Posting Date: February 19, 2025

Request for Proposals Notification

Title: Town of Dillsboro Preliminary Engineering (PE) and Right-of-Way (R/W) Engineering for the Downtown Dillsboro – North Street Streetscape Improvements (Des # 2500070) in the Seymour District.

Response Due Date & Time: March 19, 2025 at 2:00 PM

This Request for Proposals (RFP) is official notification of needed professional services. This RFP is being issued to solicit a letter of Interest (LOI) and other documents from firms qualified to perform engineering work on federal aid projects. A submittal does not guarantee the firm will be contracted to perform any services but only serves notice the firm desires to be considered.

Contact for Questions:	Derek Walker, Town Manager	
	13030 Executive Drive, PO Box 127	
	Dillsboro, IN, 47018	
	812-432-9002	
	manager@townofdillsboro.com	

Submittal Requirements:

1. C Letter of Interest – Click here to enter # of Copies. Copies (required content and instructions follow) sent through the U.S. Mail;

OR

• Letter of Interest – submitted electronically (pdf) to **Derek Walker** at email address **manager@townofdillsboro.com**.

AND

2. One (1) signed Affirmative Action Certification and associated required documents for all items if the DBE goal is greater than 0% sent through the U.S. Mail;

OR

One (1) signed Affirmative Action Certification and associated required documents
for all items if the DBE goal is greater than 0% sent electronically (pdf) to Derek Walker at email address manager@townofdillsboro.com.

Submit To:

Derek Walker, Town Manager 13030 Executive Drive, PO Box 127 Dillsboro, IN, 47018 812-432-9002 manager@townofdillsboro.com

Selection Procedures:

Consultants will be selected for work further described herein, based on the evaluation of the Letter of Interest (LOI) and other required documents. The Consultant Selection Rating Form used to evaluate and score the submittals is included for your reference. Final selection ranking will be determined by:

- The weighted score totals with the highest score being the top ranked firm
- C Rank totals with the lowest rank total being the top ranked firm

Requirements for Letters of Interest (LOI)

- A. General instructions for preparing and submitting a Letter of Interest (LOI).
 - 1. Provide the information, as stated in Item B below, in the same order listed and signed by an officer of the firm. Signed and scanned documents, or electronically applied signatures are acceptable. Do not send additional forms, resumes, brochures, or other material unless otherwise noted in the item description.
 - 2. LOI's shall be limited to twelve (12) 8 ¹/₂" x 11" pages that include Identification, Qualifications, Key Staff, and Project Approach.
 - 3. LOI's must be received no later than the "Response Due Date and Time"; as shown in the RFP header above. Responses received after this deadline will not be considered. Submittals must include all required attachments to be considered for selection.
- B. Letter of Interest Content
 - 1. Identification, Qualifications and Key Staff
 - a. Provide the firm name, address of the responsible office from which the work will be performed, and the name and email address of the contact person authorized to negotiate for the associated work.
 - b. List all proposed sub consultants, their DBE status, and the percentage of work to be performed by the prime consultant and each sub consultant. (See Affirmative Action Certification requirements below.) A listing of certified DBE's eligible to be considered for selection as prime consultants or sub-consultants for this RFP can be found at the "Prequalified Consultants" link on the Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT) Consultants Webpage. (https://www.in.gov/indot/doing-business-with-indot/consultants/consultants-prequalification/).
 - c. List the Project Manager and other key staff members, including key sub consultant staff, and the percent of time the project manager will be committed for the contract, if selected. Include project engineers for important disciplines and staff members responsible for the work. Address the experience of the key staff members on similar projects and the staff qualifications relative to the required item qualifications.

- d. Describe the capacity of consultant staff and their ability to perform the work in a timely manner relative to present workload.
- 2. <u>Project Approach</u>
 - a. Provide a description of your project approach relative to the advertised services. For project specific items confirm the firm has visited the project site. For all items address your firm's technical understanding of the project or services, cost containment practices, innovative ideas and any other relevant information concerning your firm's qualifications for the project.

