1 BEFORE THE STATE OF INDIANA 2 CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION 3 4 5 PUBLIC MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 16, 2024 6 7 8 9 PROCEEDINGS 10 in the above-captioned matter, before the Indiana 11 Civil Rights Commission, Adrianne L. Slash, 12 Chairperson, taken before me, Lindy L. Meyer, 13 Jr., a Notary Public in and for the State of 14 Indiana, County of Shelby, at the Indiana 15 Government Center North, 100 North Senate Avenue, 16 Room N300, Indianapolis, Indiana, on Monday, 17 September 16, 2024 at 1:06 o'clock p.m. 18 19 20 21 ACCURATE REPORTING OF INDIANA, LLC 543 Ponds Pointe Drive 22 Carmel, Indiana 46032 TELEPHONE: (317) 848-0088 23 EMAIL: accuratereportingofindiana@gmail.com

1	APPEARANCES:
2	COMMISSION MEMBERS: Adrianne L. Slash, Chairperson Steven A. Ramos Holli Harrington James W. Jackson Terry Tolliver
3	
4	
5	
6	INDIANA CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION By Mr. David Fleischhacker, Deputy Director
7	Indiana Government Center North 100 North Senate Avenue, Room N300
8	Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 On behalf of the Commission.
9	on behalf of the committeeton.
10	OTHER COMMISSION STAFF PRESENT: Michael Lostutter
11	Christiana Afuwape Yvette Kirchoff
12	
13	ALSO PRESENT: Bryant Marsh
14	Michael W. Padgett John Garcia
15	Kris Kazmierczak Justin G. Hazlett Rebecca Kiel Vincent Kroon Cindy Armour Therese Whitsett Cindy Parrent Brett Hession
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1:06 o'clock p.m. September 16, 2024

2.0

CHAIR SLASH: Well, we'll go ahead and -- it's 1:07. We'll call this meeting of the Indiana Civil Rights Commission to order. It doesn't look like we have any Commissioners on-line today, so we won't have to take roll call after everything, but we'll begin -- we do have a quorum; right?

MR. LOSTUTTER: (Shook head yes.)

CHAIR SLASH: But we'll start with
the announcement of the agenda.

MR. LOSTUTTER: All right. The agenda for this month, we'll approve previous meeting minutes; we'll then go over the ICRC Director's Report; we have, in Old Business, several appeals before the Commissioners, four of which involve oral argument; we then -- as far as New Business is concerned, we only have one new case that will be assigned to a Commissioner; for Other Motions, we'll go over pretty quick; for the Review of ALJ Decisions and Orders, there's only one that we'll actually have to take a vote

```
1
     on; and then reading in of a couple of Decisions
 2
    Automatically Confirmed.
 3
                 CHAIR SLASH: We have -- we have
 4
    someone on-line.
 5
            Say something. Were you intending for us
 6
    to hear you?
 7
                       (No response.)
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay.
8
9
                 MR. LOSTUTTER: That's it.
10
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. With that being
11
    said, we'll go ahead and I'll begin the meeting
12
    by calling for approval of the previous meeting
13
    minutes.
14
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: So moved.
15
                 COMM. HARRINGTON: Second.
16
                 CHAIR SLASH: I'll take the sec --
17
    I'll take the motion by Vice-Chair Ramos and the
18
    second by Comm. Harrington.
19
            Can we mute everyone on-line for the time
20
    being? Thank you.
21
                 MS. AFUWAPE: I don't mind resting.
22
                 MR. LOSTUTTER: Did you have
23
     something to say, Christiana?
```

1 (No response.) 2 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. Very good. 3 Well, we will go ahead and we will begin with the ICRC -- oh, wait, we didn't vote. 4 5 MR. LOSTUTTER: Correct. 6 CHAIR SLASH: We've got a motion and 7 a second. 8 MR. LOSTUTTER: All right. 9 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. 10 MR. LOSTUTTER: We'll go ahead. 11 Comm. Harrington? 12 COMM. HARRINGTON: Aye. 13 MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Jackson? 14 COMM. JACKSON: Aye. 15 MR. LOSTUTTER: Comm. Tolliver? 16 COMM. TOLLIVER: Aye. 17 MR. LOSTUTTER: Vice-Chair Ramos? 18 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Aye. 19 MR. LOSTUTTER: Chair Slash? 20 CHAIR SLASH: Aye. And since we 21 don't have anyone on-line, I think I can just 22 call for "All in favor?" All right. We'll look 23 forward to that and save us a little time today.

So, we'll begin with the ICRC Director's Report.

2.0

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Thank you, Chair Slash.

We've got a very busy time going on right now. The next two days, Tuesday and Wednesday, we have our Annual Days of Service, where we've got over 200 volunteers helping out with beautification projects in the Watkins Park area along Martin Luther King Jr. Drive. We're also doing some work this year at the Charlie Wiggins Pocket Park, if you go by there.

So, like I said, we've got over 200 volunteers that are coming out to assist, and a lot of them are from other state agencies. A couple of years ago, the Governor signed an executive order permitting up to 15 hours or two days of paid volunteer leave that state employees can take advantage of, and we have quite a few who are doing that. So, we're excited about those two days.

We've got the Circle City Classic coming up on September 28th, where we'll be

participating in the parade, have a presence there, and then we have the game later on that afternoon.

2.0

October 9th is our Civil Rights Awards

Dinner. If any of the Commission members are -
I think all of you have been provided with

information on that. If you are interested in

having guests, plus ones, whomever, attend with

you, please talk with our Internal Affairs folks,

and we have a discounted rate for the guests and

all of that kind of stuff of staff and Commission

members. So, just let them know, once you

register, that you have additional individuals

that you want to have attend.

And then last week we did a taping for the VIVA Show with the Indiana Latino Expo, so that should be -- we're not sure exactly which Saturday it's going to air yet, but one of these Saturdays here in the next couple of weeks it'll be showing on Telemundo Indy, and it's on a Saturday morning. So, we'll get that out to everyone once we have a date and time for that particular broadcast.

Some ongoing projects that we have going on. For the EEOC, our contract with them, our annual contract, is ending at the end of this month, and then we'll be starting on our next contract. As I've mentioned in prior meetings, we have already met our contract with the EEOC for this year, and we've actually exceeded it, so we're -- we've got those cases going into next year's contract.

