Posting Date: March 28, 2024

Request for Proposals Notification

Title: Randolph County Bridge 212 Replacement on CR 250N 0.14 miles W. of CR 800E (Des # 2301615) in the Greenfield District.

Response Due Date & Time: May 2, 2024 at 3:00 p.m.

This Request for Proposals (RFP) is official notification of needed professional services. This RFP is being issued to solicit a letter of Interest (LOI) and other documents from firms qualified to perform engineering work on federal aid projects. A submittal does not guarantee the firm will be contracted to perform any services but only serves notice the firm desires to be considered.

| Contact for Questions: | Joseph D. Copeland, P.E. | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | 2256 S. US Hwy 27 | |
| | Winchester, IN 47394 | |
| | 765-584-2601 | |
| | jcopeland@randolph.in.gov | |

Submittal Requirements:

1. Content and instructions follow) sent through the U.S. Mail;

OR

C Letter of Interest – submitted electronically (pdf) to at email address

AND

2. One (1) signed Affirmative Action Certification and associated required documents for all items if the DBE goal is greater than 0% sent through the U.S. Mail;

OR

One (1) signed Affirmative Action Certification and associated required documents
 for all items if the DBE goal is greater than 0% sent electronically (pdf) to at email address

Submit To:

Laura Martin, Randolph County Auditor 100 S. Main Street, # 102 Winchester, IN 47394 765-584-6700 Imartin@randolph.in.gov

Selection Procedures:

Consultants will be selected for work further described herein, based on the evaluation of the Letter of Interest (LOI) and other required documents. The Consultant Selection Rating Form used to evaluate and score the submittals is included for your reference. Final selection ranking will be determined by:

- The weighted score totals with the highest score being the top ranked firm
- C Rank totals with the lowest rank total being the top ranked firm

Requirements for Letters of Interest (LOI)

- A. General instructions for preparing and submitting a Letter of Interest (LOI).
 - 1. Provide the information, as stated in Item B below, in the same order listed and signed by an officer of the firm. Signed and scanned documents, or electronically applied signatures are acceptable. Do not send additional forms, resumes, brochures, or other material unless otherwise noted in the item description.
 - 2. LOI's shall be limited to twelve (12) 8 ¹/₂" x 11" pages that include Identification, Qualifications, Key Staff, and Project Approach.
 - 3. LOI's must be received no later than the "Response Due Date and Time"; as shown in the RFP header above. Responses received after this deadline will not be considered. Submittals must include all required attachments to be considered for selection.
- B. Letter of Interest Content
 - 1. Identification, Qualifications and Key Staff
 - a. Provide the firm name, address of the responsible office from which the work will be performed, and the name and email address of the contact person authorized to negotiate for the associated work.
 - b. List all proposed sub consultants, their DBE status, and the percentage of work to be performed by the prime consultant and each sub consultant. (See Affirmative Action Certification requirements below.) A listing of certified DBE's eligible to be considered for selection as prime consultants or sub-consultants for this RFP can be found at the "Prequalified Consultants" link on the Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT) Consultants Webpage. (https://www.in.gov/indot/doing-business-with-indot/consultants/consultants-prequalification/).
 - c. List the Project Manager and other key staff members, including key sub consultant staff, and the percent of time the project manager will be committed for the contract, if selected. Include project engineers for important disciplines and staff members responsible for the work. Address the experience of the key staff members on similar projects and the staff qualifications relative to the required item qualifications.

- d. Describe the capacity of consultant staff and their ability to perform the work in a timely manner relative to present workload.
- 2. <u>Project Approach</u>
 - a. Provide a description of your project approach relative to the advertised services. For project specific items confirm the firm has visited the project site. For all items address your firm's technical understanding of the project or services, cost containment practices, innovative ideas and any other relevant information concerning your firm's qualifications for the project.

Requirements for Affirmative Action Certification

A completed Affirmative Action Certification form is required for all items that identify a DBE goal greater than "0", in order to be considered for selection. The consultant must identify the DBE firms with which it intends to subcontract.

On the Affirmative Action Certification, include the contract participation percentage of each DBE and list what the DBE will be subcontracted to perform.

If the consultant does not meet the DBE goal, the consultant must provide documentation in additional pages after the form that evidences that it made good faith efforts to achieve the DBE goal.

All DBE subcontracting goals apply to all prime submitting consultants regardless of the prime's status of DBE.

INDOT DBE Reciprocity Agreement with KYTC

An Agreement between INDOT and the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC) established reciprocal acceptance of certification of DBE firms in their respective states under the Unified Certification Program (UCP) pursuant to 49 CFR ?26.81(e) and (f).

