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PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Good afternoon, everyone. Welcome to the Third Quarter meeting of the Indiana Gaming Commission. The meeting is now called to order. I'd like to turn the meeting over to Executive Director Yelton to introduce our new commissioner.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Commissioner Tom Swihart's term expired on September 1st, and I want to publically acknowledge his dedicated service to and his interest in the Indiana Gaming Commission in specific and the State of Indiana in general. As we move forward, I'm pleased to introduce Governor Daniels' appointment of our newest commissioner, the Honorable Matthew Bell from Avilla, Indiana.

Matt currently serves as the Executive Director of the Regional Chamber of Northeast Indiana. Prior to accepting his current position, he served as a member of the House of Representatives from 2005 to 2010. During his term, Representative Bell served as the Ranking Minority Member of the House Public Policy

Committee which heard all gaming initiatives.

He spent eight years as the Executive

Director of LEAP in Noble County, Inc., a
community-based literacy program that serves men and women and children of Noble County.

Welcome aboard, Representative Bell. COMMISSIONER BELL: Thank you, sir.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Despite the fact
that Mr. Swihart is no longer a Commissioner, Commissioners, he leaves the vacancy of our Vice Chair. And I'll turn it over to Chairman Murphy for further action.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Executive Director Yelton.

I'd like to open the floor at this point to -- for the election of the Vice Chair. Is there a nomination?

COMMISSIONER SHY: I'd like to nominate Marc Fine.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I second that motion. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor of the approval as Vice Chair Marc Fine indicate by saying aye.
(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed?
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: As a result, Commissioner Fine is elected as the Executive Commissioner.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: I will now open the floor for nominations for Secretary.

MR. FINE: I would like to nominate Mary
Shy.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Is there a second?
COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Second.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor say aye.
(All said aye.)
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed?
Congratulations, Secretary Shields.
I now call the roll of the Commissioners. Commissioner Fine.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Present.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Commissioner Shy.
COMMISSIONER SHY: Present.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Commissioner Morgan.
COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Present.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Commissioner Shields?
COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Present.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Commissioner Bell.

COMMISSIONER BELL: Present.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: All Commissioners are present, and we have a quorum.

Commissioners, you've been provided minutes
of the last meeting and had an opportunity to review that. Is there a motion to approve the minutes?

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: I move their approval.

COMMISSIONER SHY: I'll second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor of approval of the last meeting's minutes signify by saying aye, please.
(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? The last meeting's minutes are approved. Thank you.

Now moving into the agenda, I would like to give the floor back over to Ernie Yelton for a report of the Executive Director.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Before I get into my report, we have obviously very many distinguished guests here today to observe your work. One of the people I'd like
to recognize, Representative Bell's successor is with us here today. Although he is not the Ranking Minority Public Policy Member of the Committee, he's now the Chair of the House of Public Policy Committee. I'd like to introduce Representative Bill Davis.
(Applause.)
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: I'll begin with our staff report.

We have several new faces with us here today. The first is Kristen Kenley -- stand up, please -- who is our new administrative assistant. Kristen, originally from Rockville, is a recent graduate of Butler University where she majored in philosophy. She focused her efforts on political and business internships while at Butler and pursued a position with the Commission based on her interest in state government, which we will probably change very rapidly.

Our License Control Counselor, Julien Agnew, was successfully recruited by the FBI. Replacing him is Joby Jerrells. Joby. Joby is a Bloomington native that joins us from the Attorney General's Office. He was previously a Deputy Prosecutor in Monroe County and formerly the Director of

1 Regulatory Compliance at the French Lick Casino.

In 2010 Joby was nominated as one of the three finalists considered by Governor Daniels for appointment to the Indiana Tax Court.

The Governor also tapped Joe Hoage as the Indiana Public Access Counselor, and replacing him is Sara Gonso Tate -- Sara -- as our newest staff attorney. Sara was born and raised in Indianapolis. She earned her undergraduate degree from Miami University of Ohio and her law degree from the Indiana University School of Law Indianapolis. While in law school, Sara became a member of the Order of the Barristers and clerked for three different Indiana judges. Prior to joining the Gaming Commission, Sara was a contract attorney for a local law firm and also did policy research for Indiana University.

Dan Roberts -- Dan -- is our newest
Financial Investigator and has been with the Commission since June the 6th. Dan has a bachelor of science in business from the Indiana University Kelly School of Business. He was the Finance and Accounting Specialist with WellPoint and is a great addition to our staff.

Finally, we welcome Tashina Manuel as our

Assistant Controller. Tashina worked as an accountant with the Indiana State Budget Agency since February of 2007 where she received a 2011 Governor's Public Service Achievement Award with their Centralized Accounting Division. She earned her B.S. and master's in accounting from the IU Kelly School of Business in Bloomington -- pardon me -- in Indianapolis.

At our last meeting you approved the transfer of the Grand Victoria riverboat license from Hyatt Gaming to Full House Gaming. On August 20th -- 19th of this year they conducted a ribbon-cutting ceremony where they rechristened the casino as the Rising Star. From all appearances and information we've received, the Rising Sun city administration and the previous gaming staff are most pleased with their new management.

The Background and Financial Investigations Division has concluded its reinvestigation of Hollywood Casino and supplier PokerTek. Those reports have been submitted to you in advance and Directors Garth Brown and Danielle Leek are present should you have any questions on those two reports.

Since the June meeting, the IGC staff has added ten new individuals to our Exclusion List,
which effectively and permanently bars those
patrons from entering any casino in Indiana.
Three individuals were observed either
past-posting or pinching their bets while at an
Indiana casino.
Six other individuals were observed taking
illegal possession of a TITO, casino chips, or U.S.
currency in excess of approximately $\$ 500$ or making
fraudulent cash withdrawals while at an Indiana
casino.
The remaining individual, Anthony Forney,
was observed physically attacking another patron in
a robbery attempt while at the Horseshoe Southern
Casino. He was charged with multiple felony
offenses and there is currently a warrant for his
arrest in connection with the incident.
For the year 2011, the IGC has placed 45
patrons on the Exclusion List, bringing the total
to 255 individuals who are permanently barred from
entrance to any casino or racino in Indiana.
Mr. Gray was quite busy with the labors for
this particular last quarter. We may not have any
rules left after we hear all of these. But
nonetheless, the members of the Casino Association
were granted eight waivers.

They may increase the aggregate amount of checks cashed within seven days from 1,000 to $\$ 2,500$ for in-house cashing and $\$ 5,000$ for checks cashed utilizing a check guarantee service.

They are relieved from the requirement to complete a 100 percent drop of tournament machines prior to the commencement of each tournament day. Also, they were given relief from an IGC agent being contacted at the completion of the tournament requesting the return of the tournament area to regular play and for a Commission agent to seal the tournament EPROM and the regular play EPROM into the EGD.

A visual inspection of playing cards by players is no longer required to be conducted prior to the commencement of various table games.

However, should a player ask to visually inspect the cards prior to the commencement of the game, the casino is required to comply.

The Casino Association may now rotate chip denominations to $\$ 25$ and below only twice weekly.

One half of a private Craps table may now be staffed with one box person, one stick person, and one base dealer. If the private Craps table has more than six players, a second base dealer will be

1 added and the entire game table open for play. Before opening a private Craps table, the casino must inform an IGC gaming agent.

A waiver was granted to only inform the Surveillance Department of fills in the amount of $\$ 5,000$ or more. Once the Surveillance Department is notified of a fill, they will document amount and the table game location on the surveillance log. This waiver is for the fill amounts only. The procedures for the notification and recording of table games credits will not be affected.

They were also granted relief from the requirement that surveillance be notified and a record on the surveillance log any time a slot department employee enters an electronic gaming device to conduct a minor repair or maintenance. The slot employees when accessing the EGDs will still be required to insert their card in the EGD as well as complete the log that is maintained inside the machine.

Surveillance will be notified when a bill validator, a bill validator compartment, or sealed boards are in need of repair and will visually record the repair and maintenance and document the notification in the surveillance log.

Finally, they may allow the transfer of monetary funds between locations for amounts of $\$ 1,000$ or less without a security escort. Additionally, the casinos requested relief and received it from a security escort for the movement of the chips, checks, and cash equivalents that are transported between casino cashiering areas.

Rising Star was granted a waiver to forgo maintaining any secondary chips of the value of two dollars and fifty cents. If it would need to pull the primary two dollar fifty cent chip, the casino would use the primary one dollar and primary chip of fifty cents. The casino will also maintain the one dollar secondary chip at 50 percent in lieu of utilizing the previously-granted waiver allowing 30 percent.

Hollywood was granted a waiver allowing a progressive jackpot to be immediately moved from a bank of machines to another progressive on the casino floor without the required 30-days' notice.

Horseshoe Hammond was granted relief on any progressive slot machines with an immediate jackpot of $\$ 50,000$, that dedicated camera coverage would not be required until the progressive display reads a minimum of $\$ 40,000$. This is for all slot
machines that are not connected to the Paltronics system. This waiver is granted on the condition that the accounting/income audit department will be responsible for verifying daily that the incrementation is correct on all progressive machines.

Majestic Star was granted a one-time waiver for the pre-installment of approved themes onto a server-based gaming system. The verification of the themes will be completed by an IGC agent and the agent would also be present at the delivery of the server.

And that, Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission, concludes the Executive Director's Report unless there are any questions and I would be happy to answer.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Questions? Thank you, Executive Director Yelton. We'll now move on to old business.

Since there is none, we'll move to patron matters and the Voluntary Exclusion Program and Tami Timberman-Wright.

MS. TIMBERMAN-WRIGHT: Good afternoon, Commissioners.

You have before you 25 orders regarding the

Voluntary Exclusion program. Pursuant to the rules of the program, the identity of the Voluntary Exclusion program for participants must remain confidential. Pursuant to 68 IAC 6-3-2(g), a participant in the program agrees that if he or she violates the terms of the program and enters the gaming area of a facility under the jurisdiction of the Commission, they will forfeit any jackpot or thing of value obtained as a result of the wager.

Under Orders 2011-141 through 2011-165, a total sum of $\$ 29,877.84$ was forfeited by John Does 66 through 90. These winnings were collected at Ameristar, Belterra, French Lick, Hollywood, Hoosier Park, Horseshoe, Horseshoe Southern Indiana, Indiana Live, Rising Star, and Majestic Star. These winnings were withheld as required by Commission regulations.

Commission staff recommends that you approve the remittance of these winnings for John Does 66 through 90.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Are there any questions of Ms. Timberman-Wright? If not, is there a motion to approve orders 2011-141 through 165?

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Motion to approve. COMMISSIONER FINE: Seconded.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Orders 2011-141 through 165 are approved. Thank you, Ms. Timberman-Wright.

Next up will be the Voluntary Exclusion program appeals and Derek Young.

MR. YOUNG: Thank you.
Before you now is Order No. 2011-166, an appeal of John Doe No. 17's Voluntary Exclusion Remittance. John Doe 17 submitted a five-year application for remittance on October 29, 2009. January 5th, 2011 he was apprehended at Hollywood Casino in Lawrenceburg, Indiana after having won a $\$ 5,416$ jackpot. The money was forfeited according to the terms of the agreement and on March 17, 2011 the Commission approved the remittance of the jackpot under Order No. 2011-17.

He timely submitted an appeal of the Commission and admitted to winning the jackpot at Hollywood Casino. The matter was heard by an ALJ upon a Motion for Summary Judgment on July 1, 2011, which he failed to attend. On July 8, 2011 the ALJ

1
granted the Motion for Summary Judgment. He has not objected to the ALJ's findings and recommendations. Before you you have the order approving the ALJ's decision, which would have the effect of denying John Doe No. 17's appeal of your March 2011 order. Commission staff recommends you approve that order at this time.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions of Mr. Young regarding the Order 166? If not, is the motion moved?

COMMISSIONER BELL: Moved.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: I'll second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: All those in favor of approval $2011-166$ signify by saying aye. (All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed. Order 2011-166 is approved.

MR. YOUNG: Thank you.
Next is Order No. 2011-167, which is an appeal of John Doe No. 52's voluntary exclusion remittance. John Doe 52 submitted an application for lifetime placement on the VEP list on May 10 , 2008. On or about April 7, 2011, she was discovered at Rising Sun Star Casino in Rising Sun, Indiana. At that time she'd won $\$ 2,310.50$ in cash
equivalents from Rising Star Casino. The money was forfeited according to the terms of the agreement, and June 2nd, 2011 the Commission approved the remittance of the jackpot under Order No. 2011-91.

She timely submitted an appeal and the matter was assigned to an Administrative Law Judge. The ALJ set a telephonic preliminary hearing for August 2 nd, 2011, which she failed to attend. Commission staff thereafter made a Motion for Default Judgment. She failed to make a written response to that motion and the ALJ granted Commission staff default judgment on September 7, 2011.

The order now before you would deny John Doe No. 52's appeal of your June 2011 order. Under the Administrative Orders and Procedures Act, the Commission is required to affirm the ALJ's decision in this matter because the default judgment was granted. Commission staff respectfully requests that you approve the order at this time.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions of Mr. Young regarding Order 167? If not, is there a motion to approve?

COMMISSIONER FINE: Move to approve.
COMMISSIONER SHY: Second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor of approval of 2011-167 signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2011-167 is approved.

