGE INVENTORY	6
ELEMENTS	10
PICTURES	11
MISCELLANEOUS ASSET DATA	22
LOAD RATING - BRADIN	25
MAINTENANCE - BRIDGE	26
SCOUR CHANNEL PROFILE	28



Latitude: 38.5144 Longitude: -85.77215



Latitude: 38.5144 Longitude: -85.77215

Page 4 of 28

Asset Name: I65-017-04222 DNBL Facility Carried: I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report

History:

The bridge was built in 1958 under Contract B-4445. The bridge rail was replaced in 1977 under Contract R-10734. The bridge received a deck overlay in 1981 under Contract B-12933. The bridge had the joints replaced, the approach slabs were widened, and new railings were installed in 1989 under Contract R-17485, Des # 8763775. The joints were replaced in 2000 under Contract R-24847, Des # 9614745. New bearings were installed under some of the beams in 2020 under IDIQ Contract B-41681, Des # 2001546. The structure is to have a superstructure replacement under Contract R-41529, Des # 1600729, due

The structure is to have a superstructure replacement under Contract R-41529, Des # 1600729, due to let on 7/12/2023.

Condition:

The wearing surface is in poor condition with cracking and spalling throughout the wearing surface. There are also numerous patches on the wearing surface. Some of the spalls in the wearing surface are deep enough to affect the deck. there is also minor cracking on the underside of the deck. There are a few spalls and cracking at the ends of the girders. New bearings were installed under some of the girders at the interior piers. There are some cracks and spalls on the piers and abutments.

Asset Name: I65-017-04222 Facility Carried: I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report

IDENTIFICATION

(1) STATE CODE:	185 - Indiana	(12) BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK:	: 1
(8) STRUCTURE:	034880	(13A) INVENTORY ROUTE:	000000001
(5 A-B-C-D-E) INV. ROUTE:	1 - 1 - 1 - 00065 - 0	(13B) SUBROUTE NUMBER:	01
(2) HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRICT:	05 - Seymour	(16) LATITUDE:	38.5144
(3) COUNTY CODE:	010 - CLARK	(17) LONGITUDE: (98) BORDER	-85.77215
(4) PLACE CODE:	00000 - N/A	A) STATE NAME:	
(6) FEATURES INTERSECTED:	CANEY FORK	B) PERCENT	%
(7) FACILITY CARRIED:	I-65 NB	(99) BORDER BRIDGE STRUCT. NO:	
(9) LOCATION:	01.81 S SR 160		
(11) MILEPOINT:	0017.440		
STRUCTURE TVDE AND M	ΙΑΤΕΡΙΔΙ		
STRUCTURE I THE AND M			
(43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN:		(45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN	1 003
(43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN:A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN:	1 - Concrete	(45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT: (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS:	1 003 0000
 (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: 	1 - Concrete 02 - Stringer/Multi- beam or Girder	 (45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT: (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS: (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE: 	V 003 0000 1 - Concrete Cast-in- Place
 (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: (43) KIND OF (44) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: (44) STRUCTURE TYPE, APPROACH SPANS: 	1 - Concrete 02 - Stringer/Multi- beam or Girder	 (45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT: (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS: (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE: (108) WEARING SURFACE/PROT SYS: 	V 003 0000 1 - Concrete Cast-in- Place
 (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN/CONSTR: (44) STRUCTURE TYPE, APPROACH SPANS: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: 	1 - Concrete 02 - Stringer/Multi- beam or Girder 0 - Other	 (45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT: (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS: (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE: (108) WEARING SURFACE/PROT SYS: A) WEARING SURFACE: 	V 003 0000 1 - Concrete Cast-in- Place 3 - Latex Concrete or similar additive
 (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: (44) STRUCTURE TYPE, APPROACH SPANS: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: 	1 - Concrete 02 - Stringer/Multi- beam or Girder 0 - Other 00 - Other	 (45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT: (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS: (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE: (108) WEARING SURFACE/PROT SYS: A) WEARING SURFACE: B) DECK MEMBRANE: 	V 003 0000 1 - Concrete Cast-in- Place 3 - Latex Concrete or similar additive 0 - None
 (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: (44) STRUCTURE TYPE, APPROACH SPANS: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: 	 Concrete Stringer/Multibeam or Girder O - Other O - Other 	 (45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT: (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS: (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE: (108) WEARING SURFACE/PROT SYS: A) WEARING SURFACE: B) DECK MEMBRANE: C) DECK PROTECTION: 	V 003 0000 1 - Concrete Cast-in- Place 3 - Latex Concrete or similar additive 0 - None 0 - None
 (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: (44) STRUCTURE TYPE, APPROACH SPANS: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: 	1 - Concrete 02 - Stringer/Multi- beam or Girder 0 - Other 00 - Other	 (45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT: (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS: (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE: (108) WEARING SURFACE/PROT SYS: A) WEARING SURFACE: B) DECK MEMBRANE: C) DECK PROTECTION: 	V 003 0000 1 - Concrete Cast-in- Place 3 - Latex Concrete or similar additive 0 - None 0 - None
 (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: A) KIND OF (44) STRUCTURE TYPE, (44) STRUCTURE TYPE, APPROACH SPANS: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: 	1 - Concrete 02 - Stringer/Multi- beam or Girder 0 - Other 00 - Other	 (45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT: (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS: (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE: (108) WEARING SURFACE/PROT SYS: A) WEARING SURFACE: B) DECK MEMBRANE: C) DECK PROTECTION: 	0000 1 - Concrete Cast-in- Place 3 - Latex Concrete or similar additive 0 - None 0 - None

(27) YEAR BUILT:	1958	(28) LANES:		
(106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED:	1989	A) ON BRIDGE:	02	
		B) UNDER BRIDGE:	00	
(42) TYPE OF SERVICE:		(29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC:	020765	
A) ON BRIDGE:	1 - Highway	(30) YEAR OF AVERAGE DAILY	2004	
B) UNDER BRIDGE:	5 - Water way	TRAFFIC:		
		(109) AVERAGE DAILY TRUCK	32	%
		(19) BYPASS DETOUR LENGTH:	001	MI
		-		

Asset Name: I65-017-04222 Pacility Carried: I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report

GEOMETRIC DATA

(48) LENGTH OF MAX SPAN:	0045.0	FT	(35) STRUCTURE FLARED:	0 - No	flare
(49) STRUCTURE LENGTH:	00136.0	FT	(10) INV RTE, MIN VERT	99.99	FT
(50) CURB/SIDEWALK WIDTHS:			CLEARANCE.	020 6	FT
A) LEFT	00.0	FT	(47) TOT HORIZ CLEARANCE:	039.6	FT
B) RIGHT:	00.0	FT	(53) VERT CLEAR OVER BR RDWY:	99.99	FT
(51) BRDG RDWY WIDTH CURB-	039.6	FT	(54) MIN VERTICAL UNDERCLEARANCE		
TO-CURB:	02710		A) REFERENCE FEATURE:	Ν	
(52) DECK WIDTH. OUT-TO-OUT:	043.0	FT	B) MIN VERT UNDERCLEAR:	0	FT
(32) APPROACH ROADWAY	039.0	FT	(55) LATERAL UNDERCLEARANCE		
(32) PRIDCE MEDIAN:	0. No m	adian	A) REFERENCE FEATURE:	N	
(55) BRIDGE MEDIAN.	0 - 110 110	euran	B) MIN LATERAL UNDERCLEAR:	000.0	FT
(34) SKEW:	00 E	DEG	(56) MIN LATERAL UNDERCLEAR ON LEFT:	00.0	FT
INSPECTIONS					
(90) INSPECTION DATE:	08/0	03/2021	(91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION	24 M	ONTHS
(92) CRITICAL FEATURE			FREQUENCY:		
A) FRACTURE CRITICAL	Ν		(93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE:		
REQUIRED/FREQUENCY:			A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE:		
B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION	Ν		B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE:		
REQUIRED/FREQUENCY:	NT NT		C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE:		
REQUIRED/FREQUENCY:	N IN				
CONDITION					
CONDITION		~			
(58) DECK:	5 - Fair C	Condition	(60) SUBSTRUCTURE:	6 - Sat	1stactory
(59.01) WEADING SUDEACE.		Condition		deterio	pration)
(38.01) WEARING SURFACE.	4 - F001 V		(61) CHANNEL/CHANNEL	7 - Baı	nk protection
(59) SUPERSTRUCTURE:	5 - Fair C	Condition	PROTECTION:	needs	minor repairs
	(minor se	ection loss)		needs	minor repuis
	(minor se	ection loss)	(62) CULVERTS:	N - No	ot Applicable

CONDITION COMMENTS

5 - Fair Condition (minor section loss)

(58) DECK: Comments:

Longitudinal cracking in the deck underside. Also one spall with exposed rebar in span A on the east side.

There are several spalls in the wearing surface that are deep enough to begin to effect the top of the deck.

(58.01) WEARING SURFACE: 4 - Poor Condition

Comments:

There are several spalls, about 20 SF to 25 SF, on the wearing surface in all three spans. There are multiple patches around the joints at the approach slabs. There are also numerous patches throughout the wearing surface.

Asset Name: I65-017-04222 PNBL Facility Carried: I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report

(59) SUPERSTRUCTURE: 5 - Fair Condition (minor section loss)

Comments:

There are minor spalls at the ends of the beams. The diaphrams over piers 2 &3 have cracking and spalls with exposed rebar. Both mudwalls have cracks with efflorescence.

The powder residue mentioned in the previous report indicating grinding in the bearing area is no longer there. New bearings were installed on some of the girders at piers #2 and 3. It seems that the deflection in span B as traffic traveled over the bridge was unusual for a reinforced concrete girder bridge, however I was not able to observe the deflection under the bridge.

(60) SUBSTRUCTURE:

6 - Satisfactory Condition (minor deterioration)

Comments:

There is staining, and spalling along the pier caps as well as several spalls with exposed rebar along the pier stems. Minor cracks in both bent caps with one spall in cap #4.

(61) CHANNEL/CHANNEL 7 - Bank protection needs minor repairs PROTECTION Comments:

The channel is in good condition.

(62) CULVERTS: N - Not Applicable

Comments:

LOAD RATING AND POSTING

(31) DESIGN LOAD:	6 - HS 20+Mod	(66) INVENTORY RATING: 41
(70) BRIDGE POSTING	5 - Equal to or above	(65) INVENTORY RATING METHOD: 1 - Load Factor (LF)
	legal loads	(66B) INVENTORY RATING (H): 32
(41) STRUCTURE	A - Open	(66C) TONS POSTED :
OPEN/POSTED/CLOSED:		(66D) DATE POSTED/CLOSED:
(64) OPERATING RATING:	68	(
(63) OPERATING RATING METHOD:	1 - Load Factor (LF)	

APPRAISAL

SUFFICIENCY RATING:	83.7		(36) TRAFFIC SAFETY FEATURE:			
STATUS:	0		36A) BRIDGE RAILINGS:	1		
(67) STRUCTURAL EVALUATION	N: 5		36B) TRANSITIONS:	1		
(68) DECK GEOMETRY:	6		36C) APPROACH GUARDRAIL:	1		
(69) UNDERCLEARANCES, VERTICAL & HORIZONTAL:	Ν		36D) APPROACH GUARDRAIL ENDS:	1		
(71) WATERWAY ADEQUACY: 7 - Slight Comments: 7 - Slight		7 - Slight Cha	ght Chance of Overtopping Bridge			
(72) APPROACH ROADWAY ALIGNMENT: 8 Comments:		8 - Equal to present desirable criteria				

Comments:

Asset Name: 165-017-04222 Facility Carried: 1-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report

(113) SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGES:

5 - Scour within limits of footing or piles

Minor scour @ P.#2 Left coded as an 8 = 'LOW Risk' for Vulnerability for Scour.

