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CHAIRMAN McMAINS: It's 10:31 on July 10, 2020. This is Mike McMains, and this is the meeting of the Indiana Gaming Commission. It's my pleasure to call the Commission meeting to order.

Mrs. Tait, would you please call the role of the commissioners.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Sure.
Chairman McMains.
CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Yes, here.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Vice Chair Fine. COMMISSIONER FINE: Present.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Commissioner Williams. Commissioner Williams.

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: I am present and vocal.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Secretary Svetanoff.

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Present.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Commissioner
Herndon.
COMMISSIONER HERNDON: Present.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Commissioner Dudich.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Present.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Commissioner Saxon.

We might be having some technical hiccups with Commissioner Saxon, but as soon as she joins back on, we will acknowledge her presence. So we have a quorum, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you. Let the record reflect a quorum is present. Commissioners, have you had an opportunity to review the minutes from the last meeting of the Commission?

If so, is there a motion?
COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Motion to approve the minutes.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Second.
CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: It's been moved and seconded to approve the minutes of the previous meeting of the Indiana Gaming Commission.

Is there any discussion on the motion?
Hearing none, call the question.
All in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
Opposed.
Motion carries unanimously.

Mrs. Tait, do you have an Executive Director report for us?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: I do. Thank you, Mr. Chair. And good morning everyone. I hope you all had an enjoyable holiday weekend last weekend.

I have a quick staff update. I'd like to welcome Michael Aitken to the IGC. He joined us from the Indiana Department of Corrections. And he's our new application systems senior analyst, so he joined our IT department right in the nick of time. Michael graduated from IUPUI, and he was brought in as a result of Robert Paugh's promotion to IT director.

So welcome to Michael, and a much deserved congratulations to Robert.

Commission staff has issued ten waivers since our May meeting. We have not added any individuals to our statewide exclusion list. Information about the waivers is contained in your materials and will be posted to our website.

Lastly, charitable and casino gaming restarted operations in accordance with the Governor's Back on Track Plan on June 15th. The monthly revenue numbers were just posted to our
website about a half an hour ago. June AGR, Win and Taxes were only down 43 percent over last year, so as a state, Indiana casinos made more money with fewer positions and time.

So that concludes my Executive Director's report. Happy to answer any questions from the Commissioners. And if there are none, I'll go on to the oath, Mr. Chairman, if that's okay.

CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Please do.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Okay. So since we are meeting virtually and in an effort to be as efficient as possible, staff proactively reached out to presenters and people that were going to be speaking publicly at our meeting today and had them attest to the oath in advance, so I'm going to go ahead and read the oath into the record.

I (and then the name of the individual) intend to provide testimony to the Indiana Gaming Commission during its virtually held business meeting on Friday, July 10, 2020, and hereby affirm to the below oath: I do solemnly swear, subject to the penalties of perjury, to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help me God. And then each individual was to place their name and title and date.

So, Mr. Chairman, I will go ahead and read the individuals who have provided the oath to the Commission.

On behalf of the Indiana Gaming Commission, Sara Tait, Greg Small, Angela Bunton, Dustin Moloy and Danielle Leek.

From Unite Here, Anjila Gaudet.
And from Eldorado and Caesars, Tom Reeg, Jan Jones Blackhurst, Gary Carano, Stephanie Lepori, Anthony Carano, Mike Messaliga, Matt Norris, Bret Yunker, Jeff Hendricks and Ed Quatmann.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, Mrs. Tait.
Is there anybody that's going to speak before the Commission today that has not signed one of the oath declarations?

All right. Thank you very much. Do you want to proceed?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Sure. So,
Mr. Chair, we have actually some old business to attend to, so I would go ahead and ask that Dustin Moloy from the Gaming Commission present those orders to the Commission.

MR. MOLOY: Yes. Good morning, Commissioners. We do have quite a bit of old business to attend to.

You have before you Orders 2020-04 through 2020-13 which deal with matters that were tabled at the May 15, 2020, business meeting and are ripe for review.

Order 2020-04 deals with an application for a felony waiver that was received by an occupational licensee. Her name was Wendy Walton. She was given an interim approval by the Executive Director on February 14, 2020.

Order 2020-05 discusses Majestic Star's request to appoint Mr. Thomas Dingman to serve as its written power of attorney after its previous written power of attorney had passed away, leaving the position vacant. Mr. Dingman was appointed through Emergency Order MS-01 -- excuse me, Order $2020-\mathrm{MS}-01$ by the Executive Director in accordance with the authority contained in Resolution 2012-151.

Orders 2020-06 through 2020-09 involve casino financing requests received from Boyd Gaming, Penn National, Gaming Entertainment, (Indiana) and Spectacle Gary respectively. Each request was granted an interim approval by the Executive Director in accordance with Resolution 2017-109.

Orders 2020-10 through 2020-12 involve ownership transfers between Indiana licensees, Crown Indiana Gaming, SBTech Malta and Rush Street Interactive. These transfers were approved through Orders 2020-VEN-01, 2020-SL-03, and 2020 -VEN-03 respectively by the Executive Director in accordance with Resolution 2012-151.

And, finally, Order 2020-13 deals with Emergency Order 2020-AD-01 which was approved by the Executive Director pursuant to Resolution 2012-151, and approved the recovery of certain payments through the exercise of a promoter's bond.

The aforementioned interim approvals and emergency orders must be presented to the full Commission for final action. Approval of Orders 2020-04 through 2020-13 would have the effect of ratifying these interim approvals and emergency orders.

I'd be happy to answer any questions you have.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Commissioners, any questions for Dustin?

Hearing none, what is your pleasure?
COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Mr. Chairman, I make a
motion for the approval of Orders 2020-4 through 2020-13.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Second.
COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Commissioner Svetanoff seconds.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you. Thank you, sirs. It was moved by Commissioner Dudich and seconded by I think Mr. Commissioner Fine to approve Orders 2020-04 through 2020-13.

Did I get that right, Commissioner Fine?
Is there any further discussion on the motion?

Hearing none, Commissioners, what is your pleasure? Shall we vote?

All in favor, please signify by saying aye. (Chorus of ayes.)

All opposed.
Motion passes unanimously.
Thank you, Dustin.
MR. MOLOY: Thank you.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: So, Mr. Chairman, we're going to go ahead and move into new business if that works. And Dustin's going to take us through matters related to patrons and also, let's see, license renewals, so, Dustin, if
you would like to continue, please.
MR. MOLOY: Sure thing.
Members of the Commission, you have before you Orders 2020-14 through 2020-17 concerning the petitions for removal from the statewide exclusion list of Jocelyn Walker, Derrick Outlaw, Bonnie Lyons and Antwuan Ingram respectively.

Each of these individuals was present for a telephonic hearing with a Commission attorney where the burden was placed on the petitioner to prove by clear and convincing evidence that he or she should be removed from the statewide exclusion list. A Commission attorney then prepared findings of fact and a recommendation for each petition, where he or she recommended that Walker, Lyons and Ingram be removed from the exclusion list, but that Outlaw remain on the exclusion list.

Adopting these findings would have the effect of granting Walker, Lyons and Ingram's petitions for removal and denying Outlaw's petition for removal.

There's more information contained in your confidential Commission materials. And I'd be happy to answer any questions you have on these
petitions.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, Dustin.
Any questions for Dustin on this proposed order?

Hearing none, what is your pleasure, Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER HERNDON: I move that we approve Order 2020-14 through Order 2020-17.

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: I second that.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you. It's been properly moved and seconded to approve Orders 2020-14 through 2020-17.

Is there any discussion on the motion?
Hearing none, all in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
Opposed.
Motion carries unanimously.
Thank you.
MR. MOLOY: Thank you. Moving on to license renewals.

Commissioners, you have before you Orders 2020-18 through 2020-26 concerning the renewal of suppliers IGT, Halifax Security, Zuvid, Data Financial, Incredible Technologies,

Tony deLeon and Associates, Interblock, TCS John Huxley, Genesis Gaming, Midwest Game Supply, SG Gaming, Patriot Gaming, Konami Gaming, Masque Publishing, Everi Payments, Ainsworth, USPC, House Advantage, Novomatic, NRT Technology and VICI Properties.

Casinos: Indiana Grand, Hoosier Park, Ameristar, Majestic Star and Horseshoe Hammond.

And Paid Fantasy Sports Operators: DraftKings, FanDuel and Fantasy Draft.

Each of the aforementioned licensees has submitted a timely request for renewal along with the required payment.

Approving Orders 2020-18 through 2020-26
would have the effect of renewing the license of each of the respective licensees for a period of one year.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Commissioners, any questions for Dustin?

Hearing none, what is your pleasure?
COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Motion -- or motion to approve Orders 2020-18 through 2020-26. COMMISSIONER HERNDON: I move also. CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Thank you.

It's been properly moved and seconded to approve Orders 2020-18 through 2020-26.

Any further discussion on the motions?
Hearing none, all in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
Opposed.
Motion carries unanimously.
Thank you.
MR. MOLOY: Thank you.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Thank you, Dustin.
Next on our agenda is a discussion on
permanent licenses. And I would ask Danielle Leek from the Gaming Commission to present to our Commissioners.

MS. LEEK: Good morning, Commissioners and Executive Staff.

You have before you Orders 2020-27 through 2020-29, which would approve permanent supplier licenses for Kambi Group, GeoComply Solutions and Hard Rock Gary Manager.

You also have before you Order 2020-30, which would approve the permanent sports wagering vendor license for Rush Street Interactive.

And Orders 2020-31 and 2020-32 approving the
permanent certificates of authority to conduct sports wagering for Rising Star and Majestic Star Casinos respectively.

All of the applicants have submitted the required applications and received temporary licenses issued by the IGC.

Commission staff conducted background and financial investigations on each applicant, along with their substantial owners and key persons. Commission staff found no material derogatory information that would affect suitability for any of the applicants. Staff's final reports are included in the confidential Commission meeting documents.

Approving Orders 2020-27 through 2020-32 will grant the permanent licenses for Kambi Group, GeoComply Solutions, Hard Rock Gary Manager and Rush Street Interactive, and the permanent certificates of authority to conduct sports wagering for Rising Star and Majestic Star, each subject to yearly annual renewal.

I'm happy to answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Thank you, Danielle. Commissioners, any questions for Danielle?

Hearing none, what is your pleasure?
COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Mr. Chairman, I would make a motion to approve Orders 2020-27 through 2020-29.

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: I second the motion.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you.
It's been properly moved and seconded to approve Orders 2020-27 through 2020-32; correct? COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: All in favor -- oh, any further discussion on the motion? Excuse me. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Mr. Chairman, if I may, I do think we would like to group the orders, so Commissioner Dudich, if you want to remake your motion to cover all of the orders that Danielle touched on, which were Orders 2020-27 through 2020-32.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Sorry, I was looking at the wrong section. My apologies, Mr. Chairman.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Okay, no, no, no.
COMMISSIONER DUDICH: I would like to amend my motion, Mr. Chairman, to make a motion to approve Orders 2020-27 through 2020-32.

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: I'll second that amended motion, then.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, sir.
It's been properly moved and seconded to amend the motion to approve the Orders 2020-27 through 2020-32.

Is there any further discussion on the motion?

Hearing none, all in favor, please signify by saying aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
Motion passes unanimously. Thank you.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Thank you.
Okay. So next we're going to go ahead and move on to disciplinary actions, so we'd ask Angela Bunton to present these matters to our Commissioners.

MS. BUNTON: Good morning, Commissioners and Executive Staff.

You have before you twelve settlement agreements concerning disciplinary actions against licensed suppliers, twelve settlement agreements concerning disciplinary actions against casinos, and six settlement agreements concerning disciplinary action against sports
wagering operators.
Order 2020-33 is a settlement agreement with American Gaming Systems totaling $\$ 1,000$.

Order 2020-34 is a settlement agreement with Scientific Games, including three counts, totaling \$16,500.

Order 2020-35 is a settlement agreement with Data Financial, including two counts, totaling $\$ 4,000$.

Order 2020-36 is a settlement agreement with Everi Payments totaling $\$ 1,500$.

Order 2020-37 is a settlement agreement with Genesis Gaming Solutions totaling \$3,000.

Order 2020-38 is a settlement agreement with IGT, including three counts, totaling $\$ 4,500$.

Order 2020-39 is a settlement agreement with Konami totaling $\$ 1,500$.

Orders 2020-40 is a settlement agreement with SBTech, including two counts, totaling \$9,000.

Order 2020-41 is a settlement agreement with NRT Technology totaling $\$ 1,500$.

Order 2020-42 is a settlement agreement with Surveillance Systems Integration totaling \$11,000.

Order 2020-43 is a settlement agreement with Kambi totaling \$21,000.

Order 2020-44 is a settlement agreement with GAN totaling $\$ 2,500$.

Order 2020-45 is a settlement agreement with Ameristar, including five counts, totaling \$19,000.

Order 2020-46 is a settlement agreement with Belterra, including four counts, totaling \$9,000.

Order 2020-47 is a settlement agreement with Blue Chip, including four counts, totaling $\$ 27,000$.

Order 2020-48 is a settlement agreement with French Lick, including seven counts, totaling $\$ 38,000$.

Order 2020-49 is a settlement agreement with Hollywood, including seven counts, totaling $\$ 24,200$.

Order 2020-50 is a settlement agreement with Hoosier Park, including eight counts, totaling $\$ 34,000$.

Order 2020-51 is a settlement agreement with Horseshoe Hammond, including five counts, totaling \$41,000.

Order 2020-52 is a settlement agreement with

Caesars Southern Indiana, including four counts, totaling \$22,000.

Order 2020-53 is a settlement agreement with Indiana Grand, including six counts, totaling $\$ 30,000$.

Order 2020-54 is a settlement agreement with Majestic Star, including three counts, totaling \$10,500.

Order 2020-55 is a settlement agreement with Rising Star, including seven counts, totaling $\$ 31,400$.

Order 2020-56 is a settlement agreement with Tropicana, including six counts, totaling $\$ 56,500$.

Order 2020-57 is a settlement agreement with the Off Track Betting Facility, Winner's Circle Indianapolis, including three counts, totaling $\$ 7,500$.

Order 2020-58 is a settlement agreement with the Off Track Betting Facility, Winner's Circle Clarksville, totaling $\$ 10,000$.

Order 2020-59 is a settlement agreement with DraftKings, including two counts, totaling \$10, 000.

Order 2020-60 is a settlement agreement with

FanDuel, including two counts, totaling \$9,000.
Order 2020-61 is a settlement agreement with Rush Street totaling \$4,000.