MR. YOUNG: Before you is Order No. 2011-168, which is an appeal of John Doe No. 65's voluntary exclusion remittance. John Doe 65 submitted an application for lifetime placement on the VEP list on November 16, 2011. On May 6th, 2011 he was present at the Blue Chip Casino in Michigan City, Indiana with $\$ 6,600$ in casino chips in his possession. The money was forfeited according to the terms of the VEP application, and on June 2nd, 2011 the Commission approved the remittence of the $\$ 6,600$ in Order No. 2011-104. He timely submitted an appeal of the Commission decision. In his appeal letter he argued that the monies seized were not obtained as a result of a wager made and therefore is not suitable for seizure under 68 IAC 6-3-2(g). As evidence, he submitted a bank statement detailing a personal ATM withdrawal of $\$ 14,000$ on the day of the occurrence.

Under the terms of the VEP agreement, the IGC is only permitted to seize monies that are won or obtained as a result of a wager made. After the review of the relevant documents, the Commission staff entered into a settlement agreement with John Doe 65 under which the IGC will refund the $\$ 6,600$ to him and he would remain a lifetime member of the VEP list.

The order before you approves the settlement agreement entered into by Commission staff and John Doe 65 and Commission staff recommends that you approve the order at this time.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions of Mr. Young regarding Order 2011-168? If not, is there a motion to approve the order?

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Motion to approve.
COMMISSIONER BELL: Second.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and
seconded. All those in favor of approval for 2011-168 signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2011-168
is approved. Thank you, Mr. Young.
We'll now move on to patron exclusions and also Derrek Young.

MR. YOUNG: Before you now is Order 2011-169, an appeal of the civil penalty against Patricia Strickland for violation of the terms of her placement on the Statewide Exclusion List. On April 21st, 2010 Strickland was placed on the Statewide Exclusion List barring her presence in any casino or racino in Indiana.

On June 18, 2011 Strickland was discovered at Ameristar Casino in East Chicago, Indiana in violation of the terms of her placement on the Statewide Exclusion List. While in the gaming area of Ameristar Casino, Strickland won a $\$ 1,506$ slot machine jackpot.

On June 28, 2011 Commission staff initiated civil penalty administrative action by filing a complaint against Strickland in the amount of the jackpot for being in violation of 68 IAC 6-1-4.5. Strickland failed to file an answer to the complaint and Commission staff made a Motion for Default Judgment. Strickland failed to file a written response to that motion and the ALJ granted Commission staff default judgement on August 23rd, 2011.

The order before you now would affirm the ALJ's findings and recommendation, and the civil

1 penalty seizure for violation of the terms of placement on the Exclusion List. Under the Administrative Orders and Procedures Act, the Commission is required to affirm the ALJ's decision in this matter of default judgment was granted. Commission staff respectfully requests that you approve the order at this time.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions on Order 169? If not, is there a motion to approve the order?

COMMISSIONER BELL: I move to approve.
COMMISSIONER FINE: I second the motion.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor of approval signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2011-169
is approved.
MR. YOUNG: Next is Order 2011-170, an appeal of Kyle Sanders' placement on the Commission's Exclusion List. On October 26, 2010 Kyle Sanders was a dealer who was placed on the Exclusion List after being observed on four occasions moving tip bets by patrons in order to increase his odds of winning as well as paying tip
bets that were not winning wagers while dealing at a $21+3$ Blackjack game. Sanders was then terminated from his employment at Belterra Casino. On November 8, 2010 Sanders filed an appeal in this matter, arguing that he lacked the requisite intent to defraud the casino, had never been made aware of his violating procedure prior to the alleged incident, had only received one disciplinary reprimand from his employer in seven years as a dealer, and had never been subject to any Commission disciplinary action.

After appearing before the ALJ for a preliminary hearing, settlement discussions were initiation and a settlement agreement was entered into whereby Sanders would withdraw his appeal and would be allowed to petition the Commission for removal from the Exclusion List after one year and six months of his original placement on the list.

Sanders will remain on the Exclusion List until such time he petitions to be removed, at which time you will be able to make a decision as to whether to allow him to be removed from the list. He will be eligible to submit a petition on April 26, 2012.

Before you is the order approving settlement

1 agreement. Commission staff recommends you approve 2 this order at this time.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions of Mr. Young regarding Order 170? If not, is there a motion for approval?

COMMISSIONER SHY: Move to approve.
COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Second the motion.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor of approval of Order $2011-170$ signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2011-170 has been approved. Thank you, Mr. Young.

Next on the agenda will be Suppliers Licensure and Daniel Leek.

MS. LEEK: Good afternoon, Commissioners and Staff. Before you is Order 2011-171 which will grant a supplier's license to Multimedia Games, Incorporated.

Multimedia submitted a Supplier's Application in November of 2010. They design and manufacture and supply networked and server-based gaming systems to Native American casinos and commercial casinos. They were issued a temporary license in November of 2010 which allowed them to
begin selling products in Indiana.

Investigator David Charlesworth conducted at that time a financial investigation on the company, its substantial owners, and key persons, and found no derogatory information that would affect suitability. The final report is available for your review and staff recommends that you grant the application.

I'd be happy to answer any questions that you have.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Questions of Ms. Leek? If not, is there a motion to approve Order 2011-171?

COMMISSIONER BELL: So moved.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Seconded.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor of approval signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2011-171 is approved. Thank you.

We'll now move on to License Renewals and Sherry Green.

MS. GREEN: Good afternoon. You have before you Order No. 2011-172 concerning the renewal of supplier licenses pursuant to Indiana Code 4-33 and

68 IAC 2-2.
The Commission has previously approved a permanent suppliers' license for the following four companies: Gaming Partners International USA, Incorporated; Gemaco, Inc.; Global Surveillance Associates, Incorporated; and PokerTek.

A supplier's license is valid for a period of one year. Pursuant to IAC 4-33-7-8 and 68 IAC 2-2-8, a supplier's license must be renewed annually and a payment of $\$ 7,500$ for the annual renewal fee must be remitted. Each of these licensees has requested renewal of their license and has paid the appropriate renewal fees.

The Commission staff recommends that you approve the renewal of the licenses of the four suppliers.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions of Ms. Green regarding Order 172? If not, is there a motion to approve the order?

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Motion to approve.
COMMISSIONER SHY: I'll second.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor of approval of Order 172 signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2011-172 is approved. Thank you, Ms. Green.

We'll now move on to Waivers and Garth
Brown.

MR. BROWN: Good afternoon, Commissioners and Executive Staff. You have before you order 2011-173 regarding Transfer of Ownership and more about Indiana suppliers in Atlantic City Coin and Slot Company, Incorporated.

On or about October 30, 1995, Atlantic City Coin and Slot, Incorporated, or AC Coin, a privately owned entity, submitted a Supplier's License Application to the Indiana Gaming Commission. AC Coin is a provider of several types of gaming products including slot machines, signs, table games, and progressive controllers.

On February 26th, 1999 the Commission granted AC Coin a permanent Supplier's License to operate and sell products to Indiana casinos. Currently, AC Coin is owned by the Seelig family with Max Seelig holding approximately 68 percent of the interests. Because of his ownership, Max was investigated by the Commission and found suitable to hold a license.

On May 23rd, 2011, the Commission received
notice from AC Coin that due to estate planning purposes Mr. Seelig wished to transfer his interest in AC Coin into a trust of which Mr. Seelig would be the sole trustee.

Because he's previously been investigated and found suitable, Commission staff believes a waiver of the transfer of ownership application requirements outlined in 68 IAC 5-2-2(a) is appropriate. This would alleviate Mr. Seelig who is already licensed by the Commission from having to submit application in this transfer of his shares.

Commission staff recommends the approval of the waiver of 68 IAC $5-2-2(a)$.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions of Mr. Brown on Order 173? If not, is there a motion to approve Order 2011-173?

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: I move.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Seconded.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you. Order 2011-173 has been approved, Mr. Brown.

We'll now move on to Occupational Licensees and Derek Young.

MR. YOUNG: Before you now is Order No. 2011-174 regarding Keith A. Jordan's occupational

1 license.

On or about April 14, 2011 Mr. Jordan submitted a Level 3 occupation license application and was granted a temporary Level 3 license. He was later issued a permanent license.

On March 11, 2011 Jordan pleaded guilty to possession of a Schedule II controlled substance, which is a D felony, in Cause No. 58C01-1005-FD-0035 in the Ohio County Circuit Court. He was sentenced to court costs and fines and three years probation.

As a result of this felony conviction, Mr. Jordan has failed to maintain suitability for licensure by violating IC 4-33-8-3, which forbids the issuance of an occupational license to any individual who has been convicted of a felony under the laws of the State of Indiana or any other state or jurisdiction.

On April 7, 2011 the Commission filed a disciplinary complaint before the administrative law judge seeking to revoke Mr. Jordan's occupation license for failure to maintain suitability for licensure. The Commission later filed a Motion for Summary Judgment, which was granted on July 1st, 2011 by the ALJ following the hearing.

Before you is the order approving the ALJ's decision. Commission staff recommends you approve at this time.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions of Mr. Young on Order 174? If not, is there a motion to approve the order?

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: I move to approve it.
COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I second the motion.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor of approval of Order 2011-174 signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2011-174
is approved. Thank you, Mr. Young.
We'll now move on to Financing Matters and Jeff Nuenschwander.

MR. NUENSCHWANDER: Good afternoon, Commissioners and Executive Staff.

Order 2011-175 is a financing approval order for Boyd Game Corporation. On July 25th, 2011 Boyd Gaming Corporation through counsel requested a waiver and interim approval to act on a proposed financing plan. In accordance with Resolution 2008-74, Commission Chair Tim Murphy and Executive Director Ernest Yelton considered Boyd's request
and consulted with Commission Financial Analyst David Charlesworth, CFA.

Chairman Murphy and Director Yelton approved the proposed financing plan should be approved and Director Yelton issued an interim approval letter on September 7th, 2011. The proposed financing was described in a confidential interim approval letter dated September 7th, 2011 and confidential documents provided to the Commission.

Resolution 2008-74 requires that the interim approval letter be reported to you for consideration and final ratification under the direction from the Commission.

Commission staff recommends that you ratify Executive Director Yelton's interim approval letter.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions of Mr. Nuenschwander on Order 175? If not, is there a motion to approve Order 2011-175? COMMISSIONER SHY: I move to approve. COMMISSIONER FINE: Second. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor of approval signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2011-175 is approved.

MR. NUENSCHWANDER: Order 2011-176, financing matter from Penn National.

On June 8th, 2011 Penn National Gaming through counsel requested a waiver of the proposed activity financing plan. In accordance with the procedure identified under Resolution 2008-74, Commission Chairman Tim Murphy and Executive Director Ernest Yelton considered the request and consulted with Commission Financial Analyst Stephanie Berry.

Chairman Murphy and Director Yelton agreed that the proposed financing plan should be approved and Director Yelton issued an interim approval letter on July 13th, 2011. This proposed financing plan was described in a confidential interim approval letter dated July 13 th and also in confidential documents provided to the Commission.

Resolution 2208-74 requires that the interim approval letter be reported to you for consideration and final ratification by the Commission. As with the last one, Commission staff recommends that you ratify Executive Yelton's interim approval letter.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions of Mr. Nuenschwander on Order 176? If not, is there a motion to approve the order?

COMMISSIONER FINE: Move for approval.
COMMISSIONER SHY: Second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and
seconded. All those in favor of approval signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2011-176 is approved.

MR. NUENSCHWANDER: Thank you. Order 2011-177 is for Pinnacle Entertainment.

On June 20th, 2011 Pinnacle Entertainment through counsel requested permission -- requested a waiver and enter an approval to act on a proposed financing plan. Just like the last two in accordance with the procedure identified in Resolution 2008-74, Commission Chairman Murphy and Director Yelton considered the request and consulted with Commission Financial Analyst Stephanie Berry.

Chairman Murphy and Ernest Yelton agreed that the financing plan should be approved and Director Yelton issued an interim approval letter
on August 1st, 2011. The proposal was described in confidential documents provided to the Commission and also an interim approval letter which was dated August 1st.

Resolution 2008-74 requires that interim approval be reported to you for consideration and a final ratification from the Commission. Commission staff recommends that you ratify Executive Director's interim approval letter.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions of
Mr. Nuenschwander on Order 177? If not, is there a motion for approval of Order 2011-177?

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Motion for approval. COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Seconded. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2011-177
is approved. Thank you, Mr. Nuenschwander.
Next on the agenda will be disciplinary actions and Chris Gray.

MS. GRAY: Good afternoon, Commissioners. You have before you ten settlement agreements concerning disciplinary actions.

The first settlement is Aztar, Order 2011-178 wherein the casino allowed two underage patrons onto the casino floor. Aztar has agreed to a monetary settlement of $\$ 4,500$ in lieu of disciplinary action.

Are there any questions?
The second order, 2011-179, is a settlement agreement with Belterra and includes two counts. In the first count the casino failed to follow the proper procedures for redeeming TITO tickets and chips.

The second count violated the rule requiring slot machines to be capable of detecting and displaying when a door is open. Belterra has agreed to a monetary settlement of $\$ 10,000$ in lieu of disciplinary action.

Are there any questions concerning this order?

The third order, 2011-180, is a settlement agreement with French Lick wherein the casino allowed numerous employees access to information at a level that they were not licensed for. French Lick has agreed to a total monetary settlement of $\$ 2,500$ in lieu of a disciplinary action.