The 2000 Repair Plans did not call for any scour countermeasures.

The footings are all set in shale. The 2000 Repair Plans show the Flow line @ 480.90'.[WTD, 04/17/2001]

Spread footings, NO piles, set in shale

CLASSIFICATION

(20) TOLL:	3 - On Free Road	(21) MAINT. RESPONSIBILITY:	01 - State Highway Agency	
(22) OWNER:	01 - State Highway Agency	(26) FUNCTIONAL CLASS OF INVENTORY RTE:	01 - Rural - Principal Arterial - Interstate	
(37) HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE: 5 - Not eligible				
(101) PARALLEL STRUCTURE:	R - Right structure	(100) STRAHNET HIGHWAY:	Is on an Interstate STRAHNET route	
(103) TEMPORARY STRUCTURE:	(North of East)	(102) DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC:	1-way traffic	
(105) FEDERAL LANDS	0-Not Applicable	(104) HIGHWAY SYSTEM OF INVENTORY ROUTE:	1 - Structure/Route is on NHS	
HIGHWAYS: (112) NBIS BRIDGE LENGTH:	Yes	(110) DESIGNATED NATIONAL NETWORK:	Inventory route on National Truck Network	
NAVIGATION DATA				
(38) NAVIGATION CONTROL:	0 - No navigation	(39) NAVIGATION VERTICAL CLEAR: 000.0 FT		
	(bridge permit not required)	(116) MINIMUM NAVIGATION V CLEARANCE, VERT. LIFT BRIDO	ERT. FT GE:	
(111) PIER OR ABUTMENT PROTECTION:		(40) NAV HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE: 0000.0 FT		
PROPOSED IMPROVEMEN	VTS			
(75A) TYPE OF WORK:		(95) ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT	COST: \$ 000000	
(75B) WORK DONE BY:(76) LENGTH OF IMPROVEMENT: 00000.0 FT		(96) TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$ 000000	
		(97) YR OF IMPROVEMENT COST EST		
(94) BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT	\$ 000000	(114) FUTURE AVG DAILY TRAF	FIC: 039641	
		(115) YR OF FUTURE ADT:	2033	

	Environment	Total Quantity	Units	Condition State 1	Condition State 2	Condition State 3	Condition State 4
12 - Reinforced Concrete Deck	2 - Low	5793	sq. ft.	5771	18	4	0
	135.50' X 42.75' = 5792.63 SF						
510 - Wearing Surfaces		5353	sq. ft.	5303	30	20	0
	135.50' X 39.50' = 5352.25 SF						
110 - Reinforced Concrete Open Girder/Beam	2 - Low	819	ft.	789	30	0	0
	(45.73' + 44.96' + 45.73') X 6 girders = 818.52'						
210 - Reinforced Concrete Pier Wall	2 - Low	84	ft.	66	18	0	0
	41.75' X 2 piers = 83.50'						
215 - Reinforced Concrete Abutment	2 - Low	85	ft.	81	4	0	0
	42.25' X 2 abutments = 84.50'						
234 - Reinforced Concrete Pier Cap	2 - Low	85	ft.	55	30	0	0
	42.25' X 2 piers = 84.50'						
301 - Pourable Joint Seal	2 - Low	171	ft.	0	118	38	15
	42.75' X 4 joints = 171.00'						
310 - Elastomeric Bearing	2 - Low	9	each	9	0	0	0
	9 each						
331 - Reinforced Concrete Bridge Railing	2 - Low	271	ft.	271	0	0	0
	135.50' X 2 rails = 271.0'						



PHOTO 1 Condition

Description South Approach facing West



PHOTO 2 Condition, Maintenance - Bridge

Description South Joint facing West 2' X 2' and 3' X 1' Spall



Description Deck facing North



PHOTO 4ConditionDescriptionPatches over Span A

Asset Name: 165-0 Facility Carried: 1-65

I65-017-04222 DNBL I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 5 Condition

Description Joint over Pier #2 facing West



 PHOTO 6
 Condition, Maintenance - Bridge

 Description
 4 Spalls (two 2' X 2' and two 1' X 1') over Span B

Asset Name: 165-0 Facility Carried: 1-65 M



Bridge Inspection Report

PHOTO 7 Condition

Description Excess Concrete on the East Shoulder near Joint #2



PHOTO 8 Condition

Description Joint over Pier #3 facing West

Asset Name: 165-0 Facility Carried: 1-65

I65-017-04222 DNBL I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 9 Condition

Description North Joint facing West



Description North Approach facing West

Asset Name: I65-017-04222 Facility Carried: I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 11 Elevation, Condition

Description Side facing Northwest



PHOTO 12 Condition

Description Underside Span A and Abutment #1 facing South

Asset Name: 165-0 Facility Carried: PNB I-65

I65-017-04222 DNBL I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 14 Condition Description Pier #2 facing South



PHOTO 15 Condition

Description Underside Span B facing South



PHOTO 16 Condition Description Pier #3 facing North

Asset Name: 165-Facility Carried: 1-65

Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 18ConditionDescriptionTemporary Bearings on Pier #3



PHOTO 19 Condition

Description Underside Span C facing North



PHOTO 20 Condition Description Abutment #4 facing North

> Page 20 of 28 H-192

Asset Name: I65-017-04222 Facility Carried: I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report



 PHOTO 21
 Condition

 Description
 Channel facing West



PHOTO 22 Condition Description Channel facing East

> Page 21 of 28 H-193

Miscellaneous Asset Data

034880

Asset Management

Load Rating 2	<u>:</u>	
Has the dead carrying memb	oad or the structural condition of the primary load pers changed since the last inspection?	No - Load Rating Update Not Required
Extended Fre	quency:	Submittal Date:
Inspector:		
INDOT Review	ver:	
This bridge has I	been accepted into the Extended Frequency Program.	Approval Date:
Joints:	* Indicate location, type, and rating of lowest rated joint.	
Transverse South/West	O - XJS	4
Comments:		
Moderate patc	hing around the joints. All 4 joints are leaking.	
Terminal Join	ts: *Rating of lowest rated terminal joint.	 \
Comments:		
Concrete Slo	Dewall: *Rating of lowest rated slopewall.	 \
Comments:		
Bearings: *	Indicate type, and rating of lowest rated bearing.	
2 - Elastmeric	8	
Comments:		
Bearings were side of pier #2 under an IDIQ	installed on girder #4 on the south side of pier #2, on gir and girders #1, 2, 4, 5, and 6 on the north side of pier #3 Contract, Des # 2001546.	rders #2, 3, and 4 on the north 3. These were installed in 2020

<u>Approach Slabs:</u> * Indicate if present & condition rating.

2 - Approach Slab but paved over

Comments:

Paint:* Indicate if paint present , year painted & condition rating.N - No PaintNComments:

Endangered Species:	* If yes, add one photo to the dropdown field	əld
Bats: seen or heard und	er structure? *	N - No evidence of bats
Birds/swallows/nests see	en? Empty nests present? *	N - No Birds and/or Nests Visi

BRIDGE Culvert Geometry: Barrel Length: Height: Width:

Page 23 of 28
NBI Data come from Natio	onal Inven	tory			
NBI 113: Scour Critical Brid	dges 5	NBI 113	a Scour Critical Brid	ges Comments	Minor scour @ P#2 Left coded as an 8 = 'LOW Risk' for Vulnerability
To Be Completed by Hyd	<u>raulics</u>				for Scour.
					The 2000 Repair Plans did not call for any scour countermeasures.
					The footings are all set in shale. The 2000 Repair Plans show the Flow line @ 480.90'.[WTD, 04/17/2001]
					Spread footings, NO piles, set in shale
Scour Analysis Status 1 A o	-Scour Analysis on file	Scour Analysis Date	9 11/02/2020	Scour Analysis	Determination
Hydraulics Comments					

To Be Completed by Bridge Inspection

Scour Critical Safety Status	1-Bridge is NOT scour Critical based on analysis findings	Date of Counter Measure Placed or Field Verified
Bridge Inspectoin Comments	Based on t not scour c	he depth the footings are placed into rock, we would suggest the bridge is ritical,
Scour Delineators installed		

LOAD RATING - BRADIN Load Rating Date: 24-OCT-06

National Bridge Inventory	<u>(NBI):</u>		
(65) INVENTORY RATING METHOD:	1	(31) DESIGN LOAD:	6
(66) INVENTORY RATING:	41	(70) BRIDGE POSTING:	5
(63) OPERATING RATING METHOD:	1	(41) STRUCTURE OPEN/POSTED/CLOSED:	А
(64) OPERATING RATING:	68	(66C) TONS POSTED:	
Posting Configurations:		(66D) DATE POSTED/CLOSED:	
Emergency Vehicles:			
EV2: LEGAL RF:	2.273	<u>5-Axles:</u>	
EV3: LEGAL RF:	1.549	AASHTO TYPE 3S2: LEGAL RF:	2.807
		SU5: LEGAL RF:	2.112
<u>2-Axles:</u>		TOLL ROAD LOADING NO. 1: ROUTINE PERMIT RF	:
H20-44: LEGAL RF:	2.712	<u>6+-Axles:</u>	
ALTERNATE MILITARY: LEGAL RF:	2.254	AASHTO TYPE 3-3: LEGAL RF:	2.992
<u>3-Axles:</u>		LANE TYPE: LEGAL RF:	
HS20: LEGAL RF:	1.914	SU6: LEGAL RF:	2.069
AASHTO TYPE 3: LEGAL RF:	2.57	SPECIAL TOLL ROAD TRUCK: ROUTINE PERMIT R	F:
<u>4-Axles:</u>		SU7: LEGAL RF:	2.055
SU4: LEGAL RF:	2.302	MICHIGAN TRAIN TRUCK NO. 5: ROUTINE PERMI	T RF:
TOLL ROAD LOADING NO. 2: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:		MICHIGAN TRAIN TRUCK NO. 8: ROUTINE PERMI	T RF:
Other Configurations:			
H20-44: DESIGN RF:	1.63	SUPERLOAD-11 AXLES: SPECIAL PERMIT RF:	1.281
NRL: LEGAL RF:	1.973	SUPERLOAD-13 AXLES: SPECIAL PERMIT RF:	1.457
		SUPERLOAD-14 AXLES: SPECIAL PERMIT RF:	1.092

- SUPERLOAD-19 AXLES (152.5T): SPECIAL PERMIT RF: 1.466
- 1.153 SUPERLOAD-19 AXLES (240.045T): SPECIAL PERMIT RF:

Inspector: Chris Everman Inspection Date: 08/03/2021 Asset Name: 165-017-04222 Facility Carried: -65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report

Date Reported: 08/09/2021

Priority: Yellow - 2

Work Code: Deck Patch

Deficiency Description:

There are several spalls, about 20 SF to 25 SF, on the wearing surface in all three spans. I65 NB over Caney Fork at RP 17+48 1.81 miles South of SR 160

Work Description:

Date Repairs Completed:

Maintenance Comments:

Stage: Open



PHOTO 1

Description

South Joint facing West 2' X 2' and 3' X 1' Spall Stage: Open



PHOTO 2 Description Patches over Span A

Inspector: Chris Everman Inspection Date: 08/03/2021 Asset Name: 165 Facility Carried: 165

I65-017-04222 DNBL I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report

Stage: Open



PHOTO 3 Description 4 Spalls (two 2' X 2' and two 1' X 1') over Span B

Page 27 of 28



Page 28 of 28

I65-017-04222 DSBL I-65 SB over CANEY FORK



Inspection Date: 08/03/2021 Inspected By: Chris Everman Inspection Type(s): Routine

	PAGE NUMBER
LOCATION MAP	3
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
NATIONAL BRIDGE INVENTORY	6
ELEMENTS	10
PICTURES	11
MISCELLANEOUS ASSET DATA	24
LOAD RATING - BRADIN	27
MAINTENANCE - BRIDGE	28
SCOUR CHANNEL PROFILE	29



Latitude: 38.51436 Longitude: -85.77245





Latitude: 38.51436 Longitude: -85.77245

Page 4 of 29

Asset Name: I65-017-04222 Facility Carried: I-65 SB

Bridge Inspection Report

History:

The bridge was built in 1958 under Contract B-4445.

