## INDIANA GAMING COMMISSION BUSINESS MEETING NOVEMBER 13, 2008

## 

The above-captioned business meeting was stenographically taken down by me, Robin L. Helton, a notary public in and for the County of Marion, State of Indiana, at 1:00 p.m., on November 13, 2008, at Hyatt, 101 W. Washington Street, Cosmopolitan B, 3rd Floor, Indianapolis, Indiana, and the following transcript is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings held.

CIRCLE CITY REPORTING
135 North Pennsylvania
Suite 2050
Indianapolis, IN 46204
(317) 635-7857

## APPEARANCES

\_ -

COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

William Barrett, Chairman

Tim Murphy, Commissioner

Tom Swihart, Commissioner

Mary Shy, Commissioner

Marc Fine, Commissioner

Robert Morgan, Commissioner

Ernest Yelton, Executive Director

Jennifer Reske, Deputy Director

Phil Sicuso, General Counsel

Tamara Timberman-Wright, Secretary

25

1

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. I will call the fourth quarter of the Gaming Commission to order, beginning by taking the roll of commissioners.

Commissioner Murphy?

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Present.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Commissioner Swihart?

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Present.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Commissioner Shy?

COMMISSIONER SHY: Present.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Commissioner Fine?

COMMISSIONER FINE: Here.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Commissioner Morgan?

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Here.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: The Chair is present.

The first thing on our agenda is the approval of the minutes. Commissioners, you have all had a chance to review the minutes, are there any corrections?

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Order 2008-92 on page 5, the vote was 5 to 1 rather than unanimous.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: That's correct, it was.

Are there any additional corrections? That correction will be made in the minutes then. Then I will call for a motion to approve the minutes

with that correction.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: So move.

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: All in favor? All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: We will begin with the report from our Executive Director.

Good afternoon, Executive Director Yelton.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners.

I will begin with -- the Executive Director's report with the staff update welcoming three new members to our Commission.

The first is Darlene Johnson, she is hard at work at our front desk as our receptionist.

She replaced Marian Coffey who has transferred to our Gaming Control Division. She joins us from the Department of Revenue.

Next, is Drewella Anderson. She is our new accounts clerk. She comes to us from the Indiana State Police.

And finally, Ellana Nelson, our latest field auditor. She was previously employed by Majestic Star, and becomes it by, if my memory is correct, the first administration division employee that we

,

have hired from one of the casinos. So we welcome you.

House Enrolled Act 1835 required both Hoosier Park and Indiana Live to make a second license installment payment of \$100 million each before November 1st of this year. I'm pleased to announce that we have received the money, and it has been deposited and processed into Indiana's Property Tax Replacement Fund.

Our Seventh Annual Gaming Enforcement Academy graduated on October the 29th of this year, and the class totaled 15 men and women who have now been disbursed throughout the state for duty at the various casinos. As a result we are now fully staffed with our enforcement division, and hopefully will not require to have another selection process throughout the entire year of 2009.

The Gaming Control Division, under the supervision of Colonel Larry Rollins, has seized 694 illegal gaming devices from 95 locations thus far during the calendar year, and a total of 1,193 devices since the division was first formed. An additional 3,209 illegal gambling devices have been reported as removed from the State of Indiana

by distributors to avoid seizure. One case of interest occurred on September 22, 2008, when the division, with the assistance of the Indiana State Police, served three search warrants at three locations simultaneously. Two bookmaking operations and one illegal poker establishment was closed down, and a total of 15 people were charged with various criminal violations.

This morning we met with Don Barden and Majestic Star representatives during our executive session. At our last meeting, Members of the Commission, Mr. Bennett, their CFO, indicated he was unsure of what specific course of action would be pursued when their interest payment became due on October 15th. In fact, Majestic Star chose not to make that installment and is presently in a 30-day grace period according to the terms of the loan. They have hired a financial advisor to assist in future decisions, and this morning they provided the Members of the Commission, so the record would show, confidential proprietary financial information and the Commission is monitoring this process very closely.

Finally, we have had waiver summary since our last meeting. The members of the Casino

Association was granted a waiver allowing the standard permission to destroy entry forms for marketing promotional events, both paper and electronically generated, of nonwinning entries for a period of at least 90 days.

Belterra and Grand Victoria were approved to request to place dedicated coverage on progressive displays showing incrementation with a display read the minimum of \$40,000. The account income audit department will be responsible for daily verification of the incremented amounts.

Blue Chip was granted relief from having the employee from the accounting department as part of the soft count team.

And French Lick was granted relief from requirements to print the name of the cage cashier on a jackpot slip. The cage cashier will still sign and write their occupational license number on the slip.

And finally, Horseshoe was approved a request to allow dealers to receive cash as tips only during tournament play.

Also, I want the minutes to disclose that today we received formal documentation from the Governor's office which reappoints Mr. Swihart and

Mr. Barrett to the Indiana Gaming Commission, and reaffirms Mr. Barrett's selection as the Chair. Congratulations.

That concludes the Executive Director's report.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Mr. Shouse, the voluntary exclusion.

MR. SHOUSE: Good afternoon.