Requirements for Affirmative Action Certification

A completed Affirmative Action Certification form is required for all items that identify a DBE goal greater than "0", in order to be considered for selection. The consultant must identify the DBE firms with which it intends to subcontract.

On the Affirmative Action Certification, include the contract participation percentage of each DBE and list what the DBE will be subcontracted to perform.

If the consultant does not meet the DBE goal, the consultant must provide documentation in additional pages after the form that evidences that it made good faith efforts to achieve the DBE goal.

All DBE subcontracting goals apply to all prime submitting consultants regardless of the prime's status of DBE.

INDOT DBE Reciprocity Agreement with KYTC

An Agreement between INDOT and the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC) established reciprocal acceptance of certification of DBE firms in their respective states under the Unified Certification Program (UCP) pursuant to 49 CFR ?26.81(e) and (f).

Copies of the DBE certifications, as issued by INDOT or the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC), are to be included as additional pages after the AAC form for each firm listed on the AAC form. The following are DBE Locator Directories for each State Transportation Agency:

INDOT: https://entapps.indot.in.gov/DBELocator/

KYTC:https://transportation.ky.gov/Civil-Rights-and-Small-Business-Development/Pages/Certified-DBE-Directory.aspx

Information about the Indiana DBE Program is available at: https://www.in.gov/indot/about-indot/equity-initiative-services/.

Information about the KYTC DBE Program is available at: <u>https://transportation.ky.gov/Civil-Rights-and-Small-Business-Development/Pages/default.aspx</u>.

Work item details:

Local Public Agency: Town of Dillsboro

Project Location: North Street / SR 62 from Mulford Street to Front Street

Project Description: The North Street pedestrian enhancement project from Mulford Street to Front Street is 0.16 mile long section of pedestrian enhancements, removing and replacing sections of substandard sidewalk and will provide FHWA PROWAG compliant crosswalks / ramps, traffic calming devises, vehicular and pedestrian signage, and street lighting to creating a safe and attractive pedestrian-friendly corridor connecting the historic downtown core to the proposed public market / park. PE shall include ineligible LPA expenses such as street trees and landscape planters. The designer should also prepare conceptual phase II plans to extend the project west 0.16 miles down North Street / Sunset Drive / SR 62 from Front Street to Main Street.

INDOT Des #:	2500070			
Phases Included:	PE / RW			
Estimated Constructi	on Amount: \$1,875,000			
Funding:	TA			
Term of Contract:	2-3 years until project completion			
DBE goal:	0			
Required Prequalification Categories:				
▼ 5.2 Environmental	Document Preparation - CE	12.1 Project Management for Aquisition Services		
☑ 6.1 Topographical	Survey Data Collection	✓ 12.2 Title Search		
☑ 8.1 Non-Complex	Roadway Design	✓ 12.4 Appraisal		
🗖 9.1 Level 1 Bridge	Design	✓ 12.5 Appraisal Review		
☑ 11.1 Right of Way	Plan Development	13.1 Construction Inspection		
Additional Categor	ies Listed Below:			

Click here to enter Additional Categories

LPA Consultant Selection Rating Sheet

Sample:

RFP Selection Rating for: Des. No. (City, County, Town) or (Local Public Agency) Services Description: Consultant Name: Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Category Scoring Criteria Score Weight Weight Weight Weight Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data. Score Weight Weight Weight Weight Performance Past Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance database. Quality score for similar work from performance database. Past Performance Score Veight Veight Veight Veight Veight Avaiability of more than adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 1 Capacity of Avaiability of more than adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that vield a resources identified 1 15 Project Manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and or understanding or the resources identified 1 Project Manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and or understand		
(City, County, Town) or (Local Public Agency) Services Description: Consultant Name: Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Category Scale Scale<		
Services Description: Consultant Name: Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Category Scening Criteria Performance Quality score for similar work from performance database. Scale Score Weight Weight Past Performance Capacity of Schedule score from performance database. 1 Capacity of Team to do Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 20 Work Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or efficiency 1 20 Demonstrated point performance in size, complexity. 0 15 20 Project Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 20 20 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and or time experience. -3 20 20 Insufficient experience in similar type and complexity. 20 Demonstrated high level or experience in similar type and complexity. 1 15 20 Demonstrated high level or experience in similar type and complexity. 1 20 20 20 20 20 <		
Consultant Name: Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Category Scoring Criteria Scale Score Weight Weight Past Performance Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 Past Performance Schedule score from performance database. 1 Capacity of Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 Capacity of Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 Capacity of Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 Capacity of Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 Capacity of Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 Capacity of Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value. 1 20 Team to do More that project that yield a relevant added value or efficiency 1 20 Demonstrated Demonstrated bigh level of experise and resources identified 1 15 Qualifications Demonstrated outstanding exp		
Consultant Name: Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Category Scoring Criteria Scale Score Weight Weight Past Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance database. 0 6 Past Quality score for similar work from performance database. 0 1 Performance Schedule score from performance database. 1 0 Capacity of Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 20 Capacity of Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 20 Team to do Adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 20 Work Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. 0 20 Team's Demonstrated bigh level of expertise and resources identified 1 15 Qualifications Demonstrated outstanding experies and resources identified 1 15 Project Demonstrated outstanding experies and resources. -3 20 Insufficient experies and resources. -3 20 20 Insufficient experies and resources.		
Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Category Scoring Criteria Score Weight Wei Category Scoring Criteria Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 6 Past Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 3 1 Performance Schedule score from performance database. 1 1 1 Capacity of Team to do Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 20 20 Work Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 20 20 20 Team's Demonstrated Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 2 15 15 Project Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 2 20 20 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings.		
Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Category Scoring Criteria Score Weight Wei Category Scoring Criteria Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 6 Past Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 3 1 Performance Schedule score from performance database. 1 1 1 Capacity of Team to do Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 20 20 Work Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 20 20 20 Team's Demonstrated Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 2 15 15 Project Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 2 20 20 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings.		
Category Scoring Criteria Scale Score Weight Weight Past Quality score for similar work from performance database. 0 0 0 Performance Schedule score from performance database. 0		
Past Performance Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data. Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 Past Performance Schedule score from performance database. 3 Capacity of Team to do Work Responsiveness score from performance database. 1 Team's Demonstrated Qualifications Availability of more than adequate capacity tho meet the schedule. 0 Team's Demonstrated Qualifications Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or efficiency Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 1 Project Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Project Manager Experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Manager Experience in similar type and complexity. 20 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 20 20 Approach to Project High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2		
Past Performance Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 Performance Schedule score from performance database. 3 Responsiveness score from performance database. 1 Capacity of Team to do Work Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 1 Team's Demonstrated Qualifications Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or efficiency 1 Team's Demonstrated Qualifications Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 1 1 Project Demonstrated outstanding expertince in size, complexity. 1 1 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -1 20 Approach to Project High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 15	ted	