The bridge rail was replaced in 1977 under Contract R-10734.

The bridge received a deck overlay in 1981 under Contract B-12933.

The bridge had the joints replaced, the approach slabs were widened, and new railings were installed in 1989 under Contract R-17485, Des # 8763775.

The joints were replaced in 2000 under Contract R-24847, Des # 9614745.

New bearings were installed under some of the beams in 2020 under IDIQ Contract B-41681, Des # 2001547. The structure is to have a superstructure replacement under Contract R-41529, Des # 1600733, due to let on 7/12/2023.

Condition:

The wearing surface has numerous patches and about 20 SF of spalls including a 3' X 3' spall in the passing lane over span B. There is cracking on the underside of the deck including an area of map cracking between girders #3 and 4 in span B at pier #3. There is cracking and spalling at the ends of the concrete girders. New bearings were installed under some of the girders at the interior piers. There are some cracks and spalls on the piers and abutments.

Asset Name: 165-017-04222 Facility Carried: 1-65 SB

Bridge Inspection Report

IDENTIFICATION

(1) STATE CODE:	185 - Indiana	(12) BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK:	1
(8) STRUCTURE:	034890	(13A) INVENTORY ROUTE:	000000001
 (5 A-B-C-D-E) INV. ROUTE: (2) HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRICT: (3) COUNTY CODE: (4) PLACE CODE: (6) FEATURES INTERSECTED: (7) FACILITY CARRIED: (9) LOCATION: (11) MILEPOINT: 	1 - 1 - 1 - 00065 - 0 05 - Seymour 010 - CLARK 00000 - N/A CANEY FORK I-65 SB 01.81 S SR 160 0017.440	 (13A) INVENTORY ROUTE: (13B) SUBROUTE NUMBER: (16) LATITUDE: (17) LONGITUDE: (98) BORDER A) STATE NAME: B) PERCENT (99) BORDER BRIDGE STRUCT. NO: 	01 38.51436 -85.77245 %
CTRUCTURE TYPE AND M			
STRUCTURE TYPE AND M	IATERIAL		1.002
(43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN:		(45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT:	1 003
A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR:	1 - Concrete	(46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS: (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE:	0000
B) I IFE OF DESIGN/CONSTR.	beam or Girder	(107) blek sikeerekerine.	Place
(44) STRUCTURE TYPE, APPROACH SPANS:		(108) WEARING SURFACE/PROT SYS:	
A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN:	0 - Other	A) WEARING SURFACE:	3 - Latex Concrete or similar additive
B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR:	00 - Other	B) DECK MEMBRANE:	0 - None
		C) DECK PROTECTION:	0 - None
AGE OF SERVICE			
(27) YEAR BUILT:	1958	(28) LANES:	
(106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED:	1989	A) ON BRIDGE:	02
		B) UNDER BRIDGE:	00

(42) TYPE OF SERVICE:
A) ON BRIDGE:

B) UNDER BRIDGE:	

(20) Li li (20)		
A) ON BRIDGE:	02	
B) UNDER BRIDGE:	00	
(29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC:	020765	
(30) YEAR OF AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC:	2004	
(109) AVERAGE DAILY TRUCK	32	%
(19) BYPASS DETOUR LENGTH:	001	MI

1 - Highway

5 - Water way

Asset Name: I65-017-04222 Facility Carried: I-65 SB

Bridge Inspection Report

GEOMETRIC DATA

(48) LENGTH OF MAX SPAN:	0045.0	FT	(35) STRUCTURE FLARED:	0 - No	flare
(49) STRUCTURE LENGTH:	00136.0	FT	(10) INV RTE, MIN VERT	99.99	FT
(50) CURB/SIDEWALK WIDTHS:			(47) TOT HODIZ CLEADANCE	020 6	БТ
A) LEFT	00.0	FT	(47) TOT HORIZ CLEARANCE:	039.0	
B) RIGHT:	00.0	FT	(53) VERT CLEAR OVER BR RDW 1:	99.99	F I
(51) BRDG RDWY WIDTH CURB-	039.6	FT	UNDERCLEARANCE:		
TO-CURB:	00710		A) REFERENCE FEATURE:	Ν	
(52) DECK WIDTH OUT-TO-OUT-	043.0	FT	B) MIN VERT UNDERCLEAR:	0	FT
(32) APPROACH ROADWAY	030.0	FT	(55) LATERAL UNDERCLEARANCE		
(32) ALL KOACH KOAD WAT	0.39.0	1.1	A) REFERENCE FEATURE:	N	
(33) BRIDGE MEDIAN:	0 - No m	edian	B) MIN LATERAL UNDERCLEAR:	0.000	FT
(34) SKEW:	00 I	DEG	(56) MIN LATERAL UNDERCLEAR ON LEFT:	00.0	FT
INSPECTIONS					
(90) INSPECTION DATE:	08/0	03/2021	(91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION	24 M	ONTHS
(92) CRITICAL FEATURE			FREQUENCY:		
A) FRACTURE CRITICAL	Ν		INSPECTION DATE:		
REQUIRED/FREQUENCY:			A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE:		
B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION	Ν		B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE:		
REQUIRED/FREQUENCY:	T NT		C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE:		
REQUIRED/FREQUENCY:	N IN		,		
CONDITION					
(58) DECK:	5 - Fair C	Condition	(60) SUBSTRUCTURE:	6 - Sat	isfactor y
	(minor se	ection loss)		deterio	oration)
(58.01) WEARING SURFACE:	5 - Fair C	Condition	(61) CHANNEL (CHANNEL	7 Rot	k protection
(59) SUPERSTRUCTURE:	5 - Fair C (minor se	Condition ection loss)	PROTECTION:	needs	minor repairs
	· ·	,			
	`	,	(62) CULVERTS:	N - No	t Applicable

CONDITION COMMENTS

5 - Fair Condition (minor section loss)

(58) DECK: Comments:

Longitudinal cracking in the deck underside. Map cracking between girders #3 and 4 in span b at pier 3. The joint seals are pulling out.

(58.01) WEARING SURFACE: 5 - Fair Condition

Comments:

There is about 20 SF of spalls including a deep 3' X 3' spall in the passing lane over span B. There are numerous patches throughout the wearing surface with most of the patches over span A and B.

Asset Name: I65-017-04222 DSBL Facility Carried: I-65 SB

Bridge Inspection Report

(59) SUPERSTRUCTURE:

5 - Fair Condition (minor section loss)

Comments:

The north end of girder 1 is spalling at pier 2 in the bearing area, and it also has a 4 ft spall and a 4 ft crack on the west side. Girders 5 & 6 in span C have small spalls on the east side. Hairline cracking in the beam ends throughout. Several diaphragms over the piers have cracks with delamination.

The powder residue mentioned in the previous report indicating grinding in the bearing area is no longer there. New bearings were installed on some of the girders at piers #2 and 3.

(60) SUBSTRUCTURE: 6 - Satisfactory Condition (minor deterioration)

Comments:

Moderate delamination and spalling with exposed rebar in the pier caps and pier walls. Minor cracks in both bent caps with efflorescence. A few spalls with exposed rebar in both pier stems.

(61) CHANNEL/CHANNEL	7 - Bank protection needs minor repairs
PROTECTION	
Comments:	
(62) CULVERTS:	N - Not Applicable

Comments:

LOAD RATING AND POSTING

(31) DESIGN LOAD:	6 - HS 20+Mod	(66) INVENTORY RATING:	41
(70) BRIDGE POSTING	5 - Equal to or above legal loads	(65) INVENTORY RATING METHOD	: 1 - Load Factor (LF)
		(66B) INVENTORY RATING (H):	32
(41) STRUCTURE	A - Open	(66C) TONS POSTED :	
OPEN/POSTED/CLOSED:		(66D) DATE POSTED/CLOSED:	
(64) OPERATING RATING:	68	(002) 21112 1 001122, 0202221	
(63) OPERATING RATING METHOD:	1 - Load Factor (LF)		

APPRAISAL

SUFFICIENCY RATING:	83.7		(36) TRAFFIC SAFETY FEATURE:	
STATUS:	0		36A) BRIDGE RAILINGS:	1
(67) STRUCTURAL EVALUATION	:5		36B) TRANSITIONS:	1
(68) DECK GEOMETRY:	6		36C) APPROACH GUARDRAIL:	1
(69) UNDERCLEARANCES, VERTICAL & HORIZONTAL:	Ν		36D) APPROACH GUARDRAIL ENDS:	1
(71) WATERWAY ADEQUACY: 9 - Bridge A Comments:		bove Flood Water Elevations		
(72) APPROACH ROADWAY ALIGNMENT: Comments:		8 - Equal to present desirable criteria		

Comments:

Asset Name: 165-017-04222 Facility Carried: 1-65 SB

Bridge Inspection Report

(113) SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGES:

5 - Scour within limits of footing or piles

Minor scour @ P.#2 Left coded as an 8 = 'LOW Risk' for Vulnerability for Scour.

The 2000 Repair Plans did not call for any scour countermeasures.