Order 2020-62 is a settlement agreement with William Hill, including two counts, totaling $\$ 12,500$.

Relevant details for each settlement agreement have been provided in your meeting materials. Details on the settlement agreements will also be available on the Commission's website following the meeting.

Mr. Chair, this concludes my presentation.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, Angela. Nicely done.

Commissioners, any questions for Angela?
Hearing none, what is your pleasure?
COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: I make a motion to approve Orders 2020-33 through Orders 2020-56. CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: 62, Commissioner? COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: I'm sorry, I'm sorry, let me amend that. It's 2020-62.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you. We have a motion to approve Orders 2020-33 through 2020-62; correct?

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Correct.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Was there a second to that?

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: I second that.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you.
It's been properly moved and seconded to approve Orders 2020-33 through 2020-62.

Is there any discussion on the motion?
Hearing none, what is your pleasure?
All in favor, please signify by saying aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
Opposed.
The motion carries unanimously.
Thank you.
MS. BUNTON: Thank you.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Thank you. And we're going to go ahead and move on to matters related to occupational licensees. And I appreciate everybody's patience. We're trying to lump all of our Commission orders together to make this as efficient as possible, so I know it's a little bit different than usual, so thank you for your understanding and patience.

So, Dustin, you've got a few matters left to get to, so if you'd like to take it away and talk about occupational issues.

MR. MOLOY: Yeah, thank you.
Commissioners, you have before you Orders 2020-63 through 2020-79.

Orders 2020-63 through 2020-73 concern settlement agreements reached between Commission staff and occupational licensees where the licensee agreed to an unpaid voluntary relinquishment of his or her license in lieu of further disciplinary action.

Approving these orders would have the effect of ratifying the settlement agreement entered into between the parties.

Orders 2020-74 through 2020-79 would deny applications for occupational licensees to work in Indiana casinos.

With respect to these orders, each applicant failed to disclose his or her complete criminal history, thus failing to meet the established standards for licensure. Each applicant was given an opportunity to withdraw his or her application from consideration for permanent licensure, but failed to do so.

Detailed information regarding Orders 2020-63 through 2020-79 is contained in your confidential Commission materials.

If you have any questions regarding these orders, I'd be happy to answer them.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, Dustin.
Any questions for Dustin?
Hearing none, what is your pleasure, Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER HERNDON: Mr. Chairman, I recommend that we approve Order 2020-63 through 2020-79.

CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER FINE: Second.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: It's been properly moved and seconded to approve Orders 2020-63 through 2020-79.

Is there any discussion on the motion?
Hearing none, all in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
Opposed.
Motion carries unanimously.
Thank you, Dustin.
MR. MOLOY: Thank you.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Dustin, go ahead and continue with matters related to the Athletic

Division.
MR. MOLOY: Yes. You have before you Orders 2020-80 and 2020-81.

Order 2020-80 has been withdrawn as the promoter involved has since made its statutorily required payment to the Commission.

But Order 2020-81 involves a settlement agreement reached between Commission staff and boxer Saleto Henderson, whereby Henderson agreed to pay a fine in lieu of further disciplinary action.

Approving this order would have the effect of ratifying the settlement agreement entered between the Commission and Mr. Henderson.

And that concludes the Athletic orders.
Thank you.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Commissioners, any questions for Dustin on proposed Order 2020-81?

Hearing none, what is your pleasure? Order 2020-81.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Mr. Chairman, I would like to make a motion to approve Order 2020-81. CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Second.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: The second by

Commissioner Fine.
It's been properly moved and seconded to approve Order 2020-81.

All in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
Opposed.
Motion carried unanimously.
Thank you.
MR. MOLOY: Thank you.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Dustin, go ahead and please continue.

MR. MOLOY: Yes, we're moving on from the Athletic Division to resolutions.

Commissioners, you have before you Resolutions 2020-82 through 2020-86. Detailed information of each of the resolutions are contained in your materials, and if you have questions along the way, feel free to interrupt me.

Resolution 2020-82 concerns the readoption of the Commission's sports wagering emergency rule which will run through August 18, 2020; thus, in order to avoid a gap in rule coverage, readoption of the emergency rule is necessary
along with a 90-day extension, which would allow the readopted rule to run through February 14, 2021. Subtle changes have been made to the emergency rules and those have been highlighted in the materials provided to you and they have been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

Resolution 2020-83 concerns interim felony waivers. Through Resolution 2009-59, the Executive Director may issue an interim felony waiver to an occupational licensee in certain situations where five years have elapsed since the individual was discharged from probation, parole or imprisonment. Resolution 2020-83 would expand the Executive Director's authority to issue interim felony waivers to occupational licensees in certain situations where ten years have elapsed since the individual was discharged from probation, parole or imprisonment. All interim felony waivers must be presented to the Commission for final action.

Resolution 2020-84 concerns transfers between licensees. Through Resolution 2017-108, the Executive Director may approve the transfer of ownership between supplier licensees or key
persons thereto that have already undergone Commission investigation. Resolution 2020-84 would expand the Executive Director's authority to approve ownership transfers between sports wagering vendor licensees or key persons thereto in addition to suppliers.

Resolution 2020-85 concerns a delegation of authority to the Executive Director to approve forfeitures that occur as a result of a voluntary exclusion violation.

And, finally, Resolution 2020-86 concerns approving the Office of Administrative Law Proceedings to preside over all Commission administrative actions unless the Commission decides to preside over a given matter.

If you have any questions regarding these resolutions, I'd be happy to answer them.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, Dustin. Well done.

Commissioners, any questions for Dustin on these proposed resolutions?

Hearing none, what is your pleasure?
COMMISSIONER HERNDON: Mr. Chair, I move that we approve Resolution 2020-82 through

2020-86.
COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: I second that motion.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, gentlemen.
It's been properly moved and seconded to approve Resolutions 2020-82 through 2020-86.

Is there any discussion on the motion?
Hearing none, all in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
Opposed.
Motion carries unanimously.
Thank you.
MR. MOLOY: Thank you.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Commissioners, before we get to our last order of business, the casino transfer application, the Commission did receive a request from Unite Here to publicly address you all, and they also submitted supporting documentation as well, so that documentation has been provided to you, and I granted the request of Unite Here to speak today for five minutes.

So I'd like to welcome Anjila Gaudet to address the Commission.

Anjila, it's all yours.
MS. GAUDET: Hi. For the sake of transparency, I just want to let you guys know that Unite Here and Teamsters, we work really close together, and I'm a Teamster.

I want to -- I appreciate you guys taking the time to listen to me today. I am testifying on behalf of the Southern Indiana Riverboat Council.

I worked at Southern Indiana in Elizabeth for three years, the last year and a half as a slot attendant. Our union council is neutral on the position of whether the Indiana Gaming Commission should approve the proposed merger between Caesars and Eldorado. But I'm here to tell you about our serious concerns about working conditions on the property.

I've been back at work since June 15 th when Caesars reopened. It's been very busy. And I'm grateful to have a job when many people are out of work. But my co-workers and I are very concerned about potential exposure to COVID-19.

For me, this is deeply personal. My father lost his arm due to a work accident caused by unsafe work conditions when I was a young child
and it changed his entire life and it changed my life, so I've always felt that it's very important for people to be able to go to work without a fear of putting their life or body at risk.

On June 24th, Caesars announced a national policy requiring guests to wear masks except for while eating and drinking and smoking. This was a step in the right direction. However, shortly after that policy was announced, our property made that exception for smoking in certain areas on the casino floor. Between eating, drinking and smoking, many of our guests are spending a lot of time without a mask.

On top of that, I feel that our company is not doing enough to enforce the policy and it's putting us at risk. As frontline workers, we're not only interacting closely with guests, but we are often the enforcers, having to ask people to put their masks on. Often we're met with a lot of resentment. At least one guest has cussed me out when $I$ told them to wear a mask.

We need Caesars to do everything they can to protect us. That includes designating a separate smoking area off the casino floor and enforcing
the mask requirement.
One of the first COVID-19 cases in Harrison County, as I'm sure you all know, was a day one worker, someone who'd been at our casino for more than 20 years. That person died from COVID-19.

This issue touches all of us personally, and we demand that Caesars do more to protect us.

In addition to health and safety, I want to speak on the health insurance crisis that a lot of us are dealing with. We work for one of the biggest gaming companies in the country. Caesars entered the crisis with significant cash on hand, as you guys just announced, 2.6 billion reported at the end of March 2020. The Indiana Grand and Caesars Southern Indiana properties accounted for more than $500,000,000$ in revenue last year, yet many of my co-workers and I struggle to get the healthcare that we need. I personally have no health insurance. I haven't had insurance for more than a year and a half.

When I started working at Caesars, my son and I got health insurance through the Healthy Indiana Plan. When I became a slot attendant, my higher wages made me ineligible for that state support, but I wasn't full-time, so I couldn't
get on the company insurance. When I finally became full-time in January and tried to get coverage, $I$ was told I missed the open enrollment period and would have to wait until this October to get insurance.

I filed a grievance six months ago and I'm hoping that it will be resolved soon, but until then, I still don't have insurance during this pandemic. As someone with health issues, being without insurance has been a major challenge.

It's unacceptable for the billion -multibillion dollar gaming company to have its workers dependent on state benefits for their health insurance or to have workers going without insurance at all.

Yet even those who are on the company plan cannot always afford the healthcare that they need.

We did conduct a survey with 140 out of approximately 800 unionized staff at our Southern Indiana property and at our Indiana Grand Racing and Casino property and found that many people are really struggling. More than one in three surveyed workers reported that in the previous year someone in their household did not get the
medical care they needed due to lack of money. Nearly half of those respondents said that their household had at least a thousand dollars in medical debt. More than 20 percent reported at least $\$ 5,000$ in medical debt. 87 percent of workers reported having gone to work sick.

Now, think about that during this pandemic: 87 percent of workers reported having gone to work sick before the pandemic, most of whom said they did so because they could not afford to miss work.

The State of Indiana licenses Caesars to operate these properties with the expectation that they contribute to economic development, which should include good jobs and decent benefits. We don't think Caesars is living up to that standard. We are in a global health crisis. The time is now for Caesars to step up and protect our health.

I thank you for your time and hope that you'll think about the things that I've just told you. And that's all I have to say. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, Anjila. We appreciate you coming in online and talking with us. And the things you've talked about are
important and sobering, and we'll take them in consideration.

MS. GAUDET: Thank you very much.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: So that goes ahead and moves us to our final agenda item and the casino transfer of ownership matter, so I will go ahead and ask Tom Reeg, who is the chief executive officer of Eldorado Resorts, to make his presentation before the Commissioners.

So welcome, Tom.
MR. REEG: Thank you, Sara. I'm Tom Reeg, CEO Of Eldorado Resorts. I'm here today to talk about the transfer of -- the merger of Caesars and Eldorado.

With me today and presenting is Anthony Carano, our chief operating officer; Bret Yunker, chief financial officer; Stephanie Lepori, chief accounting -- or, I'm sorry, chief administrative officer; I have Jeff Hendricks here, VP of compliance; Ed Quatmann, our general counsel; and pleased to have Jan Jones Blackhurst here from Caesars who is a member of the Caesars board, will be a member of the combined board and heads our corporate social responsibility effort, heads Caesars social responsibility efforts and will
chair the corporate social responsibility committee of the new Caesars board.

So I'm on the slides, I'm going to start on slide number one. What I'm going to go through today is I'll go through an overview of where we are today. Bret Yunker will move into detailed conversations about financials. Anthony Carano will speak to the current integration plan and where we are there, and operations. Stephanie Lepori will answer Ms. Gaudet's comments that you just heard prior to mine. Jan Jones Blackhurst will speak to the Caesars code of social responsibility and how we will move -- we will expand upon that moving forward. And then I intend to offer some concluding remarks and open to questions.

So staying on slide one, as we -- I don't have to tell you this has been an extraordinary period of time in terms of dealing with COVID in addition to this merger.

As we went through the period in March where assets were closing across the country to the point where we got to all of our assets across the entire country were closed by mid March, we had significant decisions to make in terms of
cash burn and employee welfare. We ended up furloughing over 90 percent of our employees on each side of the business by early May. We continue to pay benefits for furloughed employees, and we're happy to say that over 50 percent of our employees in the whole company are back today, but certainly that furlough day was the worst single day of my career.

And what $I$ would tell you is we immediately looked to is this the right decision to continue to move forward with this transaction. And as we entered that process, we were near the end of the regulatory process. We were expecting to be in front of the various Commissions in April. We'd done a great deal of the integration planning and started to do that work. And it was clear to us that all of the benefits that we saw in the transaction for all of our stakeholders, including the State of Indiana, remained in place.

The expectation at the time was the benefits -- realizing the benefits would just shift a little bit further down the line as we dealt with the crisis.

So during the period of time that we were
closed, the combined companies burned about a billion dollars of cash. And Bret Yunker will get into the specifics of the financials in this transaction. But we were faced with how do we fund this transaction, how do we finance ourselves, and I'm pleased to report three weeks ago we went to the markets and executed historic equity and debt issuance to support this merger that leaves us in a financial position that's virtually identical to what we initially reported when we put the transaction together last June. And I know there is -- there are questions when a smaller company takes over a much larger company, can you -- do you have the management breadth and the capability to take these responsibilities on. You know, what I would submit to you is we will go through our -- our entire strategy and the compelling reasons that this transaction makes sense, but I'd say that our execution over the last three months to put us in the position that we are today stands testament to our ability to adapt and thrive in an extremely challenging environment.

The extra time that we've had to work on the integration plan has been put to good use. We
are in a better position today as we move toward closing this transaction than we have ever been in any of our prior actions. Anthony will get into those details.

In Indiana you should expect a seamless continuation of operations. The -- the leaders of the Indiana properties that you are familiar with today will continue in leadership roles, Dan Nita on the Caesars side. Part of the reason we're so excited to merge with Caesars is Caesars has a lot of great management talent, Dan Nita among the top of the list. He will be our regional president in charge of Indiana. And we're excited to work with Dan to continue to build upon the work that both companies have done in Indiana today.

And then, as I said, Jan Jones Blackhurst is here to speak to Caesars' code of social responsibility and the commitment of the -- the new company to continue that and expand upon that as we move forward.

If we move to slide two, the strategic rationale of the transaction is a focus on domestic gaming. Caesars had started to stray into international markets, including Japan and

Korea and had some existing assets. We intend to focus the new company entirely upon domestic gaming. Caesars has ceased their efforts in Japan. You should expect us to be winding down or -- and/or divesting of all of our international operations save for our management contract in Windsor, Canada, Ontario, Canada.