Performance Schedule score from performance database. 3 Responsiveness score from performance database. 1 Capacity of Team to do Work Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 Team to do Work Adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 Team's Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 2 Demonstrated Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources at appropriate level. 0 Qualifications Expertise and resources at appropriate level. 0 Project Demonstrated high level of experience in size, complexity. 1 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 20 20 Approach to High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 Project High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2		
Capacity of Team to do Work Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 Team to do Work Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 20 Team's Insufficient availability of more than indequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 1 Team's Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 2 15 Qualifications Expertise and resources in similar type and complexity. 1 15 Project Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity. 2 20 Manager Experience in different type or lower complexity. 1 15 Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 20 20 High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 20		
Capacity of Team to do Work Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 20 Team to do Work Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 20 Team 's Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or efficiency -1 Team 's Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 2 Qualifications Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources. -3 Project Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Manager Experience in different type or lower complexity. -1 Insufficient experience. -3 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -1 High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 Project High level of und		
Team to do Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 20 Work Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. -1 1 Team's Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or efficiency 1 1 Demonstrated Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 1 15 Qualifications Expertise, based on: experience in size, complexity, 2 1 15 Project Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity, 1 20 20 Manager Experience in similar type and complexity, 1 20 20 Project Experience in different type or lower complexity, 1 20 Insufficient experience -3 20 Manager Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -1 15 Approach to High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 15		
Work Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. .1 Team's Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or efficiency .1 Demonstrated Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 2 Qualifications Expertise and resources at appropriate level. 0 Project Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Manager Experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Project Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Manager Experience in different type or lower complexity. 1 Project Manager Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 20 Approach to High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 Project High level of understanding of the project. 1		
Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or efficiency Demonstrated Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 1 Qualifications Expertise and resources at appropriate level. 0 Project Insufficient experience in size, complexity. 1 Manager Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Project Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Manager Experience in different type or lower complexity. 1 Insufficient experience. -3 20 Insufficient experience. -3 20 Insufficient experience. 1 15		
Team's Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 1 Demonstrated Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified 1 15 Qualifications Expertise and resources at appropriate level. 0 15 Project Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, 2 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 1 2 20 Manager Experience in similar type and complexity. 1 20 Manager Experience in different type or lower complexity. -1 10 Insufficient experience. -3 -3 20 Project Manager High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 15 Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 15 15		
Qualifications Expertise and resources at appropriate level. 0 Insufficient expertise and/or resources. -3 Project Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity. 2 Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Experience in different type or lower complexity. -1 Insufficient experience -3 Project Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -1 High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 Project High level of understanding of the project. 1		
Approach to Project Insufficient experiance in size, complexity. -3 Approach to Project Project Insufficient experiance in similar type and complexity. 2 Approach to Project High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 15		
Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, 2 Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Manager Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume. 0 Experience in different type or lower complexity. -1 1 Insufficient experience. -3 Project High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 Project High level of understanding of the project. 1		
Project Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity 1 Manager Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume 0 Experience in similar type or lower complexity -1 Insufficient experience. -3 Project Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -3 High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 Project High level of understanding of the project. 1		
Project Manager Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume. 0 20 Experience in different type or lower complexity. -1 1 Insufficient experience. -3 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -3 High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 15 15		
Manager Experience in different type or lower complexity. -1 Insufficient experience. -3 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -3 High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 Project High level of understanding of the project. 1		
Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 15 15		
Approach to Project Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 2 15 15		
Approach to Project High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 15		
Project 15		
Project High level of understanding of the project. 1		
Basic understanding of the project. 0 Lack of project understanding -3		
Lack of project understanding, -3 Weighted Sub-Total:		
It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest deliverables it timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference.	1 a	
I certify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant.		
I have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgn of this firm's abilities.	ent	
Signature: Print Name:		
Title: Date:		
(Form Rev. 1/27/2023)		