The footings are all set in shale. The 2000 Repair Plans show the Flow line @ 480.90'. Minor localized scour has been noted around Pier #2.[WTD, 04/17/2001]

Spread footings, NO piles, set in shale

CLASSIFICATION

CLASSIFICATION				
(20) TOLL:	3 - On Free Road	(21) MAINT. RESPONSIBILITY:	01 - State Highway Agency	
(22) OWNER:	01 - State Highway Agency	(26) FUNCTIONAL CLASS OF INVENTORY RTE:	01 - Rural - Principal Arterial - Interstate	
(37) HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE	: 5 - Not eligible			
(101) PARALLEL STRUCTURE:	L - Left structure (South	(100) STRAHNET HIGHWAY:	Is on an Interstate STRAHNET route	
(103) TEMPORARY STRUCTURE:	or West)	(102) DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC:	1-way traffic	
(105) FEDERAL LANDS	0-Not Applicable	(104) HIGHWAY SYSTEM OF INVENTORY ROUTE:	1 - Structure/Route is on NHS	
(112) NBIS BRIDGE LENGTH:	Yes	(110) DESIGNATED NATIONAL NETWORK:	Inventory route on National Truck Network	
NAVIGATION DATA				
(38) NAVIGATION CONTROL:	0 - No navigation	(39) NAVIGATION VERTICAL CLEAR: 000.0 FT		
	(bridge permit not required)	(116) MINIMUM NAVIGATION VERT. FT CLEARANCE, VERT. LIFT BRIDGE:		
(111) PIER OR ABUTMENT PROTECTION:		(40) NAV HORIZONTAL CLEARA	ANCE: 0000.0 FT	
PROPOSED IMPROVEMEN	NTS			
(75A) TYPE OF WORK:		(95) ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT	COST: \$ 000000	
(75B) WORK DONE BY:		(06) TOTAL DROJECT COST.	\$ 000000	
(76) LENGTH OF IMPROVEMENT	: 00000.0 FT	(90) IOTAL PROJECT COST: (97) VP OF IMPROVEMENT COS	ን 000000 T EST·	
(94) BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT	\$ 000000	(114) FUTURE AVG DAILY TRAF	FFIC: 039641	
0001.		(115) YR OF FUTURE ADT:	2033	

	Environment	Total Quantity	Units	Condition State 1	Condition State 2	Condition State 3	Condition State 4
12 - Reinforced Concrete Deck	2 - Low	5793	sq. ft.	5748	45	0	0
	135.50' X 42	.75' = 579	2.63 SF	-			
510 - Wearing Surfaces		5353	sq. ft.	5072	272	9	0
	135.50' X 39	.50' = 535	2.25 SF	-			
110 - Reinforced Concrete Open Girder/Beam	2 - Low	819	ft.	789	27	3	0
	(45.73' + 44.	96' + 45.7	3') X 6	girders = 8	18.52'		-
210 - Reinforced Concrete Pier Wall	2 - Low	84	ft.	72	12	0	0
	41.75' X 2 pi	ers = 83.5	0'				
215 - Reinforced Concrete Abutment	2 - Low	85	ft.	80	5	0	0
	42.25' X 2 at	outments =	= 84.50				
234 - Reinforced Concrete Pier Cap	2 - Low	85	ft.	63	22	0	0
	42.25' X 2 pi	ers = 84.5	0'				
301 - Pourable Joint Seal	2 - Low	171	ft.	1	146	12	12
	42.75' X 4 joints = 171.00'						
310 - Elastomeric Bearing	2 - Low	14	each	14	0	0	0
	14 bearings						
331 - Reinforced Concrete Bridge Railing	2 - Low	271	ft.	271	0	0	0
	135.50' X 2 rails = 271.0'						





PHOTO 1 Condition

Description South Joint facing East



Description Patches on South end of the Deck

Asset Name: 165 Facility Carried: 1-6



Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 3 Condition

Description Joint over Pier #2 facing East



PHOTO 4 Condition, Maintenance - Bridge

Description 3' X 3' Spall in the Passing Lane over Span B

PHOTO 5 Condition Patches over Span B Description

Bridge Inspection Report

 PHOTO 6
 Condition

 Description
 Excess Concrete in the West Shoulder

Asset Name: 165-PSI Facility Carried: 1-65

165-017-04222 DSBL I-65 SB

Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 7 Condition

Description Joint over Pier #3 facing East



PHOTO 8 Condition Description Deck facing South

Asset Name: 165-PSI Facility Carried: 1-65



Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 9 Condition

Description North Joint facing East



PHOTO 10Elevation, ConditionDescriptionSide facing Northeast





PHOTO 11 Condition

Description Underside Span A and Abutment #1 facing South



PHOTO 12 Condition

Description

on Concrete Pad at South Side Pier #2 facing East



PHOTO 13ConditionDescriptionPier #2 facing Northeast



PHOTO 14 Condition

Description Temporary Bearings on South Side Pier #2

Asset Name: 165 Facility Carried: 165



Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 15 Condition

Description Pier #2 facing South



PHOTO 16 Condition Description Tempora

on Temporary Bearings on Pier #2 facing South



PHOTO 17 Condition

Description Underside Span B facing North



PHOTO 18ConditionDescriptionPier #3 facing North

Asset Name: 16 Facility Carried: P



Bridge Inspection Report

PHOTO 20 Condition Description Temporary

Temporary Bearing on Pier #3

I65-017-04222 DSBL I-65 SB

Bridge Inspection Report



Description

Underside Span C facing North



PHOTO 22 Condition
Description Abutment #4 facing North

Page 21 of 29



PHOTO 23 Condition Description Channel facing



PHOTO 24 Elevation, Condition Description Channel facing West

Page 22 of 29



PHOTO 25ConditionDescriptionSouth end beam 6 at pier 2 span b.

	Miscellaneous Asset Data Asset Management	034890	
Load Rating 2:			
Has the dead load carrying members	or the structural condition of the primary load changed since the last inspection?	No - Load Rating Update Not Required	
Extended Freque	ncy:	Submittal Date:	
Inspector:			
INDOT Reviewer:			
This bridge has beer	accepted into the Extended Frequency Program.	Approval Date:	
Joints: * Ind	dicate location, type, and rating of lowest rated joint.		
Mid-Section	O - XJS	4 - Poor Condition, leaking, noising damage, areas of adhesion loss	
Comments:			
Heavy patching ar	ound the joints. All 4 joints are leaking.		
Terminal Joints:	*Rating of lowest rated terminal joint.	 N	

*Rating of lowest rated terminal joint.

Concrete Slopewall: *Rating of lowest rated slopewall. Ν

Comments:

Terminal Joints:

Comments:

Bearings: * Indicate type, and rating of lowest rated bearing.

2 - Elastmeric

8

Comments:

Bearings were installed on girders #1 through 6 on the south side of pier #2, on girders #1 and 3 on the north side of pier #2, girders #1 through 5 on the south side of pier #3, and girder #4 on the north side of pier #3. These were installed in 2020 under an IDIQ Contract, Des # 2001547.

Approach Slabs: * Indicate if present & condition rating.

2 - Approach Slab but paved over

4 - Poor condition, less than 2" of settlement, large crack < .4' spacing, spalls, voids

Comments:

Both approach slabs have a bituminous overlay.

Paint:* Indicate if paint present , year painted & condition rating.N - No PaintNComments:

Endangered Species:	* If yes, add one photo to the dropdown field	d
Bats: seen or heard und	er structure? *	N - No evidence of bats
Birds/swallows/nests see	en? Empty nests present? *	N - No Birds and/or Nests Visi

BRIDGE Culvert Geometry:

Barrel Length: Height: Width:

NBI Data come from Nat	ional Inve	entory				
NBI 113: Scour Critical Br	idges Iraulics	5	NBI 113a \$	Scour Critical Brid	lges Comments	Minor scour @ P#2 Left coded as an 8 = 'LOW Risk' for Vulnerability for Scour.
						The 2000 Repair Plans did not call for any scour countermeasures.
						The footings are all set in shale. The 2000 Repair Plans show the Flow line @ 480.90'. Minor localized scour has been noted around Pier #2.[WTD, 04/17/2001]
						Spread footings, NO piles, set in shale
Scour Analysis Status	1-Scour Analysis on file	Scour Analy	/sis Date	11/02/2020	Scour Analysis	Determination
Hydraulics Comments						
To Be Completed by Bridge Inspection						

Scour Critical Safety Status	1-Bridge is NOT scour Critical based on analysis findings	Date of Counter Measure Placed or Field Verified
Bridge Inspectoin Comments	Based on the not scour c	he depth the footings are placed into rock, we would suggest the bridge is ritical,

Scour Delineators installed

LOAD RATING - BRADIN Load Rating Date: 24-OCT-06

<u>National Bridge Inventory</u>	<u>(NBI):</u>		
(65) INVENTORY RATING METHOD:	1	(31) DESIGN LOAD:	6
(66) INVENTORY RATING:	41	(70) BRIDGE POSTING:	5
(63) OPERATING RATING METHOD:	1	(41) STRUCTURE OPEN/POSTED/CLOSED:	A
(64) OPERATING RATING:	68	(66C) TONS POSTED:	
Posting Configurations:		(66D) DATE POSTED/CLOSED:	
Emergency Vehicles:			
EV2: LEGAL RF:	2.273	<u>5-Axles:</u>	
EV3: LEGAL RF:	1.549	AASHTO TYPE 3S2: LEGAL RF:	2.807
		SU5: LEGAL RF:	2.112
2-Axles:		TOLL ROAD LOADING NO. 1: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:	
H20-44: LEGAL RF:	2.712	<u>6+-Axles:</u>	
ALTERNATE MILITARY: LEGAL RF:	2.254	AASHTO TYPE 3-3: LEGAL RF:	2.992
<u>3-Axles:</u>		LANE TYPE: LEGAL RF:	
HS20: LEGAL RF:	1.914	SU6: LEGAL RF:	2.069
AASHTO TYPE 3: LEGAL RF:	2.57	SPECIAL TOLL ROAD TRUCK: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:	
<u>4-Axles:</u>		SU7: LEGAL RF:	2.055
SU4: LEGAL RF:	2.302	MICHIGAN TRAIN TRUCK NO. 5: ROUTINE PERMIT I	RF:
TOLL ROAD LOADING NO. 2: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:		MICHIGAN TRAIN TRUCK NO. 8: ROUTINE PERMIT	RF:
Other Configurations:			
H20-44: DESIGN RF:	1.63	SUPERLOAD-11 AXLES: SPECIAL PERMIT RF:	1.281
NRL: LEGAL RF:	1.973	SUPERLOAD-13 AXLES: SPECIAL PERMIT RF:	1.457
		SUPERLOAD-14 AXLES: SPECIAL PERMIT RF:	1.092

- SUPERLOAD-19 AXLES (152.5T): SPECIAL PERMIT RF: 1.466
- 1.153 SUPERLOAD-19 AXLES (240.045T): SPECIAL PERMIT RF:

Inspector: Chris Everman Inspection Date: 08/03/2021 Asset Name: I65-017-04222 Facility Carried: I-65 SB

Bridge Inspection Report

Date Reported: 08/09/2021

Priority: Yellow - 2

Work Code: Deck Patch

Deficiency Description:

There are several spalls, about 20 SF wearing surface over spans A and B. I65 SB over Caney Fork at RP 17+48 1.81 miles South of SR 160

Work Description:

Date Repairs Completed:

Maintenance Comments:

Stage: Open



PHOTO 1 Description

Patches on South end of the Deck

Stage: Open



PHOTO 2 Description 3' X 3' Spall in the Passing Lane over Span B



I65-021-09940 NB I-65 NB over BROWNSTOWN ROAD



Inspection Date: 04/14/2021 Inspected By: Stephen F. Hurst Inspection Type(s): Routine

PAGE NUMBERLOCATION MAP3EXECUTIVE SUMMARY5NATIONAL BRIDGE INVENTORY6ELEMENTS10PICTURES11MISCELLANEOUS ASSET DATA15LOAD RATING - BRADIN17


Latitude: 38.56999 Longitude: -85.7789

Page 3 of 17



Latitude: 38.56999 Longitude: -85.7789

General Inspection Notes: Overall the structure is in very good condition.

Bridge History:

2016 : New Bridge : DES # 1173617 - Contract # RS-37549

2020 : Bridge Widening : DES # 2001601 - Contract # R-41529 - Letting Date 7/12/2023

Miscellaneous:

This structure was built in 2016 under Contract RS-37549, Des #1173617, replacing structure I65-21-04225 DNBL, a 3 span reinforced concrete girder bridge built in 1958 and reconstructed in 2002.