2.0

They are transferring 185 cases to the Commission from the EEOC. They're doing that transfer -- starting that transfer this week, and then those cases will be included on our contract next year to increase the funds that we get from them for case processing.

On the housing side of things, we just finalized some MOU's with the Indiana Housing and Community Development Authority to do some fair housing testing on a number of their properties. We'll be doing 111 tests on a number of properties across the state, testing for potential alleged discrimination in the areas of race and disability. There may be sex as well.

So, we're doing that over the next several quarters. Many of these properties -- or some of these properties we've tested in the past, and subsequent testing they've passed, so we're hoping to continue to see that same trend. And we'll be testing some new properties as well to make sure that they're in compliance with fair housing laws.

2.0

As far as metrics go, through the end of August we've seen -- most of our numbers this year are pretty comparable to where we were last year at the same time. Our inquiries are up -- just up three percent, our complaints are up 13 percent. We -- at the end of August we had 789 complaints filed so far this year. We're on track to be around 1,050 or so at the end of the year if we stay on the same trajectory, which will be the first time that we've crossed a thousand formalized complaints in quite a while.

Closures are one percent, so where they were last year. Our settlement relief is down a little bit. We, through the end of August, had obtained over \$470,000 worth of relief for

complainants through settlement efforts and mediation efforts, down some from last year. We were at 576 at the same point last year, but that was due to a couple of larger settlements that we had received earlier in that year.

2.0

Our litigation cases year over year were from -- we were at 60 open cases last year at the end of August. We are down to 31 open cases at the end of August this year, and that's due to our staff having closed quite a few. We've closed 47 litigation cases this year already, compared to 30 at the same time last year.

So, our staff on the operations side are cruising. We've got a lot of work coming in, and we have a lot of work going on, so they're doing a great job of maintaining that flow and getting things moving along, so --

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Question.

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Yes.

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: You've had this new technology for at least 18 months, 24 months. Have you done any data mining to see if there are any trends or any demographic pieces that are

telltale?

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: We've not started digging in like that. The phone system we've had for a year and a half now, the CMS system. We've been -- it's been upgraded over the last year and a half, and we're continuing to make upgrades to it as well.

And I think that's something that we'll probably be doing over the next year, getting into it a little bit more and seeing if we can do a little bit more, you know, pinpoint data stuff, seeing what regions are seeing more complaints than others or what regions are we not getting stuff from. You know, are there pockets that we're not doing enough education or awareness in?

So, that's kind of the next phase that we're going to utilizing these technologies for, to kind of do some more data-driven work, now that we're kind of getting a good flow going with everything else that we have going on and kind of expand that as we're able to.

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Thank you.

MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Yep.

1 CHAIR SLASH: Any other questions? 2 (No response.) 3 Okay. CHAIR SLASH: Thank you. 4 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: You're welcome. 5 CHAIR SLASH: It sounds like the 6 efficiency is up and the staff is able to move a 7 lot easier with the technology that they have at their fingertips. So, thank you. And I'm glad 8 9 to hear about how many people you have signed up 10 for the Day of Service. That's great. 11 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Yes. 12 CHAIR SLASH: Thank you. 13 Okay. We will move on and begin with our 14 Old Business. The first case, Sarah Griesinger 15 versus Paul Marsh Insurance, Inc., Case EMse24010065. That case was assigned to 16 Comm. Harrington, and we also have note that it 17 18 is here for oral argument. 19 Are both parties present? 20 MR. MARSH: Bryant Marsh. I am. 21 CHAIR SLASH: Thank you. 22 MR. LOSTUTTER: I do not believe that 23 Ms. Griesinger is present. I know she is not

```
1
    here in person, and I do not believe that she is
 2
     one of the people who are up there on the board.
 3
                 CHAIR SLASH:
                               Okay.
 4
            With that being said, Comm. Harrington,
 5
    would you like to hear a statement from the
 6
    present party?
 7
                 COMM. HARRINGTON:
                                     This one is
     interesting, because the finding is that we have
8
9
    no jurisdiction, so I'll look to -- regardless if
10
    both parties were here, my understanding is
11
    because of the jurisdiction, unless there is
12
    something different there, there's nothing that
13
    we would be able to do in this case.
14
    correct?
15
                 MR. LOSTUTTER: I mean we could hear
    the oral argument, but ultimately --
16
17
                 CHAIR SLASH:
                               Okay.
18
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: We can just confirm
19
    that they have fewer --
20
                 MR. MARSH: If I could be --
21
                 COMM. TOLLIVER:
                                   -- than six
22
     employees.
23
                 COMM. HARRINGTON:
                                     So, the question,
```

```
1
     as far as the definition of "employer" means the
 2
     organization has less than six or more employees
 3
    within the State of Indiana; is that correct?
 4
                 CHAIR SLASH: Uh-huh.
 5
                 COMM. HARRINGTON: Well, I'm
 6
    asking --
 7
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS:
                                   Mr. Marsh?
8
                 COMM. HARRINGTON: -- Mr. Marsh.
9
                 CHAIR SLASH: Mr. Marsh, is that
10
     correct?
                 MR. MARSH: That's for me?
11
12
                 CHAIR SLASH: Yes.
13
                 MR. MARSH: Yeah.
                 COMM. HARRINGTON: And how many
14
15
    employees do you actually have?
16
                 MR. MARSH: (Inaudible.)
17
                 MR. LOSTUTTER: You may have to speak
18
    up just a little bit, sir. We're having trouble
19
    hearing you.
20
                 MR. MARSH: I'm having trouble
21
    hearing you guys, too. Can you hear me better
    now?
22
23
                 CHAIR SLASH:
                               Yes.
```