Are there any questions?

Order 2011-181 is a settlement agreement with Hollywood which includes six counts. In the first count the casino failed to realize an incorrect fill was sent to a table game until the cage cashier ended her shift with a variance.

The second counted violated the rule
regarding the timely notification of a terminated employee to the IGC agents.

In the third count the proper procedures for
a poker room exchange were not followed.
In the fourth count an underage person was allowed on the casino floor on three separate occasions.

Count 5 violated the Internal Controls outlining the procedures for payment of a table game jackpot over $\$ 600$.

The six count violated the proper procedures for a fill that was incorrect.

Hollywood has agreed to a monetary settlement of $\$ 31,000$ in lieu of disciplinary action.

Are there any questions?
COMMISSIONER SHY: I have a question.
In light of the number of issues we've seen in the past and at this time being six, how do you

1
feel Hollywood's doing in terms of addressing these?

MS. GRAY: I feel that the management staff that is in place at this time, they are working on trying to resolve these issues. However, I feel that this has been a cultural issue and it's going to take a while to filter down to all the casino members and staff members in order to be in compliance with the rules.

COMMISSIONER SHY: Okay.
MS. GRAY: I do -- however, they do have a new general manager, so we'll see how it goes.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Ms. Gray, one particular one here, the underage vendor being allowed access to the floor, the casino floor, third, fourth, and fifth time in six months, and I remember at the last -- I think Commissioner Shy was opposed to a 16-count disciplinary action in our last meeting and this was -- a number of offenses were -- are they doing anything specific to get a handle on that?

MS. GRAY: I think they're trying several things to alleviate this. I know this isn't a pleasant one for them. They have put up a new banner announcing that you need to be 21 to go onto
the casino floor. They are working with their security employees to try to get them to make sure that they do check for the -- do the identification checks that they're supposed to be doing. So I think the casino is working towards trying to alleviate this kind of violation.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Do they have a -- some of the casinos $I$ know, they have a separate line for anyone under 30 years old.

MS. GRAY: They do have that and they have had it for a while.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thanks.

MS. GRAY: Any other questions?
Order 2011-182 is a settlement agreement with Hoosier Park which includes two counts.

In the first count the soft count door was not secured properly.

In the second count a locked cart containing an NRT cassette with money in it was not properly secured for approximately 15 hours.

Hoosier Park has agreed to a monetary settlement of $\$ 5,000$ in lieu of disciplinary action.

Are there any questions?
Order 2011-183 is a settlement agreement
with Horseshoe Hammond wherein the casino violated the rules ensuring that the VEP members do not receive direct marketing.

Horseshoe Hammond has agreed to a monetary settlement of $\$ 1,500$ in lieu of disciplinary action.

Are there any questions?
Order 2011-184 is a settlement agreement with Horseshoe Southern Indiana wherein the casino failed to ensure that a bill validator box contained a separate lock to access the contents of the box.

Horseshoe Southern Indiana has agreed to a total monetary settlement of $\$ 1,500$ in lieu of disciplinary action.

Are there any questions?
The eighth order, 2011-185, is a settlement agreement with Indiana Live wherein the casino allowed a person under the age of 21 onto the casino floor on two separate occasions.

Indiana Live has agreed to pay a monetary settlement of $\$ 4,500$ in lieu of disciplinary action?

Are there any questions?
Order 2011-186 is a settlement agreement
with Majestic Star wherein the casino allowed a VEP to participate in a Blackjack tournament.

Majestic Star has agreed to pay a monetary settlement of $\$ 1,500$ in lieu of disciplinary action.

Are there any questions regarding this order?

The final order, 2011-187, is a settlement agreement with Rising Star wherein the casino allowed a patron in the bill validator drop area.

Rising Star has agreed to a monetary settlement of $\$ 2,500$ in lieu of disciplinary action.

Are there any questions regarding this order?

The Commission staff recommends that you approve orders 2011-178 through 2011-187, each of which approves one of the settlement agreements that we have just discussed.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Commissioners, is there a motion to approve Orders 178 through $187 ?$

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Motion to approve.
COMMISSIONER SHY: I'll second.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and
seconded. All those in favor of approval of Orders
2011-178 through 187 indicate by saying aye.
(All said aye.)
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Orders 2011-178
through 187 are approved. Thank you, Ms. Gray.
MS. GRAY: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: We'll now move on to
Ownership Transfers and Adam Packer.
MR. PACKER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
On March 6, 2010 the ultimate parent of Hoosier Park, Centaur LLC, filed for bankruptcy relief. Centaur is now preparing to emerge from bankruptcy, and one component of their emergence is Commission's consideration of various parts of their reorganization plan. I think I understand it pretty well, but luckily you won't have to find out one way or the other. My understanding is that there are representatives from Centaur here to discuss the plan and a portion of it requiring Commission approval.

So I'll turn the microphone back over to you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you. Mr. Ratcliff, are you ready now?

MR. RATCLIFF: Good afternoon. My name is Ron Ratcliff and I am the CEO and Chairman of the

Board of Centaur.
It is my contention today to do a presentation by thanking Chairman Murphy, the members of the Indiana Gaming Commission, Executive Director Ernie Yelton, Deputy Directory Jenny Reske, General Counsel Adam Packer, and Director of Investigations Garth Brown, and all the Commission staff for your patience, good counsel, and encouragement throughout the bankruptcy process.

To regress, in March 2010 Centaur filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection for one of the greatest professions in modern times. The company was faced with the challenge of a $\$ 250$ million gaming license fee and a high gaming tax rate. Largely due to the hard work of the Indiana Gaming Commission, we were able to move quickly through the restructuring process.

The Commission's counsel produced a path for restructuring that allowed nearly all of Centaur's vendors, including many Indiana small businesses, a substantial and in many cases a complete financial recovery. The vast majority of these businesses will continue to do business with Hoosier Park and participate in the bright future. The confidence demonstrated by the Indiana Gaming and Racing

Commission and the Hoosier Park Racing management team ultimately helped the homegrown Indiana company survive.

With existing management intact, we've emerged from bankruptcy with a strong balance sheet. Hoosier Park will continue to provide a bright future for our team members. We will continue to offer the highest entertainment value and we will continue to seek new opportunities to benefit the local and surrounding communities with good corporate structure.

Centaur's been able to emerge from bankruptcy a stronger company and has fought a difficult and painful process. That deserves comment. The leadership demonstrated by the Hoosier Park management team and Jim Brown greatly reduced the stress of the restructuring process felt by our customers, vendors, and team members and all of their families.

The decision to seek Chapter 11 protection was made by only as a last-resort situation. Bankruptcy is not something I personally wished I'd ever have to go through and ever see. I can speak for the entire Hoosier Park team when I say we are glad to be putting this painful process behind us.

The development of Hoosier Park Racing and Casino has been my personal dream for more than 20 years. Due to drastic efforts and the commitment of Our Hoosier Park team, members, Centaur staff, General Assembly, Indiana Gaming and Racing Commission, and, of course, our customers, we would have nothing without them, the reality of this dream continues.

Hoosier Park is an Indiana company which has employed more than 1,000 people. It is the No. 1 tourist destination for the Indianapolis and surrounding area and has become a favorite destination for gaming, racing, and entertainment in the Midwest.

Cooperation with our lenders was the last step that we needed, and we have that with clear-cut and micro factor to have a successful conclusion of our bankruptcy process. Clairvest is a company specializing in profitable resolve in American companies including gaming. Since this we will continue to benefit from the support of their investment and its partners, bringing with them financial strengths and experience.

Together with Clairvest and our continued commitment to compliance and the integrity of
gaming and racing in Indiana we will remain steadfast and will serve as the examples through others.

I would now like to introduce Mr. Michael Wagman, President and Managing Director. Michael's presence here today is a testament to the solidarity in our purpose and our appreciation of the timing and support of the Indiana Gaming Commission. Mr. Mike Wagman.

MR. WAGMAN: Thank you, Ron.
Good afternoon. On behalf of Clairvest, I'm delighted to be here today. Clairvest has a long history of backing local owners and operators in many jurisdictions across North America. As Rod mentioned, it has been a long and painful road to get here today for Clairvest, as far as investing, this was a first for us.

When we looked to analyze different opportunities on behalf of Clairvest, we looked at three different criteria. We looked at the stability of the regime, the quality of the assets, and the depth of the management team. And in this opportunity, we think that this opportunity was second to none of any that we've ever seen. So despite the long and painful road we had to get
there, we truly support them and we remain committed.

We also look forward to working with the Commission in the correct manner and back with management in any way possible to make them succeed.

With that, I will pass the floor over to Phil Bayt who will go over in greater detail on the emerging plan.

MR. BAYT: Good afternoon, Chairman,
Executive Director Yelton, Commission, and staff. As I see, Adam Packer is handing out copies of the slides that we'll be going through today for your ease of reference. I appreciate that.

Centaur's goal was to emerge as a financially-sound company from bankruptcy with a structure that maintains the integrity of gaming, racing, and the overall regulatory environment, and it's going to meet that goal. Centaur has also been successful in maintaining the continuity of management so that regulators, customers, horsemen, and the community it serves have a seamless experience in working with the Hoosier Park team.

Before it filed for bankruptcy, Centaur had a very complicated corporate structure, to
accommodate a casino in Colorado, which you'll see on the far left of the whole chart, these operations at Hoosier Park in Indiana, its potential operation in Pennsylvania called Valley View Downs, and on the far right land left over from an early site in Pennsylvania.

In the next slide you'll see that emerging from bankruptcy, the new Centaur will be a much more streamlined organization. Valley View, Pennsylvania has been sold and is excluded off the chart. Colorado had been sold and the only remaining entity is the blue entity on the left-hand side of the chart which reflects the fact that the Colorado entity has taken vats of paper and when that paper is paid back by the new purchaser, that entity will go away.

On the far right-hand side we see the box that reflects the land that is the original site of the Pennsylvania application. And when that land is disposed of, that will go away leaving only the operating entities in the middle of the chart, the Hoosier Park and the pairing organizations.

In the next slide you'll see the debt
structure. The proposed new debt totals \$273.7
million and it's made up of three tiers. The first

1 tier is the first lien loan at $\$ 160$ million. The second is a lien loan for $\$ 62$ million, and the third is an unsecured term loan, which we'd also call a pig note, for $\$ 51.7$ million.

The term pig note refers to the fact that interest is paid in kind; that is, it accrues during the term of the loan. The term loan also carries with it a set of warrants. Those warrants when exercised would give the holders of the warrants an equity state in the company. That interest would not be subject to all appropriate regulatory approvals if and when they would occur and all those warrants and the terms of the agreement would have to be met and exercised at one time.

In order to fund this new package, the lender requires some certainty on the transfer fee issue, and that became a requirement in the confirmed bankruptcy plan. The law exempts the current transfer because it is occurring in the context of a bankruptcy. The law also provides that a transfer fee is only applicable to the initial licensee. A future transfer would not be applicable in this situation because it would be a transfer by a subsequent licensee.

To comply with the bankruptcy plan and to provide the lenders the comfort that they need to fund the debt package, Centaur is respectfully requesting that the order, should one be issued today, include language to the effect that this transfer is not subject to the transfer fee and that at least under current law that future transfers would also not be subject to a transfer fee.

In the next slide we can focus on ownership structure. The ownership of the company will be divided into two classes. Class A and those members will consist overwhelmingly with a management team with a very small percentage being held by the other board members.

As the performance descended through the management team, a new class will also be issued that provides payouts at three different levels of success to the management team members depending upon the success of the company. Your investing requirements sent those team members to be able to stay with the company and to be able to achieve those invested levels.

On the next slide we can see that the board structure consists of a five-person board. The
holders of the Class A equity units will have a voting member representative reflected on your slide by the red box up at the top circle. Moving around clockwise, the holders of the term loan will appoint four voting members; three of those seats will be held by one person and the fourth will be held by a second person. Those are reflected on the blue boxes as you go around clockwise.

There's an independent manager reflected by the purple box on your slide that is held by an independent board member. Rounding out the circle in the green box is a non-voting management representative. The backgrounds of all the potential board members have been submitted to the staff for approval.

With the new debt equity and board structure, the new Centaur is poised to emerge from bankruptcy and continue its strong operational performance.

I'd be happy to answer any questions about this aspect of the presentation now or at the end of the presentation at the pleasure of the Chair.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Bayt.

MR. BAYT: With that I'd like to introduce Jim Brown, the president of Centaur, to talk about

1 the operational success and the future operational success of Hoosier Park.

MR. BROWN: Good afternoon, Chairman Murphy, Commissioners, Executive Director Yelton, and executive staff members of the Indiana Gaming Commission. My name is Jim Brown and I am the chief operating officer for Hoosier Park.

Rod talked about solidarity and commitment, and there were some of our team members that wanted to join us today. So quickly, could our Hoosier Park Centaur senior management staff team members please stand. Thank you.

I've resided in Indiana now for almost half my life. I'm a graduate of the Indiana University Kelly School of Business and I'm also Indiana's first operations-related gaming license holder. I opened Indiana's first riverboat gaming facility, Casino Aztar Evansville, in 1995 and successfully served as the president and general manager of that facility for over 12 years.