Maintenance/Deficiencies: There are no open maintenance items.

(1) STATE CODE:	185 - Indiana	(12) BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK:	1
(8) STRUCTURE:	034911	(13A) INVENTORY ROUTE:	- 0000000001
(5 A-B-C-D-E) INV. ROUTE:	1 - 1 - 1 - 00065 - 0	(13B) SUBROUTE NUMBER:	01
(2) HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRICT:	05 - Seymour	(16) LATITUDE:	38.56999
(3) COUNTY CODE:(4) PLACE CODE:	010 - CLARK 00000 - N/A	(17) LONGITUDE: (98) BORDER	-85.7789
		A) STATE NAME:	
(6) FEATURES INTERSECTED:	ROAD	B) PERCENT	%
(7) FACILITY CARRIED:	I-65 NB	NO:	
(9) LOCATION:	02.03 N SR 160		
(11) MILEPOINT:	0021.280		
STRUCTURE TYPE AND M	ATERIAL		
(43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN:		(45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT:	1 001
A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN:	3 - Steel	(46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS:	0
B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR:	02 - Stringer/Multi- beam or Girder	(107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE:	1 - Concrete Cast-in- Place
(44) STRUCTURE TYPE, APPROACH SPANS:		(108) WEARING SURFACE/PROT SYS:	
A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN:	0 - Other	A) WEARING SURFACE:	1 - Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck)
B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR:	00 - Other	B) DECK MEMBRANE:	0 - None
		C) DECK PROTECTION:	1 - Epoxy Coated Reinforcing
AGE OF SERVICE			
(27) YEAR BUILT:	2016	(28) LANES:	
(106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED:	0000	A) ON BRIDGE:	02
		B) UNDER BRIDGE:	02
(42) TYPE OF SERVICE:		(29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC:	018681
A) ON BRIDGE:	1 - Highway	(30) YEAR OF AVERAGE DAILY	2014
B) UNDER BRIDGE:	1 - Highway, with or	TRAFFIC:	

TRAFFIC:

(109) AVERAGE DAILY TRUCK

(19) BYPASS DETOUR LENGTH: 001

39

%

MI

w/out pedestrian

Asset Name: I65-021-09940 NB Facility Carried: I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report

GEOMETRIC DATA

(48) LENGTH OF MAX SPAN:	0075.0	FT	(35) STRUCTURE FLARED:	0 - No	flare
(49) STRUCTURE LENGTH:	00076.8	FT	(10) INV RTE, MIN VERT	99.99	FT
(50) CURB/SIDEWALK WIDTHS:			(47) TOT HODIZ CLEADANCE.	020.2	ET
A) LEFT	00.0	FT	(47) IOI HORIZ CLEARANCE:	039.3	
B) RIGHT:	00.0	FT	(53) VERT CLEAR OVER BR RDW Y:	99.99	FI
(51) BRDG RDWY WIDTH CURB-	039 3	FT	(54) MIN VERTICAL UNDERCLEARANCE		
TO-CURB:	037.3	11	A) REFERENCE FEATURE:	Н	
(52) DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT:	042.3	FT	B) MIN VERT UNDERCLEAR:	14.89	FT
(32) DECK WIDTH , OUT-TO-OUT .	042.5		(55) LATERAL UNDERCLEARANCE		
(32) APPROACH ROADWAY	040.0	FI	RIGHT:	ц	
(33) BRIDGE MEDIAN:	0 - No me	edian	A) REFERENCE FEATORE. B) MIN I ATERAL UNDERCI FAR:	016.3	FT
	21		(56) MIN LATERAL UNDERCLEAR	00.0	FT
(34) SKEW:	31 D	DEG	ON LEFT:	00.0	1 1
INSPECTIONS					
(90) INSPECTION DATE:	04/1	4/2021	(91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION	24 M	ONTHS
(92) CRITICAL FEATURE			FREQUENCY:		
A) FRACTURE CRITICAL	Ν		(93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE:		
REQUIRED/FREQUENCY:			A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE:		
B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION	Ν		B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE:		
REQUIRED/FREQUENCY:			C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE:		
C) OTHER SPECIAL INSPECTION	N N		c) offick of Leffice hadron bitte.		
REQUIRED/FREQUENCI.					
CONDITION					
(58) DECK:	8 - Very (Good Condition	(60) SUBSTRUCTURE:	8 - Vei	ry Good
	(no probl	ems noted)		Condi	tion (no
(58.01) WEARING SURFACE:	8 - Very (Good Condition		proble	ems noted)
(59) SUPERSTRUCTURE:		a 1.a	(61) CHANNEL/CHANNEL	N - No	t Applicable
	8 - Very (Good Condition	DDOTECTION		
	8 - Very ((no probl	Good Condition lems noted)	PROTECTION:		
	8 - Very ((no probl	Good Condition lems noted)	PROTECTION: (62) CULVERTS:	N - No	ot Applicable

CONDITION COMMENTS

8 - Very Good Condition (no problems noted)

(58) DECK: Comments:

There is some minor transverse cracking on the underside of the deck.

Barrier wall: The east barrier wall has 15' of horizontal cracking due to improper slip forming techniques. There are minor scrapes due to collision damage along the west barrier rail.

(58.01) WEARING SURFACE: 8 - Very Good Condition

Comments:

The wearing surface is monolithic with the deck.

Asset Name: I65-021-09940 NB Facility Carried: I-65 NB

(59) SUPERSTRUCTURE:

Comments:

8 - Very Good Condition (no problems noted)

This structure was built in 2016 under Contract RS-37549, Des #1173617. The superstructure is in good condition.

(60) SUBSTRUCTURE:

8 - Very Good Condition (no problems noted)

Comments:

This structure was built in 2016 under Contract RS-37549, Des #1173617. The abutments are in good condition.

(61) CHANNEL/CHANNEL	N - Not Applicable
PROTECTION	
Comments:	
(62) CULVERTS:	N - Not Applicable

Comments:

LOAD RATING AND POSTING

(31) DESIGN LOAD:	A - HL 93	(66) INVENTORY RATING:	67
(70) BRIDGE POSTING	5 - Equal to or above legal loads	(65) INVENTORY RATING METHOD	3 - Load and Resistance Factor (LRFR)
(41) STRUCTURE OPEN/POSTED/CLOSED:	A - Open	(66B) INVENTORY RATING (H):	57
(64) OPERATING RATING:	70	(66C) TONS POSTED :	
(63) OPERATING RATING METHOD:	3 - Load and Resistance Factor (LRFR)	(66D) DATE POSTED/CLOSED:	

APPRAISAL

SUFFICIENCY RATING:	96.3		(36) TRAFFIC SAFETY FEATURE:	
STATUS:	0		36A) BRIDGE RAILINGS:	1
(67) STRUCTURAL EVALUATION	:8		36B) TRANSITIONS:	1
(68) DECK GEOMETRY:	6		36C) APPROACH GUARDRAIL:	1
(69) UNDERCLEARANCES, VERTICAL & HORIZONTAL:	6		36D) APPROACH GUARDRAIL ENDS:	1
(71) WATERWAY ADEQUACY: Comments:		N - Not Appli	cable	
(72) APPROACH ROADWAY ALIGNMENT: Comments:		8 - Equal to p	resent desirable criteria	
(113) SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGES Comments:	:	N - Not over	waterway	

Asset Name: I65-021-09940 NB Facility Carried: I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report

CLASSIFICATION				
(20) TOLL:	3 - On Free Road	(21) MAINT. RESPONSIBILITY:	01 - State Highway Agency	
(22) OWNER:	01 - State Highway Agency	(26) FUNCTIONAL CLASS OF INVENTORY RTE:	01 - Rural - Principal Arterial - Interstate	
(37) HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE	: 5 - Not eligible			
(101) PARALLEL STRUCTURE:	R - Right structure	(100) STRAHNET HIGHWAY:	Is on an Interstate STRAHNET route	
(103) TEMPORARY STRUCTURE:	(North or East)	(102) DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC:	1-way traffic	
(105) FEDERAL LANDS	0-Not Applicable	(104) HIGHWAY SYSTEM OF INVENTORY ROUTE:	1 - Structure/Route is on NHS	
(112) NBIS BRIDGE LENGTH:	Yes	(110) DESIGNATED NATIONAL NETWORK:	Inventory route on National Truck Network	
NAVIGATION DATA				
(38) NAVIGATION CONTROL:	N - Not applicable, no	(39) NAVIGATION VERTICAL CLEAR: 00.0 FT		
(111) PIER OR ABUTMENT	water way	(116) MINIMUM NAVIGATION VERT. FT CLEARANCE, VERT. LIFT BRIDGE:		
rkolletion.		(40) NAV HORIZONTAL CLEARA	ANCE: 000.0 FT	
PROPOSED IMPROVEMEN	VTS			
(75A) TYPE OF WORK:		(95) ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT	COST: \$ 000000	
(75B) WORK DONE BY:		(96) TOTAL PROJECT COST:	\$ 000000	
(76) LENGTH OF IMPROVEMENT: 00000.0 FT		(97) YR OF IMPROVEMENT COST EST:		
(94) BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT	\$ 000000	(114) FUTURE AVG DAILY TRAF	FIC: 023878	
		(115) YR OF FUTURE ADT:	2034	

	Environment	Total Quantity	Units	Condition State 1	Condition State 2	Condition State 3	Condition State 4
12 - Reinforced Concrete Deck	2 - Low	3249	sq. ft.	3219	30	0	0
	76.75' X 42.3	33' = 3248	.83 SF				
107 - Steel Open Girder/Beam	2 - Low	432	ft.	432	0	0	0
	Beams (75' -1.5' X2)	X 6 bean	ns = 432	2'		-	
515 - Steel Protective Coating		1576	sq. ft.	1576	0	0	0
	(30.68" X 2 + 15.04" X 3 - 0.71" X 2)/12 = 8.755' 8.755' x 15' X 2 X 6 beams = 1575.9 SF The six beams are painted out to 15' away from the bearings.						
215 - Reinforced Concrete Abutment	2 - Low	99	ft.	99	0	0	0
	49.40' X 2 =	99.00'					
321 - Reinforced Concrete Approach Slab	2 - Low	2543	sq. ft.	2543	0	0	0
	(44.14' + 20.50') X 42.33' = 2736.21 SF						
331 - Reinforced Concrete Bridge Railing	2 - Low	154	ft.	139	15	0	0
	76.75' X 2 =	153.50'					



PHOTO 1Elevation, ConditionDescriptionEast side.



PHOTO 2 Condition Description West side.



PHOTO 4 Condition



South joint and approach slab.



PHOTO 6 Condition Description East barrier wall.



PHOTO 7 Condition

Description Underside and north abutment.



PHOTO 8 Condition

Description Underside and south abutment.

Miscellaneous Asset Data

034911

Load Rating 2:	
Has the dead load or the structural condition of the primary I carrying members changed since the last inspection?	oad No
Extended Frequency:	Submittal Date:
Inspector:	
INDOT Reviewer:	
This bridge has been accepted into the Extended Frequency Program	m. Approval Date:
Joints: * Indicate location, type, and rating of lowest r	ated joint.
No Joints Present	
Comments:	
Terminal loints: *Rating of lowest rated terminal joint	N
Comments:	
Comments.	
<u>Concrete Slopewall:</u> *Rating of lowest rated slopeward	all. N
Comments:	
Bearings: * Indicate type, and rating of lowest rated bearing	ng.
N - No Bearing(s)	
Comments:	

Approach Slabs:	* Indicate if present & condition rating.
1 - Approach Slabs	8 - Very good condition, no significant cracks
Comments:	

<u>Paint:</u> * Indicate if paint present , year painted & condition rating.