You have before you 16 orders regarding the voluntary exclusion program. Pursuant to the rules of the program, participants of the voluntary exclusion program must be made confidential.

Pursuant to 68 IAC 6-3-2(g), a participant in the program agrees that if he or she violates the terms of the program and enters the gaming area of a facility under the jurisdiction of the Commission, he or she will forfeit any jackpot or thing of value won as a result of a wager.

Under Orders 2008-115 through 130, a total sum of \$23,989.97 was forfeited by John Doe 49 through 64. These winnings were collected at Ameristar, Argosy, Blue Chip, Grand Victoria, Hoosier Park, Horseshoe South, Horseshoe, Indiana Live and Majestic Star. These names were withheld as part

of Commission regulations. Commission staff recommends you approve the remittance of these winnings as fines levied against John Doe 49 through 64.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Thank you, Mr. Shouse.

Do the Commission members have any questions? If not, then the Chair will call for a motion in Case Numbers VEP-08-49 through VEP-08-64.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Move to settlement.

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Moved and seconded to approve. All in favor, aye? All opposed, same sign.

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Thank you, sir. Approved unanimously.

Mr. Packer.

MR. PACKER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I bring before you VEP appeals. This is when a person's whose jackpot has been seized under one of the orders that Mr. Shouse brought before you. Appeals that the seizure -- we send it through the Administrative Law Judge process and the results of that are in front of you today on Order 2008-131.

The relevant facts are as follows: John Doe 32 submitted an application for voluntary exclusion to the Commission on August 4, 2007. This application was for a five-year voluntary exclusion period.

On May 19th, 2008, John Doe 32 was apprehended in the Belterra Casino having won a \$64,800 jackpot. Belterra withheld the jackpot under the obligations in the VEP program. The Commission approved the remittance of that jackpot at the May 28, 2008 Commission meeting, and Joe Doe 32 appealed that. It forced it's way through the administrative process and ALJ, filed confidential findings of facts and recommendation with the Commission on November 3, concluding and recommending that the commission deny John Doe 32 appeal of the seizure of that \$64,800 jackpot.

In the absence of an objection to the ALJs findings, conclusions and recommendation under the regulations, the Commission must affirm that recommendation and John Doe 32 did not object to the recommendation of the ALJ. Under the regulations, you must affirm the recommendation of the ALJ and approve Order 2008-131.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: When did the ALJ issue her

proposed findings?

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PACKER: November 3, 2008.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: So the time hasn't run for an objection to be filed; is that correct?

That is correct. MR. PACKER: The John Doe indicated during the hearing on the record that she -- the judge tipped her hand during the hearing that she was going to rule in the Commission's favor, and going to recommend that the appeal be denied. And the John Doe -- the only defense that the John Doe offered was that the \$64,800 go to charity instead of forfeited to the Commission. She didn't present any arguments against the Commission's position.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: But as far as the procedure of where we are, 15 days out, was John Doe given notice of today's meeting.

MR. PACKER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: I would disagree with your legal conclusion that we have no choice, because time has not run for the objection to be properly filed. With that said, the nonfinal order was filed and notice of the order and today's meeting given to John Doe, so the case is before us.

Have you concluded, Mr. Packer?

2.3

MR. PACKER: Yes, I have.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: I will call for a motion whether to sustain or object to the nonfinding of the ALJ.

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: I will approve the order.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: All in favor, Aye? All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously.

Okay next one.

MR. PACKER: 2008-132, the staff was waiting for a recommendation from the Administrative Law Judge and we did not receive one, so that order is withdrawn, and we will not hear that matter at this Commission meeting. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Thank you.

Mr. Hoage.

MR. HOAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and Members of the Commission.

You have before you Order 2008-133 concerning the petition for removal from the exclusion list of Mark Killerman. On November 21, 2004, Mr. Killerman was observed by casino personnel and

by surveillance of the Blue Chip Casino in Michigan City, Indiana, having a bet of -- at a three-card poker table which resulted in an overpayment of \$150. Mr. Killerman was confronted regarding the situation and admitted to his action and returned the \$150 to the casino. He was ultimately charged with two felony counts of cheating at a gambling game in LaPorte Superior Court and later pled guilty to a lesser charge of criminal conversion.

1.0

On May 6th, 2005, the Executive Director of the Commission took action pursuant to Indiana Code 4-33-4-7 to exclude Mr. Killerman from riverboat gambling and throughout the State of Indiana. After multiple requests regarding his seeking removal from the Commission's exclusion list, Mr. Killerman was notified on June 25, 2008, that the commission was in the process of articulating standards regarding being removed from the exclusion list and those standards would be presented for approval at the Commission's November meeting.

Due to Mr. Killerman's persistence, the Commission staff allowed his petition for removal using these standards prior to their adoption.

2.5

Mr. Killerman submitted his petition for removal on July 14, 2008. Thereafter, a hearing was conducted on August 20, 2008. And as a hearing officer, I submitted findings of facts and conclusions of law to the Commission and Mr. Killerman on September 22, 2008.