I also have had an opportunity to watch the first token go into Indiana's first slot machine in December of that year. I felt then and I feel now passionately and with a strong sense of responsibility and commitment to the purpose of my
company, our investors, our employee team, our customers, our host community, and the state of Indiana.

I joined Ron Ratcliff and Centaur in 2007 at the time to develop and open Hoosier Park Racing and Casino. And that was principally because I saw the same set of values in Rod and in Centaur as a company. When the initial enabling legislation was passed in 2007 allowing slot machines in Indiana's race tracks, owners and operators were taxed with a primary set of goals and responsibilities that were critically important to the economic growth by those communities in the state of Indiana.

They included a hand in horse racing, agribusiness, breeding, racing at Indiana's race tracks, providing economic investment, increasing revenues to city, county, and state government, the creation of the jobs, expanding area tourism, and providing tax relief for property tax relief for Indiana citizens.

When we opened Hoosier Park on June 2nd, 2008, we made the commitment to provide our customers with a seemly, integrated first-class dining, racing, gaming, and entertainment facility, that we would provide them outstanding products,
first-class services delivered by friendly
service-oriented employees.
We would operate our facility responsibly
and with integrity. We would be outstanding core
citizens and community partners. We would comply
with applicable rules associated with our licensure
and we would maximize economic assets to our local
community and the state of Indiana.
As Ron has discussed, we spent our last 18
months operating Hoosier Park while in bankruptcy.
During that time, we have enthusiastically operated
Hoosier Park. We've maintained and often enhanced
our products, our level of service, our
relationships with our customers, and have
developed -- further developed our affiliations
with our employee team, our vendors, our community,
and our state. We've also maintained an excellent
record of regulatory compliance and we've sustained
our workforce.
In these economic times and while operating
in bankruptcy, we made no layoffs during our
bankruptcy, and, in fact, have grown and improved
our business. You all saw us at our worst and we
behaved at our best. From the time our new
facility opened, we've continued to provide

1 economic benefits to all of our major recipients.
The state of Indiana received $\$ 250$ million
for property tax relief, in total the state of
Indiana has received $\$ 435$ million from our
operation, our city and county have received \$21
million, and the horse racing industry has received
$\$ 93$ million to date from the Hoosier Park
operation.
We made a commitment to develop a local
workforce. Our workforce now totals over one
thousand. Over 50 percent are Anderson residents
and 99 percent are Indiana residents. We made a
commitment to support local vendors. Of our 120
million in qualifying purchases, 77 million of
these purchases are made by local -- to local and
regional vendors, 14 million to women-owned
businesses, and 13 million to minority-owned
businesses.
We've enjoyed an outstanding relationship
with the horse racing industry during this time.
In addition to over $\$ 90$ million in additional purse
and redevelopment payments made to our gaming
revenues, we've maintained an excellent
relationship with our racing industry regulators,
our gaming customers, and are, in fact, in the
process of completing a new downtown off-track waging facility on Pennsylvania Street in downtown Indianapolis.

We've welcomed over 9 million visitors to our facility, and that number continues to grow. We've been a proactive corporate citizen and community partner and have demonstrated the strong commitment through time, resources, and monetary support for over 200 area agencies, charities, and other worthwhile causes. Our management staff now sits on over 40 area boards.

Personally in addition to serving as the 2011 and 2012 campaign Chairman for the United Way of Madison County, I also professionally served as the Chairman for the Casino Association of Indiana, a position that I served in from 2002 to 2007 and now from 2009 to present. Our sense of commitment and responsibility to all of our constituents is comprehensive.

In closing, we are an Indiana-based and managed company. We are now on solid, sound financial footing. We are committed to the well-being and growth of our workforce, to the entertainment experience of our customers, to attaining superior financial and operating results,
and to maximize the economic benefits to the city
of Anderson, Madison County, and the state of
Indiana. We look forward to our relationship with
Michael and Clairvest and we look forward to a new
day ahead of opportunity and achievement and a
brighter future. And I thank you for your time
today and I would like to reintroduce Rod.
MR. RATCLIFF: Thank you, Jim.
In closing, our company would like to thank
the Commission and its staff for all the time and
consideration given to me and my company. I am
immensely grateful, and I really mean that. For
many years to come, the Commission can expect what
they've always expected from us, integrity and
excellence in operation. We will leave bankruptcy
and emerge the strongly-growing company that we
promise. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you.
Mr. Packer, do we need to revisit the order?
MR. PACKER: The Commission staff is asking
the Commission to consider three components of
Centaur's reorganization. Centaur has just asked
the Commission to consider another component of
Centaur's reorganization.
The three components that the Commission
staff is asking for the Commission to consider are
the transfer of the license from Hoosier Park, LP
to Hoosier Park, LLC.
The second component that the staff is
asking the Commission to consider is the approval
of Centaur Holdings, LLC and Hoosier Park's
ultimate parent.
And finally, the staff is asking the
Commission to consider the financing package that
Mr. Bayt explained including the first lien loan
and revolver, the second lien loan, and the
unsecured loan that Mr. Bayt described in his
presentation.
All of those transactions qualify in that
transaction under 68 IAC 5-3. Centaur has
requested the Commission approve these transactions
today instead of the usual process where we give an
interim approval and the transaction is approved at
a later date. The Commission staff has completed
the investigation of all applications and all
requests and will recommend at this time approval
of the transfer of the license, approval of Centaur
Holdings as the ultimate parent, and the approval
of the financing.
The Commission staff has not issued a

1 recommendation on Mr. Bayt's request that the order
2 contain language that future transfers of the license will not result in a transfer fee.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Packer.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: To explain it a little further, it's not I'm going to take legal issue with what Mr. Bayt has said. It's just that the staff believes that this Commission should not take action that would impact a future transaction that may have to be considered by an entirely different Commission and under entirely different laws. So that is not recommended regarding that request.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: All right.
Well, Mr. Packer, am I right in thinking that the request to have this language specifically in the order is really already covered in the statutes?

MR. PACKER: The language -- that's right. The language that Centaur wants to put in the order is language that's in the racino act that states that any subsequent transfer of license after the initial transfer is not subject to the $\$ 50$ million transfer fee. What Mr. Bayt has stated and requested is that because of requests of their

1 lenders for more satisfaction and more sure result
2 of that, they put in the bankruptcy plan that the order should have its language in it. And perhaps it's appropriate for Mr. Bayt to answer some of these questions, if the Commissioners would like. I would leave it up to you, Mr. Chairman. But that's my understanding.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Do the Commissioners have any questions of Mr. Bayt?

COMMISSIONER BELL: Mr. Bayt, is there feeling that the existing racino statute does not provide adequate protection for --

MR. BAYT: The sense of the matter is that the valuation proposition with respect to the overall bankruptcy combination, the value of the company and relationship and overall debt, could only bear a balancing act, if you will, if there was no transfer fee, and that's understood by all.

There's also a prior history of the transfer fee as it emerged in Indiana over time that costs and concerns in the lender market in the prior years, and as a result there was a desire on the part of the creditors in the plan and the potential lenders in the plan that there be some comfort, some clarity, and some confirmation as to what the
current law is by the Commission itself.
Not that there would be an insurance that the law wouldn't change in the future or that it would be in any way binding if the law were in fact to change; rather, there was a request that for the sake of clarity and for the sake of certainty, that we all understood that we agreed how to interpret it and what the correct law said in the context of a future transfer.

COMMISSIONER FINE: It seems to me that's the place for the legal opinion of the lender, it's not really our place.

MR. BAYT: It does -- it does focus on the fact that when a party makes a financial decision whether it's debt or equity, that it wants to understand what the regulatory environment would be in terms of what would happen when that investment, whether it's debt or equity, would have, if you will, an exit plan; that is, to pay off the refinancing or the sale of the enterprise. And in the case of the sale of the enterprise, there was a potential for a licensing fee if it were a transfer of the initial licensee.

Here we are focusing on the fact that we don't have that initial licensee in the future and

1 we also have an exception for this particular
2 transaction, even though it would be an initial
3 licensee. What the lenders are attempting to get
4 is a confirmation that you see it the way that we
5 see it. And they take more comfort from
6 interpretation from the Commission than, frankly,
7 from the interpretation from myself. COMMISSIONER FINE: But we're not even looking at it right now.

MR. BAYT: I understand. I understand. But if you were to look at it and if today's law would be applied, the question is: How would you interpret it.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: May I ask a question?

MR. BAYT: Absolutely.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: You're a very good lawyer, and I mean that sincerely.

If the Commission would adopt this on representations of colleagues next year, is it reasonable to assume that you and a new purchaser would already --

MR. BAYT: No. If the law were to change, then what this Commission -- all we're asking the Commission today is to say what their
interpretation of the current statue is, not to in any way have to bind the Commission or future legislature from any change in the law. But if the law were not to change, what we're asking is this is the way the Commission interprets it under the statute today.

So there's no attempt to grandfather, if you will, a position of the Commission that would in fact be applicable should the legislature change the law.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Bayt, is the financing absolutely conditioned on this language being in the resolution?

MR. BAYT: The bankruptcy plan that has been confirmed contains an express provision requiring a statement from the gaming regulators that the subsequent transfer fee is not going to be applicable under the current law in this situation, and I provided a copy of that relevant language to the staff.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Is that offered to the bankruptcy court as part of the plan?

MR. BAYT: That was required as part of the plan.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: By the bankruptcy court? MR. BAYT: As part of the order of the bankruptcy court.

COMMISSIONER FINE: If I heard you
correctly, and maybe I didn't, but you said that they were looking -- that part of the plan, and I haven't read the language, they were looking for an insurance that a subsequent transfer would not create an obligation for a fee.

MR. BAYT: Under the current law, correct. COMMISSIONER FINE: Again, I keep going back to that legal opinion to a lender. I don't know -I'm not sure that's our place.

MR. BAYT: I appreciate the fact that the Commission can vote as it deems appropriate. I appreciate that. What the lenders are looking for is comfort from the ultimate deciding body that should there be a subsequent transfer and should the law not change, that the Commission would decide it that particular way.

So it would provide them some comfort and some clarity as to what would happen in the future, again, should the law not change.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Bayt, if we were to approve Order 188 as it is, not including the
additional language, does that do you any good?
MR. BAYT: It would not be -- it would not be in conformance with the plan. So we would have to go back to the bankruptcy court to either seek a change in the plan or to have an interpretation that, well, we would have to seek a change in the plan.

COMMISSIONER FINE: And I guess I'm -- does the order from the bankruptcy court require language from an order from the Gaming Commission to say that or does it just say they want an insurance, which says to me it could be a third-party insurance?

MR. BAYT: It specifically references the Commission.

Mr. Packer, do you happen to have that language?

MR. PACKER: Your characterization is correct. The bankruptcy plan says that the debtor shall obtain from the Gaming Commission an order -we didn't approve that plan. It's all in the bankruptcy court order, not in ours. So it's an interesting conflict where this language existed without our approval telling us what to do.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Going back,

Mr. Packer, to your recommendation initially, as I understood it there were four components and three you agree to?

MR. PACKER: Three of which the staff has made the recommendation to the Commission. About the fourth, we're making no recommendation.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: No recommendation.
Is that something we can table until a later date because it's kind of complicated?

MR. PACKER: I think that's up to the wisdom of the Commission.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Right.
MR. BAYT: Remember, Commission, we would be ready to go and ready to emerge from bankruptcy and ready to start the new companies and continue the good operations of Hoosier Park with positive approval of all four components of the requests today. Absent that, we truly would need to go back to the bankruptcy court and go to square one. COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Mr. Bayt. MR. BAYT: Yes, Judge? COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: The only language that I see that we can do to try to satisfy that is that this present Commission presently constituted in the present law says this, and that's all we can
possibly do. And as I understand what you're
saying, that would not satisfy --
MR. BAYT: I believe that would be -- I
believe that would be satisfactory.
COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: -- because it
guarantees nothing.
MR. BAYT: But it provides for an
interpretation of the current law by the regulatory
body, which is ultimately --
COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: The present --
MR. BAYT: Presently. That's all the plan
provides, and I believe that we could have
tremendous comfort and would feel very comfortable
saying to the bankruptcy court that we are
presenting them with an order that complies
completely with the confirming plan.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Packer --
MR. BAYT: The language --
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: -- can we hear the
language one more time? Or maybe it's Mr. Bayt.
MR. PACKER: It's his recommendation. It's
his request.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Bayt, could you repeat
the language that you would like to see in the
order one more time?

MR. BAYT: What we would respectfully request would be language to the effect that under the law as currently constituted that a transfer of the license by the new Hoosier Park entity would not be subject to the transfer fee because it would be a subsequent licensee and not the initial licensee.

I can condense that, but that is the effect. It's only subject that the current law would not bind the Commission to any different law or any different set of circumstances.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Just reiterating my colleague here and Commissioner, we can only -this existing Commission can't release the existing circumstances. So what does it mean into the future?

MR. BAYT: Things can change in the future. But in order to satisfy the bankruptcy court and confirmed plan, that you would provide me with the avenue to let them know that we have in fact accomplished everything with respect to that particular paragraph of the plan.

COMMISSIONER FINE: The language that you had and the language that Judge Shields had are different to me. You seem to think that -- it made

1 it sound like what she indicated would be some proposed language that was acceptable. Is that correct?