5 - Weathering Steel

8 - Very Good Condition – very minor surface dulling

Comments:

The six beams are painted out to 15' away from the bearings.

Endangered Species:	* If yes, add one photo to the dropdown field	d
Bats: seen or heard und	er structure? *	N - No evidence of bats
Birds/swallows/nests see	en? Empty nests present? *	N - No Birds and/or Nests Visi

BRIDGE Culvert Geometry: Barrel Length:

Height: Width: 2016

LOAD RATING - BRADIN

National Bridge Inventory (NBI):

(66B) INVENTORY RATING (H):	57	(31) DESIGN LOAD:	А
(65) INVENTORY RATING METHOD:	3	(70) BRIDGE POSTING:	5
(66) INVENTORY RATING:	67	(41) STRUCTURE OPEN/POSTED/CLOSED:	А
(63) OPERATING RATING METHOD:	3	(66C) TONS POSTED:	
(64) OPERATING RATING:	70	(66D) DATE POSTED/CLOSED:	

Posting Configurations:

Emergency Vehicles:

NRL: LEGAL RF:

5-Axles:	
----------	--

EV2: LEGAL RF:	2.816	AASHTO TYPE 3S2: LEGAL RF:	2.389
EV3: LEGAL RF:	1.845	SU5: LEGAL RF:	2.142
		TOLL ROAD LOADING NO. 1: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:	
<u>2-Axles:</u>		<u>6+-Axles:</u>	
H20-44: LEGAL RF:	3.002	AASHTO TYPE 3-3: LEGAL RF:	2.48
ALTERNATE MILITARY: LEGAL RF:	2.445	LANE TYPE: LEGAL RF:	99
<u>3-Axles:</u>		SU6: LEGAL RF:	1.919
HS20: LEGAL RF:	1.947	SPECIAL TOLL ROAD TRUCK: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:	
AASHTO TYPE 3: LEGAL RF:	2.659	SU7: LEGAL RF:	1.754
<u>4-Axles:</u>		MICHIGAN TRAIN TRUCK NO. 5: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:	
SU4: LEGAL RF:	2.372	MICHIGAN TRAIN TRUCK NO. 8: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:	
TOLL ROAD LOADING NO. 2: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:			
Other Configurations:		SUPERLOAD-11 AXLES: SPECIAL PERMIT RF:	2.149
H20-44: DESIGN RF:	2.874	SUPERLOAD-13 AXLES: SPECIAL PERMIT RF:	2.283

1.686

SUPERLOAD-14 AXLES: SPECIAL PERMIT RF:1.651SUPERLOAD-19 AXLES (152.5T): SPECIAL PERMIT RF:2.003

SUPERLOAD-19 AXLES (240.045T): SPECIAL PERMIT RF: 1.631

I65-021-09939 SB I-65 SB over BROWNSTOWN ROAD



Inspection Date: 04/14/2021 Inspected By: Stephen F. Hurst Inspection Type(s): Routine

PAGE NUMBERLOCATION MAP3EXECUTIVE SUMMARY5NATIONAL BRIDGE INVENTORY6ELEMENTS10PICTURES11MISCELLANEOUS ASSET DATA15LOAD RATING - BRADIN17



Latitude: 38.57014 Longitude: -85.77898





Latitude: 38.57014 Longitude: -85.77898

General Inspection Notes: Overall the structure is in very good condition.

Bridge History: 2016 : New Bridge : DES # 1173615 - Contract # RS-37549 2024 : Bridge Widening : DES # 2001600 - Contract # R-41529 - Letting Date 7/12/2023

Miscellaneous:

This structure was built in 2016 under Contract RS-37549, Des #1173615, replacing structure I65-21-04225 DSBL, a 3 span reinforced concrete girder bridge built in 1958 and reconstructed in 2002.

Maintenance/Deficiencies: There are no open maintenance items.

39

%

MI

Bridge Inspection Report

B) UNDER BRIDGE:

 (1) STATE CODE: (8) STRUCTURE: (5 A-B-C-D-E) INV. ROUTE: (2) HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRICT: (3) COUNTY CODE: (4) PLACE CODE: 	185 - Indiana 034921 1 - 1 - 1 - 00065 - 0 05 - Seymour 010 - CLARK 00000 - N/A	 (12) BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK: (13A) INVENTORY ROUTE: (13B) SUBROUTE NUMBER: (16) LATITUDE: (17) LONGITUDE: (98) BORDER A) STATE NAME: 	1 0000000001 01 38.57014 -85.77898
(6) FEATURES INTERSECTED:(7) FACILITY CARRIED:(9) LOCATION:	BROWNSTOWN ROAD I-65 SB 02.03 N SR 160	B) PERCENT (99) BORDER BRIDGE STRUCT. NO:	%
(11) MILEPOINT: STRUCTURE TYPE AND M	0022.800 ATERIAL		
(43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN:		(45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT	V 001
A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN:B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR:(44) STRUCTURE TYPE,	3 - Steel 02 - Stringer/Multi- beam or Girder	 (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS: (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE: (108) WEARING SURFACE/PROT 	0 1 - Concrete Cast-in- Place
APPROACH SPANS:A) KIND OFMATERIAL/DESIGN:B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR:	0 - Other 00 - Other	SYS: A) WEARING SURFACE:	1 - Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck)
		B) DECK MEMBRANE: C) DECK PROTECTION:	0 - None 1 - Epoxy Coated Reinforcing
AGE OF SERVICE			
(27) YEAR BUILT:	2016	(28) LANES:	
(106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED:	0000	A) ON BRIDGE:	02
(42) TYPE OF SERVICE:	1 Highway	B) UNDER BRIDGE: (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC:	02 017948
A) ON BRIDGE:	i - Highway	(30) YEAR OF AVERAGE DAILY	2014

TRAFFIC:

TRAFFIC:

(109) AVERAGE DAILY TRUCK

(19) BYPASS DETOUR LENGTH: 001

1 - Highway, with or

w/out pedestrian

Asset Name: I65-021-09939 SB

Facility Carried:

I-65 SB

Bridge Inspection Report

GEOMETRIC DATA

(48) LENGTH OF MAX SPAN:	0075.0	FT	(35) STRUCTURE FLARED:	0 - No	flare
(49) STRUCTURE LENGTH:	00076.8	FT	(10) INV RTE, MIN VERT	99.99	FT
(50) CURB/SIDEWALK WIDTHS:			(47) TOT HODIZ CLEADANCE	020.2	FT
A) LEFT	00.0	FT	(47) IOI HORIZ CLEARANCE:	039.3	FI
B) RIGHT:	00.0	FT	(53) VERT CLEAR OVER BR RDW Y:	99.99	FI
(51) BRDG RDWY WIDTH CURB- TO-CURB:	039.3	FT	(54) MIN VERTICAL UNDERCLEARANCE: A) REFERENCE FEATURE:	Н	
(52) DECK WIDTH, OUT-TO-OUT:	042.3	FT	B) MIN VERT UNDERCLEAR:	15.64	FT
(32) APPROACH ROADWAY	040.0	FT	RIGHT:		
(33) BRIDGE MEDIAN:	0 - No me	edian	A) REFERENCE FEATURE:	Н	
			B) MIN LATERAL UNDERCLEAR:	016.3	FT
(34) SKEW:	31 D	DEG	(56) MIN LATERAL UNDERCLEAR ON LEFT:	00.0	FT
INSPECTIONS					
		4/2021			
(90) INSPECTION DATE: (92) CRITICAL FEATURE	04/1	4/2021	(91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY:	24 M	ONTHS
 (90) INSPECTION DATE: (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL 	04/1 N	4/2021	(91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE:	24 M	ONTHS
 (90) INSPECTION DATE: (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: D) UNDERVICE FEATURE 	04/1 N	4/2021	 (91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE: 	24 M	ONTHS
 (90) INSPECTION DATE: (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: 	04/1 N N	4/2021	 (91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE: B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE: 	24 M	ONTHS
 (90) INSPECTION DATE: (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSPECTIOI REQUIRED/ED/EDENCY: 	04/1 N N N N	4/2021	 (91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE: B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE: 	24 M	ONTHS
 (90) INSPECTION DATE: (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSPECTIOI REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: 	04/1 N N N	4/2021	 (91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE: B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE: 	24 M	ONTHS
 (90) INSPECTION DATE: (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSPECTIOI REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: CONDITION 	04/1 N N N N	4/2021	 (91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE: B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE: 	24 M	ONTHS
 (90) INSPECTION DATE: (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSPECTIOI REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: CONDITION (58) DECK: 	04/1 N N N N 8 - Very C (no proble	4/2021 Good Condition ems noted)	 (91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE: B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE: (60) SUBSTRUCTURE: 	24 M 9 - Exe Condi	ONTHS cellent tion
 (90) INSPECTION DATE: (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSPECTIOI REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: CONDITION (58) DECK: (58.01) WEARING SURFACE: 	04/1 N N N N 8 - Very C (no proble 8 - Very C	4/2021 Good Condition ems noted) Good Condition	 (91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE: B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE: (60) SUBSTRUCTURE: (61) CHANNEL/CHANNEL 	24 M 9 - Exe Condi N - No	ONTHS cellent tion of Applicable
 (90) INSPECTION DATE: (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSPECTIOI REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: CONDITION (58) DECK: (58.01) WEARING SURFACE: (59) SUPERSTRUCTURE: 	04/1 N N N N 8 - Very C (no proble 8 - Very C 9 - Excelle	4/2021 Good Condition ems noted) Good Condition ent Condition	 (91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE: B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE: (60) SUBSTRUCTURE: (61) CHANNEL/CHANNEL PROTECTION:	24 M 9 - Exe Condi N - No	ONTHS cellent tion of Applicable
 (90) INSPECTION DATE: (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: B) UNDERWATER INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSPECTION REQUIRED/FREQUENCY: CONDITION (58) DECK: (58.01) WEARING SURFACE: (59) SUPERSTRUCTURE: 	04/1 N N N N 8 - Very C (no proble 8 - Very C 9 - Excelle	4/2021 Good Condition ems noted) Good Condition ent Condition	 (91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY: (93) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION DATE: A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE: B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE: C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE: (60) SUBSTRUCTURE: (61) CHANNEL/CHANNEL PROTECTION: (62) CULVERTS: 	24 M 9 - Exe Condi N - No N - No	Conths cellent tion of Applicable of Applicable

CONDITION COMMENTS

(58) DECK:

8 - Very Good Condition (no problems noted)

Comments:

The deck is in good condition.

Barrier wall: The east barrier wall has 15' of horizontal cracking due to improper slip forming techniques.

(58.01) WEARING SURFACE: 8 - Very Good Condition

Comments:

The wearing surface is monolithic with the deck.

Asset Name: I65-021-09939 SB

Facility Carried:

I-65 SB

(59) SUPERSTRUCTURE:

9 - Excellent Condition

Comments:

This structure was built in 2016 under Contract RS-37549, Des #1173615. The superstructure is in good condition.