Geographic diversification of the company is unmatched in the space. We'll have over 50 properties across the country, and we can speak to how that's important even today where Las Vegas is returning slower than the rest of the -- the country. There are -- 60 percent of our business, roughly, in the combined company is regional business similar to Indiana, 40 percent is Las Vegas. The regional businesses have come back quite strongly, the entire business has come back stronger than we anticipated, but right now the regional business is supporting a slower recovery in Las Vegas.

We bring significant regional businesses and new markets into the Caesars Reward program. The Caesars Rewards program is a key reason that we are interested in this merger. It is the best rewards program in the space bar none. It
generates incremental visitation and revenue in regional markets and those customers are incentivized to use their rewards throughout the system.

You have seen that in Indiana with the performance of the Centaur assets relative to the rest of the sector since Caesars took them over. We introduced new major metropolitan areas into the system, including Denver, Colorado; Houston, Texas, area; south Florida; Columbus, Ohio. We increased the amount of customers in the system by over 20 percent, and those customers will be able to utilize those rewards across a broader network of properties.

We expect particular opportunity in our Evansville asset as we introduce Caesars Rewards, and that will roll out starting on day one.

The Caesars brands are iconic in the space. There's no question that they are among the best brands in the business. We have been a serial acquirer over the past six years of gaming companies and assets. We have never acquired a portfolio of brands like we have here, and our intention would be to expand those brands and consolidate under a handful of brand names as we
move forward.
We are a company that uses -- decentralizes decision-making. Caesars is very centralized. A lot of decisions are made thousands of miles away in Nevada and are imposed upon the regional destinations.

We empower our local leadership, the people that are familiar with, to operate their assets according to our framework, but making most significant operational decisions at the local level. That's very important to us. We know that we make our -- we make our money at the local level. The more people you put in between our local management team and our customers the less efficient the operation becomes and the poorer the outcome for all stakeholders, so that's a big piece of what we do here.

And Indiana and its assets are of critical importance to the combined company. We know this is an extremely important transaction for the Indiana -- for the state of Indiana in terms of the amount of assets and their contributions to the state from a tax perspective. Similarly on our side, Indiana is the second most important state in this operation from a size standpoint
behind Nevada. And for us, the rationale is as compelling today as it was when we announced the merger.

So if we flip to slide three, I'll go through an overview of the terms. I know that everybody on the call is familiar with this. Eldorado intends to acquire Caesars for approximately $\$ 12.30$ per share, consisting of $\$ 8.70$ of cash, $\$ 3.60$ per share of common equity from Eldorado. The aggregate cash consideration is about seven and a half billion dollars. And we anticipate issuing over $77,000,000$ shares of equity to Caesars, representing over three billion dollars of equity value.

As I touched on earlier, and Bret will go into greater detail, three weeks ago we executed a series of capital raises including an \$800 million equity offering that was successfully priced and closed in mid June. The aggregate equity issued in this transaction is nearly $\$ 4$ billion, which we believe is the largest equity issuance ever in any previous gaming merger by a very wide margin.

We come from a fixed income background, myself and Mr. Yunker, and we understand the
importance of liquidity and capital structure and making sure that you can survive what we've just gone through and anything that the market throws at you going forward. And we've built a capital structure that can withstand a crisis.

Eldorado shareholders are expected to own approximately 56 percent of the merged company post transaction. We will change our name to Caesars Entertainment, Inc., at closing and trade under their ticker.

As I've said, the brands of Caesars are extraordinarily valuable and among the best, if not the best, in the space, and us changing our name should stand as testimony to that.

Flipping to slide four, in terms of current status, we have received approvals from shareholders of both companies. We've received FTC approval pending divestiture agreements that have been announced and signed for the MontBleu asset in Lake Tahoe and our Eldorado Shreveport asset in Louisiana. We have received all necessary gaming and racing approvals, save for your approval that we are in front of you for today, and I thank you for accommodating us at this session, Indiana Horse Racing Commission
meets on Monday, and New Jersey Gaming Commission will meet next week as well.

We have all of the financing in place to -required to close the merger in escrow accounts. The merged company will have over 3.3 billion of liquidity on day one, and by the end of the third quarter, subject to approvals of some additional liquidity transactions that Mr. Yunker will go through, we expect to have approximately \$4 billion of liquidity by the end of the third quarter.

The corporate leadership team and the new board are confirmed. It will be an eleven person board, six members from the Eldorado side and five members from the Caesars side. As I said, the operational integration is well ahead of prior acquisitions, and Anthony will go through some detail on that.

And thankfully for all of us, we submitted a model to you on April 30th, and I'll say this now and I'll -- I'm sure I'll say it again later. I want to thank Sara and her staff for all of the work that had to go -- had to be done through this. They effectively had to analyze this transaction twice, which is extraordinary given
its size, but we submitted numbers to you April 30th when nothing was open and there were no announcements of opening. And we were, we thought, realistic but conservative in our numbers, but what $I$ tell you is since that date, every state what we've -- we operate in has reopened and the operating results and cash flows are significantly ahead of our expectations and the numbers that we put in front of you in April, so we're pleased to report that.

And now I'm going to flip to slide five and turn it over to Mr. Yunker to go through financial details.

MR. YUNKER: Great. Thanks Tom. This is Bret Yunker speaking, chief financial officer of Eldorado Resorts.

Thank you, Commissioners and staff. I want to echo Tom's comments on the tremendous amount of work that's taken place over the last 12 months, again analyzing this transaction twice. No one ever expected what we all had to go through, so we deeply appreciate all of the time and effort from everyone here collected today.

When we originally underwrote the merger and related financing, we prepared for a wide range
of scenarios given the many unique challenges that the gaming industry has encountered over the past two decades. While we never anticipated a complete shutdown prior to the merger closing, we were in a position where the combined liquidity of both Eldorado and Caesars allowed us to endure a period where we collectively lost just under one billion dollars, but were still able to execute historic and highly successful financing for the merger in the middle of June. Tom already described these at a high level, and page 5 adds more detail.

On June 19th, in order to help address higher than expected debt levels at closing, we executed a $21,000,000$ share equity offering of Eldorado stock that generated $\$ 772$ million of net proceeds for our company. In effect, we sold a little over 10 percent of the pro forma company's market cap, which we believed was the prudent thing to do as a way to sacrifice upside while protecting downside.

The offering was placed with household names in the equity world, and heavy demand for our shares resulted in the offering pricing at a 1.5 percent premium to the prior day's closing
price, which happens less than 10 percent of the time and made this the largest offering post COVID to price at a premium.

Flipping to page 6, this page summarizes our pro forma equity market capitalization relative to our domestic peers in the gaming space. At \$7 billion we will be by far the highest valued company in the domestic gaming industry.

Turning to page 7, this page summarizes the debt raise that was executed immediately following our equity raise. Again we encountered extremely high demand from investors, which allowed us to upsize and price $\$ 8$ billion of debt at an average interest rate of just over 6 percent, which is right in line with what we originally anticipated when we announced the transaction in June of last year. Our blended cost of debt was also over 1.25 percent cheaper than recent offerings by Wynn, MGM and Boyd.

We believe the success of our debt transaction highlighted investor receptivity to the strategic rationale of the merger: Our balanced geographic presence, prudent financial policy, including the large equity offering, and strong track record of deleveraging our balance
sheet following our previous acquisitions.
In addition to raising $\$ 8.8$ million of combined debt and equity, we also announced two additional transactions to further enhance our liquidity post closing. The first is $\$ 500$ million of new proceeds from our real estate partner in connection with the sale of excess land and new mortgage note, and the second is a $\$ 210$ million increase to our revolving credit facilities. Both are subject to customary approvals, which we expect to receive in the third quarter.

Turning to page 8, this slide ties together our pro forma liquidity picture in table format, where you can see that we will have approximately 1.3 billion of cash on hand when we close the merger, and full access to $\$ 2$ billion of revolving credit facilities, yielding us 3.3 billion of aggregate liquidity at closing. The incremental transactions I just mentioned will bring all the liquidity to over \$4 billion later in the third quarter.

We believe this level of liquidity positions us extremely well in the event of a sustained period of weak operating performance or other
unexpected events that result in some period of diminished cash flows.

Page 9. Page 9 demonstrates our liquidity position relative to others in the industry, and again we believe we compare very favorably, especially considering the broad geographic footprint of the combined company and benefits of operating a diversified portfolio of properties.

Page 9 also demonstrates why we will be in a position day one post the merger to pick up where Caesars left off with respect to reinvesting in Indiana's high growth assets.

Even given these uncertain times, we are ready to get moving on these important projects. Indiana is a great place to do business, and we can't wait to get going.

Finally on page 10, you can see our final debt structure reflecting the permanent financing that we placed in June. At the Eldorado level, we successfully placed $\$ 5.2$ billion of new secured and unsecured bonds, alongside our \$1 billion committed revolver. At the CRC level, we successfully placed $\$ 2.8$ billion of new secured debt, alongside the existing $\$ 6.3$ billion of bank and bond debt that we expect to inherit
when we close.
This brings our aggregate debt balance to just over $\$ 14$ billion when we close the merger, or just under $\$ 13$ billion net of cash on hand, which is exactly in line with our original financing plan from a year ago.

In terms of final sources and uses on the day of closing, the sources will include \$8.8 billion of equity and debt, plus 3.2 billion of proceeds from VICI, plus a billion and a half of excess cash currently on the balance sheet, totaling thirteen and a half billion dollars of available cash at the merger closing date. There is no funding risk associated with this capital. It's all been placed successfully in the market, where it's backstopped with fully committed capital.

In terms of uses, there will be approximately seven and a half million dollars to Caesars shareholders, plus approximately five and a half billion dollars to repay debt and cover transaction expenses, plus the approximately 500,000,000 of excess cash that goes to our balance sheet, which is above and beyond our operating cash needs of approximately a hundred
million.
In terms of our runway and maturity stack, we will have no debt maturities until 2024 post closing of the merger. This is a significant advantage for us as it gives us four years to repay debt and deleverage in line with what Eldorado has done time and time again post its prior acquisitions.

Given we just successfully placed \$8 billion of new debt over a 72 -hour period in the teeth of the crisis, we are extremely confident in our ability to naturally repay and/or extend our debt maturities through a combination of free cash flow, asset sales and orderly refinancing.

With that, $I$ will turn it over to Anthony Carano.

MR. CARANO: Thanks, Bret. And good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, Executive Director Tait and staff.

I want to echo the previous speakers' comments about how excited we are about this potential transaction and how humbled we are to be in front of you today.

This transaction is not only great for both companies, but also for the state of Indiana and
the thousands of employees in the Hoosier state that this will positively impact.

So I'm going to go over the current integration status and then a couple slides on operations as well. Integration really kicked off in June of 2019 , which seems like an eternity ago right now, but we kicked off integration with site visits by the Eldorado leadership team to all Caesars properties, including all the properties in Indiana. We met with the local management teams, local stakeholders, local politicians, charitable organizations, and had fantastic meetings with all the stakeholders across the state in Indiana and across the country to really understand what the new Caesars wants to be, what type of company the new Caesars wants to be, and we came away from those meetings even more excited, more enthused than we previously were about how great this new company is going to be.

There's absolutely -- I don't have to tell you, but the properties in Indiana are fantastic. The opportunities in Indiana are great. And we cannot be more excited about the opportunity in front of us.

We have been meeting regularly with all properties and with the team in Las Vegas at Caesars. And I have to say the team at Caesars led by Tony Rodio and Tom Jenkin have been fantastic to work with from day one. They've made our lives a lot easier in terms of integration to get where we are today and to be in such a great position. The entire team at Caesars is enthused and has been fantastic to work with.

So post those meetings, we were in a position early this year in January to communicate the senior leadership team to the entire organization. The team is made up of incredible men and women from both Caesars and Eldorado. We believe it's the strongest team in gaming, with incredible experience throughout the country, and -- and we just can't wait to get going with this team and show everybody what we can do at this new great company.

Once we announced that team, COVID hit in March, but that didn't stall our integration efforts. The leaders of the integration teams, we have sponsors for each area of the business, again made up of both men and women from Caesars
and Eldorado, and I've just got to tell you that the leaders and the teams in integration in those areas did a phenomenal job through the shutdown and over the last couple of months when it's been incredibly difficult, obviously, to work from home and to get the items done that we needed to, but they've done a great job and have us in a great position to hit the ground running in day one.

And that goes across the company in marketing, hospitality, gaming, accounting, finance, HR, legal, just every area we feel very good about the position that we're in today.

As Tom said, it's a seamless transition for the state of Indiana. Dan Nita from -- from the first day that we met him has been fantastic to work with. He's an incredible regional president, incredible leader for the properties in the state. He will continue on with us as the regional president of Indiana.

The general managers we've had exceptional meetings with not only at our properties, but the Caesars properties, and we have great teams from top to bottom throughout the state. And that's evidenced by -- by not only the results prior to

COVID, but the results since reopening the properties.

We're focused keenly on providing the best-in-class public safety measures in response to COVID, not only for our guests, but as Ms. Gaudet said, for our team members.

And I can't stress this enough, how -- how important this is for not only our company, but for the new Caesars company. This is our number one concern, our number one priority right now is making sure we have a safe workplace for our team members and a safe property for our guests to come to.

We're also focused on growing revenues as the properties reopen to the public. And what's so exciting for us about the properties in Indiana is in today's state of gaming with expansion across the United States, there's not too many markets that -- that are growth markets anymore.

Indiana is a growth market. It's one of the best growth markets in the country. A number of the properties in this portfolio have tremendous opportunities to continue to grow revenues for years to come, and -- and we can't be more
excited about that, and thus our commitment to continue to spend large dollars in expanding the properties in Indiana and continuing to make them best in class.

And then also our commitment to grow and improve the horse racing in Indiana. We've had very good meetings over the last year with all the stakeholders in racing. And it was apparent our first day in Indiana that Indiana is the absolute gold standard in horse racing across the country. The tracks in Anderson and Shelbyville are absolutely beautiful and great tracks, phenomenally run, and we only -- we commit to only improving those tracks and improving horse racing for the state of Indiana, as we know and take very seriously the impact it has on the state, on every county in the state, and we are very excited to work with all the stakeholders throughout the state in racing as well.

Also the community and charitable contributions that Caesars has made in the state, we will not only continue those, but make those even better with the Caesars foundation that Ms. Blackhurst will hit on. And Caesars and Eldorado have done a great job in our communities
making it a very important mission for our general managers, for our team members to be involved and be leaders in our communities, not only through donations dollars, but also participating in various charitable organizations and -- and supporting the interests of our team members through their charitable organizations as well.