1 MR. LOSTUTTER: Yes. I'll speak up. 2 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. 3 When Sarah first started, we had an employee that 4 was transitioning out, so when Sarah was hired, 5 (inaudible) -- employed, it was going up. So, 6 when she was first hired we had -- it would have 7 been three of us, and then after that two weeks, it was just Sarah and I. I think she's confused 8 9 (inaudible). 10 COMM. HARRINGTON: We're losing you. 11 MR. LOSTUTTER: You're cutting out 12 again, sir; sorry. 13 MR. MARSH: Oh, I'm sorry. So, yes, 14 there was never more than two or three. 15 CHAIR SLASH: There was never more than two or three; is that what you said? 16 17 MR. MARSH: There was three when she 18 first got hired. There was a person that was 19 transitioning out. 20 CHAIR SLASH: And at the time of her 21 departure, how many? 22 MR. MARSH: Two. 23 COMM. HARRINGTON: Two.

```
1
                 CHAIR SLASH: Two; okay. Thank you.
 2
                 COMM. HARRINGTON: Okay. So, based
3
     on that, my recommendation is that this is
 4
    administratively dismissed due to the fact that
 5
    we have no jurisdiction over the case.
 6
                 CHAIR SLASH: Thank you.
 7
            Is there a motion?
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: So moved.
8
9
                 CHAIR SLASH: Is there a second?
10
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Second.
11
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. Motion,
12
    Comm. Tolliver; second, Vice-Chair Ramos. All in
13
    favor?
14
             (Commissioners responded, "Aye.")
15
                 CHAIR SLASH: Any opposed?
16
                       (No response.)
17
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. The ayes have
18
    it.
         Thank you.
19
            The next case, Yovanis Mejia Alvarez
20
    versus Old Dominion Freight Line, Inc. That is
21
    Case EMno23070604. That case was assigned to me.
22
           Are both parties present for oral argument
23
     today?
```

1 MR. PADGETT: I'm Mike Padgett. I'm 2 with Jackson Lewis. I'm present for Old 3 Dominion. 4 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. 5 Is the Complainant present? 6 MR. LOSTUTTER: I do not believe so. 7 I do not believe that she's on-line, and she is not here with us. 8 9 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. 10 Would you like to share anything prior to 11 a decision? 12 MR. PADGETT: I'm happy to answer 13 any questions. I don't want to take up time 14 unnecessarily. 15 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. 16 MR. PADGETT: I feel it's a fairly 17 simple set of facts. The employee was -- it's a 18 trucking company. The employee was at a gas pump 19 at their location in South Bend, pumped some gas, 20 didn't take the nozzle out, drove off, and, you

know, it was an accident. Their policy requires

that all accidents be reported. The employee

failed to report the accident.

21

22

23

Their handbook policy says any time you fail to report an accident, you will be terminated. It's not suggested that you'll be terminated. So, the charge is framed in terms of other people, you know, had safety issues and weren't terminated. Well, that's a different issue. This is failure to report. And so, with failure to report, the result is termination.

2.0

In terms of the allegation regarding assignment of routes and so forth, that's done by seniority. There are about 20 employees more senior than the Complainant. There's no evidence presented by the Complainant that, you know, routes were assigned in any way discriminatory.

So, with that, I'll answer any questions.

CHAIR SLASH: Sure. Thank you.

And seeing as the Complainant is not present, what we would have allowed is for -- and for anyone who's here for their own oral argument, five minutes from each of you, and then an opportunity to respond, and an opportunity for us to have questions. This case was assigned to me. I do not have any questions, so I will make

```
1
    my recommendation. My recommendation -- there
 2
    were --
 3
                 COMM. JACKSON: I have a --
 4
                 CHAIR SLASH: -- two issues.
 5
                 COMM. JACKSON: -- quick question.
 6
                 CHAIR SLASH: What?
 7
                 COMM. JACKSON: You mentioned in the
    policy book -- could you repeat the policy book
8
9
    grounds for termination?
10
                 MR. PADGETT: Failure to report an
    accident.
11
12
                 COMM. JACKSON: Did you see that in
13
    the policy book?
14
                 CHAIR SLASH: Yes. All of that was
15
    covered in the case.
16
                 COMM. JACKSON:
                                 Okay.
17
                 MR. PADGETT: Yeah, it was attached
18
    to the position statement.
19
                 CHAIR SLASH: Any other questions?
20
                       (No response.)
21
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. My
22
     recommendation is that we uphold the Deputy
23
     Director's finding on both issues as no probable
```

```
1
     cause under the Indiana Civil Rights Law.
 2
            Is there a motion?
 3
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: So moved.
 4
                 CHAIR SLASH: Is there a second.
 5
                 COMM. JACKSON: Second.
 6
                 CHAIR SLASH: Thank you. Motion,
 7
    Comm. Tolliver; second, Comm. Jackson. All in
    favor?
8
9
             (Commissioners responded, "Aye.")
10
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. Any opposed?
11
                       (No response.)
12
                 CHAIR SLASH: The ayes have it.
13
    Okay. Thank you.
14
                 MR. PADGETT: Chair Slash, a quick
15
    question: Am I free to leave at this time?
16
                 CHAIR SLASH: You are free to go.
17
    Thank you for coming in today.
18
                 MR. PADGETT: Yeah. Thank you for
19
    your time.
20
                 CHAIR SLASH: The next case is
21
     Sarah E. Johnson versus Terre Haute Housing
22
    Authority & Lockport Road Community. That's
23
    Case HOfs2402112 [sic]. The case was assigned to
```

```
1
    Comm. Jackson.
 2
                 COMM. JACKSON: There were two issues
3
     in this case. In both issues, I support the
 4
    Director's finding of no probable cause.
 5
                 CHAIR SLASH: Thank you.
            Is there a motion?
 6
 7
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: So moved.
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Second.
8
9
                 CHAIR SLASH: Is there a second?
10
     second. Motion, Comm. Tolliver; second,
11
    Vice-Chair Ramos. All in favor?
12
             (Commissioners responded, "Aye.")
13
                 CHAIR SLASH: Any opposed?
14
                       (No response.)
15
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. The ayes have
16
    it.
17
            The next case, Mary Kay & John Garcia
18
    versus Lost Run Farm Community Association &
19
    Armour Property Management, LLC,
20
    Case HOno24010006. This case -- do we have both
21
    parties present for oral argument?
22
            Are you -- okay. We have one.
23
                 MR. HAZLETT: Yes.
```

