

# Indiana Department of Correction, Division of Youth Services 2021 Juvenile Detention Inspection - Compliance Report



Marion County Juvenile Detention Center  
2451 N Keystone Avenue  
Indianapolis, In 46218

---

## Auditors

Rachel McCaffrey, Director of Juvenile Detention Inspections, Indiana Department of Correction  
Kristin Herrmann, Youth Law T.E.A.M. of Indiana  
Danielle Zagone, Director of External Relations, Indiana Department of Correction

Indiana Department of Correction  
Division of Youth Services  
302 W. Washington St. Rm. E334, Indianapolis, IN 46204

**Indiana Department of Correction, Division of Youth Services  
2020 Juvenile Detention Inspection - Compliance Report**

Facility: Marion County Juvenile Detention Center  
2451 N Keystone Avenue  
Indianapolis, In 46218

On-Site Inspection Dates: 4/15/2021 and 10/5/2021

Auditors: Rachel McCaffrey  
Director of Juvenile Detention Inspections  
Division of Youth Services, Indiana Department of Correction  
(812) 929-3980, rmccaffrey@idoc.in.gov

Kristin Herrmann  
Youth Law T.E.A.M. of Indiana  
(317) 916-0786, kherrmann@youthlawteam.org

Danielle Zagone  
Director of External Relations  
Division of Youth Services, Indiana Department of Correction  
(317)450-6507, dzagone@idoc.in.gov

## **INTRODUCTION**

The 2021 annual juvenile detention facility evaluations conducted by the IDOC, Division of Youth Services included two on-site facility inspections; staff and youth interviews; and an audit of the state detention standards.

While the proposed Indiana Juvenile Detention Facility Standards are in the process of being promulgated, Detention Facilities had the option to be audited on three hundred one (301) current detention standards or the corresponding two hundred and thirty-seven (237) proposed juvenile detention facility standards.

Marion County Juvenile Detention Center chose to be audited on the two hundred and thirty-seven (237) proposed juvenile detention facility standards in 2021, for their 25<sup>th</sup> annual detention inspection. Of the two hundred and thirty-seven (237) standards audited, sixteen (16) standards require mandatory compliance and the remaining two hundred and twenty-one (221) are recommended standards. Compliance with 100% of the mandatory standards and 90% of the recommended standards is required to attain "Full Compliance." Again, Centers that chose to be audited on the proposed detention standards were only required to meet the expectation of the current detention standards. Marion County Juvenile Detention Center chose to also provide documentation on the extra standards with exclusively new requirements. The breakdown of each audit listed below is only reflective of the current standards.

## FACILITY INFORMATION

<b>Facility county:</b>	Marion
<b>Governing authority:</b>	Marion Superior Court
<b>Name of facility administrator:</b>	Mary Dozier
<b>Detention Director:</b>	Mary Dozier
<b>Detention facility's mission:</b>	We at the Marion County Juvenile Detention Center work to establish safety and security as our culture while building competency for youth and staff. It is our goal to increase resident and staff accountability while providing re-entry preparation for all detained youth.
<b>Rated capacity:</b>	144
<b>Population on date of first audit:</b>	34
<b>Average daily population for the last 12 months:</b>	37
<b>Average length of stay for the last 12 months:</b>	37 days
<b>Minor upgrades since last audit (i.e. painting, flooring, bedding, furnishings):</b>	All brand new mattresses.
<b>Major upgrades since last audit (i.e. plumbing, electrical, security system):</b>	Two new DVRS have been installed upgrading the camera system.
<b>De-escalation techniques training:</b>	Safe Crisis Management (SCM)
<b>Physical force techniques training:</b>	Safe Crisis Management (SCM)
<b>Chemical agents permitted:</b>	No
<b>Name of food service provider:</b>	Summit LLC
<b>Name of food service supervisor:</b>	Christy White
<b>Name of health care authority individual or agency and license/certification:</b>	Candice Jones, LPN Paul Dengler, RN, PNP
<b>Name of mental health care authority individual or agency and license/certification:</b>	Dena Mitchell Daniel Holland, LCSW
<b>Education Services:</b>	Core 40+. Youth use desktop PC's, READ 180 and Plate. The center offers a remedial reading program and the youth attend education programming 5 days a week/6 hours a day. MCJDC received Title 1 funding in the amount of \$40,000 for the 2018-2019 school year. Funds are used to pay the Title 1 teacher salary and to support Title 1 activities.