Standard RFP Form Ver. 1/2023

(Rev. 06/27/18)

Des. #: Click here to enter text.

Affirmative Action Certification (AAC) for Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE)

I hereby certify that my company intends to affirmatively seek out and consider Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBEs) certified by the State of Indiana's DBE Program and the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC) DBE Program to participate as part of this proposal. An Agreement between INDOT and KYTC established reciprocal acceptance of certification of DBE firms in their respective states under the Unified Certification Program (UCP) pursuant to 49 CFR §26.81(e) and (f).

I acknowledge that this certification is to be made an integral part of this proposal. I understand and agree that the submission of a blank certification may cause the proposal to be rejected. I certify that I have consulted the following DBE websites to confirm that the firms listed below are currently certified DBEs:

INDOT: https://entapps.indot.in.gov/DBELocator/

KYTC: https://transportation.ky.gov/Civil-Rights-and-Small-Business-Development/Pages/Certified-DBE-Directory.aspx

I certify that I have contacted the certified DBE's listed below, and if my company becomes the CONSULTANT, these DBEs have tentatively agreed to perform the services as indicated. I understand that neither my company nor I will be penalized for DBE utilization that exceeds the goal. After contract award, any change to the firms listed in this Affirmative Action Certification to be applied toward the DBE goal must have prior approval by INDOT's Economic Opportunity Division.

I. DBE Subconsultants to be applied toward DBE goal for the RFP item:

Certified DBE Name	Service Planned	Estimated Percentage to be Paid*
		%
		%
		%
		%

II. DBE Subconsultants to be utilized beyond the advertised DBE goal for the RFP item:

Certified DBE Name	Service Planned	Estimated Percentage to be Paid*
		%
		%
		%
		%

Estimated Total Percentage Credited toward DBE Goal:

Estimated Percentage of Voluntary DBE Work Anticipated over DBE Goal:

Company Name: _____

Signature: _____ Date:

* It is understood that these individual firm percentages are estimates only and that percentages paid may be greater or less as a result of negotiation of contract scope of work. My firm will use good faith efforts to meet the overall DBE goal through the use of these or other certified and approved DBE firms.

Project Background

The following plans and studies document the progression of this project.

Comprehensive Master Plan (2007)

https://dillsboro.in/images/economic-development/2007-Comprehensive-Plan.pdf

A need to redevelop the downtown area has been evident for decades and is documented as far back as the 2007 Comprehensive Plan, which by substantial margines identified this as the most important issue impacting the town. This plan recommends an overall streetscape of north Street from Western Row / Back Street to Milford Street to be redesigned with new sidewalks, a new stormwater drainage system, pedestrian lighting, and well-identified on-street parking through signage and the painting of parking spaces. The plan also identified the Bank and North Street intersections as an area of interest and recommending focusing energies to enhance this intersection as a central focal point.

(see pg. 105, 106, 111, 112, 120, 121, 128)

The Town did complete a stormwater drainage plan in 2008 which included new curb and gutter, new or replacement of 18 storm structures along the North Street corridor and connecting them to the surrounding storm sewer system through HDPE pipe, and replacement of sidewalks. Most of these improvements are still in good condition and should be reutilized to the extent possible.

Comprehensive Plan Update (2014)

https://dillsboro.in/images/ComprehensivePlan2014-11-25.pdf

The 2014 plan again identified the need to "re-energize downtown" to encourage new commercial investments The need to develop a strategic economic development plan for the downtown area was recommended in the 2014 study. Pg. 37, 40)

Downtown Revitalization Plan (2017)

https://dillsboro.in/images/2017.09.11DillsboroDowntownRevitalizationPlan.pdf

The downtown revitalization plan was a big driving force in this project, reiterating the need to invest in streetscaping along North Street among many other complimentary projects. Pg. 22, 24, 74-80, 114-121)

Dillsboro Bicycle & Pedestrian Master Plan (2023) https://dillsboro.in/images/BicyclePedestrianMasterPlan2023-03-27.sm.pdf

The bicycle and pedestrian master plan identified the Rullman / Bank / North / Wood Street intersection crosswalk and a protected north/south crossing of North Street at this intersection as a priority. The plan also identified proposed improvements to the North Street / Front Street intersection and North Street / Sunset Drive / Spangler Road intersection

(see pg. 10, 35, 37, 56)

One Dearborn Strategic Investment Plan (2024)

https://dillsboro.in/images/One Dearborn County Strategic Investment Plan 2024-compressed.pdf

The One Dearborn Strategic Investment Plan was the driving force behind the funding for this project and was used as the plan submitted to the Indiana Office of Community and Rural Affairs (OCRA) for Stellar Pathways designation. The plan was a compilation of projects around the region proposed for funding. The North Street project was slightly expanded upon and included some conceptual renderings. (see pg. 60-61)