22

```
1
                 CHAIR SLASH: Oh, okay. Thank you
 2
               So, this case was assigned to
    very much.
 3
    Vice-Chair Ramos. However, for your oral
 4
    argument, the way we will begin, we will hear
 5
     five minutes from the Complainant, five minutes
 6
     from the Respondent, then the Complainant will
 7
    have two minutes to respond, the Respondent will
8
    have two minutes to respond. We will then have
9
    any questions from Commissioners prior to making
10
     a decision.
11
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER:
                                    A quick point of
12
             There are two Respondents on the case,
13
    and I believe there are representatives from both
14
    Respondents here --
15
                 CHAIR SLASH:
                               Okay.
16
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: -- so, I believe
17
     that each of them would like five minutes --
18
                 CHAIR SLASH:
                               Okay.
19
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: -- to present
20
    their case. So, I just wanted to --
21
                 CHAIR SLASH:
                              Okay.
                                      Perfect.
22
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: And before we begin,
23
    Mr. Hazlett and I used to work together.
```

1 not think that it's mandatory that I recuse, but I'll certainly do so if anybody asks for it. 2 3 CHAIR SLASH: Thank you so much. 4 COMM. HARRINGTON: Same for me. Т 5 worked with Mary Kay for years at Lilly, so I 6 don't know that it's necessary that I recuse 7 myself, but I just want to disclose. 8 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. Thank you very 9 much. 10 Any other -- any other announcements or 11 notices before we begin? 12 (No response.) 13 CHAIR SLASH: So, going back to our 14 original announcement, I'll make it very clear. 15 Both Respondents will have five minutes prior to 16 us coming back to the Complainant; okay? 17 (No response.) 18 CHAIR SLASH: Very well. We'll begin 19 with the Complainant. Let me get my five-minute 20 timer going here. 21 Okay. You may begin. 22 MR. GARCIA: Okay. As background, I 23 have an engineering degree from Purdue University

and an MBA from Stanford University, and I was a former Zoning Board member for the Town of Fishers. I currently work in a highly regulated industry as a financial advisor.

2.0

My wife has retired from Eli Lilly and served in numerous roles over her 28-year career, including as Senior Director responsible for auditing, manufacturing, processes, and ensuring compliance with government standards. My wife has a civil engineering degree from Purdue University and served as HOA President of our previous neighborhood.

It is unimaginable that two individuals that are conditioned to follow rules, that spent over 50,000 in design fees, would have deviated in the construction of such a challenging project when the home sits on a -- and drops off on a 27-foot hill.

The Notice of Finding by the ICRC is full of many errors and omissions of evidence. ICRC found no cause, because ICRC supposedly had evidence that shows that we deviated from the construction plans from the first day of

construction. If that were the case, the
Inspector of the Town of Zionsville would have
shut down our project.

2.0

Almost 600,000 has been spent in legal fees and expert witnesses, yet to date, the Respondents have not produced that evidence, but somehow ICRC claims to have that evidence and made an erroneous conclusion. Now the Respondents are using ICRC's erroneous conclusion against us in a civil litigation that has been taking place since October 2022. We are requesting at a minimum that the Commission retract the Notice of Finding.

In addition, the Respondents have used the ICRC's findings to propagate a false narrative and create a hostile environment. They have spread rumors. They have gone into at least two other neighborhoods and done the same thing, where we have accused board members and neighbors of discrimination because we just didn't want to follow the rules. That is a hundred percent false.

We recently started receiving text

messages from neighbors stating, quote, John Garcia is a serial neighborhood rapist, end quote. I run a highly successful financial advisory business that generates millions of dollars in revenue for Bank of America. ICRC's Notice of Finding, if it goes uncorrected and unchecked, continues to be used maliciously to defame my reputation and career that I've spent 20 years to build.

2.0

Furthermore, we filed the original complaint with HUD on January 29th, 2023, which was almost an entire year before HUD transferred our case to the Indiana Civil Rights Commission. During that period, HUD conducted a preliminary investigation of our complaints and concluded there was sufficient information to start a formal investigation. HUD decided to transition our case to the ICRC to conduct a formal investigation.

It was apparent from the beginning that the ICRC investigator had limited interest in conducting a thorough investigation. We don't know if this was due to the complexity of the

case or that a civil case was pending. We were certain that the investigator was only looking for a way to find no cause, because no inspectors, engineers, architects, witnesses or neighbors were ever interviewed.

2.0

We were told numerous times that the evidence we were providing couldn't be used.

Even critical evidence regarding when we informed the Respondents of my wife's disability was ignored. The investigators refused to use text messages where the Respondents were mocking my wife's disability and stated things like, quote, They will probably install a bullshit fence in back, claiming that with her disability, she can't chase dogs, end quote. Clearly, the Respondents were aware from the beginning of construction that my wife had a disability, and chose to mock her instead of engaging us in construct -- in a discussion.

In addition, the Respondents referred to me as "Gomez" in a derogatory manner. When the investigator concluded that spell check caused "Gomez" to appear instead of "Garcia," we

challenged that finding. That -- given that G is the only common letter, it is impossible for spell check to change Garcia to Gomez, yet the investigator changed the final report to state that the Respondents called me Gomez by accident.

"Gomez" was being used in a derogatory manner, and the text thread proves they were laughing about calling me Gomez in a reference to me eating a Mexican burrito. If the investigator had made an effort to acquire other e-mails and text messages from the Respondents, the investigator would have probably found that they would regularly refer to me as "Gomez."

The investigator failed to discuss in the Notice of Finding that Respondents sent us an e-mail threatening to foreclose on our home.

Other text messages the Respondents sent to each other included, "What wonderful additions to the neighborhood," "I have very little sympathy for them," and "We don't trust anything they provide."

The Respondents were fully aware, once

2.0

they texted and they revoked the original approved modification, that my wife had a disability and that they would be violating our rights under Fair Housing and ADA. When we submitted our original plans for approval, we were not required to disclose that my wife had a disability or highlight any design features that were incorporated to meet her disability.

2.0

The modification necessary to meet my wife's disability was built into the plans that got a hundred percent approved. Just like someone that has a handicap ramp or elevators designed in the home plans, they are not required to disclose that they have a disability or the rationale for the ramp or the elevator. Just like someone that goes to rent an apartment, they are not required to disclose their race, disability, sexuality or religion.

In addition, just like certain Islamic households design their homes to face certain directions, they are not required to disclose their religion when submitting those plans and explaining why the house faces a certain

direction.