Based on Mr. Killerman's inconsistent statements during the investigation, his past acts at the Blue Chip Casino and the fact that alcohol played a role in the incident, the Commission staff recommends you adopt the findings of facts and conclusions of law and recommendations that have been submitted that -- which will ultimately have the effect of denying Mr. Killerman's petition for removal from the exclusion.

Mr. Killerman was informed of today's meeting and was given the opportunity to speak if he applied in writing within two weeks of the meeting, and he did not take the offer up.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Thank you, Mr. Hoage.

Do the Commission members have any questions for the staff? If not, the Chair will call for a motion whether to approve or reject proposed Order 2008-133.

COMMISSIONER SHY: Move to approve.

1.6

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Moved and seconded.

All in favor, aye? All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously.

Ms. Ellingwood.

MS. ELLINGWOOD: Thank you.

You have before you Order 2008-134 and Order 2008-135 regarding settlement agreements for Mark Korcz and Sherita Peterson respectively.

On June 26th, the Commission staff received an incident report regarding an erroneous fill at Horseshoe Southern Indiana. Table games had submitted a fill request for approximately \$36,000, \$10,000 of which was supposed to be delivered in \$100 chips. The cage, however, sent out \$500 chips instead of \$100 chips. The chips were deposited at the table and the paperwork was dropped.

Once the error was discovered, Ms. Peterson and Ms. Korcz instructed the table to submit a second fill request for \$10,000 in \$500 chips, but had — the cage actually delivered \$10,000 in \$100 chips. The purpose of this was to balance the float for the day.

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | |

Under the correct procedure, if a fill is delivered to a table and the denomination delivered does not match the fill slip, the fill slip is to be marked void by the table game manager and the supervisor and the paperwork and the chips are both to be delivered back to the cage. At that point, a new fill slip request is submitted to the cage and the appropriate chips are sent to the table.

Because Mr. Korcz's and Ms. Peterson's actions violated the Horseshoe Southern Indiana internal controls, the Commission staff considered initiating a disciplinary action against them. The staff conducted additional interviews into the matter and determined that a one day voluntary suspension of their license in lieu of the disciplinary action was appropriate in this matter. Both Mr. Korcz and Ms. Peterson have agreed to that offer.

The Commission staff respectfully requests you approve Orders 2008-134 and 135, thereby approving settlement agreements.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Thank you.

Do the Commission members have any questions? COMMISSIONER MURPHY: I do have one question.

Are the employees paid during their one day suspension?

MS. ELLINGWOOD: They are not, no. That is actually a term of the settlement agreement.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: I have a question. Have either of them been a subject of prior disciplinary matters?

MS. ELLINGWOOD: No, there wasn't anything in their file. I don't know if they have been subject to any other disciplinary matters that we're not aware of.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Anyone else?

COMMISSIONER SHY: Were they aware what they were doing, or did they not understand the procedure?

MS. ELLINGWOOD: The reason they did what they did was because they had learned to do that under informal on-the-job training. It was not part of their formal training as far as we could tell, but they did not seem to realize that it may have been a violation of the internal rules.

COMMISSIONER SHY: So is there an overall training?

MS. ELLINGWOOD: Possibly. The investigations that we did indicated that there were some other

personnel who understand the informal on-the-job training was the same thing. I don't know about any additional investigation into that process, the training process.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: We didn't hear the question.

COMMISSIONER SHY: The question was, did they just not understand and was it a training issue?

They were not correctly trained?

GENERAL COUNSEL SICUSO: My understanding is that there is a possibility informal training they thought they were doing the right thing. They wanted to continue the disciplinary action because they should have known this is an obvious wrong problem. They should not do it this way. They should know their internal controls which were proper. I think they should have known the standards and that was the reason to allow the one day rather than more if we would have proceeded otherwise.

COMMISSIONER SHY: I guess my concern is, is there a need to follow up for training --

GENERAL COUNSEL SICUSO: We started that with the casino, yes.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Anyone else? Okay. If

not, the Chair will call for a motion in the matters 2008-134 and 2008-135.

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: I move we approve the Orders.

COMMISSION SWIHART: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: All in favor? All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: We unanimously approve the orders. Thank you.

Ms. Rich.

MS. RICH: Good afternoon.

You have before you Order 2008-136 concerning the renewal of supplier licenses. Pursuant to Indiana Code 4-33 and 68 IAC 2-2, the Commission previously approved supplier's licenses for the following four companies: Western Money Systems, Global Surveillance Associates, Aristocrat Technologies, Inc., and Paltronics, Inc. supplier's license is valid for a period of one year.

Pursuant to IC 4-33-7-8 and 68 IAC 2-2-8, a supplier's license must be renewed annually and with payment of \$5,000 for the annual renewal fee. Each of these licensees has requested renewal of their license and has paid their renewal fee.

The Commission staff recommends you approve the license for the four suppliers.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Thank you, ma'am. Do the Commission members have any questions for the staff? If not, the Chair will call for a motion regarding proposed Orders 2008-136 and 2008-137.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Move to approve.

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: All in favor, aye? All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously.

Ladies and Gentlemen, I apologize for the noise.

GENERAL COUNSEL SICUSO: Did you do 137 as well?

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Yes. I know you can't hear.

MR. BROWN: Good afternoon, Executive Staff and Commissioners.