(60) SUBSTRUCTURE:

9 - Excellent Condition

Comments:

This structure was built in 2016 under Contract RS-37549, Des #1173615. The substructure is in good condition.

(61) CHANNEL/CHANNEL	N - Not Applicable
PROTECTION	
Comments:	
(62) CULVERTS:	N - Not Applicable

Comments:

LOAD RATING AND POSTING

(31) DESIGN LOAD:	A - HL 93	(66) INVENTORY RATING:	67
(70) BRIDGE POSTING	5 - Equal to or above legal loads	(65) INVENTORY RATING METHOD:	3 - Load and Resistance Factor (LRFR)
(41) STRUCTURE OPEN/POSTED/CLOSED:	A - Open	(66B) INVENTORY RATING (H):	57
(64) OPERATING RATING:	70	(66C) TONS POSTED :	
(63) OPERATING RATING METHOD:	3 - Load and Resistance Factor (LRFR)	(66D) DATE POSTED/CLOSED:	

APPRAISAL

SUFFICIENCY RATING:	96.3		(36) TRAFFIC SAFETY FEATURE:	
STATUS:	0		36A) BRIDGE RAILINGS:	1
(67) STRUCTURAL EVALUATION	:9		36B) TRANSITIONS:	1
(68) DECK GEOMETRY:	6		36C) APPROACH GUARDRAIL:	1
(69) UNDERCLEARANCES, VERTICAL & HORIZONTAL:	7		36D) APPROACH GUARDRAIL ENDS:	1
(71) WATERWAY ADEQUACY: Comments:		N - Not Appli	cable	
(72) APPROACH ROADWAY ALIC Comments:	INMENT:	8 - Equal to p	resent desirable criteria	
(113) SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGES Comments:	:	N - Not over	waterway	

Asset Name: I65-021-09939 SB Facility Carried: I-65 SB

Bridge Inspection Report

CLASSIFICATION						
(20) TOLL:	3 - On Free Road	(21) MAINT. RESPONSIBILITY:	01 - State Highway Agency			
(22) OWNER:	VNER: 01 - State Highway Agency		01 - Rural - Principal Arterial - Interstate			
(37) HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE	: 5 - Not eligible					
(101) PARALLEL STRUCTURE: L - Left structure (South		(100) STRAHNET HIGHWAY: Is on an Interstate STRAHNET route				
(103) TEMPORARY STRUCTURE:	or West)	(102) DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC:	1-way traffic			
(105) FEDERAL LANDS	0-Not Applicable	(104) HIGHWAY SYSTEM OF INVENTORY ROUTE:	1 - Structure/Route is on NHS			
(112) NBIS BRIDGE LENGTH:	Yes	(110) DESIGNATED NATIONAL NETWORK:	Inventory route on National Truck Network			
NAVIGATION DATA						
(38) NAVIGATION CONTROL:	N - Not applicable, no	(39) NAVIGATION VERTICAL CI	LEAR: 000.0 FT			
(111) PIER OR ABUTMENT PROTECTION	waterway	(116) MINIMUM NAVIGATION V CLEARANCE, VERT. LIFT BRIDO	ERT. FT GE:			
		(40) NAV HORIZONTAL CLEARA	ANCE: 0000.0 FT			
PROPOSED IMPROVEMEN	VTS					
(75A) TYPE OF WORK:		(95) ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT	COST: \$ 000000			
(75B) WORK DONE BY:			¢ 000000			
(76) LENGTH OF IMPROVEMENT	: 000000. FT	(96) TOTAL PROJECT COST:	\$ 000000			
	0	(97) YR OF IMPROVEMENT COS	TEST:			
(94) BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT	\$ 000000	(114) FUTURE AVG DAILY TRAF	FIC: 022942			
COST:		(115) YR OF FUTURE ADT:	2034			

	Environment	Total Quantity	Units	Condition State 1	Condition State 2	Condition State 3	Condition State 4
12 - Reinforced Concrete Deck	2 - Low	3249	sq. ft.	3249	0	0	0
	76.75' X 42.3	33' = 3248	.83 SF				
107 - Steel Open Girder/Beam	2 - Low	432	ft.	432	0	0	0
	Beams (75' -1.5' X2)) X 6 bean	าร = 432	2'			
515 - Steel Protective Coating		1576	sq. ft.	1576	0	0	0
	(30.68" X 2 - 8.755' x 15' X The six bear	⊦ 15.04" X X 2 X 6 be ns are pai	3 - 0.7 ams = nted ou	1" X 2)/12 1575.9 SF t to 15' aw	= 8.755' ay from the	e bearings	
215 - Reinforced Concrete Abutment	2 - Low	99	ft.	99	0	0	0
	49.40' X 2 =	99.00'					
321 - Reinforced Concrete Approach Slab	2 - Low	2543	sq. ft.	2535	8	0	0
	(44.14' + 20.	50') X 42.	33' = 27	'36.21 SF			
331 - Reinforced Concrete Bridge Railing	2 - Low	154	ft.	139	15	0	0
	76.75' X 2 =	153.50'					



PHOTO 1 Elevation, Condition

Description West side.



PHOTO 2Elevation, ConditionDescriptionEast side.



PHOTO 4 Condition

Description South joint and approach slab.



PHOTO 5 Condition

Description North joint and approach slab.



PHOTO 6 Condition Description East barrier wall.

H-259

Asset Name: I65-021-09939 SB Facility Carried: I-65 SB

Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 7 Condition

Description Underside and south abutment.



PHOTO 8 Condition

Description Underside facing north.

Miscellaneous Asset Data

034921

Asset	Management
-------	------------

Load Rating	2:			
Has the dead load or the structural condition of the primary load carrying members changed since the last inspection?		No		
Extended Frequency:		Subn	nittal Date:	
Inspector:				
INDOT Revie	wer:			
This bridge has	been acce	epted into the Extended Frequency Program.	Appro	oval Date:
Joints:	* Indicat	e location, type, and rating of lowest rated jo	oint.	
No Joints Pre	esent	N - ONLY to remove other value that is no longer present.		
Comments:				
Terminal Joi Comments:	<u>nts:</u>	*Rating of lowest rated terminal joint.	N	
Concrete Slo	opewall:	*Rating of lowest rated slopewall.	N	
Comments:				
<u>Bearings:</u> N - No Bearir Comments:	* <i>Indicate</i>	type, and rating of lowest rated bearing.		

Approach Slabs: * Indicate if present & condition rating.

Page 15 of 17

There are some minor cracks in the approach slabs.

Paint: * Indicate if paint present , year pa	inted & condition rating.		
5 - Weathering Steel	9 - Excellent Condition – new		2016
Comments:			
The six beams are painted out to 15' away	from the bearings.		
Endangered Species: * If yes, add one p	photo to the dropdown fiel	d	
Bats: seen or heard under structure? *		N - No evidence of bats	3
Birds/swallows/nests seen? Empty nests p	resent? *	N - No Birds and/or Ne	sts Vis

N - No Birds and/or Nests Visi

BRIDGE Culvert Geometry:

Barrel Length: Height: Width:

LOAD RATING - BRADIN Load Rating Date: 15-NOV-13

National Bridge Inventory (NBI):

(66B) INVENTORY RATING (H):	57	(31) DESIGN LOAD:	А
(65) INVENTORY RATING METHOD:	3	(70) BRIDGE POSTING:	5
(66) INVENTORY RATING:	67	(41) STRUCTURE OPEN/POSTED/CLOSED:	А
(63) OPERATING RATING METHOD:	3	(66C) TONS POSTED:	
(64) OPERATING RATING:	70	(66D) DATE POSTED/CLOSED:	

Posting Configurations:

Emergency Vehicles:

5-Axles:
) IMUS.

EV2: LEGAL RF:	2.816	AASHTO TYPE 3S2: LEGAL RF:	2.389
EV3: LEGAL RF:	1.845	SU5: LEGAL RF:	2.142
		TOLL ROAD LOADING NO. 1: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:	
<u>2-Axles:</u>		<u>6+-Axles:</u>	
H20-44: LEGAL RF:	3.002	AASHTO TYPE 3-3: LEGAL RF:	2.48
ALTERNATE MILITARY: LEGAL RF:	2.445	LANE TYPE: LEGAL RF:	99
<u>3-Axles:</u>		SU6: LEGAL RF:	1.919
HS20: LEGAL RF:	1.947	SPECIAL TOLL ROAD TRUCK: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:	
AASHTO TYPE 3: LEGAL RF:	2.659	SU7: LEGAL RF:	1.754
<u>4-Axles:</u>		MICHIGAN TRAIN TRUCK NO. 5: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:	
SU4: LEGAL RF:	2.372	MICHIGAN TRAIN TRUCK NO. 8: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:	
TOLL ROAD LOADING NO. 2: ROUTINE PERMIT RF:			
Other Configurations:		SUPERLOAD-11 AXLES: SPECIAL PERMIT RF:	2.149
H20-44: DESIGN RF:	2.874	SUPERLOAD-13 AXLES: SPECIAL PERMIT RF:	2.283

NRL: LEGAL RF: 1.686

SUPERLOAD-19 AXLES (152.51): SPECIAL PERMIT RF:	2.003

1.651

SUPERLOAD-14 AXLES: SPECIAL PERMIT RF:

SUPERLOAD-19 AXLES (240.045T): SPECIAL PERMIT RF: 1.631

I65-024-04229 BNBL I-65 NB over PIGEON ROOST CREEK



Inspection Date: 04/14/2021 Inspected By: Stephen F. Hurst Inspection Type(s): Routine

	PAGE NUMBER
LOCATION MAP	3
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
NATIONAL BRIDGE INVENTORY	6
ELEMENTS	10
PICTURES	11
SKETCHES	20
MISCELLANEOUS ASSET DATA	21
SCOUR ANALYSIS	23
LOAD RATING - BRADIN	24
MAINTENANCE - BRIDGE	25
SCOUR CHANNEL PROFILE	26
SCOUR PLAN OF ACTION*	27
BRIDGE POA MONITORING LOG	30
CRITICAL FINDINGS	31
CRITICAL FINDINGS PICTURE	32



Latitude: 38.61998 Longitude: -85.78283



Latitude: 38.61998 Longitude: -85.78283

Page 4 of 36
Bridge Inspection Report

General Inspection Notes: Overall the structure is in fair to good condition. Superstructure is in fair condition: Span A at Abutment 1 (south): Spall 3' long on west side Beam 6. Span C at Abutment 4 (north): Crack 2' long on east side Beam 2. Crack 2' long on east side of Beam 3. Spall 2' long on west side Beam 4.

Bridge History:

1959 : New Bridge : DES # Unknown - Contract # B-4597

1975 : Rehab A : Bridge Deck Overlay Prior : DES # Unknown - Contract # B-9858

1991 : Rehab B : Replace Superstructure : DES # 8715965 - Contract # B-18469

2023 : Bridge Deck Overlay 1 : DES # 2001604 - Contract # R-41529 - Letting Date 7/12/2023

Maintenance/Deficiencies:

A green deficiency was submitted for erosion control on the east shoulder at the north end.