Copies of the DBE certifications, as issued by INDOT or the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC), are to be included as additional pages after the AAC form for each firm listed on the AAC form. The following are DBE Locator Directories for each State Transportation Agency:

INDOT: https://entapps.indot.in.gov/DBELocator/

KYTC:https://transportation.ky.gov/Civil-Rights-and-Small-Business-Development/Pages/Certified-DBE-Directory.aspx

Information about the Indiana DBE Program is available at: https://www.in.gov/indot/about-indot/equity-initiative-services/.

Information about the KYTC DBE Program is available at: <u>https://transportation.ky.gov/Civil-Rights-and-Small-Business-Development/Pages/default.aspx</u>.

Work item details:

Local Public Agency: Randolph County

Project Location: CR 250N (Union City Pike) .14 miles West of CR 800E

Project Description: Replacement of the existing 42-foot-long steel beam bridge with a new structure. It is estimated that the proposed structure will consist of a three-span continuous reinforced concrete slab with a total length of approximately 76 feet. The estimated bridge cross-section will consist of two 10-foot lanes, a 3 to 4 feet south shoulder and the north side of the bridge will have a 6 feet sidewalk to maintain pedestrian access at this crossing. No grade raise is anticipated in the approach roadways, but approach earthwork will be required for the incidental widening of the approach embankments. The approach roadway and sidewalk will be reconstructed within the project limits.

| INDOT Des #: | 2301615 | |
|---|---------------------------|---|
| Phases Included: | PE, RW, Utility | |
| Estimated Constructi | on Amount: \$2,500,000 | |
| Funding: | 80% Federal, 20% Local | |
| Term of Contract: | Until Project Completion | |
| DBE goal: | 5% | |
| Required Prequalification | ation Categories: | |
| Parton | Document Preparation - CE | ☑ 12.1 Project Management for Aquisition Services |
| ☑ 6.1 Topographical | Survey Data Collection | ✓ 12.2 Title Search |
| ▼ 8.1 Non-Complex | Roadway Design | ✓ 12.4 Appraisal |
| 9.1 Level 1 Bridge | Design | ✓ 12.5 Appraisal Review |
| ✓ 11.1 Right of Way | Plan Development | 13.1 Construction Inspection |
| ✓ Additional Categories Listed Below: | | |
| 5.3 Environmental Document Preparation- Section 4(f) 5.4 Ecological Surveys 5.5 Wetland Mitigation 5.6 Waterway Permits 5.9 Archaeological Investigations 5.10 Historical/Architectural Investigations 7.1 Geotechnical Engineering Services 16.1 Utility Coordination 17.4 Bridge Hydraulics Design 12.3 Value Analysis 12.6 Negotiation 12.7 Closing | | |

LPA Consultant Selection Rating Sheet

Sample:

| RFP Selection Rating for: Des. No. (City, County, Town) or (Local Public Agency) Services Description: Consultant Name: Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Category Scoring Criteria Score Weight Weight Weight Weight Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data. Score Weight Weight Weight Weight Performance Past Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance database. Quality score for similar work from performance database. Past Performance Score Veight Veight Veight Veight Veight Avaiability of more than adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 1 Capacity of Avaiability of more than adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that vield a resources identified 1 15 Project Manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and or understanding or the resources identified 1 Project Manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and or understand | |
|---|-----|
| (City, County, Town) or (Local Public Agency) Services Description: Consultant Name: Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Category Scale Scale< | |
| Services Description: Consultant Name: Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Category Scening Criteria Performance Quality score for similar work from performance database. Scale Score Weight Weight Past Performance Capacity of Schedule score from performance database. 1 Capacity of Team to do Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 20 Work Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or efficiency 1 20 Demonstrated point performance in size, complexity. 0 15 20 Project Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 20 20 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and or time experience. -3 20 20 Insufficient experience in similar type and complexity. 20 Demonstrated high level or experience in similar type and complexity. 20 20 20 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and or time experience. -3 20 20 Project Understandin | |
| Consultant Name: Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Category Scoring Criteria Scale Score Weight Weight Past Performance Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 Past Performance Schedule score from performance database. 1 Capacity of Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 Capacity of Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 Capacity of Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 Capacity of Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 Capacity of Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 Capacity of Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value. 1 20 Team to do More that project that yield a relevant added value or efficiency 1 20 Demonstrated Demonstrated bigh level of experise and resources identified 1 15 Qualifications Demonstrated outstanding exp | |
| Consultant Name: Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Category Scoring Criteria Scale Score Weight Weight Past Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance database. 0 6 Past Quality score for similar work from performance database. 0 1 Performance Schedule score from performance database. 1 0 Capacity of Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 20 Capacity of Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 20 20 Team to do Adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 20 Work Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. 0 20 Team's Demonstrated bigh level of expertise and resources identified 1 15 Qualifications Demonstrated outstanding experies and resources identified 1 15 Project Demonstrated outstanding experies and resources. -3 20 Insufficient experies and resources. -3 20 20 Insufficient experies and resources. | |
| Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Category Scoring Criteria Score Weight Wei Category Scoring Criteria Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 6 Past Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 3 1 Performance Schedule score from performance database. 1 1 1 Capacity of Team to do Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 20 20 Work Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 20 20 20 Team's Demonstrated Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 2 15 15 Project Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 2 20 20 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. | |
| Evaluation Criteria to be Rated by Scorers Category Scoring Criteria Score Weight Wei Category Scoring Criteria Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 6 Past Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 3 1 Performance Schedule score from performance database. 1 1 1 Capacity of Team to do Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 20 20 Work Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 20 20 20 Team's Demonstrated Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 2 15 15 Project Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 2 20 20 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. | |
| Category Scoring Criteria Scale Score Weight Weight Past Quality score for similar work from performance database. 0 0 0 Performance Schedule score from performance database. 0 | |
| Past Performance Performance evaluation score averages from historical performance data. Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 Past Performance Schedule score from performance database. 3 Capacity of Team to do Work Responsiveness score from performance database. 1 Team's Demonstrated Qualifications Availability of more than adequate capacity tho meet the schedule. 0 Team's Demonstrated Qualifications Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or efficiency Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 1 Project Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Project Manager Experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Manager Experience in similar type and complexity. 20 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 20 20 Approach to Project High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 | |
| Past Performance Quality score for similar work from performance database. 6 Performance Schedule score from performance database. 3 Responsiveness score from performance database. 1 Capacity of Team to do Work Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. 1 Team's Demonstrated Qualifications Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or efficiency 1 Team's Demonstrated Qualifications Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 1 1 Project Demonstrated outstanding expertince in size, complexity. 1 1 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -1 20 Approach to Project High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 15 | ted |
| Performance Schedule score from performance database. 3 Responsiveness score from performance database. 1 Capacity of Team to do Work Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 Team to do Work Adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 Team's Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 2 Demonstrated Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources at appropriate level. 0 Qualifications Expertise and resources at appropriate level. 0 Project Demonstrated high level of experience in size, complexity. 1 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 20 20 Approach to High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 Project High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 | |
| Capacity of Team to do Work Evaluation of the team's personnel and equipment to perform the project on time. Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 Team to do Work Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 20 Team's Insufficient availability of more than indequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 1 Team's Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 2 15 Qualifications Expertise and resources in similar type and complexity. 1 15 Project Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity. 2 20 Manager Experience in different type or lower complexity. 1 15 Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 20 20 High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 20 | |
| Capacity of Team to do Work Availability of more than adequate capacity that results in added value. 1 20 Team to do Work Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 20 Team 's Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or efficiency -1 Team 's Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 2 Qualifications Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources. -3 Project Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Manager Experience in different type or lower complexity. -1 Insufficient experience. -3 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -1 High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 Project High level of und | |
| Team to do Adequate capacity to meet the schedule. 0 20 Work Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. -1 1 Team's Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or efficiency 1 1 Demonstrated Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 1 15 Qualifications Expertise, based on: experience in size, complexity, 2 1 15 Project Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity, 1 20 20 Manager Experience in similar type and complexity, 1 20 20 Project Experience in different type or lower complexity, 1 20 Insufficient experience -3 20 Manager Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -1 15 Approach to High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 15 | |
| Work Insufficient available capacity to meet the schedule. .1 Team's Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or efficiency .1 Demonstrated Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 2 Qualifications Expertise and resources at appropriate level. 0 Project Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Manager Experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Project Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Manager Experience in different type or lower complexity. 1 Project Manager Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 20 Approach to High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 Project High level of understanding of the project. 1 | |
| Technical Expertise: Unique Resources that yield a relevant added value or efficiency Demonstrated Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 1 Qualifications Expertise and resources at appropriate level. 0 Project Insufficient experience in size, complexity. 1 Manager Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Project Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Manager Experience in different type or lower complexity. 1 Project Insufficient experience. -3 Project High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 15 15 | |
| Team's Demonstrated outstanding expertise and resources identified 1 Demonstrated Demonstrated high level of expertise and resources identified 1 15 Qualifications Expertise and resources at appropriate level. 0 15 Project Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, 2 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 1 2 20 Manager Experience in similar type and complexity. 1 20 Manager Experience in different type or lower complexity. -1 10 Insufficient experience. -3 -3 20 Project Manager High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 15 Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 15 15 | |
| Qualifications Expertise and resources at appropriate level. 0 Insufficient expertise and/or resources. -3 Project Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity. 2 Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Experience in different type or lower complexity. -1 Insufficient experience -3 Project Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -1 High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 Project High level of understanding of the project. 1 | |
| Approach to Project Insufficient experiance in size, complexity. -3 Approach to Project Project Insufficient experiance in similar type and complexity. 2 Approach to Project High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 15 | |
| Predicted ability to manage the project, based on: experience in size, complexity, 2 Demonstrated outstanding experience in similar type and complexity. 2 Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity. 1 Manager Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume. 0 Experience in different type or lower complexity. -1 1 Insufficient experience. -3 Project High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 Project High level of understanding of the project. 1 | |
| Project Demonstrated high level of experience in similar type and complexity 1 Manager Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume 0 Experience in similar type or lower complexity -1 Insufficient experience. -3 Project Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -3 High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 Project High level of understanding of the project. 1 | |
| Project Manager Experience in similar type and complexity shown in resume. 0 20 Experience in different type or lower complexity. -1 1 Insufficient experience. -3 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -3 High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 15 15 | |
| Manager Experience in different type or lower complexity. -1 Insufficient experience. -3 Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. -3 High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 Project High level of understanding of the project. 1 | |
| Approach to Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 15 15 | |
| Approach to Project Project Understanding and Innovation that provides cost and/or time savings. 2 15 15 | |
| Approach to Project High level of understanding and viable innovative ideas proposed. 2 15 | |
| Project 15 | |
| Project High level of understanding of the project. 1 | |
| | |
| Basic understanding of the project. 0 Lack of project understanding -3 | |
| Lack of project understanding, -3 Weighted Sub-Total: | |
| | |
| | |
| It is the responsibility of scorers to make every effort to identify the firm most capable of producing the highest deliverables it timely and cost effective manner without regard to personal preference. | 1 a |
| | |
| I certify that I do not have any conflicts of interest associated with this consultant. | |
| | |
| I have thoroughly reviewed the letter of interest for this consultant and certify that the above scores represent my best judgn of this firm's abilities. | ent |
| Signature: Print Name: | |
| Title: Date: | |
| (Form Rev. 1/27/2023) | |