Again, we have full support and intend to continue on the expansion at the two -- at the two tracks, expansion of the table games and expansion of the casinos. Again, just absolutely incredible properties, and can't wait to continue the expansions at those properties.

As Tom says, there's been very encouraging results since reopening. All Caesars and Eldorado properties in the state of Indiana are up year-over-year in revenue. There's been very strong customer demand. The volumes have exceeded prior year results, and the health and safety protocols have been well received by the guests and team members. We continue -- as I said earlier, every day we continue to focus on this. We're meeting with health experts weekly to continue to evolve our safety protocols and
make sure that they're implemented correctly and all the time at our properties.

We've returned over 5,400 team members in the state of Indiana, about 60 percent of the combined workforce, and over 90 percent of the team members that were called have returned to work.

As I said earlier, we've -- we've met with local community officials in the state of Indiana, we've met with the mayors and their teams at every jurisdiction where we have a property in Indiana. The mayors have been very supportive and have been a tremendous asset for us to learn about the state of Indiana.

We've also met with local charitable organizations and reaffirmed our commitments to being a very large player in their organizations in the state of Indiana.

We've continued to communicate with the local leadership on the merger and the future of the company. And as Tom said, it's been a welcome change for not only our general managers and our teams in Indiana, but also for the local stakeholders that we've met with about how we empower the local teams, empower Dan Nita and his
general managers and the leadership teams in Indiana to make the decisions on the ground. The people on the property have a lot better pulse of what's going on than we do, you know, when we're not in the state every day.

With that said, we -- myself and our
leadership team, we travel a lot to our properties, and I find it incredibly important to be on the ground, get in the weeds of the properties, understand not only what's going on from a business perspective, but understand what's going on from a team member perspective. And that's how we make sure that the -- the team members are taken care of, the property is taken care of, we're reinvesting in the properties according -- in the right way, and we find that very, very important.

And I'd just like to thank -- again, thank Executive Director Tait and the rest of the staff. This has been an incredible amount of work that they've put into this and want to thank you for that.

I'd also like to thank Ms. Gaudet for her comments. They are comments that we take to heart, that we take very seriously.

And I'd like to turn the floor over to Ms. Lepori to address her comments.

MS. LEPORI: Thank you, Anthony. And thank you to the Commission and Executive Director Tait for giving us the opportunity to respond to Ms. Gaudet's comments and concerns.

As Anthony said, health and safety protocols are paramount to us and continuing to evolve as we address every day and what's going on in the world and new information, as you all well know. We are adapting as quickly as possible and staying on top of it.

Affordable healthcare and quality healthcare is -- for our team members is a major focus for both Eldorado and Caesars, and will be for the combined company. In fact, we continued to -- as Tom mentioned earlier, we've continued to provide during the shutdown health insurance to all of our team members both at Eldorado and Caesars while we were not operating, and we've continued to commit to do so through August 31st for those that have not returned to work as we continue to ramp up. This includes covering their employee contributions in addition to the cost that the company absorbs for providing those -- that --
the healthcare.
I also wanted to say that the size of the combined company should also give us a greater opportunity to obtain the best cost and options available for our team members.

In the current Caesars company-sponsored insurance plan, Caesars pays approximately 85 percent of the medical costs. Moreover, those plans are considered gold or nearly platinum in terms of quality in offerings, and they also offer on-site well nurses to provide assistance and access to care.

We also understand that approximately 74 percent of the team members employed by Caesars Indiana properties participate in those company-sponsored health plans.

That being said, we hear the concerns raised by Ms. Gaudet and appreciate those. We look forward to addressing them and having conversations with the unions as well, but as Anthony said, there's nothing more important to us than the -- you know, the well-being of our team members, and we will have conversations with them directly and make sure we understand concerns both about the health and safety
measures and what their issues are or concerns are with access to the great affordable and quality healthcare programs offered.

And with that, $I$ will turn it over to Jan Jones Blackhurst to discuss our CSR and division -- the first Indiana inclusion initiatives.

MS. BLACKHURST: Thank you, Stephanie. Good morning, Commissioners, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, Executive Director Tait and staff.

I'm delighted to be with you here this morning. As you know, Caesars has long been the standard for community responsibility, understanding that it's not just about the business that we do, but how we give back to our communities, our customers and our employees, so I couldn't be more delighted with the approach that the Eldorado team has taken to ensure that this standard is maintained in the new Caesars company.

One of the first decisions they made that I think is clearly indicative of how much they value corporate social responsibility is forming a board committee that will look at ESG and as
well as corporate social responsibility. This will ensure that the board is actively engaged in making sure that we are the standard-bearers for the industry.

We also are looking to -- Caesars started in 2018 a $50 / 50$ by 2025 initiative, which was a gender initiative that by the year 2025 , we would have equal representation of women and women of color at all levels of the company, both horizontally and vertically.

And $I$ think it really speaks to who this team is with Eldorado that when we experienced our recent social unrest, Tom Reeg called me and said I want to make sure that our initiative encompasses all people of color, all gender, and that we're looking at our human resource practices and policies to ensure that our leadership teams look like the communities in which we do business.

So we're looking at how we adapt our human resource policies, our practices, where we do our recruiting, how we identify talent and then how we ensure that that talent is moved to the highest levels of the organization.

As Anthony mentioned, we're going to
continue with the Caesars Foundation and Caesars Cares, and we really talked about expanding those programs and protocols. Our hero volunteer boards which last year gave more than $\$ 500,000$ of community time back into the community will be sustained, and our business impact groups will be expanded throughout the enterprise.

And I don't know how familiar you are with those impact groups, but they represent LGBTQ, African American, Latino, military, and give a voice to all the members of our Caesars family and the new Caesars family.

I think that what we're looking to do here will ensure that we maintain our leadership. We were just appointed to the Civic 50 once again and to the Corporate 100, which is the 100 most corporate socially responsible companies, not just in gaming, but in industry more broadly.

And then, of course, near and dear to our heart will be the continuation of the Caesars responsible gaming initiatives. As you know, we have over 800 responsible gaming ambassadors, and Eldorado has committed to adopt all of our policies and practices.

So I am very excited about this new company.

I think it exhibits all of the attributes and the foundational leadership that has been important not only to the gaming industry, certainly to Caesars. I think the Carano family brings a real sense of ownership and purpose and understands that this reflects on who they are as a family. We're so pleased to still be a part of Indiana, which has been wonderful for the company and you have been a wonderful experience for us as well.

So I thank you for this opportunity. I think it's a great opportunity for Caesars/ Eldorado and for the state of Indiana.

And with that, $I$ will turn it back to Tom Reeg for closing remarks.

MR. REEG: Thanks so much, Jan.
I have a couple points $I$ wanted to make in conclusion. First of all, I screwed up right out of the gate in not announcing that my boss, Gary Carano, the executive chairman of the board of directors, is also participating in the call. Gary's father Don started our company in 1972. Gary's a very active executive chairman, very deep into operations of the business, and we're happy that he's here today as well.

I wanted to touch on, you know, we presented
our affirmative presentation of why we think this is in the best interests of all of our stakeholders, including the state of Indiana. We're very excited about expanding our relationship in Indiana. It's a great place to do business. These are fantastic assets that Caesars owns in the state. We would love to own all of the assets that Caesars owns.

But what $I$ would tell the Commission is we have been made aware of concerns through staff of the concentration levels of the combined company post closing, and we have -- we are agreeable to divesting two assets in the state. What we would ask for, if that were adopted, is enough time to execute those divestitures in an orderly fashion. We think that's in the best interests of all stakeholders, including the state.

And what we have requested is from the time of closing, six months to have a signed agreement for the first property and twelve months for the second.

And before I'd open to questions, I again want to thank Sara and Jenny and Greg and the staff for all of the work that they've done, and thank you for accommodating our request in front
of you today.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, sir.
Commissioners, are there questions for the folks from Eldorado/Caesars?

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I have a couple questions. May I proceed?

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Yes, sir. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Thank you. Good morning and welcome.

Evidently you've been operating the Tropicana Evansville Casino for about a year. Can you share with us your analysis of how the operations are going there?

MR. REEG: Sure. We have -- we've been quite happy with the operations of Tropicana Evansville since we took over. We were fortunate to take over the assets shortly after they spent the capital to -- to move to a land-based operation. It's a beautiful property in Evansville, moved from the boat to land-based.

We have -- we've improved the asset by adding Sports Book in the most notable improvement to the property since we opened. It's in fantastic shape, lots of capital invested all the way up, prior to our ownership and then
the addition of Sports Book.
And in terms of operating numbers, revenues were down slightly since we've taken over ownership, EBITDA is up, and since reopening, casino revenue is up at the property and EBITDA is up as well, so we've been very pleased with the performance of Evansville.

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Excellent.
Follow-up question to that is it is our understanding that when your management was first asked by our staff, that your staff was unaware that there had been 111 job cuts at the Evansville property. In fact, it took many weeks to agree to -- that that reduction had occurred.

Why was that?
MR. REEG: I don't recall -- I don't recall a disagreement about the job cuts. There were -most of the 111 was through attrition, and if you'll recall, when the -- when those jobs were lost, the unemployment rate was -- in the state of Indiana was I believe under 4 percent, so it was -- it was -- it would be difficult to fill roles as people left in that environment.

But some of it was we operate -- we operate it a little differently than Tropicana and we
made some changes at the senior level, in particular, where we had -- they had more layering than we were accustomed to, and we made those changes.

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: I have to tell you, 111 jobs is pretty severe, though, at one casino that I would consider would be a midsized casino.

MR. REEG: Understood. You know, what I would tell you is, you know, we are -- we're constantly monitoring employee levels across the organization.

In an environment -- we're in an entirely different environment today where about 63 percent of our employees in Indiana are back, which means 37 percent are still furloughed, so we're working to bring back as many employees as we can.

I would tell you that as we move forward, our expectation is -- in terms of those jobs coming back, a lot of that's going to be dictated by what the state allows us to offer.

As we sit here today, a lot of the restrictions -- a lot of the -- the jobs that have not been brought back are relative to restrictions imposed upon us by the state that
are certainly reasonable in the current environment.

MR. CARANO: Mr. Commissioner, this is Anthony Carano. I'd like to address one thing as well.

While we did have some job cuts at Evansville, the -- when we stepped into that property, the benefit program for our team members was basically nonexistent. The -- we last year instituted a new benefits program that was extremely well received by all the team members, in addition to reinstating payroll increases and a number of other areas in terms of team member benefits that have been very well received, and the team members are in a lot better position today than they were prior to our ownership as well.

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Okay. I guess another follow-up question. If we take your casinos', I guess -- or your company's historical employment cuts and apply those across our -that would be applied -- potentially applied across our Indiana properties, so we have the example of the Evansville property. If we would apply those cuts across Indiana, the results
would be catastrophic.
I guess my -- my follow-up question is what commitments can you give our Commission regarding employment at our Indiana casinos that you propose to merge with?

MR. REEG: What I would tell you,
Commissioner, is we have made -- we have told your staff that we are open to any employment conditions that you would -- you would impose upon the combined company. We do not see significant cuts in the Indiana asset. We didn't see that prior to COVID. As I've said, post COVID a lot of it's going to be impacted by what operations we're allowed to bring back, but Indiana job cuts is not a material percentage of any savings that we see going forward.

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: I guess I'll put a follow-up question out. What's your definition of significant?

MR. REEG: In terms of Indiana jobs -- I would expect Indiana employment levels, leaving aside what can be open and can't be open, would be larger under our ownership than on a combined basis in the existing company.

We expect to expand the casinos in -- at

Indiana Grand and Hoosier Park, which would lead to additional construction jobs as those assets are -- as those properties are expanded, and then additional jobs post expansion in the expanded properties.

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: So I guess
basically what I'm hearing you say is you don't anticipate any cuts in employment at the Indiana casinos you propose to take over, but you propose increases in employment?

MR. REEG: What I'm saying is on an aggregate basis, our expectation would be Indiana employment will be higher post our ownership than prior to it as a result of the expansions to the -- the table games at the tracks.

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Okay.
MS. GAUDET: This is Anjila Gaudet. Can I ask one last question before $I$ get off?

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Anjila, I'm sorry, your time to speak is over with. You're not allowed to present during this -- this part of the conversation.

MS. GAUDET: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: I have a couple follow-up on Commissioner Svetanoff's. So -- and I'm not
trying to put words in your mouth, but I want to make sure that I understand what you're saying. So if our order had some language that said that you would commit to no decrease in employment in your remaining Indiana properties for a period of time, a year or two, that'd be -that'd be something that you could live with?

MR. REEG: A hundred percent.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, sir.
COMMISSIONER FINE: I want to go in a little different direction, if I could, and it's -- I think it's the 800 pound gorilla in the room.

Market concentration, you spoke -- you
mentioned a proposal to divest of two properties. And when I look at the numbers, I'm curious what your numbers show, what do you show your market concentration in Indiana if you divest only two properties? Do you --

MR. REEG: Bret, do you have that in front of you? I had that yesterday.

MR. YUNKER: Yeah. If you look at the five properties, you know, taking Caesars' four today, adding Eldorado's, we would be at, you know, high $50 s$ to 60 percent. If we look at divesting two assets, we should land at 40 percent or below.

COMMISSIONER FINE: And I guess that you don't believe that to be concentration level enough to warrant the divestiture of a third property?

MR. YUNKER: We do not. It's less than what Caesars has today, and we're very confident in operating the properties.

COMMISSIONER FINE: But I did hear earlier that you expect Indiana -- you love it, it's a growth market, so if you start at 40 percent, what would you expect you would grow into?

MR. YUNKER: Well, Indiana as a whole is growing with a bunch of new expansions, Terre Haute, you know, and the Pokagon Tribe, etc., so it's a dynamic exercise over time.

We do believe we will grow, you know, in central Indiana with the tracks. We're very excited to get those expansions going. I think Caesars stated itself that those would be on indefinite pause. We will turn those on immediately.

MR. REEG: But in terms of a direct answer to the question in terms of numbers, with the addition of Terre Haute and the Gary project moving to the highway, we would expect we would
still be in the 40 percent, low 40 s even with our growth with the tracks.

COMMISSIONER FINE: And to your -- in your opinion, that's not a level that would warrant a third divestiture?

MR. REEG: No. These are all -- we understand that within the state that is our ownership percentage, but each of these properties, including the two we would divest, are distinct markets with ample competition throughout, so it's not as if we have monopoly power in any of those areas.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Have you already determined which properties you would divest?

MR. REEG: Well, without being -- if we -we'd have to make a presumption on Monday's outcome in order to answer that question, but if we presume that we are approved on Monday by the Racing Commission, the expectation would be Evansville and Southern Indiana are the assets that would be divested.