Bridge Inspection Report

IDENTIFICATION

(1) STATE CODE:	185 - Indiana	(12) BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK	1			
(8) STRUCTURE:	034940	(13A) INVENTORY ROUTE:	000000001			
(5 A-B-C-D-E) INV. ROUTE:	1 - 1 - 1 - 00065 - 0	(13B) SUBROUTE NUMBER:	01			
(2) HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRICT:	05 - Seymour	(16) LATITUDE:	38.61998			
(3) COUNTY CODE:(4) PLACE CODE:(6) FEATURES INTERSECTED:	072 - SCOTT 00000 - N/A PIGEON ROOST	(17) LONGITUDE: (98) BORDER A) STATE NAME: B) PERCENT	-83.78283			
(7) FACILITY CARRIED:	CREEK I-65 NB	(99) BORDER BRIDGE STRUCT. NO:				
(9) LOCATION:	04.58 S SR 56					
(11) MILEPOINT:	0024.740					
STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL						
(43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN:		(45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT:	1 003			
 (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: (44) STRUCTURE TYPE, APPROACH SPANS: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: 	 6 - Prestressed concrete continuous 06 - Box Beam or Girders - Single or Spread 0 - Other 00 - Other 	 (45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT: (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS: (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE: (108) WEARING SURFACE/PROT SYS: A) WEARING SURFACE: B) DECK MEMBRANE: C) DECK PROTECTION: 	0003 0000 1 - Concrete Cast-in- Place 1 - Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) 0 - None 9 - Other			
 (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: (44) STRUCTURE TYPE, APPROACH SPANS: A) KIND OF MATERIAL/DESIGN: B) TYPE OF DESIGN/CONSTR: 	 6 - Prestressed concrete continuous 06 - Box Beam or Girders - Single or Spread 0 - Other 00 - Other 	 (45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT: (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS: (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE: (108) WEARING SURFACE/PROT SYS: A) WEARING SURFACE: B) DECK MEMBRANE: C) DECK PROTECTION: 	0003 0000 1 - Concrete Cast-in- Place 1 - Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) 0 - None 9 - Other			

(27) YEAR BUILT:	1959	(28) LANES:		
(106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED:	1991	A) ON BRIDGE:	02	
		B) UNDER BRIDGE:	00	
(42) TYPE OF SERVICE:		(29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC:	021299	
A) ON BRIDGE:	1 - Highway	(30) YEAR OF AVERAGE DAILY	2004	
B) UNDER BRIDGE:	5 - Water way	TRAFFIC:		
		(109) AVERAGE DAILY TRUCK	10 %	
		(19) BYPASS DETOUR LENGTH:	001 MI	

Bridge Inspection Report

GEOMETRIC DATA

(48) LENGTH OF MAX SPAN:	0032.0 FT		(35) STRUCTURE FLARED:	0 - No) - No flare	
(49) STRUCTURE LENGTH:	00099.0 FT		(10) INV RTE, MIN VERT	99.99	FT	
(50) CURB/SIDEWALK WIDTHS:			CLEARANCE.	000 6		
A) LEFT	00.0	FT	(47) TOT HORIZ CLEARANCE:	039.6	FT	
B) RIGHT:	00.0	FT	(53) VERT CLEAR OVER BR RDWY:	99.99	FT	
(51) BRDG RDWY WIDTH CURB-	039.6	FT	(54) MIN VERTICAL UNDERCLEARANCE:			
TO-CURB:			A) REFERENCE FEATURE:	Ν		
(52) DECK WIDTH, OUT-TO-OUT:	042.6	FT	B) MIN VERT UNDERCLEAR:	0	FT	
(32) APPROACH ROADWAY	040.0	FT	(55) LATERAL UNDERCLEARANCE RIGHT:			
(33) BRIDGE MEDIAN	0 - No m	edian	A) REFERENCE FEATURE:	Ν		
	0 110 111	outum	B) MIN LATERAL UNDERCLEAR:	000.0	FT	
(34) SKEW:	00 I	DEG	(56) MIN LATERAL UNDERCLEAR ON LEFT:	00.0	FT	
INSPECTIONS						
(90) INSPECTION DATE: (92) CRITICAL FEATURE	04/2	14/2021	(91) DESIGNATED INSPECTION FREQUENCY:	24 M	ONTHS	
INSPECTION:			(93) CRITICAL FEATURE			
A) FRACTURE CRITICAL	Ν		INSPECTION DATE:			
R) UNDERWATER INSPECTION	N		A) FRACTURE CRITICAL DATE:			
REQUIRED/FREQUENCY:	1		B) UNDERWATER INSP DATE:			
C) OTHER SPECIAL INSPECTION N			C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP DATE:			
REQUIRED/FREQUENCY:						
CONDITION						
(58) DECK:	7 - Good	Condition	(60) SUBSTRUCTURE:	7 - Go	od Condition	
	(some minor problems)				(some minor	
(58.01) WEARING SURFACE:	7 - Good	Condition		proble	ems)	
(59) SUPERSTRUCTURE: 5 - Fair Condition (minor section lo		Condition ection loss)	(61) CHANNEL/CHANNEL PROTECTION:	7 - Bank protection needs minor repair		
	<pre></pre>		(62) CULVERTS:	N - Not Applicable		

CONDITION COMMENTS

7 - Good Condition (some minor problems)

(58) DECK: Comments:

The underside of the deck is concealed by metal SIP forms. The copings are protected from runoff by concrete barrier walls. There is minor corrosion in the metal stay in place forms at the North abutment area under the north joint.

(58.01) WEARING SURFACE: 7 - Good Condition

Comments:

The wearing surface is monolithic with the deck. There is hairline longitudinal cracking in top of the deck.

Bridge Inspection Report

(59) SUPERSTRUCTURE: 5 - Fair Condition (minor section loss)

Comments:

Span A at Abutment 1 (south): Spall 3' long on west side Beam 6.

Span C at Abutment 4 (north): Crack 2' long on east side Beam 2. Crack 2' long on east side of Beam 3. Spall 2' long on west side Beam 4.

General: Minor cracks and delamination with minor spalls in a few of the curtain walls.

(60) SUBSTRUCTURE: 7 - Good Condition (some minor problems)

Comments:

There are minor cracks in both backwalls and bent caps.

(61) CHANNEL/CHANNEL 7 - Bank protection needs minor repairs

PROTECTION

Comments:

The channel flows from west to east through Span B and against Pier 3. The banks are well vegetated. There is a sinkhole on the east shoulder at the north end of the north approach slab.

(62) CULVERTS: N - Not Applicable

Comments:

LOAD RATING AND POSTING

(31) DESIGN LOAD:	6 - HS 20+Mod	(66) INVENTORY RATING:	51		
(70) BRIDGE POSTING	5 - Equal to or above legal loads	(65) INVENTORY RATING METHOD): 3 - Load and Resistance Factor (LRFR)		
(41) STRUCTURE OPEN/POSTED/CLOSED:	A - Open	(66B) INVENTORY RATING (H):	32		
(64) OPERATING RATING:	68	(66C) TONS POSTED :			
(63) OPERATING RATING METHOD:	3 - Load and Resistance Factor (LRFR)	(66D) DATE POSTED/CLOSED:			
APPRAISAL SUFFICIENCY DATING:	Q17	(26) TD A EEIC S A EETV EE ATLIDE.			
STATUS.	0	(50) TRAFFIC SAFETT FEATORE.	1		
STATUS: 0		2(D) TD A NOUTIONS.	1		
(67) STRUCTURAL EVALUATIO	N:5	36B) TRANSITIONS:	1		
(68) DECK GEOMETRY:	6	36C) APPROACH GUARDRAIL:	1		
(69) UNDERCLEARANCES, VERTICAL & HORIZONTAL:	Ν	36D) APPROACH GUARDRAIL ENDS:	1		
(71) WATERWAY ADEQUACY: Comments:	7 - Slight Ch	ance of Overtopping Bridge			
(72) APPROACH ROADWAY ALL Comments:	IGNMENT: 8 - Equal to j	present desirable criteria			
(113) SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGE Comments: Scour at Piers #2 and 3 Spread footings, NO piles, sc	S: 8 - Stable for our, poor channel details.	scour conditions			

Bridge Inspection Report

CLASSIFICATION							
(20) TOLL:	3 - On Free Road	(21) MAINT. RESPONSIBILITY:	01 - State Highway Agency				
(22) OWNER:	01 - State Highway Agency	(26) FUNCTIONAL CLASS OF INVENTORY RTE:	01 - Rural - Principal Arterial - Interstate				
(37) HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE	: 5 - Not eligible						
(101) PARALLEL STRUCTURE:	R - Right structure	(100) STRAHNET HIGHWAY:	Is on an Interstate STRAHNET route 1-way traffic				
(103) TEMPORARY STRUCTURE:	(North or East)	(102) DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC:					
(105) FEDERAL LANDS	0-Not Applicable	(104) HIGHWAY SYSTEM OF INVENTORY ROUTE:	1 - Structure/Route is on NHS				
(112) NBIS BRIDGE LENGTH:	Yes	(110) DESIGNATED NATIONAL IN NETWORK: N					
NAVIGATION DATA							
(38) NAVIGATION CONTROL:	0 - No navigation	(39) NAVIGATION VERTICAL CLEAR: 000.0 FT					
	control on waterway (bridge permit not required)	(116) MINIMUM NAVIGATION V CLEARANCE, VERT. LIFT BRIDO	ERT. FT GE:				
(111) PIER OR ABUTMENT PROTECTION:		(40) NAV HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE: 0000.0 FT					
PROPOSED IMPROVEMEN	JTS						
(75A) TYPE OF WORK:	15	(95) ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT	COST: \$ 000000				
(75B) WORK DONE BY:							
 (76) LENGTH OF IMPROVEMENT: 00000.0 FT (94) BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT \$ 000000 		(96) TOTAL PROJECT COST:\$ 000000(97) YR OF IMPROVEMENT COST EST:					
							COST:
		(115) YR OF FUTURE ADT:	2033				

Bridge Inspection Report

	Environment	Total Quantity	Units	Condition State 1	Condition State 2	Condition State 3	Condition State 4
12 - Reinforced Concrete Deck	2 - Low	4197	sq. ft.	4194	3	0	0
	98.75' X 42.	50' = 4196	.88 SF				
104 - Prestressed Concrete Closed Web/Box Girder	2 - Low	576	ft.	567	1	8	0
	(0.5' + 31.5' + 32.0' + 31.5' + 0.5') X 6 beams = 576'						
210 - Reinforced Concrete Pier Wall	2 - Low	60	ft.	60	0	0	0
	(42.0' - 12.0') X 2 = 60.0'						
215 - Reinforced Concrete Abutment	2 - Low	90	ft.	83	7	0	0
	44.67' X 2 = 89.34'						
234 - Reinforced Concrete Pier Cap	2 - Low	84	ft.	84	0	0	0
	42.0' X 2 piers = 84.0'						
302 - Compression Joint Seal	2 - Low	85	ft.	80	5	0	0
	42.5' X 2 = 85.0'						
321 - Reinforced Concrete Approach Slab	2 - Low	1620	sq. ft.	1452	120	48	0
	39.50' X 20.50' X 2 = 1619.50 SF						
331 - Reinforced Concrete Bridge Railing	2 - Low	198	ft.	198	0	0	0
	98.75' X 2 = 197.50'						

Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 2 Condition Description East side.

Asset Name: 165-024 BNBL Facility Carried: 1-65 NE

165-024-04229 BNBL I-65 NB

Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 4ConditionDescriptionRoadway north.

Bridge Inspection Report



PHOTO 5 Condition

Description S

South joint and approach slab.



PHOTO 6 Condition Description North joint ar

on North joint and approach slab.