On or about January 10, 2008, Gomes & Cordish Gaming Management, LLC submitted a supplier's license application to conduct gaming related business with an Indiana Casino licensee, specifically what is now known as Indiana Live

Casino. Gomes & Cordish are responsible for the development and management of the Indiana Live Casino, along with all of the duties that come with the casino operations.

Gomes & Cordish was granted a temporary supplier's license in Indiana on April 1st, 2008. A background and financial investigation was conducted on Gomes & Cordish Management, LLC, and key persons. IGC staff could find no derogatory information that would affect the applicant's suitability.

Do you have any questions.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Commissioners, you have all received the report that Mr. Brown has previously prepared and you've had a chance to review the confidential report. Do the Commissioners have any questions? If not, the Chair will call for a motion on proposed Order 2008-138.

COMMISSIONER: Move to approve.

COMMISSIONER: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Moved and seconded. All in favor, aye? All opposed?

(COMMISSIONER MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously. Thank you, Mr. Brown.

Mr. Sicuso.

2

3

GENERAL COUNSEL SICUSO:

Thank you.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

The next two orders are related to each other. The first one, 2008-139, relates to the request by Fortress Investment Group and Centerbridge Partners for leave to withdraw their application and transfer of ownership interest in the riverboat owner's license currently held by Penn National Gaming, Inc.

The request from Fortress Investment Group and Centerbridge Partners came shortly after the July 2008 execution of an agreement to disband their merger agreement under which Fortress and Centerbridge would have required ownership in Penn National.

Given the nature of the terms of that merger breakup agreement, the staff recommends that it is no longer appropriate or necessary to pursue the application for transfer of ownership and pursuant to our regulations that requires an affirmation and leave by the Commission to allow the withdrawal of that application.

So we recommend that you allow that today by granting Order 2008-139.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Thank you.

3

5

4

7 8

6

9 10

11 12

13

14 15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

Do the Commission members have any questions? If not, the Chair will call for a motion.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Move to approve.

COMMISSIONER SHY: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Moved and seconded to approve. All in favor? All opposed? (COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously.

GENERAL COUNSEL SICUSO: Order 2008-140 relates to the financing package -- or at least a debt transaction that is related to the breakup of the merger agreement.

Pursuant to that agreement, Penn National is to issue \$1.25 billion worth of preferred equity in their company. That is mandatorily redeemable in seven years. So the staff is recommending that we treat this as a debt transaction requiring approval under our regulations. We did provide you a report on that through our outside financial analyst and all of the information that we needed to analyze, that has been submitted.

Resolution 2008-74 does require that we take an interim approval which was granted by Executive Director Yelton on October 28th to the Commission's recommendation. And that is what is

before you, 2008-140. We recommend that you ratify the approval from October 28 by granting Order 2008-140.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Thank you.

Do the Commission members have any questions? If not, the Chair will call for a motion on proposed Order 2008-140.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Move to approve.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: All in favor? All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously.

GENERAL COUNSEL SICUSO: If you don't mind,
Mr. Chair, we can do four of these together.
There is nothing unique about any of them. Just
the standard annual renewal for casinos.

So Orders 2008-141, 142, 143 and 145 relate to Argosy, Belterra, Grand Victoria and Horseshoe Southern Indiana. Each of these licensees requests for annual renewal as well as the \$5,000 renewal fee. The staff would recommend an approval of all four of these.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: As to any of the four licensees, do the Commission members have any questions? If not, the Chair will call for a

motion as to Orders 141, 142, 143 and 145.

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Move to approve.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: All in favor, aye? All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously.

MS. GRAY: Good afternoon, Commissioners. You have before you 11 settlement agreements. The first settlement agreement is Ameristar Casino, Order 2008-146, which includes two counts. The first count violated the rule requiring log books inside each machine with the progressive controller to be filled out by individual gaming entry into the controller.

The second count, the casino did not timely notify the gaming agents regarding the termination of an employee.

Ameristar has agreed to a total monetary settlement of \$3,500 in lieu of disciplinary action.

Are there any question concerning this one?

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Do the Commissioners have any questions? If not, the Chair will call for a motion.

COMMISSIONER: Move to approve.

COMMISSIONER: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: It's been moved and seconded to approve Order 2008-146. All in favor? All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously.

As to Argosy.

MS. GRAY: The second order, 2008-147, is a settlement agreement with Argosy in six counts.

In the first count, the casino violated the rule requiring an occupational license to be renewed annually.

The second count violated the rule requiring timely notification on the termination of an employee.

The third count violated the rules requiring the dealer to announce "no more bets" while the ball is still rotating around the wheel.

In the fourth count, Argosy violated their internal control requiring security personnel to keep a designated drop area clear of patrons.

In the fifth count, the casino did not notify surveillance that a live table game fill was being processed.

In the final count, an underage person was allowed to board the casino.

Argosy has agreed to a total monetary settlement of \$33,500 in lieu of a disciplinary action.

Are there any questions.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: How did you calculate the fine on this one?

MS. GRAY: In Argosy?

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Yes.