Standard RFP Form Ver. 1/2023

(Rev. 06/27/18)

Des. #: 2301615

Affirmative Action Certification (AAC) for Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE)

I hereby certify that my company intends to affirmatively seek out and consider Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBEs) certified by the State of Indiana's DBE Program and the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC) DBE Program to participate as part of this proposal. An Agreement between INDOT and KYTC established reciprocal acceptance of certification of DBE firms in their respective states under the Unified Certification Program (UCP) pursuant to 49 CFR §26.81(e) and (f).

I acknowledge that this certification is to be made an integral part of this proposal. I understand and agree that the submission of a blank certification may cause the proposal to be rejected. I certify that I have consulted the following DBE websites to confirm that the firms listed below are currently certified DBEs:

INDOT: https://entapps.indot.in.gov/DBELocator/

KYTC: https://transportation.ky.gov/Civil-Rights-and-Small-Business-Development/Pages/Certified-DBE-Directory.aspx

I certify that I have contacted the certified DBE's listed below, and if my company becomes the CONSULTANT, these DBEs have tentatively agreed to perform the services as indicated. I understand that neither my company nor I will be penalized for DBE utilization that exceeds the goal. After contract award, any change to the firms listed in this Affirmative Action Certification to be applied toward the DBE goal must have prior approval by INDOT's Economic Opportunity Division.

I. DBE Subconsultants to be applied toward DBE goal for the RFP item:

| Certified DBE Name | Service Planned | Estimated Percentage to be Paid* |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | % |
| | | % |
| | | % |
| | | % |

II. DBE Subconsultants to be utilized beyond the advertised DBE goal for the RFP item:

| Certified DBE Name | Service Planned | Estimated Percentage to be Paid* |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | % |
| | | % |
| | | % |
| | | % |

Estimated Total Percentage Credited toward DBE Goal:

Estimated Percentage of Voluntary DBE Work Anticipated over DBE Goal:_____

_____ Company Name:

Signature: Date:

* It is understood that these individual firm percentages are estimates only and that percentages paid may be greater or less as a result of negotiation of contract scope of work. My firm will use good faith efforts to meet the overall DBE goal through the use of these or other certified and approved DBE firms.