Our plans were approved, and we informed the Respondents in July of 2021 that they would be violating our rights by interfering with our approved plans, and they chose to ignore us, and they refused to meet and discuss the situation any further.

The investigator concluded that --

CHAIR SLASH: You're at time.

MR. GARCIA: Okay.

CHAIR SLASH: Thank you very much.

MR. KAZMIERCZAK: I have a handout that follows my outline. May I approach and give it to each one of you, or --

CHAIR SLASH: I have to verify it doesn't have any additional evidence that we haven't seen before that's not a part of any of the records we already have. All of it is part of what we already have in the original file?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  KAZMIERCZAK: Let me double-check that.

CHAIR SLASH: If not, you can just hold it. And I believe, since we're all present,

we should be able to follow along versus how it would be if we --

MR. KAZMIERCZAK: That -- whatever you would like. Hang on. I think I can edit this real quick.

Hey, will you put those up, please?

(Discussion off the record.)

MR. KAZMIERCZAK: Good afternoon. My name is Kris Kazmierczak. I'm the lawyer for Lost Run Farm Community Association, Inc. With me is the President, long-term President, Vincent Kroon; and Rebecca Kiel, she was an Architectural Review Board member; and also, Cindy Armour, who's separately represented, who is the property manager.

I think it's important for everybody to understand that this is a neighborhood of about 19 lots, and out of those 19 lots, we have on the board a representative from -- that's a high-level auditor from E & Y, Ernst & Young; we have Mr. Kroon, who is a CEO and owner of a development business; Ms. Kiel, who is an R.N., who also has a construction background;

```
1
    Dr. Kowlowitz, who is not present because he's in
 2
     Italy today, who is obviously a doctor, an
 3
     anesthesiologist, who was the first one to build
 4
     in this neighborhood; we have Ben Wheat, who is a
 5
    CEO of Indy Wholesale Direct; and we have
 6
    Dr. Ashlee Cave, who is a dentist. These are all
 7
    professionals in this neighborhood.
                 COMM. JACKSON: Can you also give us
8
9
    the demographic breakdown of all of these folks?
10
                 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: We did. Thank you
11
    for reminding me of that. That's right, it is
    part of the record.
12
                          This is a --
13
                 COMM. JACKSON: Can you repeat that,
14
    what's in the record, or can you tell me?
15
                 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: Yeah, I can tell
    you. Offhand I don't know it, but -- you mean as
16
17
     far as what? How many African --
18
                 COMM. JACKSON: Black --
19
                 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: -- Americans?
20
                 COMM. JACKSON: -- white, yeah.
21
                 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: So, it's a very
22
    diverse neighborhood. Mr. Kroon is an immigrant;
23
    Ms. Kiel's household, her husband's Jewish,
```

they're a Jewish household; Dr. Kowlowitz is

Jewish; Derrick McKey is African-American; the

next-door neighbors to -- the Brittons -- to

Mr. Garcia are from Columbia, immigrants; we have
several owners who also have vacant lots that are

Middle Eastern.

CHAIR SLASH: We can pin the demographic question till when we get to our questions at the end. That's when I --

MR. KAZMIERCZAK: Thank you. I'm sorry; can I have a few minutes back to -- yeah.

CHAIR SLASH: Yes, I'll give you one minute back, because that's what it took.

MR. KAZMIERCZAK: That's fine. Thank you very much.

I want the Commission, the Chair,

Vice-Chair Ramos, to understand that what's been requested is a redo. It's not a reversal, it's not a "We missed -- the investigator missed something."

And I bring a unique perspective today, because I've been -- over 20 years I've been before the ICRC and the EEOC representing both

complainants and respondents, and I will say that the Exec -- the Deputy Executive Director;

Shanequa Moore, the investigator; and her superiors got it right.

2.0

They put a lot of time into this. Four months of careful deliberation. No fact went uncontested. She got interviews of every one of the board members. She pushed me for facts. She pushed me on their theories. We responded with 400 pages of documents. And what this comes down to: They got it right.

They did interviews, they put together -the Executive Director put together detailed
findings in a way that I've not seen often come
out of the ICRC. They put a lot of effort into
this, and they just didn't give window dressing
to what the Garcias were saying.

Everything you heard today has played out in court since October of 2022 in Boone County. We have a matter pending up there over the covenants, and they've also asserted a discrimination claim, so Judge Kincaid is going to hear those. We've heard all of this.

All of that information was presented to Shanequa Moore. I know that she had supervisors involved all of the way along, because they were looking at this very carefully. So, I am very comfortable with the outcome in this case, but the important thing is here is that they're asking for a reinvestigation because they didn't trust the investigator or the process.

I think that's incorrect before this

Board. I think that the ICRC got it right, and
that we should let things stand as they will. We
still have the court case going on. What they
concluded -- and if you recall, Ms. Moore and the
ICRC were considering that unlawful
discrimination occurred, she and the ICRC
correctly found a dispute over covenants, and
that there was no whiff of discrimination.

I'm going to address the two things that were mentioned. The text message happened in July 2022, over -- regarding some other person's property, and they said -- with a request for something to happen, they said, "We have to do this right, because we know we have this issue

pending with Mr. Garcia." The wrong name was used, one time.

We've had two years of opportunity for discovery in the other case. That's the only text message that came up, and it was a year and a half after. It has to be temporal proximity connected at the time the decisions were made. It wasn't.

And what's behind me is, you're going to see a tree. This is the tree we've heard a bunch of evidence about that was unhealthy, and that's a couple of days before that tree was taken down. This is a walnut tree. To give you perspective of how big that tree was, there's the dump truck dumping all of the grading that Ms. Moore also made comments about in her findings. Her findings are spot on. For somebody who doesn't deal with this every day, day in and day out, she took the time to figure it out and got it right.

One minute?

CHAIR SLASH: Yep.

MR. KAZMIERCZAK: Thank you.

The comment about the disability, this

fence, the fence was withdrawn. That evidence is -- the request for the fence was withdrawn.

Just like when they asked to have this tree tore down, the ARB said, "No," in their discretion.

They have complete discretion under these covenants. They said "No." They put the tree back in. They said "No" to the fence. They withdrew the request for the fence. It's not an issue here.