MS. GRAY: On all of it or just one particular one?

mean, how did you -- the reason I ask, every meeting when these orders come up, they are announced by the proposed order number and I call for them based on the case number. The reason I call them based on the case number, the case numbers are assigned by the casino and each successive case there is a successive case number. So you hear some with number two and some with number four. So as we go through the year and there are more violations, this is a different number than the last one, that's why I asked. I'm curious as to how the fines are assessed as you go

through the year with further violations, even if they be different substantive matters. That is all.

MS. GRAY: Well, we look at a number of different things when we assess the fine. We look at self-reporting.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: I know it's hard for us to hear, although it's quiet for the moment. It is good for everyone in the public to hear how these numbers are arrived at. It does not appear to be a black box that a number comes out of. I have asked you about this in other settings, and I want to go through it again because I think it is constructive for everyone.

MS. GRAY: We look at several different things. Whether or not they self-report. We also look at the severity of the violation, so to speak. Whether or not it's a recurring violation, or if they have been asked to — if the violation has been brought to their attention, whether or not they did any corrective action. We look at that.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: I will expand a little more on the process.

MS. GRAY: Okay.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: Chris will look at many of these. We do have some structure, particularly with minors, and also with renewal of licenses of employees, but we have opted against having a fine schedule because we want to take into consideration the facts of each incident and each licensee. She will either make a recommendation or meet with the compliance committee to make a recommendation to the staff. I will take those recommendations as they are sent to me and meet with Mr. Sicuso and Mrs. Reske. They will give their opinions to me. I will give a final decision to Chris, who begins the settlement process with that determination. So there are many, many people that have their fingerprints on this before it finally gets to the licensee itself.

MS. GRAY: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: With that in mind, do the Commissioners have any questions on the proposed settlement?

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: I have one question following yours. Do you ever get feedback from casinos that they disagree with the numbers?

MS. GRAY: Oh, yes, I do. Every time we send

out a settlement agreement, if there are mitigating circumstances the casino will send a response, and we will negotiate from there.

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: You have been known to make adjustments of your numbers based on the negotiations?

MS. GRAY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Do they ever offer more?

MS. GRAY: No. Not up to this time, but I'm open for that.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Any further questions? If not, the Chair will call for a motion as to the proposed settlement in Case Number 08-AR-04.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Move to approve.

COMMISSIONER SHY: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Moved and seconded. All in favor, aye? All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: As to Aztar.

MS. GRAY: Order 2008-148 is a settlement agreement with Aztar involving two counts. In the first count, an underage person was allowed to board the casino.

The second count violated the rule requiring

18.37

the casino to submit promotional programs to the Commission 20 days before the initiation of the program.

Aztar has agreed to a monetary settlement of \$12,500 in lieu of a disciplinary action.

Are there any questions.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Count I provides us the opportunity to review that again on the issue of minor and successive violations over the year. How do you do that?

MS. GRAY: This was the second and third time within six months. The first time is \$1,500 and then second time it goes up to \$3,000, \$4,500; so it goes up in increments of \$1,500 each time based on how many times within a rolling six-month period.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Does anyone have any additional questions? If not, then the Chair will call for a motion as to proposed settlement 08-AZ-02.

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: I move we approve.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Moved and seconded. All in favor, aye? All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously. 1 2 CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Belterra. MS. GRAY: The fourth order, 2008-149, is a 3 4 settlement agreement with Belterra including two 5 counts. 6 In the first count, the casino did not provide 7 an evicted person with an eviction form. In the second count, a roulette wheel was not 8 9 properly secured. 10 Belterra has agreed to a total monetary 11 settlement of \$6,500 in lieu of disciplinary 12 action. 13 Are there any questions regarding this 14 agreement. 1.5 CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Do the Commission members have any questions? If not, the Chair will call 16 17 for a motion in proposed settlement in Case Number 18 08 - BT - 04. 19 COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Move to approve. 2.0 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Second. CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Moved and seconded. All in 2.1 22 favor, aye? All opposed? 23 (COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.) 24 CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously. 25 French Lick.

MS. GRAY: Order 2008-150 is a settlement agreement with French Lick, wherein the casino violated its internal control requiring the collection team to wait until the drop zone is secure.

French Lick has agreed to a monetary settlement of \$5,000 in lieu of a disciplinary action.

Are there any questions?

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Do the Commission members have any questions? If not, the Chair will call for a motion as to proposed settlement in Case Number 08-FL-03.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Move to approve.

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Moved and seconded.

All in favor, aye? All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously.

As to Grand Victoria.

MS. GRAY: The sixth order, Order 2008-151, is a settlement agreement with Grand Victoria and includes five counts.

In the first count, the casino violated several surveillance rules.

In the second count, the casino violated their internal control requiring security personnel to prevent patrons and non-drop employees from entering the drop area while the bill validator drop boxes are being collected.

The third count violated the playing card rule.

In the fourth count, a fill bank drawer was found unsecured.

In the fifth count, an underage person was allowed to board the casino.

Grand Victoria has agreed to a total monetary settlement of \$49,000 in lieu of disciplinary actions.

Are there any questions?