MR. BAYT: That would be correct, yes. But given the opportunity to have language requested of me, I provided the one that $I$ would want. But I'd be happy -- if Judge Shields would repeat what she said, I think that that language would work for us to be able to go back to the bankruptcy court to say that we've gotten it accomplished.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Can you repeat what you said?

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: The most the Commission can say that under the law as it presently exists and the facts that are presently before us, the Board as presently constituted would say $X, Y$, and $Z$.

MR. BAYT: I would be fine with that.
COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: If we were in the business of providing opinions.

MR. PACKER: And for clarity's sake, the statement of the law is that -- and this is a quote from the statute -- the transfer of a gambling game license by a person other than the initial licensee is not subject to the transfer fee.

So that would be the language that Judge Shields's language goes on, that's something we could tack on.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Let me ask a question for clarification. Judge Shields?

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Yes?
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Are you asking -- was it your suggestion that in the order we acknowledge this is the law in the state of Indiana or are you saying that this is the law in Indiana and as a result of that it is our opinion to provide --

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: It would have to be the latter, of course, because it is not for us to determine. It would be up to a judicial --

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: That would be acceptable?

MR. BAYT: That would be acceptable.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Included in the order is the Commission recognizes that the law that exists in Indiana reads as follows.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Would be interpreted as follows.

MR. BAYT: As this Commission --
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Interpreted as
what?

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: That the initial
transfer, that this would not -- a transfer would not constitute a transfer.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: This would not constitute.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: The future one?

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: That's not what he wants. He wants the future one.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: As long as we understand what you want, we'll do it.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: I want to make sure that, first of all, we cannot determine the law. We can determine how we might interpret it under the present facts how it's constituted. That's all we can do.

MR. PACKER: How I understand it then, the language that Judge Shields has proposed is that under the law that presently exists and under the facts as presently reported to the Commission as presently constituted, it is the Commission's position that according to Indiana Code 4-35-5-7(f), the transfer of a gaming license by a person other than the initial licensee is not subject to a transfer fee.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: That is not what
she said.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: I don't want to interpret the law.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: You just want to state that this transfer is not subject to the law. What Phil is asking for is it is the future transfer.

MR. BAYT: Right.

MR. PACKER: That's totally correct.

MR. BAYT: Everything up until the point of the current transfer language can be in there. And I'm appreciative of that, but we need to go to the next step.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: We're not offering the --

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Can you quote the statute?
MR. PACKER: The subsequent statute or the current statute?

COMMISSIONER FINE: You can quote them both. But I think it's this Commission, these facts, et cetera, basically this is what applies, colon, and then take the language --

MR. PACKER: If that's the will of the Commission.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: But that doesn't speak to
whether this is a subsequent transfer or not.

MR. PACKER: I think we all acknowledge that this is not a subsequent transfer.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: I think what we ought
to do and the Commission to say is that if this
issue were presented to us today, that the present
owner would transfer to a subsequent owner, that
under the law that exists today as this Commission
is constituted, it would not constitute a --

MR. PACKER: Transfer fee.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: -- transfer that
would require the $\$ 50$ million fee.

MR. PACKER: That's my understanding of what he's requesting.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: That is what I think he wants.

Is that right?
MR. BAYT: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER BELL: Mr. Chairman, or perhaps Mr. Packer --

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: That's why I --

COMMISSIONER BELL: -- can you help clarify my understanding of the statute today, Mr. Packer? Or the Chair might be best.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Currently the law says

1 the transfer fee on an initial licensee except in 2 the case of bankruptcy, et cetera, that it will impose a $\$ 50$ million transfer fee on an initial licensee. Clearly for the transfer of the licensee today, due to the bankruptcy so it's not an applicable position today, is the new holding company to utilize its being transferred today to be considered an initial licensee or a new licensee in the future?

MR. PACKER: I think that would be up for interpretation by a court of law. But the license -- one of the positions that the Commission staff had asked me to approve today is the transfer of the license from one entity to another.

MR. BAYT: And that is the nub of it, that is the key. We believe that when the transfer occurs, that the new holder of the license will be a subsequent licensee, not the initial licensee, and therefore not subject to a transfer fee when it subsequently would transfer.

MR. PACKER: And I think that that is a reasonable interpretation of the law as it exists today. The question for you then would be do you want to make that declaration an order. And the question that Mr. Ratcliff was explaining is this
simple question: If this license and there's no intent to transfer it, but if this license were to be transferred next week after this transfer, would the Commission impose a transfer fee?

It's our belief that it would not because it was not the initial licensee, it's a subsequent licensee, and as a result there would not be a transfer fee imposed. What we're simply asking is that that concept under the current law with respect to the current members of the Commission as seated in the context of the subject question, that that is how the Commission would interpret and make a decision on the basis of the transfer fee relating to that subsequent transfer fee.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: I haven't seen the statute, but I'm curious why only one transfer would be taxed and others would not.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Ask the gentleman to your left.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: I was going to do
that. That's one of the things that in
interpreting the statute you'd have to know. I mean, I'd like to see it.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: One of the problems the staff has, and like I said before I
started, I'm not disagreeing with Phil. I'm saying I don't know that this Commission can render a legal opinion about a future act that could be subject to legislative alteration or interpretation.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Which goes back to what you originally suggested. A new agreement is given time and given our circumstances, it's all we can really reach.

MR. BAYT: And I would be comfortable with that statement. That is -- the law as is currently constituted, the Commission as it's currently constituted, and the facts that would be presented; namely, that this transferee that presumably would be approved today would at some subsequent date be the subject of transfer. We're simply asking that the Commission given that set of circumstances agree or state in an order that that set of circumstances would result in no application of the transfer fee.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Until I read it myself, until $I$ can read the statute and understand its history, I'm not willing to say that that's what $I$ think right now. I mean, it takes a little more thought than just --

MR. RATCLIFF: And I agree we don't know what we're dealing with even under the current situation. We don't know what we're dealing with even under the current situation.

MR. BAYT: You can see the dilemma currently that we're under.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I understand and you see where we're at. And I will go back to what the judge is saying. We are basically agreeing --

MR. RATCLIFF: How long do you think it
would take to you determine what would be subject to a $\$ 50$ million transfer? Would it take months? How would you determine if we were to transfer two weeks from now what this --

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Ratcliff, I think the point is that we're not here at this point to speculate our future transactions. And I think that -- do the Commissioners have any more questions of Mr. Bates? Is there any more discussion?

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I think you've answered everything very well in the extended situation. I think it's something we're going to need to agree how we're going to proceed.

MR. BAYT: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SHY: Procedurally, though, we can agree to present it to us?

MR. PACKER: We can agree to approve the
three components that the Commission staff has
recommended. You could agree to approve all four components including the one that Mr. Bayt has requested. Those seem to be the two options that are in front of you at this point.

COMMISSIONER FINE: The proposed order
that's in front of us takes care of three?
MR. PACKER: The order that the Commission staff prepared for you to look at in your materials takes care of the first three.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Can we just vote on that then? I mean, that's not going to get us from Point A to Point B.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: In fact, Commissioner Morgan, I think that's the resolution. Let's call for a motion on Order 2011-188 as recommended by Commission staff.

MR. PACKER: As recommended by Commission staff?

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: I move to approve it. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I'll second the motion.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor -COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Is there any discussion?

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Is there any discussion?
COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: What are we doing about No. 4? Are we going to do any study on it? Are we going to ask for an opinion?

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Would you like to do that? COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Yes. I'll ask the staff for an opinion. Not as to what the law is, but really what is our obligation or are we prohibited in making any in the future. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I agree. COMMISSIONER BELL: Is it possible for staff as well to offer an opinion at a later date on whether or not in this circumstance a licensee would be an initial licensee or subsequent?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: If you make that question request --

COMMISSIONER BELL: I'm just asking about for the feasibility. I apologize. This is my first day.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: We work for you. The request is accepted and will be done.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any more discussion? If not, Order 2011-188 has been moved and seconded. All in favor signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2011-188 as presented by the staff is approved.

Moving on now to local development agreements and Adam Packer.

MR. PACKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
The most recent legislative session resulted
in a new statute codified by the Indiana Code 4-33-23 that codifies Commission authority over local development agreements. Included in the statute is a requirement that all local developments and agreements contain certain language affirming and recognizing the Commission and the board.

The Commission staff set a deadline of this meeting by which all agreements with LDAs were required to add on that language to their local development groups. No riverboats' LDAs save for Ameristar's contained that language. All casinos except for Ameristar which already had that language in its LDA have submitted requests to modify that LDAs to contain that information.

Some contain other technical and material changes, and Hollywood has submitted an additional request which can be considered separately under Order No. 193b, and we'll talk about that in a few moments. All the modifications to the LDAs for Aztar, Belterra, Blue Chip, French Lick, Hollywood, Horseshoe Hammond, Horseshoe South, the Majestic Star Casinos, and Rising Star are ready for your approval.

These requests comply with the new statutes and with the administration recommendation on the LDAs. So that leaves the staff recommends Commission approval on Orders 189 through 197, save 193b, which we'll address in a moment.

Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Packer.
Are there any questions of Mr. Packer
regarding the orders? If not, is there a motion to
approve Orders 2011-189 through 197 excluding 2011-197b?

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Motion to approve.

COMMISSIONER SHY: Second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and
seconded for orders 2011-189 through -- I'm sorry.
It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor
indicate by saying aye.
(All said aye.).

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Thank you. Orders 2011-189 through 197 X 193b are approved.

Mr. Packer.
MR. PACKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
As I mentioned, Hollywood has requested the Commission approve the LDA regarding an upcoming project in Lawrenceburg. Mr. Chairman, I understand that representatives of Hollywood Casino are here to present this project and the accompanying LDA to the Commission. So I'll turn the mic back over to you.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Packer.
Is Mr. Finamore with us today?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.
Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, it is my pleasure to introduce Senior Vice President of Operations John Finamore.

MR. FINAMORE: Thank you. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, Executive Director Yelton, and staff, good afternoon. I'm John Finamore with Penn National Gaming.

If $I$ can, I'd like to make a few
introductions before we get started on the other
matter. I'm very pleased today to report to the Commission that the property has a full slate of executives on their leadership team, and if I can just take a moment to introduce them very quickly.

Our new vice president of finance, if I could ask her to stand up, is Jeanne Minderfile (phonetic). Jeanne has over ten years of gaming experience working in jurisdictions including Nevada, Colorado, Iowa, and Louisiana and she most recently was at our property in Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

Scott Sanders is our assistant general manager. Scott joins us with 19 years of experience, most recently at our property in Perryville, Maryland where he was the director of finance. But he has worked for a number of gaming companies including Nevada, Maryland, Missouri, Iowa, and Mississippi over those 19 years.

I'm also very pleased to introduce our new general manager Mr. Joe Hasson. Joe has over 30 years experience in the gaming industry. A very distinguished career, he's worked both in Las Vegas and in Atlantic City. But $I$ think most importantly, he's also worked at a number of regional markets including Louisiana, Kansas,

Missouri, Illinois, and Mississippi. Joe joins us most recently from Station Casinos in Las Vegas where he worked for about eight or nine years at a number of their different properties.

I would like to make one other introduction of a corporate employee today who's with me, and that's Mr. Frank Donaghue. Frank is our new corporate vice president of regulatory affairs and our chief compliance officer and he replaces Mr. Tom Auriemma, who the Commission knew well, who elected to take retirement recently. Frank was previously the acting director of the Pennsylvania Gaming Board. In 2008 he was named to that position. And prior to that position, Frank was appointed in 2006 as the first chief counsel to the PA Gaming Control Board. He also previously worked at the Pennsylvania Attorney General's Office for approximately ten years at a variety of positions including chief deputy attorney general.

So Frank also has a very distinguished career and we're happy to have him with us, and I'm most happy today that $I$ can tell you we have the three individuals here today from the Hollywood property that $I$ introduced. Thank you.

If $I$ could ask a couple of gentlemen to join
me, first of all, Mr. Mario Todd, who is a city counsel member from Lawrenceburg, and also Mr. Tim Jensen with Structurepoint in Indianapolis. Gentlemen?

MR. TODD: Good afternoon, Commission and staff. As he introduced, I'm Mario Todd, city counsel Lawrenceburg. I've gathered project manager Tim Jensen from Structurepoint. I think Tim's going to kind of give you just a brief overview of a project up and coming.

MR. JENSEN: Thank you. Again,
Commissioners and staff. We appreciate your time today. We were asked to give a very brief presentation of what this upcoming project is for the city of Lawrenceburg. My role with the city is the city's representative throughout construction design and planning throughout this last couple of years and hopefully a couples of years in the future.

Very briefly, about eight years ago or so the City of the Lawrenceburg began discussing the need for a downtown type of an entertainment environment with the progression of more hotel rooms, visitor spaces, ballrooms, et cetera. They've bounced around many ideas with many
developers over the past few years looking at different options, but three things came out of that.

Recently in the last two years they got us involved and we set out to the developments for some principles for the city. One was the development was to be constructed to create a social and economic help for the community. Two, the facility is being developed as a mutually-beneficial development for the City and the existing casino within the city limits. And three, the development will be established to provide convention and entertainment opportunities complementary to the existing Lawrenceburg businesses.

One of the unwritten development principles was that of the ongoing partnership now with Argosy, but to keep them competitive in the changing Ohio market which is currently underway. So in 2010 they put up requests for proposals for different developers to look at this project. We received four proposals for the project, three from other developers and one for Hollywood.