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: I'm sorry, please repeat that.

MR. REEG: The expectation -- presuming that the Racing Commission approves us on Monday, the
expectation would be the Evansville asset and the Southern Indiana asset would be the two assets that we would divest.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Mr. Chairman, I have a few questions if Commissioner Fine is done with his.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, sir. Please proceed.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Wanted to be -- want to be respectful of Commissioner Fine.

Tom or Bret, a couple questions. You mentioned investment into Indiana. I think in the Nevada discussion that you had a while ago, you talked about half a billion dollars being invested into capital, a 60/40 split, roughly, between Nevada and the regional, regional being 60 million, which is about 300 million.

Can you explain to the Commission your plan for those investments, maybe specifically by site, how you plan to investing in -- or making capital investments into Indiana properties that you will acquire under this agreement?

MR. REEG: Sure. If we -- again, we're going to be in the position of making assumptions on your decision and the Racing Commission's
decision as we do this, but if in fact what we end up with is the Hammond asset and the tracks, the Hammond asset is, frankly, in fantastic shape. Obviously Caesars spent a whole lot of money there to build the -- the mother of all boats that operates there today, so I would expect you'd be looking at maintenance expenditures in Hammond, no significant projects on the immediate horizon, so those typically run about 5 percent of revenue across the entire organization.

And then we have Caesars' developed plans at Indiana Grand and Hoosier Park to expand following the legalization of table games, they've effectively opened all of the tables that they can fit into the properties. We have drawings and would be ready to begin expansions.

Bret, what do those total in terms of dollars?

MR. YUNKER: Yeah, it's 60 million plus for those expansions.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: And, Bret, that's 60 million between both -- both tracks, or is that 60 million each?

MR. YUNKER: No, that's between both tracks.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Okay. With regard to my concern, and I appreciate all the financial discussion on the -- on the deal and the liquidity and all of that, but I guess my question would be beyond coming in and being a partner with Indiana, how does Eldorado see growing the state's revenues through these investments and are there specific -- like talking about the expansion at the two tracks, do you have data or do you have information that the Commission needs to be made aware of as to how you are going to grow gaming revenue in Indiana with these investments?

My concern is great financial deal from a Wall Street perspective, but for the state of Indiana, gaming revenue is an important part of our general revenue source, so do you have any kind of walk that says here's where we are today, here's a $\$ 60$ million investment at the tracks, here's the potential divestiture, this is how we think, barring any major economic changes, how Eldorado is going to grow AGR in the state of Indiana to benefit Hoosiers and the state's general fund?

MR. YUNKER: Yeah, no detailed walk on the
bridge. We know anecdotally from January and February with the live table games that went into effect at the tracks, revenue was off the charts and demand was off the charts, so just -- just from that anecdotal evidence and speaking with the GMs, incremental investment there we believe without a shadow of a doubt is going to grow gaming revenue at those two properties.

Hammond obviously is trickier given the neighboring state and what's going on with Illinois, but what we do at Eldorado is we're decentralizing operations and we think more focus at the property level should also help grow revenues in any environment.

MR. REEG: And you also get Elgin from our system introduced in the Caesars Rewards, which obviously is a similar feeder market to Hammond, so you could get some cross-market play between the two.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: And then in terms of the -- the investment that's being made, the $\$ 60$ million, the job growth that you see there, the increase in the table games, with the divestiture of potentially Evansville and Southern Indiana, you feel that -- you feel
strongly that that local control that you're giving -- sorry, I was trying to get to a point, I just realized this, but to your point, local control, is that what I'm hearing as those -those managers in that local area are really going to be focused on growing not only the benefit to the organization, but also growing AGR, or is it really hey, you need to -- you need to hit EBITDA and then $A G R$ is a second -secondary issue?

I'm just trying to make sure the priority of that local control. I don't disagree with it. I understand the Caesars setup, but that local control sounds great, especially for mayors and county commissioners who rely on gaming revenue to fund operations within counties and cities, but are those local managers and local, you know, heads prioritizing one over the other or trying to balance the two? Kind of walk me through where that prioritization is. You talked a little about EBITDA, how that was looking good, but maybe revenues were down.

My concern again, how much local control is being focused on how the state is benefiting from that investment and from that control versus

Eldorado.
MR. REEG: Yeah, they are -- they're incentivized to balance both. We are -- this is a -- by its nature, a mature business that is not a particularly strong grower on the top line. It's kind of a GDP type grower.

The investments that we're talking about making into Indiana are really the best way to drive real revenue growth in this space, is to -it's to invest new capital in projects that increase visitation. And you should expect us to do that across our business, and we have the resources as a combined company to pursue those.

You know, if you look at the submissions from both of us throughout this process, Caesars in the submissions to the state was going to put on hold the -- the track expansions that we're talking about here in a prudent we need to manage our capital as we go forward.

The combined capital and the liquidity of this combined company allows us to put those on the front burner and get those going right away, and that's what's going to drive revenue.

And as we look at Hammond in the -- in the north part of the state, we're going to make sure
we're investing the necessary capital to defend its position as Illinois begins its expansions in the -- in the same market.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Thank you, Tom. One final question and then I'll give it back to the Chair.

With regard to this process, this has been a long process and there's been a lot of back and forth, a lot of discussion, a lot of commitments that are being made -- or being stated here as part of the meeting.

What additional follow-up will you commit to to the Commission, the Commission staff, on a quarterly, six month or monthly basis to keep us up to speed on these investments and the status of how things are going with the -- with the changeover?

My concern, the reason $I$ ask is we all meet, great idea, approve, other things happen, we all go home. My concern is a lot of commitments being made, your point about AGR, your point about employment, those are some pretty big commitments.

How willing are you and what kind of commitment will you have with the Commission to
respond quickly, respond with details, if we have questions, updates, want to know how things are going so that we're apprised of the direction that the merger has taken and the impact it's having on Indiana?

MR. REEG: Well, I can assure you that Sara is not going to let me get very far away from her, but what I would say is I will commit personally to be in front of this Commission as often as you would like me to be in front of this Commission. I know how important this transaction and us as a company going forward are to the state from an employment and a tax perspective and an investment perspective, and we expect our feet to be held to the fire, and I'm happy to be in front of you personally as often as you like and we will update you as often as you're looking to be updated as we move forward. COMMISSIONER DUDICH: I appreciate that. I appreciate that. I believe that licenses being given to not only Eldorado, but others too, the other operators is an asset that the state gives to those operators, to be considered to be a -- I don't want to call it a gift, but it's something that we regard as the opportunity for you to do
business in Indiana, for you to excel as a company, but also needs to benefit Indiana, so I ask that question because it's important for me as a former state official that there is a strong partnership, a strong collaboration and a strong cooperation with regard to these licenses, and a disregard of that and a disregard to continuing that communication, collaboration will probably have some people asking a lot of tough questions and wanting to discuss a lot more detail, so I appreciate that commitment, Tom, and I thank you for that.

MR. REEG: We recognize it's a privilege and we understand the responsibilities that it comes with.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Thank you, Tom. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, I -I'm curious to know why your eye was exclusively on Southern Indiana when you thought through your divestiture.

MR. REEG: It's really a function of how the assets are held currently in terms of ease of extricating them from leasehold arrangements, but it's also because of what's going on in Illinois
in terms of expansion into the Chicago market. It's going to be difficult.

As you look at the potential assets available for sale, the toughest nut to crack would be Hammond, given it's already -- it's part of the VICI lease, so you'd have to negotiate how does it come out of that, but more importantly, you have a fluid and expanding competitive situation in Illinois and we think, frankly, from a state perspective, keeping Caesars Rewards, keeping that property in Caesars Rewards and the ability to defend with that system is the best outcome for the state.

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: So if we were to ask you to further divest, where would your eye go? MR. REEG: That -- it would probably ultimately go up to Hammond, but, as I said, that's a very difficult asset to think about selling in the current environment between the -between the pandemic and the competitive situation expanding in Illinois, I'm not optimistic about how that would go.

COMMISSIONER FINE: If I may just follow up to that point. You mentioned six months for one property, twelve months for a second property.

If we went to a third property, regardless of its location, what would you say is a reasonable period of time to divest?

MR. REEG: I would say you'd want to get well outside the -- the period of this pandemic, so I'd be looking at -- I think I've -- we've seen something beyond January of 2022. You want to get to where it's a clear picture for potential buyers so that it's an orderly process. You're going to get a better quality bidder in that case and you're going to get a better outcome for the state.

And I would add on that, just as I'm thinking about it, I would hope that would provide us the opportunity to show that the merger is providing benefit to the state and that I have been in front of you reporting on our progress and that you're pleased with where we are, and maybe that third asset would never have to be sold.

CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: While the Commissioners are pondering that for a moment, let me ask a tangential question.

Stephanie, are you still on the line with us?

MS. LEPORI: I'm here.

CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Would you consider Ms. Gaudet's concern about not being able to enter into your health program, just to make sure she's fully -- has available to her whatever your program options are. I won't ask you to get into those today, but $I$ was struck by what she said and wanted to make sure that it got your attention.

MS. LEPORI: Absolutely. We don't, you know, obviously govern the Caesars programs today, but I've written all those notes down and the open enrollment question that she brought up and what the concerns are, so definitely top of mind and we will reach out to her directly. CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Thank you. Mrs. Tait. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Yeah.

Mr. Chairman, if you don't mind, $I$ just have a quick question probably for Tom, or maybe Bret.

Can you all -- and, Tom, you used a phrase, and I wish I had written it down, that your company has been on a buying spree, if you will, and engaged in some rapid growth over the last three or four years.

And I think when we -- what our analysis
found is the result of those transactions has shown decreased employment numbers and revenues to states, and so when we look at applying those past results to Indiana, that's obviously very concerning.

But you also mentioned that this deal is different because you're acquiring Caesars and assets that, you know, are probably more -outperform, so can you kind of talk a little bit about the difference in your past acquisitions versus this?

MR. REEG: Sure. The biggest -- obviously the quality of the assets that we're buying are quite different, and when you talk about, you know, revenues in prior acquisitions, if you look at relative to the markets that we have operated in, they're not significantly different than the markets that we've been operating in, but in this acquisition, the key difference is the rewards program.

Everybody in the business has a rewards program that offers the opportunity for customers to move across markets. The reality is most of the companies in the space don't see much cross-market play at all.

Caesars has an extraordinarily well
developed system that goes back to kind of the heyday of Gary Loveman developing and expanding it, but you see real revenue increases as you put properties into the system and revenue decreases when you pull them out. That shows evidence that this reward system is valued by customers and actually attracts additional business.

So this is the first transaction that we have done that has material revenue synergies built into our assumptions at the outset. We have a 5 percent lift in revenue anticipated in the existing Eldorado properties and then a flow-through of -- down to EBITDA that gets you to about 140 million of incremental revenue across the Eldorado system and 100 million EBITDA, but it's the quality of the asset, it's the brands, but it's primarily the Caesars Rewards system that gives us comfort that we're going to be able to drive revenue growth, and then as we move forward, as we've talked about, Indiana becomes a place for investment, for significant investment for us, and the scale of the company allows us to do that, and that should drive further revenue growth in Indiana.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Thank you. I think -- I think from Indiana's perspective, you know, we have the benefit that we're about -we've got data on the results of Total Rewards, and I think I've shared this with you previously, that Indiana didn't see that revenue lift at the Centaur properties from Total Rewards, so, you know, I think they promised a little bit more than 5 percent, so I think it's good that you're -- you're doing 5 percent, a little less, but it's definitely a concern of mine that, you know, we will not see that benefit and the synergies as a result of Total Rewards.

That was -- I only wanted to make one other comment, Mr. Chair, if I could, which was I wanted to just acknowledge Jan Jones Blackhurst's comments. You know, I am really pleased that Eldorado and the new Caesars are committed to creating a more diverse workforce and to get more women and minorities in leadership roles and I applaud that commitment, and I hope that they are able to attract and retain highly talented women in management of the new company, so thank you, Jan, for your efforts and making those commitments here publicly.

MS. BLACKHURST: And I will tell you the company has totally embraced the initiative. We're already looking at rolling out unconscious bias training shortly after the merger completes, so we're well on the way. Thank you.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Mr. Chairman, I have one follow-up question, if I may.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Yes, sir.
COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Bret, this may be a question for you. During the Nevada hearing, the Commission asked regarding the Plan B, Plan C for the maturities in '24, '25, understanding the cash position, understanding the runway that you have, which I appreciate, but the question was asked what things would you do in case those did not come to fruition, and one of the comments was divesting of properties.

If that's the case and the Commission orders you to divest of two properties within the next -- within, say, the next 6, 12, 18, 24 months, but then Plan $B, P l a n ~ C ~ h a s ~ t o ~ c o m e ~ i n t o ~$ play regarding those maturities, would you envision divesting additional properties in Indiana in order to meet those maturity
requirements or would you then look to other properties within the Eldorado portfolio?

MR. YUNKER: Great question. And we would most likely be looking to other properties. Again, we feel we've got a rising tide here in Indiana and we want to be permanently in Indiana with as many assets as we can for as long amount of time, so I think we would be looking beyond -I talked about international assets and divestitures and focusing on domestic gaming. It's a very wide portfolio of assets, brands. You know, we pulled four different liquidity levers at a very unique point in time here a few weeks ago, so we would be thinking very long-term in terms of any additional pure asset divestures past whatever is contemplated here today in Indiana.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Commissioners, any other questions for our guests?

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, I have one that just came to mind. As you're doing your projections and you're modeling these properties here and across the country, how are you -- how
are you dealing with the -- what could happen as permanent changes to your business model going forward as a result of this pandemic? What's your fudge factor there?

MR. YUNKER: Yeah, it's a very dynamic point in time for all of us. Incredibly, you know, having been through about three different crises, I think this is number four in the last 20 years to the gaming industry, you know, what gave us comfort even in the darkest of times in March was how resilient this industry is and -- and the health and safety measures that we knew would be a majority challenge for other leisure sectors, you know, gaming was set up very uniquely just given the amount of, you know, regulatory oversight, security on premise, space within these buildings and a way to modify the buildings to make them work, we had confidence that we would see some stability coming out of this.