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Do the Commission members have any questions? If not, the Chair will entertain a motion whether to approve the proposed settlement of Case Number 08-GV-04.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Move to approve.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Moved and seconded.

All in favor, aye? All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously.

Hoosier Park.

MS. GRAY: The seventh order, Order 2008-152, is a settlement agreement with Hoosier Park and includes five counts.

The first count, violated the VEP rule.

In the second count, an underage person was allowed to enter the casino.

In the third count, the soft count door was left unsecured with currency inside the room.

The fourth count violated the rule to collect the validator boxes.

In the final count, a bill validator box with money and tickets inside was left on the casino floor and the security report written regarding this violation was destroyed.

Hoosier Park agreed to a total monetary settlement of \$50,708 in lieu of disciplinary action.

Are there any questions?

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: What is the staff's assessment of procedures that Hoosier Park has in place now? This is their first case and their first go-around. Have there been any discussions with them?

MS. GRAY: Well, I have spoken with Jim Brown,

have any questions? If not, the Chair will call

25

2.4

for a motion as to proposed settlement in Case Number  $08-\mathrm{HH}-04$ .

COMMISSIONER SHY: Move to approve.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Moved and seconded. All in favor, aye? All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously.

Horseshoe Southern.

MS. GRAY: Order 2008-154 is a settlement agreement with Horseshoe Southern Indiana and includes five counts.

The first count violated the rules requiring playing cards not being utilized at a gaming table to be kept in a locked compartment, and inventory of all cards to be maintained.

The second count violated the rule requiring the roulette wheel to be covered and locked when the roulette table was not open for gaming.

In the third count, a table game did not have a drop box attached to it.

In the fourth count, the casino did not have proper surveillance coverage of a pit area.

The fifth count violated the rule requiring an occupational license to be renewed annually.

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

Horseshoe Southern Indiana has agreed to a total monetary settlement of \$36,000 in lieu of a disciplinary action.

Are there any questions?

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Do the Commission members have any questions? If not, the Chair will call for a motion as to proposed settlement in Case Number 0.8-CS-0.3.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Move to approve.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: All in favor, aye?

All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Indiana Live.

MS. GRAY: The tenth order, Order 2008-155, is a settlement agreement with Indiana Live and includes two counts.

In the first count, the casino failed to follow the VEP rules.

In the second count, an underage person was allowed to enter the casino.

Indiana Live has agreed to a total monetary settlement of \$30,880 in lieu of disciplinary actions.

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

2.2

23 24

25

Are there any questions?

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: So this was the third underage person that they have had?

MS. GRAY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: They opened when?

They opened, I believe, the end of MS. GRAY: May.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: So six weeks.

They have taken steps to try and MS. GRAY: alleviate this in the future.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Total fine of \$30,880. Does anyone else have any questions?

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: I have one question. It's a relatively short time, can we make a note and keep following up on this, because this is not looking good.

MS. GRAY: We know.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR YELTON: One of the problems is that they are not required to have a admission tax so, therefore, they do not have turnstiles. But we have had discussions with them before opening both casinos that we will be watching this process very closely because with the width of their ingresses and egresses, it could be easy for minors to get through. We are

1 aware of this and we are watching it closely. 2 MS. GRAY: And they have changed the layout of 3 how they allow the patrons to enter. 4 CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Anyone else? If not, the 5 Chair will call for a motion. 6 COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Move to approve. 7 COMMISSIONER SHY: Second. CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Moved and seconded. 8 9 All in favor, aye? All opposed? 10 (COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.) 11 CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously. 12 Majestic Star. 13 MS. GRAY: The final order, Order 2008-156, a 14 settlement agreement with Majestic Star involving 15 three counts. 16 In the first count, a table game did not have 17 a drop box attached to it. 18 The second count violated the rule requiring a 19 submission of a report for an expenditure made in 20 the previous calendar year regarding MBE/WBE. 21 In the third count, the casino failed to have 22 adequate security on each casino floor. 23 Majestic Star has agreed to pay a total

monetary settlement of \$28,000 in lieu of

disciplinary actions.

siii)

Are there any questions?

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: I have one question. On the MBE/WBE violation, have you seen that before, that type of violation?

MS. GRAY: I do believe this is the first time we have had this type of issue with that.

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: How did you arrive at that fine?

MS. GRAY: It was based pretty much on how much of the material was not presented at first to us.

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: So this would imply to me there was a significant amount that was not presented?

MS. GRAY: Correct.

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Do you think that was just carelessness?

MS. GRAY: I think that there were a number of things. I think that it was the first time -- we now have a system that is set in place where they can file all of their expenditures online. We had to go and -- all of the casinos were shown how to enter it and how to put everything in there. And I think that at the time that the casino just failed to do follow up, basically.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Does anyone else have any questions? If not, the Chair will call for a motion as to proposed settlement of Case Number 08-MS-04.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Move to approve.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Moved and seconded. All in favor, aye? All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

MR. PACKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

The next item is the final rule changing the definition of "slot machine," which is located in 68 IAC 1182.

The Commission staff held a public hearing on November 12, 2008, on this rulemaking. Two members of the public attended the public hearing. There were no comments made at the hearing. There were no written or oral comments by the public.