After careful consideration, those scores were weighted and the counsel voted to move forward
with Hollywood Casino and Penn National Gaming because they believed that in the best interest of the City it was the group that had the financial interest most closely aligned with the City's and that of the local group.

At this time the current plans, the preliminary plans, show for a flat floor, air-conditioned, concrete facility, a higher-end ballroom, hotel, restaurant, bar, and meeting rooms. Within this development agreement that's in front of you, there are provisions pertaining to orders and overages that include a project agreement.

Some of the important things we want to point out is that the City firmly believes that this hotel will be a major attraction for the City and will help reidentify or revitalize the downtown area of Lawrenceburg. It will increase foot traffic and patron traffic in the downtown area as this event center is not connected to the casino property; it's about three blocks away or so.

Job opportunity, the City is looking at over a hundred new full-time jobs for this event center and hotel in downtown Lawrenceburg in addition of 200-plus full-time construction jobs in the two
years while under construction.
Annual projections will show that the City of Lawrenceburg has nearly a thousand event days in the hotel and the event center beside of it, 40,000 room nights, a hundred thousand food and beverage coverage for the City, not within the center but within the City.

The costs, I know a lot of people are interested in the costs of this. The City has gone through many different budget cycles and different looks at this thing and analyses and bid estimates. The first one we looked at this a couple of years ago was about 75 million, and I think the City at that time took a step backwards and said this is too much for this area position on four acres of city-owned property.

And so the city counsel at that time capped the project at $\$ 50$ million. That was capped before we hit the RFP. The RFP has now renewed that cap. They knew some of the financing arrangements that would be available. At the time the City projected or upped the RFP that would include a $\$ 25$ million grant to whatever developer wanted to tackle this project. They also made known that they were in the process of creating a taxing and finance
committee for this.

So the way this thing broke out at the end of the day here is that the City is putting in $\$ 35$ million through grants and/or TIF dollars and at this time Penn National is covering the other $\$ 15$ million of this development agreement.

That's all I have for the Commission today. If you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer those.

COMMISSIONER SHY: I have a question.

MR. JENSEN: Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER SHY: I'm from Dearborn County. So I'm trying to figure out, what's the traffic -what's the foot traffic going to be between? I know where the casino is and I believe I know where the site is. Will that not take people through neighborhoods?

MR. JENSEN: Mario, you can answer that.

MR. TODD: If you're familiar with the site and familiar with the fall festival every year, vacant lots, roughly four acres adjacent to the river and the levy, we're hoping the main corridor would be Center Street --

COMMISSIONER SHY: Yeah.

MR. TODD: -- which is at Hollywood's front

1 door, putting that mid point, I guess, on Walnut Street.

COMMISSIONER SHY: Okay.
MR. TODD: So that would be probably north of the proposed center, about two blocks. So hopefully, that would be the energy that would kind of spark the people downtown. I need to get you a map --

COMMISSIONER SHY: My vision is that because it's a few-block walk, that it would take people from one venue to the other site through neighborhoods.

MR. TODD: Well, again, the major group that it would go through is the major corridor now from downtown to Hollywood.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Will you have a shuttle or you going to have a --

MR. TODD: Oh, there will be transportation, the shuttle service, from Hollywood. But again, so the downtown merchants go from Hollywood as an independent shuttle.

COMMISSIONER SHY: Would it be available 24 hours a day?

MR. TODD: Not 24 hours a day, but I'd say throughout the major retail hours and also
entertainment hours.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Do the Commissioners have any other questions?

Thank you.

MR. TODD: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Packer, the staff does
recommend the approval?
MR. PACKER: That's right. The staff recommends approval of 193 b to approve the hotel/event center agreement as an LDA.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: As an LDA?

MR. PACKER: Right, as an LDA.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Do the Commissioners have any other questions for Mr. Packer? If not, is there a motion to approve Order 2011-193b? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Motion to approve. COMMISSIONER SHY: Second. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2011-193b is approved.

Mr. Packer.

MR. PACKER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: We will now move on agenda to reconsideration of Resolution 2011-139 which is the Ameristar Indiana and Tom Funk.

MR. FUNK: Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, Director Yelton, and members of the executive staff, I'm with you again on the continuing litigation involving the East Chicago local development agreement.

As the Commission is well aware, the local
development agreement in East Chicago has been embroiled in litigation for years over who is entitled to receive the flow of economic development funds provided by a series of documents which collectively have been referred to as the East Chicago Local Development Agreement.

Under the original agreement, 1 percent of the local economic development funds would be payable to the City of East Chicago; two percent of the funds would be payable to the predecessors, an entity now known as the Foundations of East Chicago, Inc.; and . 75 percent --. 75 of one percent would be payable to another entity, East Chicago Second Century, Inc. for aggravate payment of the 3.75 percent of the AGI.

Those flows of income of the local
development agreement have been the subject of retractive feuds for approximately six years among Second Century, the City of East Chicago, the Foundations of the East Chicago and its predecessors, and the Office of the Attorney General. The Commission itself is a party in two of the lawsuits and there are others in which the Commission is not a party but which also involves contested claims to those various accounts.

In 2006 the Commission adopted Resolution 2006-58 in which the Commission disapproved of the flow of funds to East Chicago Second Century, which was the . 75 percent of one percent. As a result of the Commission's action in disallowing or disapproving the continued payments to East Chicago Second Century, First Resorts then Ameristar began re-establishment of the segregated account into which the . 75 percent would be paid. That action by Resorts and Ameristar ultimately became approved by a court order in which part of the litigation was pending.

Thereafter, in a separate piece of the litigation a court order was issued requiring Ameristar to make payments of the 2 percent which had been payable to the Foundations also into a

1 separate segregated account. So through court order, two separate segregated accounts were established into which Ameristar would be making LDA payments. One was the . 75 percent account and the other was the 2.00 percent account.

As I reported to you the last time I addressed you on June 2 of this year, the parties at the invitation of Ameristar participated in a several-day mediation in April of this year in which the competing claims were mediated with the assistance of Judge Sandford Brook, a highly-regarded mediator and a chief judge of our Indiana Court of Appeals.

Later the mediation was revisited and further negotiations were conducted. Finally, a document called a Final Settlement Agreement was entered into among some of the parties to the litigation. The Commission is not a party to the Final Settlement Agreement nor is the office of the Indiana Attorney General. The Final Settlement Agreement was executed, it is my understanding, last week. It was effective September 9, 2011 and it was provided to me on Monday of this week, September 12, 2011, at which time I provided it to Director Yelton and the staff.

Pursuant to the Final Settlement Agreement, the . 75 percent account is to be distributed to the City of East Chicago and the 2.00 percent account is to be distributed by Ameristar in two ways. A portion of that account is to be distributed to legal counsel for East Chicago Second Century for legal services rendered and costs incurred. The remainder of the 2 percent account is to be distributed to the Foundations of East Chicago. With respect to the 2 percent account which is to be distributed to the Foundations of East Chicago, the Commission by its resolution on June 2, 2011 had authorized the distribution of the 2 percent account to the Foundations at that time. There is a provision in the Final Settlement Agreement which essentially in Section 9 states that the -- and this is in substance, not identical language -- Section 9 states that the effectiveness of this agreement and the disposition of the two accounts are subject to the approval of the Commission.

And I believe the reason that that provision is contained in the Final Settlement Agreement is because the 2006 resolution which the Commission adopted clearly states that the disposition of the
. 75 percent account is subject to the continuing jurisdiction of the Commission.

Secondly, several opinions of the Indiana Supreme Court during the course of the litigation have made it clear, I believe, that the Supreme Court views the Indiana Gaming Commission as having continuing jurisdiction over the use and payment of local payment agreement funds.

And thirdly, Senate Bill 325 passed by the Indiana General Assembly this past session clearly also subjects local development agreement payments to the continuing jurisdiction of the Commission.

So I believe because of one or all of those reasons, the parties to the Final Settlement Agreement provided in that document that the disposition of the .75 percent account and the 2.00 account would be subject to approval by this Commission. I have provided a copy of the Final Settlement Agreement to the staff, an executed copy, and I would ask, Mr. Chairman, that that document be acknowledged as part of the record of the Commission's proceedings today.

I have also submitted to the staff a proposed Resolution 2011-198 for consideration by the Commission today, and $I$ want to clarify just a
couple of parts of this proposed resolution.
The resolution as proposed does, in fact, approve the disposition of the .75 account and the 2.00 percent account in accordance of the terms and the completion of the Final Settlement Agreement. However, the language in the proposed resolution also clearly states that the Commission is authorizing the disposition of those accounts subject to approval by the courts which have jurisdiction over those two accounts as well.

The first resolution states in part subject to approval by the court in which the Second Century Action is pending, as that term is defined, the Commission authorizes and approves Ameristar to distribute and then pay that account in compliance with the agreement.

In Paragraph 2 of the proposed resolutions also provides with respect to the 2 percent account that the distribution is approved subject to any approval of the distribution subject to any approval which may be necessary by the court in which the other action is pending.

And I think it is important, members of the Commission, that the Commission's authorization to Ameristar to make these payments be conditioned
upon the approval of the courts as well. There is
a further provision in the proposed resolution which states that nothing in the resolution is
intended by the Commission to impair any present or future claims by the Office of the Attorney General, which is not a party to the Final

Settlement Agreement.
At such time as the Commission does consider the adoption of the tendered Resolution 2011-198, I would also propose two very brief amendments, which I frankly caught a few minutes ago that would be made for purposes of clarification.

The resolution as submitted to the

Commission states subject to approval by the court, and I would propose after the word approval in subject to approval that the following five words be inserted.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Let me interrupt you.

MR. FUNK: Yes?

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Can you tell us where you are?

MR. FUNK: Yes. I'm on --

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: We don't have that.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: We don't have the language.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Sara, do you have a copy for the Commission?

MR. PACKER: There's an earlier draft in your materials, this will be close.

MR. FUNK: I apologize, your Honor.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: The one you said this morning when we were in the executive session, we did not have a copy so they don't have that one, the one before that. So if you can work off that one, maybe --

MR. FUNK: Sure. Off the one that was sent?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Not this morning, but yesterday morning or whenever.

MR. FUNK: If you would turn to page 3, Resolution 1 .

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Okay.

MR. FUNK: It starts with the words "Subject to approval." Are you with me now?

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Yes. Thank you.
MR. FUNK: After the word approval, I would propose the insertion of the following five words: Of the distribution and payment.

And in paragraph No. 2 the first four words
are "subject to any approval," and I would propose to insert after the word approval the following five words: Of the distribution and payment.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Which would

```
relate to the particular funds. Correct?
```

MR. FUNK: Yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Okay.
MR. FUNK: With those revisions, members of the Commission, as your counsel I would ask you to consider the adoption of Resolution 2011-198 as requested by the parties to the Final Settlement Agreement and subject to the court approval as the amendatory language would provide. And I'd be happy to answer questions.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Questions of Mr. Funk?
Mr. Funk, I do have one question.
Is the Settlement Agreement executed by all the parties?

MR. FUNK: It has to -- I have been provided with an executed copy of the Final settlement Agreement, and $I$ believe that it is a complete executed copy.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Members of the Commission, we do have of an executed copy of the settlement agreement which $I$ would recommend that
you make a part of this record.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: And should you
decide to act favorably upon Mr. Funk's recommended
amendments, we would prepare a new resolution accordingly.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Commissioners, are there any other questions of Mr. Funk this afternoon? Any discussion?

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: I move the adoption of the resolution as recommended.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded to adopt Resolution 2011-198 as amended by Mr. Funk. All those in favor signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Resolution 2011-198 as revised has been approved.

Thank you, Mr. Funk.
We'll now move on to renewals and Adam
Packer.
MR. PACKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

The first renewal for your consideration is

Order 199 for Blue Chip. Blue Chip Casino

1 requested renewal of its gaming license. In the September meeting of 2010 you renewed Blue Chip's license through August 17, 2011. On June 15th, 2011 Blue Chip requested renewal and paid its $\$ 5,000$ renewal fee. On August 10th of 2011 staff issued an interim renewal, as is its practice, to bridge the gap between the expiration of a casino license and an executive meeting.

You also recall that in the March 2010 meeting the Commission approved Judith Campbell as Trustee in Waiting for Blue Chip. Blue Chip has requested the Commission's renewal of -- the Commission's approval of Ms. Campbell as Trustee in Waiting.

Staff recommends approval of Order 199 which would renew Blue Chip's license and renew Commission's approval of Judith Campbell as Trustee in Waiting.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions of Mr. Packer regarding Order 199? If not, is there a motion to approve Order 2011-199?

COMMISSIONER SHY: I move to approve.
COMMISSIONER BELL: Second.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and
seconded. All those in favor of approval of Order
2011-199 signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2011-199
is approved.

Thank you, Mr. Packer.

MR. PACKER: Order 200 is for Rising Star Casino and their parent company, Gaming Entertainment Indiana, LLC.

On August 25 th Rising Star requested renewal
of its casino license and paid its $\$ 5,000$ renewal fee. Rising Star's license is good through today, so there's no need for staff interim renewal. Rising Star is also requesting renewal of Ron Gifford as its Trustee in Waiting. You've approved Ron as Trustee in Waiting at the March 2010 Commission meeting.

Staff recommends approval of Order 200 which will renew Rising Star Casino's license and renew Commission's approval of Ron Gifford as Trustee in Waiting.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Does the Commission have any questions on order 200? If not, is there a motion to approve Order 2011-200?