The projections we gave in late April have turned out to be extraordinarily conservative. Regional gaming, you know, these are drive-to markets, you know, the customers are familiar with the properties. I think the property level leadership teams are doing an unbelievable job
making as many employees and customers feel safe to come back, so when we look at the totality of this portfolio, over half of it's regional gaming, that's performing extremely well and it's providing a lot of stability for Las Vegas, which is going to take some -- you know, a longer ramp back just given the nature of it being a fly-in market, and, you know, more non-gaming revenue base with conventions and groups, so the totality of the portfolio actually gives us stability relative to many others in the industry that are maybe more regionally focused in one spot or the other or more Las Vegas focused. And when we look at the modeling, what that means is in the first 12 months, we think that our free cash flow is going to be positive, so we'll be able to continue to reinvest in these assets and/or retain debt, and $I$ think that, you know, the market spoke loud and clear that they believe in that thesis when we executed the transactions a few weeks ago.

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: Well, that doesn't speak directly to my question, and I'm obviously focused for Indiana.

So is your baseline in terms of your
projections for your Indiana properties what's happening now in terms of new normal, I mean that's what I'm trying to figure, how you project based on this uncertainty, because this could be with us a year or more.

MR. YUNKER: Yep. So if you look at what we reported a few weeks ago for reopened properties, the regional properties were up I believe roughly 40 percent year over year on EBITDA. The way we're thinking about our model going forward is we're at roughly 20 percent, so we're taking a significant haircut to that. And then we're looking at Las Vegas, and we've got that down as well, so we're being very conservative in how we're thinking about it.

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: Okay. Thank you.
MR. REEG: And this is Tom again. I apologize, $I$ wasn't running from Sara's question, my screen just went blank, but I'm back.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Commissioners, any other questions for our guests today?

MR. SMALL: Mr. Chairman, this is Greg Small, general counsel. I have one question, if you don't mind.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Certainly. Thank you.

MR. SMALL: Tom, I think this probably goes to you, but could you explain a little bit about the rationale in the divestiture proposal, why you believe the 6- and 12 -month time periods in this current market is the best both for your company and for the state of Indiana?

MR. REEG: Yeah. Again, it gets to the -being an orderly process and not effectively a fire sale. We're obviously in the middle of an extremely fluid situation. I can tell you that if -- if we have a requirement to divest these two assets from Indiana, we will get to work immediately. We just want to make sure that there -- it's not as if we're going to wait till those deadlines to get going. It's just -- it seems to be prudent in terms of just organizing your process, your data room, getting enough -getting access to -- or getting better access to the property and running a typical process, allowing them to raise -- to get their financing commitment so that they can come in front of you with a fully baked capital package to close the transactions, those seem to be reasonable time frames for us -- or to us, sorry.

MR. SMALL: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Any other questions for our guests?

COMMISSIONER HERNDON: Mr. Chairman, I have a question about -- well, to clarify something.

They've stated that if they divest two properties, that'd be about -- that'd leave them with about 40 percent of the Indiana market. I believe we've had higher estimates, as high as two-thirds.

Are they saying that it would be approximately 40 percent?

MR. REEG: That's correct, with the divestitures. The numbers that I presume you're looking at that are that high would be with no divestitures.

COMMISSIONER HERNDON: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Given that we're all on a video screen, it's difficult to interact amongst ourselves, I propose that the Commissioners speak openly about what questions or comments they have for one another during this session so we can determine how we want to proceed.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Mr. Chairman, I guess the -- my thought is, again, thinking about the state of Indiana, you know, you want to have
diverse revenue for the state's general fund and you want to have the ability to have multiple entities that can balance out ebbs and flows within communities, regions and the market overall, I'm -- I'm happy to see that there's a commitment to divest based on the ability to take away two properties and that 41 percent.

I would remind, and I'm trying -- I don't want to -- if I'm not bringing up the right discussion, but Indiana law in the past only allowed a certain number of licenses per operator in order to avoid this. And I understand Eldorado's comment that divesting of two properties would bring them in line with where Caesars is before the merger, but, again, I think the General Assembly many years ago felt that too much of a control might not be a good thing, so I worry from my perspective that if there is a large percentage, 41 or 42 percent, what does that do in two, three, four, five years. Does that grow. The investments being made of 60 million, the growth in $A G R$, the growth in the tracks, and does that start to creep up and do we as a Commission have to come back and say all right, let's divest another property.

So my concern is on the diversification of the revenue. Eldorado is diversifying their revenue by going out and grabbing regional operations to diversify their revenue stream, to balance out the change in Nevada versus what's happening in the rest of the country.

I think we need to take the same look at the state and ensure that if it's divesting of one, two, three or zero, and we're keeping in mind that Indiana does rely on this revenue, and to have multiple operators that allows for some mix of revenue that comes in, mix of operations, mix of how things happen, so I am concerned and welcome other Commission members' thoughts that it might be 41 or 42 now, but in two or three or four years, how do we address maybe if it's 45 or 50 or even more than that depending on the investment in the games made that Eldorado mentioned.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Good comments. Thank you, sir.

COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman.
COMMISSIONER FINE: Commissioner Dudich, you're eloquent in your comments and I appreciate
that. I feel the exact same way you do, and I -40 percent to me is a concentration. I mean, it's -- it's -- it's too much.

But that being said, the timeline, this didn't just find its way onto the radar screen. This has been an issue for quite some time, so when you -- if you were to -- if you were to follow Mr. Reeg's timeline, six months, six months and eighteen months -- yeah, six months, a year and eighteen months, I'm fearful that the properties that are selected for divestiture will be neglected, and the sooner we are able to move the direction we need to go for the benefit of the state, the sooner it needs to happen.

Now, I fully appreciate too quick a period of time is -- has some potential concerns, and we could always come in at a later date and extend those periods of time, but $I$ do not in any way want to see a property sitting out there for 18 months without -- knowing it is just on the vine. I just -- it seems too long a period for me.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Good comments, Commissioner. Thank you. COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: I agree with

Commissioner Fine.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Susan, did you have a comment?

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: Well, I was going to agree with Commissioner Fine and add kind of a footnote to that is one of those properties has just experienced a huge investment, and for it just to sort of sit there in limbo would, to me, not be good stewardship of -- of our state's investments.

CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Any further comments or discussion, ladies and gentlemen?

Hearing none, what's the Commission's pleasure?

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: I would make the motion that we go ahead and approve this transaction, but with certain conditions. One condition being that the -- there be three properties divested; that those properties be divested by December 31 of 2020; that Eldorado/ Caesars agree to keep their employment levels consistent for three years after the merger.

CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: The motion has been offered.

Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER FINE: I'll second the motion. CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: The motion has been properly offered and seconded.

Is there any discussion on the motion?
COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Mr. Chairman, question or clarification to Commissioner Svetanoff's motion. I want to make sure we're clear. I agree with the employment piece for three years. Do we need to add any language in there that if there is a divestiture of a property, that that is not counted against them? So if they do divest themselves of a property -- you know, I believe Tom's point was aggregate-wise they would grow, but $I$ want to make sure we don't penalize them, that if the employment number as of the merger is 10,000 , let's say, and they divest of two properties in a year, that that's adjusted. I don't know how we would do that, Sara and Greg, but $I$ just to want make sure we don't --

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: I would amend my motion to account for any further divestiture as Commissioner Dudich just explained. COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Thank you, Commissioner.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Counselor Small, could
you propose some detailed language perhaps that's property specific?

MR. SMALL: Yes, I think that would be appropriate. I may also -- well, Commissioners may also want to consider if there is a possible percentage, you know, of employment levels that they would accept versus, you know, 300 versus 300, would you stay within, you know, 5 percent of current levels or something along those lines. CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: I believe the motion was there would be no decrease in the remaining properties, and that was --

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: And, Mr. Chairman, that was based upon Eldorado's representations. I didn't dream this up.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: I just want to be clear as we're going to add these -- this level of detail into the order. Is that of today's date? Or what's the will of the Commission? Or pre -- pre-COVID or what's -- what are your thoughts on timing of that threshold?

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: That would be -that would be as consistent with when the -- when the merger first was started, $I$ think, or when this transaction was first started.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: So employment levels must remain consistent as of July 1st of 2019 levels?

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Correct.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: I think not consistent, but not to decrease below those levels. Was that correct?

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Correct.
MR. REEG: Can I make a comment there? CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Yes, sir.

MR. REEG: That would put us in violation today, because there are parts of the properties that the state is not allowing us to open. CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Are those -- are those people still employed, though? MR. REEG: No, they're furloughed. CHAIRMAN McMAINS: So I think you understand the Commission's concern here.

MR. REEG: Absolutely.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: What would be a reasonable way to define what we're concerned about?

MR. REEG: I understand the no decrease in employment.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: What if we said
employees, including those who are currently furloughed, that was the number we worked with as of today?

MR. REEG: Okay, if you allowed us to include furloughed employees, we wouldn't be immediately in violation.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Marc and Joe, would that be consistent and acceptable to you?

COMMISSIONER FINE: Yes, I think so. And then if the state restrictions are 100 percent reduced, then do we revert back to the original levels?

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Correct, that was my thinking.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Okay.
MR. REEG: And that would be fine with us.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: All righty. Can --
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Mr. Chairman, can I offer a quick thought? So perhaps it would be -- you know, since the Commission obviously is going to take action today and we've got the order drafted up and this wasn't contemplated in advance, perhaps the Commission would be willing to delegate the task of identifying a good way to do this to staff and we hear your thoughts and
the spirit of where you want to go, but maybe we can creatively spend a little bit of time developing a proper ratio to account for the pandemic and also Eldorado's assurances that Indiana employment levels will be larger under Eldorado and there will be no decrease in employment at the remaining properties, which I have written down, so perhaps that's an option you all would be willing to consider?

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Well, I would delegate authority to staff as to employment levels always.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Correct, yes, yes, yes. Sorry, sorry, I should have been more clear. Only to flush -- only to flush out, you know, this -- this piece about how we're going to define employment levels, if that's okay and the will of the Commission. COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: That's fine. COMMISSIONER FINE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: So for procedural
purposes, Joe, would you want to withdraw your prior motion and we'll restate it for the record, a new motion?

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Sure. I'll
withdraw my motion. You want me to restate it? CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Be glad for you to. COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: I think here's how it should go. We approve the transaction with the certain conditions: One being that three properties be divested; two, that those three properties be divested by December 31 of 2020; and, three, there has been a discussion about keeping employment levels consistent for three years after the closure of this transaction. We will leave it up to staff to work with Eldorado to agree upon -- or to craft agreed language. Does that work for everybody? COMMISSIONER DUDICH: I would second that motion plan.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: There's a motion that's been properly moved and seconded. Any further discussion on the motion? Yes.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Well, I didn't -I didn't know, this is the story of Greg's life, but $I$ feel like we've forgotten him again.

Greg, do you need to present the order to the Commissioners?

MR. SMALL: I could go ahead and do that.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Before he does that, let me just offer this thought. I know how hard our staff and our Commissioners have worked on this large, important transaction to the state, thought about it, contemplated it, and I just want to thank you all for your service. I know this has -- this has been difficult and it's important, it's important to this company as well, and hopefully this works out well for everyone.

Greg.
MR. SMALL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Before you, Commissioners, is Order 2020-87 addressing Eldorado Resort, Inc.'s transfer of ownership application for Hoosier Park, LLC, operating as Harrah's Hoosier Park Racing \& Casino located in Anderson, Indiana; Horseshoe Hammond, LLC, operating as Horseshoe Hammond Casino located in Hammond, Indiana; Caesars Riverboat Casino, LLC, operating as Caesars Southern Indiana Casino located in Elizabeth, Indiana; and Centaur Acquisition, LLC, operating as Indiana Grand Racing \& Casino located in Shelbyville, Indiana.

In June of 2019 Eldorado announced its acquisition of Caesars Entertainment Corporation
through a public merger for about $\$ 17$ billion. After the merger Eldorado will own and operate approximately 60 domestic gaming facilities across 16 states, including those in Indiana. Eldorado will undergo a corporate name change to become Caesars Entertainment, Inc.

Eldorado is presently the parent company of Indiana casino owner's licensee Aztar Indiana Gaming Company, LLC, operating as Tropicana Evansville Casino located in Evansville, Indiana.

In this transaction, Eldorado will acquire all of Caesars' outstanding shares, and acquire wholly-owned subsidiaries Caesars Resort Collection, LLC, and Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, LLC.

The currently licensed officers, directors and key persons of Eldorado will largely remain the same. Likewise, Eldorado has represented that it will continue to operate with the same properly level management teams in place in Indiana. Additionally, Eldorado will employ the current Caesars regional president servicing Indiana to continue in that role with the new company.

The Horseshoe Hammond and Caesars Southern

Indiana real property assets are currently owned by the real estate investment trust VICI. Here, Eldorado will step into the Caesars lease with VICI and assume Caesars' obligations. VICI will continue to hold the real property assets. And Horseshoe Hammond and Caesars Southern Indiana will continue to be responsible for gaming operations.

As part of this transaction, Eldorado will also undertake debt activity which must be approved by the Commission pursuant to Indiana Code 4-33-4-21, Indiana Code 4-35-5-7 and 68 IAC 5-3-2. Debt transactions are analyzed to ensure the financial health of casino licensees and to ensure that a casino owner's license is not leased or hypothecated and that money is not borrowed or loaned against a casino owner's license.

Eldorado has submitted a complete transfer of ownership application and caused to be submitted complete personal disclosure forms and other appropriate applications for Eldorado and all key persons.

The Commission's Background and Financial Investigations Divisions have completed a
comprehensive investigation of Eldorado, including key persons. Commission staff has presented the final investigative reports to the Commission for review.

The Commission's Financial Investigations Division has also completed a comprehensive investigation of Eldorado's proposed debt activity related to this transaction and provided its analysis to the Commissioners for review.

Lastly, the Commission has also received and reviewed a confidential analysis of the proposed transaction from the Commission's outside financial analyst, Dan Roberts, of DR Financial Consulting, LLC.

In this transaction, Eldorado will be required to pay a $\$ 2$ million transfer fee pursuant to Indiana Code 4-33-4-21(d) for acquiring controlling interest in a second casino owner's license.

Finally, the Commission is tasked with establishing a divestiture plan. Indiana Code 4-33-6-4, Indiana Code 4-35-5-2.4 set forth the factors the Commission is to consider when granting a license. In 2019 the Indiana General Assembly added an additional factor the

Commission was to consider, which is the impact of any undue economic concentration of ownership or control of a gaming license.

The merger, as proposed, would result in Eldorado's ownership of five of twelve licenses and a market concentration of 50 to 60 percent of state gaming revenues. In applying the new factor and utilizing its definition, the investigation determined an undue economic concentration was present and staff notified that concern to Eldorado, which as the Commission has heard, has voluntarily proposed a divestiture of two Indiana casinos.

The Commission has heard Eldorado's divestiture plan and its rationale for that plan. The Commission now must determine the number of casinos to be divested and the appropriate time for those actions.