### Marion County 2021 Audit

<b>Standards:</b>	<b><u>All Mandatory Standards, Security Standards, and Training Plan (8-3-52)</u></b>
<b>Standards Reviewed:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><u>Fifty-One Total Standards Audited</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>16 Mandatory and 35 Recommended</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>1 Administration Standard, 7 Safety Standards, 23 Security Standards, 3 Food Service and Hygiene Standards, 15 Medical Standards, and 2 Mental Health Standards</u></b></li> <li>• Number of Standards Not Applicable: 0</li> <li>• Number of Mandatory Standards in Compliance: 16</li> <li>• Number of Recommended Standards in Compliance: 35</li> </ul>
<b>Auditor(s):</b>	Rachel McCaffrey, Kristin Herrmann, and Danielle Zagone (Inspection) Kristin Herrmann (Files)
<b>Facility Tour:</b>	The facility tour was conducted by Mary Dozier. This inspection focused on mandatory standards. Areas such as medical, janitorial closet, kitchen, visitation rooms, search areas, private interview rooms, youth rooms, youth living areas, personal and property areas, mechanical and safety areas were inspected. It was ensured that staff had access to the Emergency Manual.
<b>Youth Interviews:</b>	Four youth were interviewed between both on-site visits.
<b>Youth Responses:</b>	Youth were asked a series of questions pertaining to the mandatory and security standards. All of the youth interviewed stated that they had been in a fire drill at this facility and that the drills can happen anywhere from one to three times a month. A couple of the youth complained of either being cold or warm. These concerns were gone over during the debriefing with the director. One youth did complain that their room was dirty. This concern was take to the facility staff.
<b>Staff Interviews:</b>	Two line staff were interviewed with one having control experience.
<b>Staff Responses:</b>	Staff members were asked a series of questions have to do with mandatory and security standards. Both staff members went over procedure of a fire drill. They said fire drills were simulated as if a real fire was occurring. They went on to explain that any disable, incapacitated, or high-risk juveniles would receive either one on one care or given special assistance in the event of an emergency. Staff then went on to explain that they receive training over their suicide prevention program and that the doctor gives this training to them yearly. They described their unit logs having information about any movement, grooming, mealtimes, any issues with a resident throughout the day, and fire drills.

<b>Non-compliant Standards:</b>	None
<b>Recommended Action:</b>	None

<b>Standards:</b>	<b>Safety, Justice &amp; Order, and Education Standards</b>
<b>Standards Reviewed:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><u>70 Total Recommended Standards Audited</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>27 Safety Standards, 37 Justice &amp; Order Standards, and 6 Education Standards</u></b></li> <li>• Number of Standards Not Applicable: 0</li> <li>• Number of Recommended Standards in Compliance: 67</li> </ul>
<b>Auditor(s):</b>	Kristin Herrmann
<b>Youth Interviews:</b>	Four youth were interviewed between both on-site visits.
<b>Youth Responses:</b>	Youth were asked a series of questions regarding the safety, justice and order, and education standards. All youth stated that they are checked in on regularly and room checks are performed, as well. All youth said that they had received their handbooks and were able to keep their copy. All the youth felt comfortable with education program in the facility and were about to transfer their credits and were able to use an online learning program.
<b>Non-compliant Standards:</b>	8-5-19, 8-6-12, and 8-6-13
<b>Recommended Action:</b>	Recommended action would for the youth to receive notification of disciplinary process.

<b>Standards:</b>	<b><u>Food Service &amp; Hygiene, Medical, Mental Health, and Program Standards</u></b>
<b>Standards Reviewed:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><u>Fifty-Three Total Standards Audited</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>16 Food Service &amp; Hygiene Standards, 13 Medical Standards, 4 Mental Health Standards, and 20 Programs &amp; Services Standards</u></b></li> <li>• Number of Standards Not Applicable: 0</li> <li>• Number of Recommended Standards in Compliance: 52</li> </ul>
<b>Auditor(s):</b>	Rachel McCaffrey, Kristin Herrmann, and Danielle Zagone (Inspection) Kristin Herrmann (Files)
<b>Youth Interviews:</b>	Four youth were interviewed between both on-site visits.
<b>Youth Responses:</b>	Youth were asked a series of questions regarding food service and

	hygiene, medical, mental health, and program standards. All the youth said that they receive three meals a day at regular times with two of three meals being warm or hot. They all said that the food tasted okay. All youth stated that they knew how to request access to medical assistance. When asked if they felt like their medical and mental health was taken seriously and kept confidential, they all stated that they felt that it was.
<b>Non-compliant Standards:</b>	8-7-9
<b>Recommended Action:</b>	No recommended action for the non-compliant standard.

<b>Standards:</b>	<b><u>Administration Standards</u></b>
<b>Standards Reviewed:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><u>Sixty-One Total Standards Audited</u></b></li> <li>• <b><u>61 Administration Standards</u></b></li> <li>• Number of Standards Not Applicable: 0</li> <li>• Number of Recommended Standards in Compliance: 61</li> </ul>
<b>Auditor(s):</b>	Rachel McCaffrey
<b>Non-compliant Standards:</b>	None
<b>Recommended Action:</b>	None

Was the facility required to implement a corrective action plan as a result of the audit? **NO**/YES

### CONCLUSION

Marion County Juvenile Detention Center chose to be audited on the proposed standards, which corresponds with the current (301) detention standards and received a score of 100% compliance with the mandatory standards and 98.17% of the recommended standards.

**The facility received a separate scoresheet indicating their level of compliance with the new proposed detention standards.**

Therefore, Marion County Juvenile Detention Center is in compliance with the 2021 Indiana Detention Center Standards Audit performed by the Indiana Department of Correction, Division of Youth Services.

A certificate of compliance will accompany this report, which becomes public information ten (10) days from the date of mailing

Please contact me at (812) 929-3980 should you have any questions concerning this report.

Respectfully submitted,

**Rachel McCaffrey**

Director of Juvenile Detention Inspections

Indiana Department of Correction/Division of Youth Services

cc: Danielle Zagone, Director of External Relations, IDOC  
Honorable Clark Rogers, Superior Court  
Mary Dozier, Superintendent of Quality  
pursuant to 210 IAC 8-1-5(f)  
File