We have asked in our case whether or not an accommodation was denied, and they have answered, "No, no modification, no accommodation was denied, because it was part of our approved drawings." There are no comparators here. This is important for everybody to understand. In discrimination cases, you have to go, "Is somebody being treated differently?" The Garcias were the only ones --

CHAIR SLASH: You're at time.

MR. KAZMIERCZAK: Okay. I'll reserve some time with my two minutes.

CHAIR SLASH: Thank you.

Can we hear from the second Respondent's

2.0

1 representation? 2 MR. HAZLETT: Yes. 3 COMM. TOLLIVER: Can I ask a question 4 before he proceeds? Do we have jurisdiction if 5 there's a pending case? 6 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: I know that if 7 it's filed federally we don't, but it's the 8 state, I think. 9 COMM. TOLLIVER: Okay. 10 CHAIR SLASH: Yes. Good question. 11 COMM. TOLLIVER: Thank you. 12 MR. HAZLETT: Would you prefer I 13 stand or sit or --14 CHAIR SLASH: Present as you will. 15 MR. HAZLETT: Okay. I'll stand. 16 Thank you. Thank you, Commissioners. 17 Factually, I don't think I have really 18 anything that I need to add to the record. My 19 client, who -- incidentally, I represent Armour 20 Property Management, LLC. Armour Property 21 Management, LLC's principal, Cindy Armour, is 22 here today. 23 I don't have anything to add with respect

to the facts of this case. I think that the Notice of Finding that the ICRC's investigation team prepared was thorough. I'll join in the --my Co-Respondent's comments that it was thorough, it was complete, it addressed all of the issues that we were aware of, and we think that the investigation got it right here.

2.0

But I do think that I need to inform the Board of a critical issue with respect to Armour Property Management and its role in all of this. Armour Property Management was exactly that. As its name implies, it was a contracted property management. It's a separate LLC from Lost Run Farms.

And while we agree that the allegations here in terms of discrimination, in terms of discriminatory intent, in terms of discriminatory effect are simply not true, even accepting as true those allegations, Armour Property

Management had no role in any of the decision-making process other than to convey information from, on the one hand, the HOA, Lost Run Farms, and on the other hand, John and Mary

Kay Garcia.

2.0

That conveyance of information went both ways. Armour conveyed information from the board to the Garcias and conveyed information from the Garcias to the board. That was Armour Property Management's contracted role. Armour Property Management did not have any authority to make any decision with respect to what was approved in terms of the plans, with respect to what may have been mandated in terms of compliance with any of the Lost Run Farms covenants.

Armour Property Management made
observations, it conveyed those observations to
the HOA, to its board, and Armour Property
Management conveyed messages and communications
with respect to various issues here from the
Board to the Garcias, but Armour Property
Management did not make the final decisions.

And so, I think, in the context of all of this, even if one were to find that the conduct here occurred and that there was this discriminatory effect or discriminatory intent, which, again, we strongly assert did not happen,

but even for the sake of argument, if the

Commissioners were to find that that occurred,

Armour Property Management is not the entity that
was engaging in that conduct.

2.0

And I think one of the allegations here is telling, and it's in the finding. I think it's the third finding, or at least the finding on the third issue, and this is the issue that involves this alleged referral to the Garcias as "Gomez."

The communication that is at issue, Armour was not in any way at any time even a party to that communication. So, there's no way that Armour could be liable or responsible for that statement.

And I point the Commissioners to that because I think it's essentially emblematic or symptomatic, or it represents Armour's position in all of this. Armour did not engage in this conduct. Again, we would strongly assert that the discriminatory effect, the discriminatory intent, this conduct, did not occur. It's a covenant dispute.

This is a very wealthy enclave up in

Zionsville. As I understand it, the division or the development was established to maintain its kind of bucolic, its esthetic, as it existed. Before it became a development, it was a farm. There are covenants in place to maintain those esthetics, thus the importance of not cutting down old growth, fully developed and healthy walnut trees. That significantly adversely affects the esthetic of this development. That's what this is about. It's about the Garcias violating those covenants.

2.0

And while this isn't the forum, obviously, to determine whether those covenants were or were not in fact violated, we understand that, that issue is being actively litigated in Boone County as we speak. But from Armour's perspective, Armour wasn't even a party to this lawsuit, to the Boone County lawsuit, until approximately a year into that litigation, and that was the point at which the Garcias filed their third-party complaint that brought Armour into all of this, and that was the point, subsequent to that, that this complaint was filed.

Again, Armour, as far as it's concerned, conveyed information and messages, it did not engage in this conduct, and we believe that the Notice of Findings here are correct.

Thank you.

2.0

CHAIR SLASH: Thank you.

Okay. You have two minutes to respond.

MR. GARCIA: I'd like to highlight that the Respondents use false, misleading and fraudulent information to make and propagate a false narrative in both the civil litigation and the ICRC investigation.

On October 21, 2022, as part of their preliminary injunction, the Respondents filed an arborist report which was fraudulent and serves as the basis of the Respondents' entire claim against us in the request for a preliminary injunction to keep us from occupying our home.

The arborist's attorney is currently in discussions with our attorney to avoid potential perjury charges for their participation in creating a false document that was submitted as one of the Respondents' primary exhibits in the

civil lawsuit against us.

2.0

In addition, the Respondents and their attorney provided the investigator or ICRC fraudulent documents that contained a reference to the same fraudulent arborist report. This fraudulent document was backdated and serves as the basis of the Respondents' entire defense against our Fair Housing claim.

Their attorney knew at the time the investigator was conducting the investigation that the arborist report was fabricated by his client, yet he allowed the investigator for ICRC to complete their investigation and reach the wrong conclusion based on fraudulent information.

Under Rule 3.33 of the Rules of
Professional Conduct, their attorney failed to
take remedial measures to correct all of the
false documents and other documents that
reference false, fraudulent documents in the
civil case.

That matter will be handled outside of this Commission's hearing. However, we are requesting that their attorney disclose to the

Commission today that in fact the arborist report appears to have been fraudulently created, and thus the information they provided the investigator may be false. The story around this tree is completely false. There's false information that's been created and provided, both in the civil case and in this case.