The IABC has returned its findings on the economic impact of the rule and does not object. The state budget agency recommends that this rule be approved. The rule has not changed in its form since this rulemaking has begun, and you have

passed emergency rules and a previous final rule on the same topic. The Commission staff recommends approval.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: No substantive change in the language at all?

MR. PACKER: Correct.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Do the Commission members have any questions regarding the proposed final rule? If not, I will call for a motion as to Resolution 2008-157.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Move to approve.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Moved and seconded. All in favor, aye? All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously.

MR. PACKER: Resolution 2008-158 is the final rule adding a variety of definitions to the administrative rules, reordering and amending several definitions to comply with procedure and practice. Eliminating Executive Director approval as a necessary step for adding progressive jackpots. Amending the exclusion process and really overhauling the exclusion process to complete what the rules may have contemplated

before but never provided for. I can talk about that some more, if you would like.

2.0

2.4

Conforming the 68 IAC 13 seizure forfeiture and disciplinary process to the Administrative Orders and Procedures Act. Adding seizure forfeiture and civil penalty procedures to punish individuals who violate the gambling statutes or regulations. Amending surveillance requirements and audit terminology and making numerous technical changes.

This rulemaking was the result of several independent reviews of various different procedures that take place in the Commission. And insofar as sort of an omnibus rulemaking, there are a lot of moving parts to it, but the only ones that makes major changes, in my opinion and staff's opinion, are the amendments to the exclusion process namely adding specific and detailed procedure for an excluded individual to remove himself or herself from the exclusion list. Currently, there is no procedure for that, but — the rules state the intent for removal but they don't provide any procedure for it, so we are adding that.

And streamlining the seizure and forfeiture

procedures to match more closely with the Administrative Orders and Procedures Act.

The Commission staff has taken this rule through the promulgation process. Commission staff held a public hearing on September 16, 2008. One member of the public attended, there were no comments made either oral or written. IABC does not object to the economic impact of this rule, and the state budgeting agency recommends that the Commission approve this rule. The staff now asks you to approve Resolution 2008-158, which adopts this final rule.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Thank you.

Do the Commission members have any questions?

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Did you get feedback

from the gaming facilities?

MR. PACKER: The gaming facilities, as far as I recall, did not have much feedback on this because most of the things that were changing in this rulemaking are more internal than external.

One area that the members of the industry I have spoken with liked was this catchall definition of "casino" and "casino gambling operation" and "casino licensing," because it eliminates the sometimes confusing and lengthy

3.15

3

5

7

8

10

11

1.3

14

15

16 17

18

20

21

19

22

24

25

discussions of gaming licensees, riverboat licensees, and French Lick and bunched them into one category that we can use to refer to them generally.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Does anyone else have any questions?

I have one comment, I want to commend the staff for its work on this sort of tedious work in the trenches of doing administrative regulations.

Thank you for doing it.

MR. PACKER: It's a lot of fun, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: I will call for a motion as
to Resolution 2008-158.

COMMISSIONER SHY: Approve.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: All in favor, aye? All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously.

MR. PACKER: Resolution 2008-159 is much more straightforward. Every year a number of the administrative rules are set to expire. There are specific procedures for readopting those rules and the Commission staff has followed that procedure so far by publishing notice. The 30-day statutory

period for filing an objection to this readoption has passed. The notice was published

September 24, 2008, so it's far passed. The staff did not receive any objections or requests to remove specific rules from the readoption.

Commission staff asks that you readopt the articles, rules and sections that are provided for you. If approved, these readopted rules, articles and sections will become effective in 30 days after the final readoption action is filed with the Legislative Services Agency. I anticipate doing that either this afternoon or tomorrow morning. And the rules will not be edited or changed in any manner between now and their readoption. I ask you to approve Resolution 2008-159.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Do the Commission members have any questions? The Chair will call for a motion as to Resolution 2008-159.

COMMISSIONER FINE: Move to approve.

COMMISSION MORGAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: All in favor, aye? All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved Resolution

2008-159 unanimously.

games.

Ms. Ellingwood.

MS. ELLINGWOOD: Thank you.

You have before you Resolution 2008-160 regarding an emergency rule considering charity gaming. In March 2008, House Enrolled Act 1153 was passed, and that law went into effect on July 1st, which made changes to existing charity gaming licenses, created an exception to certain prohibitions regarding workers and operators, and required the Commission adopt rules for the euchre

On May 28th, the Commission adopted an emergency rule addressing those changes. As required, Commission staff then began to adopt the rule through the formal rulemaking process. However, that process will not be completed by the time the emergency rule expires.

Unfortunately, neither the current statute or administrative rules are adequate to address those needs. In addition to the changes of the last emergency rule, Commission staff included some new provisions including the definition of gross revenue and winner take all drawings, conditions under which refunds may be given, the

establishment of a fee for license amendments, 1 2 language that requires organizations to change the 3 location of certain events, publishing of the new 4 location in an appropriate newspaper, language 5 requiring organizations that conduct a raffle or 6 door prize event conduct their own drawing. And, 7 finally, a prohibition against holding a charity 8 gaming event at a bar or tavern or a facility 9 connected to a bar or tavern other than those that

These new provisions are included in the rules and currently in the middle of the formal rulemaking process.