COMMISSIONER FINE: Move for approval.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Second? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Second the approval. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor of approval signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2011-200 is approved.

Thank you, Mr. Packer.
Next up on the agenda will be the
Permanently Moored Vessel Conversion Plan and Derek Young.

MR. YOUNG: Before you now you have Orders Numbered 2011-201 through 2011-206, which are orders regarding licensee-submitted proposals for conversion to permanently moored vessels. The recent enactment of Senate Enrolled Act 47 allows a riverboat licensee to submit to the Commission a plan to convert the licensee's self-propelled riverboat into a permanently moored craft and gives the Commission the authority to approve submitted plans.

Commission staff has received so-called PMV plans from six riverboat licensees: Ameristar, Belterra, Blue Chip, Hollywood, Horseshoe Hammond, and Rising Star.

Following the Coast Guard's departure from the riverboat-certification business, aside from Horseshoe South and Aztar, in order to certify riverboat casinos for marine structural and life safety compliance, the IGC contracts with ABS Group. In order to ensure that the PMV plans proposed by each riverboat adequately met marine and structural and life safety compliance standards, Commission staff submitted PMV plans to ABS for analysis under the following nine categories that are material to safety and structural standards.

These include staffing of the vessel, mooring arrangements, propulsion systems, maintenance of machinery equipment, navigation systems, emergency procedures, ballasting procedures, maintenance of life safety systems, and watch standing which includes checking for flooding -- flooding, fire, conditions of mooring arrangements.

Each PMV plan is different, but there are some general trends common to many of the six. These include disabling while not necessarily removing the riverboat's navigation and propulsion systems, adding more substantial mooring
arrangements such as cutting power to hydraulic clamps or cylinders, as well as reorganizing the marine facilities or comparable department, with some present staff being kept and some positions being terminated.

ABS, following their analysis of the PMV plans, has recommended the approval of all six requests for conversion. All six riverboats have an Emergency Response Plan, which is a document used to provide employees with instructions on how to react to a variety of emergencies from anything from earthquakes, fire, everything. All of the ERPs used members of marine staff to perform emergency functions, so with the changes to the marine departments as part of the PMV conversion process, each casino will need to amend its ERP and train staff on the changes so as to avoid having ill-prepared employees in the event of an emergency.

Four of the six requesting casinos have already submitted amended ERPs. Commission staff recommends that you require that the other two casinos to submit ERPs. In addition, Commission staff recommends that each of the six requesting casinos be required to conduct an emergency drill based on its amended ERP.

Commission staff has also consulted with the United States Army Corps of Engineers as required under IC 4-33-4-13, and notified the United States Coast Guard and Indiana Department of Homeland Security of the plans and provided an opportunity to comment.

On the basis of the recommendation by $A B S$, Commission staff recommends approval of each of the six PMV plans, with conditions placed on each approval, as necessary, that require: Submission of an amended Emergency Response Plan reflecting necessary changes following the conversion to a permanently-moored vessel; completion of successful emergency drills under the amended Emergency Response Plan within 30 days, which may be extended by Commission staff with good cause shown; and lastly, compliance with the Army Corps requests following our consultation with the Army Corps.

Commission staff recommends that you approve all of the orders at this time with one motion. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Do the Commissioners have any questions of Mr. Young regarding Orders 2011-201 through 206? If not, is there a motion to approve the orders? COMMISSIONER SHY: Motion to approve. MR. MORGAN: Second. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor of approving the orders signify by saying aye. (All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Orders 2011-201 through 206 are approved.

Thank you, Mr. Young.
The next item on the agenda will be special requests and Adam Packer.

MR. PACKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
The first casino request for your consideration is Order 207 regarding Indiana Live! Casino's request to add 200 electronic gaming devices under Indiana Code 4-35-7-11.

On June 1st, 2001 Indiana Live! Casino requested Commission's approval to install an additional 200 slot machines in addition to the 2,000 that it's currently approved to have under Indiana Code 4-35-7-11. Indiana Code 4-35-7-11 states that a gambling game licensee may not install more than 2,000 slot machines on its premises without the approval of the Commission.

Indiana Live submitted documentation in

1 support of its request and that documentation has
been submitted to the Commission for its
consideration.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: I had the opportunity, by the way, to read the request and supportive documentation. And at this time because I'm aware of several unresolved issues concerning Indiana Live of the entire Commission that this request be tabled.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: We have a motion on the floor to table Order 2011-207.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor of tabling Order $2011-207$ signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2011-207
is tabled. And I would understand that there is a request to speak in connection with that particular order and I would ask that we defer that until a future meeting if we could. Thank you.

Next on the agenda is Order 2011-208 and Adam Packer.

MR. PACKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
Order 208 is a request made by Icahn

1 Enterprises which is called Icahn's Investment 2 Group I think they were called in Order 2010-1. The Commission approved the application of Tropicana Entertainment to acquire ownership of Casino Aztar and its parent company. And Icahn Enterprises is the substantial owner of Tropicana Entertainment.

Also in Order 2010-1, because the ownership interests in Tropicana Entertainment were held by several of the Icahn Funds, we'll call them, and the names of those Funds are detailed in your documentation, the Commission placed certain restrictions on those Funds, certain conditions on those Funds, and certain requirements on those Funds, that the Funds report to the Commission when Fund membership changed. And the reason for that was because of a concern that unknown or uninvestigated individuals would obtain a substantial interest in the Indiana licensee.

A few months ago the Icahn Group came to the Commission staff and stated that they were reorganizing the ownership of Tropicana Entertainment in-house within its structure. Commission staff determined that did not constitute a transfer, but there is an impact to that in that
the Funds no longer hold any ownership interest in Tropicana Entertainment and, therefore, no longer hold ownership interest in the Indiana licensee. And that is the justification that Icahn Enterprises has presented to Commission staff on why the Commission should amend its order of Order 2010-1 that placed these conditions on Icahn Enterprises and the Icahn Funds. And for that reason, Commission staff at this time recommends approval of Order 2011-208 which would amend Order 2010-1 to remove the requirements, to remove the employee restrictions and conditions that the Commission placed on the Icahn Funds at the time of the transfer.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Questions of Mr. Packer on 2011-2008? If there are none, is there a motion to approve Order 2011-208?

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: I'll move.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I second the motion.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Order 2011-208
is approved.

Thank you, Mr. Packer.
We'll now move on to Rules and Adam Packer. MR. PACKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

The first rule for your consideration is in
Resolution 209, which is the adoption of a final rule regarding junketeers' transportation of chips, tokens, dice, cards, and also of Blackjack layouts. Commission staff has submitted a notice of intent to promulgate a rule on May 4 th of 2011 that it intended to promulgate a rule regarding the topics that $I$ just explained. We called a hearing on August 5th, 2011 which was recessed to August 16 th of 2011 . The public comments resulted in the Commission staff making some changes to the proposed rule that was submitted to LSA, and those changes are reflected in a change list that has been provided to you and have been provided to the appropriate state agencies.

These changes involved many non-material changes and many ministerial type of changes and few internal corrections that the staff needs to know about. Commission staff recommends that you approve Resolution 209 which would adopt LSA Document $11-275$, the rule that's been described to
you as a final rule.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Are we ready, Adam?
MR. PACKER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Commissioners, 2011-209, any questions of Mr. Packer? If not, is there a motion to approve 2011-209?

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Motion to approve.
COMMISSIONER SHY: Second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor of approval signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Resolution 2011-209 is approved.

Thank you, Adam.
MR. PACKER: Resolution 210 is the next rule on your agenda, and it is for the adoption of an emergency rule regarding gambling by immediate family members, more specifically regarding the planning and promotional prizes by immediate family members.

As you may recall, you passed Resolution 2011-76 which adopted an emergency rule regarding participation of immediate family members of Level 1 and Level 2 licensees and promotions. You'll

1 recall a discussion we had about that, there was a 2 concern that immediate family members of some high-ranking executives at some of the casinos were getting promotional prizes that impacted the integrity and credibility of gaming.

During the effectiveness of that emergency rule that you passed for Rule 76 , staff and the Indiana casinos and the Casino Association of Indiana have had several discussions about the difficulty of implementing that rule. In part it prevented -- the old rule -- the current effective rule prevented participation in promotional activity prizes. It was difficult to police people entering these contests, but easier to police them on the winning end.

The Casino Association also commented that a $\$ 500$ minimum amount was casting that too wide and that too many Level 2 s were included in the official emergency rule. The Commission staff was willing to consider those changes and has prepared a new emergency rule that is designed to address the concerns the Casino Association brought.

The concerns are that the casino staff agreed that this would be a difficult rule to implement. And it also gives Commission staff an
additional six months to promulgate a formal rule and a public hearing that will be held on October 17th on the proposed rule in this matter. So we are moving forward on the proposed rule and it is going to incorporate a lot of changes that you're making today if you pass Resolution 210 adopting this emergency rule.

But formally, Commission staff recommends that the Commission adopt Resolution 210 which would adopt a new emergency rule regarding immediate family members in promotions.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Questions of Mr. Packer on Resolution 210? If there are none, is there a motion to approve Resolution 2011-210?

COMMISSIONER BELL: So moved.
COMMISSIONER FINE: Second.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded. All those in favor of approval signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Resolution 2011-210 is approved.

Adam. I'm sorry. Lea.
MS. ELLINGWOOD: That's okay.
You have now before you Resolutions 2011-211
and 2011-212. Resolution 2011-211 is a resolution adopting emergency rules regarding professional boxing and mixed martial arts. Resolution 2011-212 is a resolution that adopts an emergency rule regarding amateur mixed martial arts.

The emergency rules regarding professional boxing and mixed martial arts add a basis upon which a professional license can be revoked or denied creating a process for a ban on license applications and adds a 40-hour deadline for the submission of medical records.

The emergency rule regarding amateur mixed martial arts requires sanctioning bodies to enforce the rules adopted by the Association of Boxing Commission -- Commissioners, or Otherwise known as ABC. This rule adds safety measures which include creation of a new lighter weight class and they shorten the lengths of a bout. All these changes are being appropriated into a final rule adoption process.

Commission staff respectfully requests that you adopt those resolutions.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any questions of Ms. Ellingwood regarding the resolution? If not, is there a motion of approve 2011-211? COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Motion to approve. COMMISSIONER SHY: Second.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and
seconded. All those in favor for approval signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? Resolution 2011-211 will be approved.

MS. ELLINGWOOD: Do you have 212 as well?
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: I'm sorry. Let's do that.
Any discussions on that? Is there a motion to approve?

COMMISSIONER FINE: Motion to approve.
COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Second.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: All those in favor signify by saying aye.
(All said aye.)
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed? 2011-212 is approved.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Mr. Chair, Mr. Bayt has requested permission to re-address the Commission regarding Order 2011-188. It's up to the pleasure of the Commission.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Bayt.
MR. BAYT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
appreciate it. I beg the Commission's indulgence and I will be brief.

I appreciate the conundrum that you were put in by the request that constituted our fourth request and understand the concerns about hypotheticals. I would ask you to reconsider the issue in a very, very narrow context and to focus only on the exact status of Hoosier Park, LLC immediately after the transfer would go into effect. So not a hypothetical, but just to focus on a fact that is exactly in front of you at the instant of the transfer.

And in that regard would request the inclusion of the following sentence in the order, and I have e-mailed this to Mr. Packer.

As the law is currently constituted, after the transfer Hoosier Park, LLC is not an initial licensee under IC 4-35-5-7(f).

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Questions of Mr. Bayt?
COMMISSIONER MORGAN: So you've e-mailed the language and we have it. Are you looking for us to make a decision on this today?

MR. BAYT: With all due respect, that would give us an opportunity to advise the bankruptcy court that the confirmed plan is consistent with

1 the order coming from the Gaming Commission, yes.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Do you have a statute?

MR. PACKER: At this point I have the statute memorized.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Is that consistent with the statute?

MR. PACKER: The statute says -- the former 35-5-7(f) says: The transfer of a gambling game license by a person other than the initial licensee is not subject to a transfer. And I'll say it again: The transfer of a gambling game license by a person other than the initial licensee is not subject to a transfer.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: And you have the statutory citation of the exemption of bankruptcy?

MR. PACKER: The exemption of bankruptcy is 4-35-5-7(e)(1), and that is already included in the order that the Commission has already passed because that wasn't a hypothetical. That was the present status.

MR. BAYT: This is not a request about a subsequent transfer. This is a request to focus on the exact status of this licensee immediately after the transfer.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: So that's another way of
-- another way of saying that that's immediately
after the transfer you would not be considered an
initial licensee?

MR. BAYT: Correct.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Were you and your firm involved in crafting the order to the bankruptcy court?

MR. BAYT: No. That was the White and Case law firm in New York City and Miami.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Who did that law firm represent?

MR. BAYT: It represented the -- Centaur.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: So indirectly this
language was requested in the bankruptcy court?
MR. BAYT: The confirmed plan was a
compilation of 20 attorneys and ten law firms focusing on a variety of these and required -- that was one of 50,60 pages in the order.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Mr. Packer, can you
read the language again?
MR. PACKER: The language of Mr. Bayt
proposed or the language in the statute?