And the findings that were determined are based on false, fraudulent information that has been proven. The arborist has refused to be deposed, and they are currently seeking negotiation with the attorney involved to come forward and claim that this case is completely false. They created a narrative, they filed a preliminary injunction to sue us, to keep us from moving in, and they can't backdate their story, and they show you a picture of a tree?

The facts are false, and if you have any other questions, I'd be happy to respond.

CHAIR SLASH: Thank you.

Two minutes.

MR. KAZMIERCZAK: Yes.

Commissioners, I apologize for what you

\_ \_

2.0

just heard. I really do. A lot of what you heard today is not -- was not before Shanequa Moore, and their -- I don't know what he's talking about, about this arborist. He's getting ready to be deposed. That hasn't happened. The report, I have no idea what he's talking about. They haven't been able to articulate it.

They did tell Ms. Moore it was false. She had the opportunity to look at the report and find out if Mr. Steve Courchene, who is a 40-year arborist, showed up at their property when that tree was up and examined it. He said he did; okay? We relied on it. We gave it to Ms. Moore. It's pretty simple. This drawing was given to Ms. Moore, and on it -- can I approach?

MR. KAZMIERCZAK: She got full-size drawings. It says right here, "Existing tree to remain." That's the tree that was supposed to remain. It's not there three or four days after construction began. They were warned not to take it down, as we said, "Please let us get an arborist out there, you get your own arborist,

CHAIR SLASH: (Nodded head yes.)

let's find out how healthy that tree is that you've got a fence around, that you're guarding," and it came down.

2.0

That was the beginning. The deviation was that these are contracts, along with the covenants. And so, when Ms. Moore looked at this and said, "This is a contract dispute that Judge Kincaid should be resolving, and there's not discrimination permeating through this." It was the defense of discrimination, and we -- we're not here to bash. We're not going to go through and, you know, say, "Hey, we filed defamation claims because we've been defamed."

There was a statement that went out when the investigation started with the ICRC to the neighborhood from the Garcias, by e-mail, telling everybody that the ICRC has found that there was reason to investigate this case. We all know that there's an administrative step. As soon as you file it, they take action if there's jurisdiction. They've got to investigate every four years.

CHAIR SLASH: That's time. Thank

you.

2.0

MR. KAZMIERCZAK: Yeah. Thank you.

MR. HAZLETT: Thank you.

Just maybe two points. There was a reference to an injunction that was filed. My client, Armour, was not a party to that, was not even a party to the lawsuit when the injunction was filed, so we can't even speak to that in terms of the facts or basis of the decision that was made going into the filing of that, you know, petition or application for an injunction.

The second issue, in terms of the arborist and the allegation that there is some fraudulent report, that's just not true. I can tell you that that arborist and that report was secured out of a good-faith effort to determine whether the tree at issue could stay or not.

Again, we're not here to resolve that issue, but I will say that there is no attorney in this room that represents any of these -- either of these Respondents who would produce any kind of fraudulent or false document into the Boone County Circuit Court -- or the Boone County

Court here. That's just patently false.

2.0

In terms of the deposition and the allegation that that arborist was refusing to be deposed, that deposition is currently being rescheduled, or negotiations engaged in being rescheduled, because the Respondents here received two weeks' notice, or a week notice, I don't recall what it was, but no more than two weeks' notice that that deposition was to take place.

And the Respondents here, certainly not
Armour and not Lost Run, we're not the ones that
canceled that deposition. That was done at
Plaintiff's counsel's -- or rather Petitioner's,
Mr. Garcia's counsel's instance, in order to
provide, as I understand it, that arborist to
retain counsel to represent him as he's being
deposed, given the allegations that have been
made.

So, this idea that somehow, you know, we are acting nefariously or incorrectly in the Boone County Court is just -- it's -- quite frankly, it's nonsense. No attorney of record in

1 that matter would risk his or her license to 2 engage in those types of shenanigans. And if it 3 occurred, the proper venue to resolve that is the 4 Boone County Court, and again, that's not here. 5 Thank you. 6 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. Thank you. 7 Okay. That concludes our opportunity for oral argument. Commissioners, we did have one 8 9 question that was asked during the original 10 statements. Do you have the demographics to 11 share. 12 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: I think these were 13 volunteered. Ms. Moore never asked for them. 14 just know they can be helpful sometimes. 15 CHAIR SLASH: If they were not a part of the original record, then --16 17 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: They're in my 18 position statement. 19 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. 20 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: Yeah, they are. 21 mean -- but --(Discussion off the record.) 22 23 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: I -- okay. Let me

get this, but I think what's concerning is that you just heard a lot of evidence that's not part of her record that -- as you know from the bundle that you get from the investigative report.

2.0

So, five of the 14 completed residences in Lost Run, including the Garcias, are home to ethnic or racial minorities, black and Hispanic.

And then we gave a statistic from Zionsville that in the area, it's 87 percent of the population is white. And then two out of the 14 homes are -- are four people that are first-generation immigrants, including right next door to Mr. Garcia, which are the photos that he shows to say they were treated differently under the old covenants. They're Hispanic, they're from Colombia. And Mr. Kroon is an immigrant to the United States.

Any further questions for me?

COMM. JACKSON: Thank you.

MR. GARCIA: Can I clarify one thing on that? All of those people that he's referring to moved in before this board took over. Ask what the makeup of the board is. Ask what the

```
1
    ethnic makeup of the board is. I mean Derrick
 2
    McKey's been living in the neighborhood way
 3
    before any of these people ever moved there.
 4
    these people moved in before. Ask them what --
 5
    the makeup of the board, and then I can tell you
 6
    what caused this case.
 7
                 CHAIR SLASH: Thank you for sharing.
     I'm not necessarily sure that we can add those
8
9
    additional components in at this point --
10
                 MR. GARCIA:
                              Okay.
11
                 CHAIR SLASH: -- but I appreciate you
12
     sharing some additional commentary.
13
                 MR. GARCIA:
                             Okay.
14
                 CHAIR SLASH: But we'll continue to
15
    hear questions from Commissioners.
                                  I don't know who to
16
                 COMM. TOLLIVER:
17
    direct this to, but is there a schedule of fines?
18
                 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: A schedule of
19
     fines?
            Meaning if you don't do --
20
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: And they ask you to
21
    pay, and why.
22
                 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: -- something,
23
     then --
```