The Commission staff respectfully requests you to adopt the emergency rule before you. The staff will continue the regular administrative rulemaking process to formally adopt this rule.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Thank you.

are owned by a qualified organization.

Do the Commission members have any questions? If not, the Chair will call for a motion as to Resolution 2008-160.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Move to approve.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Moved and seconded. All in favor, aye? All opposed?

12 13 14

15

16

10

11

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously.

MR. REDER: Good afternoon. Before you is Resolution 2008-161 adopting the rule regarding junket operations. The Commission passed LSA 08-327, a rule regarding junket operations, on August 28, 2008. Following Commission approval, Commission staff presented the rules to the office of the Attorney General. To prevent the office of the Attorney General from rejecting the rule, the Commission staff made three legal changes to the rule.

One, we rearranged the language in 68-IAC 2-3.5-1 into two sections. Two, we modified the analytical framework in 68-IAC 2-3.5-2 to create more ascertainable standards. And three, we deleted the redundant language in 68-IAC 2-3.5-3. We respectfully ask you adopt the junket operations rule as amended.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Does anyone have any questions? If not, I will call for a motion as to Resolution 2008-161.

COMMISSIONER SWIHART: Move to approve.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Moved and seconded. All in

favor, aye? All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously.

Resolution 2008-162.

MR. REDER: As to Resolution 162, the
Commission may, by resolution, assign to the
Executive Director any duty imposed upon the
Commission by IC 4-33 and IC 4-35. The Commission
staff believes the requirement of the Commission
to meet for the purposes of issuing certificates
of registration to junket operators and junketeers
unresponse to the needs of the junket operations
and the casino gambling industry. Requiring
junket operators and junketeers to seek Commission
approval before undertaking regular business
operations would frustrate the need to get these
operations up and running in a short time.

If the Commission were to retain this authority, junket operators and junketeers would have to wait until the March 2009 Commission meeting to be authorized to begin operation. Such a delay would delay the benefits not only to the casino industry but to the State of Indiana in terms of tax revenue to the State and revenues to the casinos in general.

We respectfully ask you to approve this resolution delegating authority to issue junket operators and junketeers certificates of registrations to the Executive Director.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Thank you.

Does anyone have any questions? If not, I will call for a motion as to Resolution 2008-162.

COMMISSIONER SHY: Move to approve.

COMMISSIONER MORGAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Moved and seconded. All in favor, aye? All opposed?

(COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.)

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously. Thank you, Mr. Reder.

GENERAL COUNSEL SICUSO: Order 2008-163, as it's proposed, would approve the application of Resorts Indiana, LLC, which is a subsidiary of Eldorado Resorts, LLC, to purchase 100 percent of the outstanding membership interest of Aztar Indiana Gaming Company.

As indicated in the text of the order you have received, Eldorado has filed all of the necessary applications, IGC staff has completed the related suitability investigation and you've been provided with the final investigatory report.



2.0

It includes three standard conditions to the approval. First, the Commission approval and closing of a final financing package. The second, is closing of the settlement agreement with Tropicana. The third, is the general requirement that Eldorado maintain in remaining compliance with all of the standards, gaming laws and regulations that are related to the operations of a casino in Indiana.

The current status of the credit market has caused Commission staff to require that Eldorado provide information about the final terms of their proposed financing package and a date that is near to closing. The staff expects to address the financing approval rapidly via the internal approval process that was reauthorized by the Commission earlier this year in Resolution 2008-74.

The staff recommends that you authorize a proposed transfer and approve Order 163.

CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Thank you.

Do the Commission members have any questions?

Commissioners, there are representatives here from Tropicana and other interested parties. Do any of you care to hear comments from them? If not, I

1 will call for a motion as to Order 163. 2 COMMISSIONER SHY: I move to approve. 3 COMMISSIONER FINE: Second. 4 CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Moved and seconded. 5 All in favor, aye? All opposed? 6 (COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.) 7 CHAIRMAN BARRETT: Approved unanimously. 8 Our next meeting, Ladies and Gentlemen, will 9 be in mid-March. We don't have a final date yet. 10 Thank you for your attention and tolerance of the 11 noise. Everyone have a happy holiday. 12 Motion to adjourn? 13 (COMMISSION MEMBERS VOTED AYE.) 14 CHAIRMAN BARRETT: We are adjourned. 15 16 17 18 19 20 2.1 22 23

2.4

STATE OF INDIANA)

OUNTY OF MARION)

SS:

I, Robin L. Helton, a Notary Public in and for said county and state, do hereby certify that the Indiana Gaming Commission Business Meeting,

November 13, 2008 at 1:00 p.m., at Hyatt, 101 W.

Washington Street, Cosmopolitan B, 3rd Floor,

Indianapolis, Indiana, was taken down in stenograph notes and afterwards reduced to typewriting under my direction, and that the typewritten transcript is a true record of the proceedings held.

Robin J. Heldon

Robin L. Helton, Notary Public, Residing in Marion County, Indiana

My Commission Expires: June 6, 2009