The process for the divestiture will be set forth in the order and largely mirrors the process utilized by the Federal Trade Commission.

Eldorado must also obtain approval from the Indiana Horse Racing Commission to transfer permits for Hoosier Park and Indiana Grand to conduct horse racing operations under Indiana

Code 4-31.
Here the Commission is being asked to take action on the following: Approval of the transfer of ownership interest in the casino owner's licenses for Horseshoe Hammond, Caesars Southern Indiana, Harrah's Hoosier Park and Indiana Grand to Eldorado.

Approval of the debt financing package proposed by Eldorado related to Eldorado's acquisition of Caesars. This includes waiving the so-called two meeting requirements of 68 IAC 5-3-2 (b) (2) and (3).

And, finally, approval of a divestiture plan.

This approval is contingent upon a number of conditions which are set forth in the order, including, but not limited to, Eldorado submitting payment of the $\$ 2$ million transfer fee.

This order will impose certain notice requirements on Eldorado and VICI. These are continuing requirements from the Caesars/VICI relationship.

Finally, to accommodate the parties' upcoming closing date, Eldorado and Caesars have
requested that the order be effective immediately. As the Commission is aware, there is a requirement that orders be final before the underlying transaction can be concluded. Per the Indiana Administrative Orders and Procedures Act, an order becomes final upon the occurrence of the passage of 15 days following the receipt of the order from the Commission. The parties have been notified and acknowledge that this request would have the effect of waiving any appeal rights they would have as to this order.

Thank you very much, Commissioners. I'm happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Commissioner Svetanoff and Commissioner Dudich, I understand that your motion which was seconded by Commissioner Dudich, Joe, was to approve this proposed order with those respective conditions and amendments; is that correct?

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Correct.
COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Correct.
COMMISSIONER FINE: Correct.
MR. REEG: Mr. Chairman, can I ask one clarifying question?

```
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Yes, sir.
```

MR. REEG: On the timing of the divestitures, can we just make it clear it's a signed agreement by that date, not the state has approved the buyer by that date.

CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: That was my understanding of the motion. Is that correct, Joe?

MR. REEG: Okay. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: That is -- that is correct.

MR. REEG: Thank you very much.
CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: Is there any further discussion on the motion?

Hearing none, all in favor -- yes, ma'am, go ahead. I think you're muted there, Susan.

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: I'm having trouble with my little button. It's turning me off, which maybe it should, I don't know.

Just I want to clarify for the record an inconsistency that at least $I$ caught in the testimony, and so I wanted to see if I was incorrect in my note taking when -- when considering the report that we were given on this matter in our materials.

And the report suggested that -- that our company was currently at 50 percent of the
market, and that if the merger was completed as suggested with no divestiture, it would be nearly two-thirds of the market, and so that's inconsistent with the testimony that we heard from the applicant, and I just wanted to make that clear, that in terms of my actions and my vote that $I$ 'm going to cast, it's going to be based on those numbers that we had in our own independent report.

CHAIRMAN MCMAINS: So noted.
Any further discussion on the motion?
Hearing none, all in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye.

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Mr. Chairman, at this time may I make a suggestion that we have a roll call vote on this?

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: I believe the suggestion was to call the roll, was that what you suggested?

COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Correct.
CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Mrs. Tait, would you please call the roll.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Sure, I'd be happy to.

Chairman McMains.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: I vote yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Vice Chair Fine.
COMMISSIONER FINE: Yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Commissioner Williams.

COMMISSIONER WILLIAMS: Yes.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Secretary
Svetanoff.
COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Commissioner
Herndon.

COMMISSIONER HERNDON: I vote yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAIT: Commissioner

Dudich.
COMMISSIONER DUDICH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: Thank you, Mrs. Tait.
Motion passed unanimously.
The next item on the agenda is the next meeting, which will be determined at a later date and announced by our public announcement mechanism.

I wanted to also welcome Michael. And, Michael, $I$ don't know if you're still on the line, I want to make sure you understand that you're filling some pretty big shoes, but we're
really glad to have you on our team.
Any other comments, Commissioners or
Executive Staff?
Hearing none, is there a motion to adjourn? COMMISSIONER SVETANOFF: Motion to adjourn. COMMISSIONER FINE: Second.

CHAIRMAN McMAINS: It's been moved and seconded to adjourn this meeting.

All in favor, please signify by saying aye.
(Chorus of ayes.)
Opposed.
Thank you very much.
(At 12:59 p.m., July 10, 2020, this meeting of the Indiana Gaming Commission was adjourned.)
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| SG (1) | sirs (1) | 17:19;22:20,21; | 96:12 | 22;81:10;82:24; |
| 14:2 | 11:7 | 36:18;74:19;77:22; | spree (1) | 83:16,25;85:13,22; |
| shadow (1) | sit (2) | 82:2;98:24;108:14, | 89:22 | 86:4;87:10,13;88:12, |
| 81:7 | 71:22;103:8 | 14 | SS (1) | 16;98:6;99:25;101:8; |
| Shall (1) | site (2) | sort (1) | 121:1.5 | 102:14;106:13; |
| 11:14 | 54:8;78:20 | 103:8 | stability (3) | 107:10;110:4;114:7; |
| shape (2) | sitting (1) | sounds (1) | 95:19;96:5,10 | 117:3;121:1,6 |
| 69:24;79:4 | 102:19 | 82:14 | stack (1) | stated (3) |
| share (4) | situation (3) | source (1) | 53:2 | 76:19;84:10;99:5 |
| 44:8,9;48:15;69:12 | 87:9,21;98:10 | 80:17 | staff (28) | States (3) |
| shared (1) | situations (2) | sources (2) | 6:7,17;7:12;15:17; | 57:18;90:3;111:4 |
| 92:5 | 28:12,17 | 52:7,8 | 16:7,10;18:19;24:6; | state's (4) |
| shareholders (3) | $\boldsymbol{s i x}$ (12) | south (1) | 26:8;34:20;46:22; | 80:7,23;100:1; |
| 45:6,17;52:20 | 18:24;21:4,13; | $42: 10$ | 47:17;53:19;61:20; | 103:9 |
| shares (3) | 34:6;42:21;46:14; | Southern (13) | 64:11;68:10,24; | statewide (3) |
| 44:12;48:24; | 68:19;84:14;87:24; | 21:1;31:8,10; | 70:11,11;73:8;84:13; | 6:19;12:5,12 |
| 111:12 | 102:8,8,9 | 33:15;34:20;77:20; | 107:25;108:11; | status (3) |
| sheet (3) | size (3) | 78:2;81:25;86:20; | 109:11;110:3;113:2; | 45:16;54:4;84:15 |
| 50:1;52:11,24 | 43:25;47:1;63:2 | 110:20;111:25; | 114:10;120:3 | statutorily (1) |
| Shelbyville (2) | slide (7) | 112:6;115:6 | Staff's (1) | 26:5 |
| 58:11;110:23 | 37:4,17;40:22; | space (8) | 16:12 | stay (1) |
| shift (1) | 44:4;45:15;47:11; | 41:9,25;42:18; | stakeholders (9) | 105:8 |
| 38:23 | 50:13 | 45:13;49:6;83:9; | 38:18;43:16;54:11, | staying (2) |
| shoes (1) | slides (2) | 90:24;95:16 | 13;58:8,18;60:24; | 37:17;62:12 |
| 119:25 | 37:3;54:4 | speak (10) | 68:3,17 | stenograph (1) |
| shortly (3) | slightly (1) | 8:13;30:22;33:9; | stall (1) | 121:10 |
| 32:9;69:17;93:4 | 70:3 | 37:8,12;40:18;41:10; | 55:22 | Stenographic (1) |
| show (4) | slot (2) | 74:20;96:23;99:19 | stand (1) | 121:5 |
| 55:19;75:16,16; | 31:12;33:23 | speakers' (1) | 45:14 | step (3) |
| 88:15 | slower (2) | 53:20 | standard (4) | 32:9;35:18;112:3 |
| shown (1) | 41:12,19 | speaking (3) | 35:17;58:10;64:14, | Stephanie (5) |
| 90:2 | Small (9) | 7:14;47:15;81:5 | 20 | 8:9;36:17;37:9; |
| shows (1) | 8:5;97:22,23;98:1, | speaks (1) | standard-bearers (1) | 64:8;88:24 |
| 91:6 | 25;104:25;105:3; | 65:11 | 65:3 | stepped (1) |
| Shreveport (1) | 109:25;110:12 | specific (2) | standards (1) | $72: 7$ stewardship (1) |
| 45:20 | smaller (1) | 80:8;105:2 | 24:19 | stewardship (1) |
| shutdown (3) | 39:13 | specifically (1) | standpoint (1) | 103:9 |
| 48:4;56:3;62:18 | smoking (4) | 78:19 | 43:25 | still (8) |
| sick (2) | 32:8,11,13,25 | specifics (1) | stands (1) | 34:8;48:8;67:7; |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { told (4) } \\ & 32: 22 ; 34: 3 ; 35: 21 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110: 4 ; 111: 11 ; 112: 9 \\ & 113: 8,12,15 ; 116: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 109:6;114:13;115:11 } \\ & \text { two-thirds (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34: 20 \\ \text { unions (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { utilizing (1) } \\ 114: 8 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73:7 | transactions (7) | 99:9;118:3 | 63:20 |  |
| Tom (21) | 46:8;50:4,20;90:1; | type (2) | unique (2) | V |
| 8:8;36:7,10,11; | 96:20;98:23;112:13 | 54:16;83:6 | 48:1;94:13 |  |
| 47:14;48:10;55:4; | transcript (1) | typewriting (1) | uniquely (1) | vacant (1) |
| 56:14;59:15;60:21; | 121:12 | 121:11 | 95:14 | 9:14 |
| 62:17;65:13;67:13; | transfer (10) | typewritten (1) | Unite (4) | valuable (1) |
| 78:11;84:4;86:11,16; | 28:24;30:17;36:6, | 121:12 | 8:7;30:18,22;31:4 | 45:12 |
| 89:19,20;97:17;98:1 | 13;110:14;112:19; | typical (1) | United (1) | value (2) |
| Tom's (2) | 113:16;114:23; | 98:19 | 57:18 | 44:14;64:24 |
| 47:18;104:13 | 115:4,18 | typically (1) | unless (1) | valued (2) |
| Tony (2) | transfers (4) | 79:9 | 29:14 | 49:7;91:7 |
| 14:1;55:4 | 10:2,4;28:22;29:4 |  | unmatched (1) | various (2) |
| took (3) | transition (1) | $\mathbf{U}$ | 41:9 | 38:14;59:5 |
| 42:7;69:16;70:13 top (6) | 56:14 |  | unpaid (1) | Vegas (7) |
| top (6) | transparency (1) | ultimately (1) | 24:7 | 41:12,16,20;55:2; |
| 32:15;40:12;56:24; | 31:3 | 87:17 | unrest (1) | 96:5,13;97:13 |
| 62:12;83:5;89:14 | travel (1) | unacceptable (1) | 65:13 | vendor (2) |
| total (4) | 61:7 | 34:11 | unsafe (1) | 15:24;29:5 |
| 79:18;92:4,7,13 | tremendous (3) | unanimously (10) | 31:25 | versus (5) |
| totaling (31) | 47:18;57:23;60:13 | 5:25;11:18;13:18; | unsecured (1) | 82:25;90:11;101:5; |
| 19:3,6,8,11,13,15, | Tribe (1) | 15:8;18:12;23:12; | 51:21 | 105:7,7 |
| 17,19,22,24;20:2,4,6, | 76:14 | 25:21;27:8;30:12; | up (25) | vertically (1) |
| 9,11,14,17,20,24; | trickier (1) | 119:17 | 35:16,18;38:1; | 65:10 |
| 21:2,4,7,10,13,17,21, | 81:9 | unaware (1) | 51:10;55:14,25; | via (1) |
| 23;22:1,3,5;52:12 | tried (1) | 70:11 | 59:18;62:23;67:17; | 121:9 |
| totality (2) | 34:2 | unbelievable (1) | 69:25;70:4,5,6;79:2; | Vice (2) |
| 96:2,9 | Tropicana (5) | 95:25 | 84:15;87:17,23; | 4:11;119:2 |
| totally (1) | 21:13;69:11,15; | uncertain (1) | 89:13;95:14;97:8; | VICI (7) |
| 93:2 | 70:25;111:9 | 51:13. | 100:9,23;105:15; | 14:6;52:10;87:6; |
| touch (1) | trouble (1) | uncertainty (1) | 107:22;109:11 | 112:2,4,4;115:21 |
| 67:25 | 117:15 | 97:4 | upcoming (1) | video (1) |
| touched (2) | true (1) | unconscious (1) | 115:25 | 99:18 |
| 17:17;44:15 | 121:13 | 93:3 | update (2) | vine (1) |
| touches (1) | trust (1) | under (10) | 6:7;85:17 | 102:21 |
| 33:6 | 112:2 | 42:25;45:10;48:7; | updated (1) | violation (3) |
| tough (1) | truth (3) | 52:4;70:21;73:23; | 85:18 | 29:10;106:11; |
| 86:9 | 7:23,23,23 | 78:22;108:5;114:25; | updates (1) | 107:6 |
| toughest (1) | trying (7) | 121:11 | 85:2 | virtually (4) |
| 87:4 | 23:18;75:1;82:2, | undergo (1) | upon (11) | 7:11,19;39:10; |
| toward (1) | 11,18;97:3;100:8 | $111: 5$ | $37: 14 ; 40: 15,20$ | 121:9 |
| 40:1 | $\text { turn }(6)$ | undergone (1) | $41: 2 ; 43: 5 ; 71: 25$ | visitation (2) |
|  | 47:12;53:15;62:1; | 29:1 | 73:10;105:14; | 42:1;83:11 |
| $6: 24 ; 21: 16,20$ | 64:4;67:13;76:20 | underlying (1) | 109:12;115:15;116:6 | visits (1) |
| 49:25;83:17 | turned (1) | 116:4 | upside (1) | 54:8 |
| tracks (14) | 95:21 | understands (1) | 48:20 | vocal (1) |
| 58:11,12,14;59:11; | Turning (3) | 67:5 | upsize (1) | 4:16 |
| 74:15;76:17;77:2; | 49:9;50:13;117:16 | Understood (1) | 49:13 | voice (1) |
| 79:2,23,25;80:9,19; | twelve (5) | 71:8 | use (2) | 66:11 |
| 81:3;100:23 | 18:20,22;68:20; | undertake (1) | 39:25;42:3 | volumes (1) |
| trade (2) | 87:25;114:5 | 112:10 | used (1) | 59:19 |
| 45:9;114:21 | twice (2) | underwrote (1) | 89:20 | voluntarily (1) |
| training (1) | 46:25;47:20 | 47:24 | uses (3) | 114:12 |
| 93:4 | two (33) | undue (2) | 43:2;52:7,18 | voluntary (2) |
| transaction (31) | 19:8,19;21:23; | 114:2,9 | USPC (1) | 24:7;29:9 |
| $38: 11,18 ; 39: 4,5,11,$ | $22: 1,5 ; 40: 22 ; 48: 3$ | unemployment (1) | 14:4 | volunteer (1) |
| 19;40:2,23;43:20; | 50:3;59:10,11;68:13; | $70: 20$ | usual (1) | $66: 3$ |
| 44:20;45:8;46:25; | 75:6,14,17,24;77:9; | unexpected (1) | 23:21 | vote (5) |
| 47:20;49:17,21; | 78:2;80:9;81:8,19; | 51:1 | utilize (1) | 11:14;118:7,16; |
| 52:22;53:22,24; | 82:19;93:20;98:12; | union (1) | 42:13 | 119:1,12 |
| 85:12;91:9;103:17; | 99:5;100:7,13,20; | $31: 12$ | utilized (1) | VP (1) |
| 105:25;109:4,10; | 101:9,15;104:17; | unionized (1) | 114:21 | 36:19 |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:9;73:18;81:10; } \\ & \text { 83:23;86:25;95:3; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 6,9,11 ; 38: 16 \\ & 39: 24 ; 40: 14,15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31: 25 \\ \text { Yunker (18) } \end{gathered}$ | 2 |
| wagering (6) | $105: 19,20$ | 56:5,17;58:18;60:7; | 39:2;44:25;46:8; | 2.6 (1) |
| $15: 23 ; 16: 2,20$ | whereby (1) | 61:21;62:22;68:24; | $47: 12,14,15 ; 75: 21$ | 33:13 |
| 19:1;27:22;29:5 | 26:9 | 95:18;98:12;109:11, | 76:5,12;79:20,25; | 20 (5) |
| wages (1) | WHEREOF (1) | 13 | 80:25;94:3;95:5;97:6 | 33:5;35:4;42:12; |
| $33: 24$ wait (5) | $121: 14$ who'd (1) | worked (3) 31:10;107:2;110:3 | Z | $\begin{gathered} \text { 95:8;97:11 } \\ \mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 5 9 ( 1 )} \end{gathered}$ |
| wait (5) 34:4;51:16;55:18; | 33:4 | worker (1) | Z | $\begin{array}{\|c} \mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 5 9}(\mathbf{1}) \\ 28: 9 \end{array}$ |
| 59:13;98:14 | whole (4) | 33:4 | zero (1) | 2012-151 (3) |
| waiver (2) | 7:23;38:6;76:12; | workers (6) | 101:9 | 9:18;10:7,11 |
| 9:6;28:11 | 79:4 | 32:17;34:13,14,24; | Zuvid (1) | 2017-108 (1) |
| waivers (5) | wholly-owned (1) | 6,8 | 13:25 | 28:23 |
| 6:17,20;28:9,16,20 <br> waiving (2) | wide (3) | 60:5;92:19 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2017-109 (1) } \\ 9: 25 \end{gathered}$ |
| 115:10;116:10 | 44:23;47:25;94:11 | working (3) |  | 2018 (1) |
| walk (3) | William (1) | 31:16;33:21;71:16 | 1.25 (1) | 65:6 |
| 80:18,25;82:19 | 22:5 | workplace (1) | 49:18 | 2019 (4) |
| Walker (3) | Williams (12) | 57:11 | 1.3 (1) | 54:6;106:3;110:24; |
| 12:6,16,20 | 4:14,14,15;86:18; | works (2) | 50:16 | 113:24 |
| Wall (1) | 87:14;94:22;96:22; $97 \cdot 16 \cdot 103 \cdot 4 \cdot 117 \cdot 15$. | 11:23;110:9 | 1.5 (1) | 2020 (11) |
| 80:15 | 97:16;103:4;117:15; | world (2) | 48:25 | 4:2;7:20;9:3,9; |
| Walton (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 119:5,6 } \\ \text { willing (3) } \end{gathered}$ | 48:23;62:10 worry (1) | 10 (7) <br> 4:1;7:20;48:18; | 27:23;33:14,103:20; |
| 9:8 wants (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { willing (3) } \\ & 84: 24 ; 107: 23 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { worry (1) } \\ 100: 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:1;7:20;48:18; } \\ & \text { 49:1;51:17;120:13; } \end{aligned}$ | 109:7;120:13;121:8, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { wants (2) } \\ 54: 16,17 \end{gathered}$ | 108:9 | worst (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 49: 1 ; 51 \\ & 121: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 16 \\ 2020-04 \end{array}$ |
| warrant (2) | Win (1) | 38:8 | 10,000 (1) | 9:1,5;10:17;11:9 |
| 76:3;77:4 | 7:1 | written (5) | 104:16 | 2020-05 (1) |
| way (13) | winding (1) | 9:12,13;89:12,21; | 10:31 (2) | 9:10 |
| 27:19;48:20;61:16; | 41:4 | 108:8 | 4:1;121:8 | 2020-06 (1) |
| 69:25;83:8;93:5; | Windsor (1) | wrong (1) | 100 (4) | 9:19 |
| 95:17;97:9;102:1,5, | 41:7 | 17:20 | 66:16,16;91:16; | 2020-09 (1) |
| 18;106:21;107:24 | Winner's (2) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wynn (1) } \\ 49: 19 \end{gathered}$ | 107:10 | 9:19 |
| weak (1) | 21:16,20 |  | $111(3)$ | 2020-10 (1) |
| $50: 25$ ear (2) | wish (1) $89: 21$ | Y | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 70:12,18;71:6 } \\ & \mathbf{1 2 ( 3 )} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10: 1 \\ \mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 1 2} \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { wear (2) } \\ 32: 7,22 \end{gathered}$ | withdraw (3) |  | 47:19;93:21;96:15 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 1 2}(1) \\ 10: 1 \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| website (3) | 24:20;108:22 | year (21) | 12:59 (1) | 2020-13 (5) |
| 6:21;7:1;22:11 | 109:1 | 7:3;14:17;31:11; | 120:13 | 9:2;10:8,17;11:2,9 |
| weeds (1) | withdrawn (1) | 33:16,20;34:25; | 12-month (1) | 2020-14 (3) |
| 61:9 | 26:4 | 49:17;52:6;55:12; | 98:4 | 12:4;13:8,12 |
| week (1) | within (9) | 58:7;59:20;65:7; | 14 (2) | 2020-17 (3) |
| 46:2 | 77:7;82:16;93:20, | 66:4;69:11;72:10; | 9:9;28:2 | 12:4;13:8,12 |
| weekend (2) | 21;94:2;95:16;100:4; | 75:6;97:5,9,9; | 140 (2) | 2020-18 (4) |
| 6:5,6 | 105:8;121:5 | 102:10;104:17 | 34:19;91:15 | 13:23;14:14,23; |
| weekly (1) | without (7) | yearly (1) | 15 (2) | 15:2 |
| 59:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 4,14 ; 34: 10,14 \\ & 77: 15 ; 81: 7 ; 102: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 16:21 <br> year-over-year (1) | 9:3;116:7 15th (2) | 2020-26 (4) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { weeks (6) } \\ & 39: 6 ; 44: 16 ; 70: 13 ; \end{aligned}$ | withstand (1) | 59:18 | 16:24;31:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 23 ; 14: 14,23 ; \\ & 15: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 94:14;96:21;97:7 | 45:5 | years (15) | 16 (1) | 2020-27 (7) |
| welcome (8) | WITNESS (1) | 28:12,17;31:11; | 111:4 | 15:18;16:15;17:3, |
| 6:8,15;30:24; | 121:14 | 33:5;42:21;53:5 | 18 (3) | 9,18,25;18:5 |
| 36:10;60:22;69:9; | women (6) | 57:25;89:24;95:8; | 27:23;93:21; | 2020-29 (2) |
| 101:14;119:22 | 55:15,25;65:8,8 <br> 92:20,22 | 100:16,20;101:16; | 102:20 | 15:19;17:4 |
| welfare (1) | $92: 20,22$ wonderful (2) | 103:22;104:8;109:10 | 1972 (1) | 2020-30 (1) |
| 38:1 | wonderful (2) | Yep (1) | 67:22 | 15:22 |
| well-being (1) | 67:8,9 | 97:6 | 19th (1) | 2020-31 (1) |
| 63:22 | words (1) | yesterday (1) | 48:13 | 15:25 |
| Wendy (1) | 75:1 | 75:20 | 1st (1) | 2020-32 (6) |
| 9:7 | work (30) | yielding (1) | 106:2 | $15: 25 ; 16: 15 ; 17: 9$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { what's (15) } \\ & \text { 57:15:61:4,10.12: } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 14 ; 31: 4,18,21, \\ & 24,25 ; 32: 3 ; 33: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50: 18 \\ \text { young (1) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 18,25 ; 18: 6 \\ \mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 3 3}(\mathbf{4}) \end{gathered}$ |