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: No. In the proposal.
MR. PACKER: Okay. Mr. Bayt's proposal is
as the law is currently constituted after the
transfer Hoosier Park, LLC is not an initial
licensee under the appropriate statute. And to
parse that out a little bit, Hoosier Park, LLC is
the entity to which the Commission has already
approved the transfer of a license to. It will be
a new licensee upon effectiveness of the transfer.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Would the staff need time
to consider that as a point in fact?
MR. PACKER: No. I think we can confidently
opine that the entity that the Commission has
approved to receive the license in the transfer is
not an initial licensee. It is something
subsequent to the initial licensee. As a legal
opinion, I agree with the language that Centaur has
proposed.
COMMISSIONER SHY: So are you providing us a
recommendation?
MR. PACKER: I'd have to confer with staff.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: No, we're not
going to make a recommendation here. I'm not going
to -- I said this before. I'm not disagreeing with
that or with Phil. What you would be doing, in my
opinion, is you would be interpreting the statute
as to what would constitute an initial licensee,
period.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: May I ask, because as I understand it, by the terms of the statute, because the transfer is in bankruptcy it is not an initial?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: That's for you to decide.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Let me hear the statute, please.

MR. PACKER: I don't have the bankruptcy exception part of it.

MR. BAYT: We have it.
COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Okay. It would be nice to actually read the statute.

MR. PACKER: The bankruptcy exception states: The fee imposed does not apply if the gambling game license is transferred as a result of an event described in Section 4(c) of this chapter which is the bankruptcy. I'll say it again. The fee imposed -- there's a transfer fee imposed by that section -- does not apply if the gambling game license is transferred as a result of the bankruptcy.

So it's not that the transfer is not a
transfer of an initial license --

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: But there was a fee?

MR. PACKER: It would be a transfer of an initial license because the transfer is occurring due to the bankruptcy. So the transfer you just approved was the transfer of the initial license. If it weren't for the bankruptcy, I think it would not have approved the transfer of it. But that bankruptcy carved it out.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Could you read your bankruptcy one more time, Phil?

MR. BAYT: Sure. As the law is currently constituted, after the transfer Hoosier Park, LLC is not an initial licensee under IC 4-35-5-7(f). EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: I don't think I can disagree with that statement.

COMMISSIONER SHY: I guess my question is:

I just heard what you read. Why are we being asked to confirm that? Why is it being --

MR. BAYT: Having read that, there are two pieces to the statute. Adam read Piece No. 1 which has to do with the exception because of bankruptcy if you're the initial licensee. Exception No. 2 is if you're not the initial licensee, then the statute simply doesn't apply to you. We've all agreed and the concurrent order as written
identifies and clearly states that the transfer fee
is not applicable even though Hoosier Park, LP was
the initial licensee because of bankruptcy.
The second piece which is at issue is
whether or not the Commission will state that the
new entity, Hoosier Park, LLC, is not an initial
licensee. So we're not asking you to opine about
the application of the transfer fee or future
transfers, merely to confirm the status
instantaneously after the transfer of the new
entity.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Because you'd already had the acceptance of the transfer fee also because of being the initial?

MR. BAYT: There are two pieces to the statute. Number 1 refers back to the second licensee. After that the statute simply doesn't apply.

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: I take it there's no reference on the initial licensee?

MR. BAYT: No.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Just to set a little history lesson for those of you who are fairly new and explain a little background to what may be giving us this, back in 2005 Governor

1 Daniels asked the Gaming Commission and they asked the Horse Racing Commission to develop a transfer fee -- well, I think that's at the time -- I take that back. No, it was 2005, because it was at Argosy. We enacted a rule that imposed a transfer fee. Upon a motion -- an initiative by Senator Meeks, that was statutorily negated. Then another one came in with the right for the racinos because there was some fear from the governor that perhaps instead of the permit holders maintaining the license, that they would turn around and sell it immediately for a profit. But although, you hear them say many times over that they paid too much and the governor will say did they pay enough.

And another transferring fee was imposed by the Horse Racing Commission and us. But once the Gaming Commission imposed one, we did not so we never got to that point. And then I believe legislatively they outlawed those as well. So there were two previous attempts to through administrative purposes to impose a transfer fee, and ones -- the two from the Gaming Commission were never implemented. So there is some history out there of I'm not going to say any

1
speculation of what might happen in the future. But those were actions that were not particularly, shall $I$ say, well received by the industry.

So when they wrote this statute, when Representative Bell wrote this statute, he put in the 50 million legislatively so that the Gaming Commission really had no discretion of doing it one way or another. So a little background that would explain maybe the impetus for it. And there are creditors wanting some assurance that we won't go rogue again.

MR. BAYT: They're primarily concerned with respect to the interpretation and application of the current law. Not future laws, but the current law.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Were all four aspects of your last part the initial request that went to the Commission?

MR. BAYT: Yes.
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: And he was advised on that not too long ago that the staff -that --

MR. BAYT: Not recommending against, but leaving it to the discretion of the Commission. And at least they're committed to allowing the
opportunity to discuss it. And based on your
discussion today, I think we've heard that both
Executive Director Yelton and Adam Packer are not
in disagreement with the language that $I$ have read.
COMMISSIONER MORGAN: They're not in
disagreement, but they're not recommending it but
basically you come back and you propose new
language, which is now a different situation than
when we started?
MR. BAYT: Correct. It's a much narrower
piece of language. It doesn't create a procedure;
it simply focuses on the status of the --
COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Based on what the
bankruptcy court ruled in their language that no
fee would be charged for the transfer?
MR. BAYT: Right.
COMMISSIONER MORGAN: And that's in
concordance with --
MR. BAYT: And that piece was already
incorporated into the order by previous discussions
and prior action of the Commission.
COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: What would be your
rationale for making that statement? I know you
need it. But I'm trying to figure out -- I live in
a world where you never give an advisory opinion.

So I'm just not comfortable with what our responsibility is with reference to that area, so I'm trying to determine how would that be a legitimate part of the order. How could we justify that statement? Not that we don't agree with it, but within the scope of our charge.

MR. BAYT: We have a need among the lender group --

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: I understand why you need it.

MR. BAYT: -- for certainty what the rationale would be to provide an orderly ability for commerce to be conducted with respect both to the operation of the facility and the lending of the money in a world where people would understand what the rules are with respect to lending into a regulated environment.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: This is a serious issue, $\$ 50$ million.

MR. BAYT: It's a $\$ 50$ million issue.
COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It's a serious issue, and as a commissioner $I$ want to make sure we treat it seriously.

MR. BAYT: And I appreciate that,
Commissioner. We're not asking you to predict the
future or suggest what a new law might imply.
We're simply asking you to identify and categorize the transferee after the transfer in order to provide some certainty for a lender group that would understand what the law is today and how you treat this -- and not hypothetically, but how you treat this applicant today. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any other questions? COMMISSIONER BELL: Yes. I have to say based on Mr. Packer's statement that, you know, since he finds himself in agreement with the language and Executive Directory Yelton is the same, I'm probably inclined to agree with it as well.

My question is: Mr. Wagman from Clairvest as he stood up described the three pillars to invest in this company, the stable regulatory environment, the structure of the company, and the opportunity and the profitability is perhaps second to none in their experience, should we then conclude that it is second to none with the exception of this issue that hangs out there? How do we square these two?

MR. BAYT: Is Mr. Wagman in the room? MR. WAGMAN: I'm sorry. What is your
question again? $I$ was in the back of the room here. When $I$ was defining the three criteria together for a regime along with an exceptional property management team, and I view with that is second to none in all of the activity we've investigated. That was my comment.

COMMISSIONER BELL: But this issue of whether or not the Hoosier Park, LLC qualifies as a subsequent licensee versus an initial licensee gives you pause as an --

MR. WAGMAN: I'd say that clarifying the way of what we believe as the case would be more or less comfort rather than pause. COMMISSIONER BELL: I appreciate that. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Wagman.
Thank you Mr. Bayt.
Any other questions for Mr. Bayt?
COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Mr. Chairman, is it something that we want to vote on now or think about? What's our --

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Well, I think the first order would be to call for a motion. Is there a motion to amend our recently-approved order 188?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Yeah. The correct procedure would be to pass a new order which would be the one you entered plus any amendment thereto. And I think just to be safe, I think you want to rescind the previous order. MR. PACKER: We can do it that way or we can pass an order amending Order 188 to include the language, whatever the Commission wants.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Either way.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: If that's your will.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: First we need a motion.

Is there a motion?

COMMISSIONER BELL: I move that we amend Order 188 to include the language provided the Committee at the end of this meeting.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: And I second the motion.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and seconded.

MR. PACKER: Mr. Chairman, this would be Order 213.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you. It's been moved and --

COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Since the motion's
been made, can I read the powers of the Commission?
It has all powers and duties specified in
this article. All powers necessary to properly and fully and effectively execute this article.

Jurisdiction and supervision over the following: Riverboat gambling and all persons on riverboats where gambling operations are conducted. Investigate and reinvestigate applicants and license holders and determine their eligibility. Select among competing applicants. Take appropriate administrative enforcement or disciplinary action. Investigate alleged violations of this article. Establish fees for licenses. Adopt appropriate standards for -Conduct hearings. Issue subpoenas. Administer oaths. Prescribe a form to be used by an operating agent or a licensee involved in the ownership or management. Revoke, suspend, or renew licenses. Hire employees to gather information. Take any reasonable or appropriate action to enforce this article.

So I'm trying to see where this falls in. COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It falls in. COMMISSIONER FINE: Judge, is it your point -- and I don't want to speak for you -- in
the concept of an advisory opinion --
COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: Yes, resolving an
issue that really isn't for us. And I agree, but I
don't want to exceed our authority.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Well, we do have a motion
on the table.
COMMISSIONER SHIELDS: And that's my
discussion.
COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I second the motion on
the table.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: It's been moved and
seconded and that brings it to a vote. All those
in favor signify by saying aye.
(Commissioners Bell and Morgan said aye.)
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Is that two?
COMMISSIONER MORGAN: That would be two.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Opposed?
(Commissioners Fine, Shields and Shy said aye.)
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: The original Order
2011-188 is still --
COMMISSIONER MORGAN: It stands.
MR. BAYT: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: That concludes the meeting
for today. Being no more business to come before
the Commission, I will -- the Chair will entertain
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| 200 55:9 102:6,17,22 | 2011-185 39:17 |  | 9 |  |
| 107:15,19 | 2011-186 39:25 | 4 | 9 55:4 93:22 94:16,18 |  |
| 200-plus 86:25 | 2011-187 40:8,17 | $478: 7$ | 90 15:12,20 |  |
| 2001 107:17 | 2011-188 77:19 79:2,5 | 4th 111:9 | 940 1:19 |  |
| 2002 55:16 | 116:22 132:20 | 4(c) 121:18 | 99 54:12 |  |
| 2005 3:23 123:25 124:4 | 2011-189 80:19,24 81:4 | 4-33 25:25 |  |  |
| 2006 83:15 92:10 94:24 | 2011-193b 90:15,22 | 4-33-23 79:12 |  |  |
| 2006-58 92:11 | 2011-197b 80:20 | 4-33-4-13 106:4 |  |  |
| 2007 9:3 52:4,9 55:16 | 2011-198 95:24 97:9 | 4-33-7-8 26:8 |  |  |
| 2008 17:23 52:22 83:13 | 99:10 100:14,19 | 4-33-8-3 29:14 |  |  |
| 2008-74 30:24 31:10 | 2011-199 101:21 102:1 | 4-35-5-7(e)(1) 118:18 |  |  |
| 32:8 33:19 34:5 | 102:3 | 4-35-5-7(f) 70:22 |  |  |
| 2009 16:14 55:17 | 2011-200 102:23 103:6 | 117:18 122:13 |  |  |
| 2010 3:23 8:2 21:5 | 2011-2008 110:16 | 4-35-7-11 107:16,21,21 |  |  |
| 22:21 23:4 24:21,25 | 2011-201 103:13 | 40 55:11 |  |  |
| 41:9 42:10 85:20 | 106:24 107:7 | 40,000 87:4 |  |  |
| 101:2,9 102:15 | 2011-206 103:13 | 40-hour 115:10 |  |  |
| 2010-1 109:2,8 110:7 | 2011-207 108:11,15,17 | 45 10:17 |  |  |
| 110:11 | 2011-208 108:22 | 46204 1:23 |  |  |
| 2011 1:2,4,9,14 9:3 | 110:10,17,24 | 47 103:16 |  |  |
| 10:17 16:15,18,24,25 | 2011-209 112:4,6,14 |  |  |  |
| 17:6,23 18:3,8,13,15 | 2011-210 114:14,22 | 5 |  |  |
| 19:11,12,16 21:8,14 | 2011-211 114:25 115:1 | 5 36:14 |  |  |
| 21:23 27:25 29:2,6,19 | 115:25 116:8 | 5th 16:15 111:12 |  |  |
| 29:25 30:20 31:6,8 | 2011-212 115:1,3 | 5-2-2(a) 28:8,14 |  |  |
| 32:5,16 33:14 34:1 | 116:18 | 5-3 57:15 |  |  |
| 55:13 93:22,24 94:13 | 2011-76 112:23 | $5013: 14$ 54:11 119:19 |  |  |
| 101:3,4,5 111:9,12,13 | 2011-91 18:4 | 125:6 |  |  |
| 2011-104 19:17 | 2012 23:24 55:13 | $5217: 21$ |  |  |