| 19.2.22•18,23.23:6 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020-34 (1) | $24: 4$ | $\text { 30th }(2)$ | 6 |  |
| 19:4 | 2020-74 (1) | 46:20;47:2 | 6 |  |
| 2020-35 (1) | 24:13 | 31 (2) | 6 (3) |  |
| 19:7 | 2020-79 (5) | 103:20;109:7 | 49:4,15;93:21 |  |
| 2020-36 (1) | 24:3,13,24;25:10, | 31st (1) | 6-(1) |  |
| 19:10 | 15 | 62:21 | 98:4 |  |
| 2020-37 (1) | 2020-80 (2) | 37 (1) | 60 (10) |  |
| 19:12 | 26:3,4 | 71:15 | 41:13;60:4;75:24; |  |
| 2020-38 (1) | 2020-81 (6) 26:3,7,18, 20,$22 ;$ | 4 | 78:17;79:20,23,24; |  |
| 2020-39 (1) | 27:3 |  | 60/40 (1) |  |
| 19:16 | 2020-82 (4) | 4 (2) | 78:15 |  |
| 2020-4 (1) | 27:16,21;29:25; | 70:21;121:21 | 62 (1) |  |
| 11:1 | 30:6 | 40 (8) | 22:19 |  |
| 2020-40 (1) | 2020-83 (2) | 41:15;75:25;76:10; | 63 (1) |  |
| 19:18 | 28:8,14 | 77:1;97:9;99:7,11; | 71:14 |  |
| 2020-41 (1) | 2020-84 (2) | 102:2 | $68(2)$ |  |
| 19:21 | 28:22;29:2 | 40s (1) | 112:13;115:12 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-42 (1) } \\ 19: 23 \end{gathered}$ | 2020-85 (1) | 77:1 | 112:13:115:12 |  |
| 2020-43 (1) | 2020-86 (4) | 100:7,19;101:15 | 7 |  |
| 20:1 | 27:16;29:11;30:1,6 | 42 (2) | 7 (1) |  |
| 2020-44 (1) | 2020-87 (1) | 100:19;101:15 | 49:9 |  |
| 20:3 | 110:13 | 43 (1) | 72-hour (1) |  |
| 2020-45 (1) | 2020-AD-01 (1) | 7:2 | 53:10 |  |
| 20:5 | 10:9 | 4-31 (1) | 74 (1) |  |
| 2020-46 (1) | 2020-MS-01 (1) | 115:1 |  |  |
| 20:8 | 9:16 | 4-33-4-21 (1) | $77,000,000(1)$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-47 (1) } \\ 20: 10 \end{gathered}$ | 2020-SL-03 (1) 10.5 | $\begin{gathered} 112: 12 \\ 4-33-4-21 d \end{gathered}$ | 44:12 |  |
| 2020-48 (1) | 2020-VEN-01 (1) | 113:17 | 8 |  |
| 20:13 | 10:5 | 4-33-6-4 (1) |  |  |
| 2020-49 (1) | 2020-VEN-03 (1) | 113:22 | 8 (1) |  |
| 20:16 | 10:6 | 4-35-5-2.4 (1) | 50:13 |  |
| 2020-50 (1) | 2021 (1) | $113: 22$ | 800 (3) |  |
| 20:19 | 28:3 | 4-35-5-7 (1) | 34:20;66:22;75:12 |  |
| 2020-51 (1) | 2022 (1) | 112:12 | 85 (1) |  |
| 20:22 | 88:7 | $45 \text { (1) }$ | 63:8 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-52 (1) } \\ 20: 25 \end{gathered}$ | 2023 (1) | 101:16 | 87 (2) |  |
| 2020-53 (1) | 2024 (1) | 5 | 35:5,8 |  |
| 21:3 | 53:3 |  | 9 |  |
| 2020-54 (1) | 2025 (2) | 5 (6) |  |  |
| 21:6 | 65:6,7 | $48: 12 ; 79: 10 ; 91: 12$ | 9 (3) |  |
| 2020-55 (1) | 21,000,000 (1) | $92: 9,10 ; 105: 8$ | 51:3,3,9 |  |
| $21: 9$ | 48:15 | $5,400(1)$ | $90(2)$ |  |
| 2020-56 (2) | $24 \text { (2) }$ | $60: 3$ | $38: 2 ; 60: 5$ |  |
| 21:12;22:18 | $93: 13,21$ | $50 \text { (6) }$ | 90-day (1) |  |
| 2020-57 (1) | 24th (1) | 38:6;41:9;66:15; | 28:1 |  |
| $21: 15$ | 32:6 | 101:17;114:6;117:25 |  |  |
| 2020-58 (1) | $25 \text { (1) }$ | 50/50 (1) |  |  |
| 21:19 | 93:13 | 65:6 |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 5 9}(\mathbf{1}) \\ 21: 22 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 500,000,000 (2) |  |  |
| 2020-60 (1) | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 16 ; 52: 23 \\ & \mathbf{5 0 s}(\mathbf{1 )} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 21:25 | 3 (1) | 75:24 |  |  |
| 2020-61 (1) | 115:12 | 5-3-2 (1) |  |  |
| 22:2 | 3.2 (1) | 112:13 |  |  |
| 2020-62 (4) | 52:9 | 5-3-2b2 (1) |  |  |
| 22:4,21,23;23:6 | 3.3 (2) | 115:12 |  |  |
| 2020-63 (5) | 46:5;50:19 | 56 (1) |  |  |
| 24:3,4,24;25:9,14 | 300 (3) | 45:7 |  |  |
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