1 COMM. TOLLIVER: Maybe the question 2 How do they determine a fine? is: 3 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: They're really not 4 with regard to this, that's why the injunction 5 was sought. And yeah, to answer your question, 6 that's why the injunction was sought, to put 7 everybody at status quo. Again, not part of -it's sort of the part of this, because it's part 8 9 of the record, but --10 CHAIR SLASH: It's a good --11 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: -- good question. 12 CHAIR SLASH: It's a good question. 13 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: No fines were 14 levied, though, with regard to --15 MR. GARCIA: Just to add, there's no -- there's nothing in the covenants that 16 17 allows them to revoke our plans because a tree is 18 or is not cut down, so what they did is outside 19 of what they're allowed to do legally, and I'd 20 just like to add that to the point. 21 CHAIR SLASH: Thank you. We have 22 four issues that were part of the record that we 23 are to make determinations and decisions on

today.

2.0

Yes.

know, Comm. Harrington had a question earlier about a lien filed -- or a similar circumstance being filed as part of the ongoing state proceeding. An aggrieved person can file an action in -- a civil action in court whether or not a complaint has been filed and without regard to the status of any complaint that's filed under the Fair Housing Act. If it's filed in Federal Court, that's when we have to shut down any investigation and close it out. But somebody could file in state court and have a parallel proceeding on that.

COMM. HARRINGTON: I just know it's court filed.

CHAIR SLASH: Any additional questions before we hear from Vice-Chair Ramos?

COMM. HARRINGTON: Just one. There were comments about texts, and I heard you make a comment there was one text that was discriminatory, or thought to be discriminatory,

because of the name, and then there was a comment that there were multiple. In the actual information that was provided, is there one -- do we have evidence there's one or multiple?

Because that -- on the grounds of discrimination is one of the points that is unclear based on comments that were made.

submitted previously?

MR. GARCIA: I have it right here.

COMM. HARRINGTON: Well, did you -
VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: I don't remember

seeing it in the documentation as well. Was that

MR. GARCIA: It was submitted. This was submitted. There's a series -- there's a series of text messages where they refer to me as "Gomez," and it's -- she states, the -- Rebecca Kiel states, "You completely crack me up when you refer to Garcia as Gomez." Next statement, "Just call me Jill Biden." Jill Biden had just made a statement in Texas referring to Mexicans as being unique as breakfast burritos.

And there was a lot of offense in the Hispanic community over those statements, that

they're making fun of me, they knew they were calling me "Gomez," they click "Haha." This is not calling Garcia "Gomez" by accident. This is not -- this is a series of consecutive text messages that I take complete offense to, and anybody should take offense to.

And this -- and this insinuates that this is what they referred to me in the past. So, the fact that they weren't required to produce other text messages and messages, they can't use like, "This was a one-time deal." I mean these are grown adults that sit on a board that are referring to an individual when they're making a decision over their house as "Gomez"?

CHAIR SLASH: I'm going to ask you to discontinue at this time. Thank you for --

MR. GARCIA: Yeah.

CHAIR SLASH: -- sharing. I understand that it's a very passionate response that you have to share, and I appreciate you for sharing. At this time, though, we are --

MR. KAZMIERCZAK: Can I respond to that? Because that's not accurate. There's one

text message. One. One. 2 CHAIR SLASH: And the one text 3 message --4 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: He has -- he has 5 concluded on his own, not being part of the 6 communication, what that text message was about. 7 That was from Dr. Kowlowitz to Rebecca Kiel, and Dr. Kowlowitz, it's been explained a thousand 8 9 times before, as it was when Ms. Moore wanted to 10 ask about that and probed it. She probed this deeply, and she judged the credibility of my 11 12 clients, and they said Dr. Kowlowitz gets 13 people's names wrong all the time. 14 That's what Ms. Kiel was responding to is 15 the fact that they worked together all of the time and he screws people's names up. There's 16 17 only one text message. It happened a year after 18 all of this became an issue. That was July 19 of '22, this is July of '21, okay --20 COMM. JACKSON: Question. 21 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: -- disconnected. 22 COMM. JACKSON: Question. 23 CHAIR SLASH: Question?

```
1
                 COMM. JACKSON: Yeah. What does
 2
    Gomez -- what's the significance of Gomez?
 3
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS:
                                    The significance
 4
     is that --
 5
                 COMM. JACKSON: This is my first
 6
    time -- first time hearing that.
 7
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS:
                                   Yeah.
                                           It -- you
8
    know, in this particular case, at least how it's
9
    being received, it's a -- it's a --
10
                 COMM. JACKSON: A racial slur, or --
11
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: -- it's basically
12
     racial profiling, and that's how it's being
13
    received, and be it accurate or not, that's the
14
    perception, yeah. So, this is -- I've been doing
15
    this for almost 19 years.
                 COMM. JACKSON:
16
                                I just want to be
17
     clear. So, is that something in America, when we
18
    hear "Gomez," there's an understanding that's a
19
     racial slur, or was this a singular incident?
20
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS:
                                    I -- I have heard
21
    it, not directed at me, but I've heard from
22
     others. It isn't necessarily Gomez, it could
23
     be -- it could be lots of different things, but
```

```
1
     it's still -- it could be perceived as profiling,
 2
    but again, I wasn't there. I can't respond to
 3
    that directly.
 4
                 COMM. JACKSON: There was a text
 5
    message that was sent?
 6
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: But it could be an
 7
    accurate -- it could be --
8
                 CHAIR SLASH: I think it was one
9
    incident in this part.
10
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: -- a question of
11
    whether it was intended or not, and that's the
12
    question that's being --
13
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: Can I --
14
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: -- received here.
15
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: Yeah, I'll pull it
16
    up.
17
                 COMM. JACKSON: Is it okay for me to
18
    see it?
19
                 CHAIR SLASH: Are you pulling it up?
20
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: Yeah.
21
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. I've been trying
22
    to find it, too.
23
                 COMM. JACKSON: The reason why I ask,
```

```
1
    when I was on the Police Merit Board -- it was
 2
    the governing body for the Police Department --
 3
    you can't just pass over these details, because
 4
    you can reason from details to a better
 5
    understanding of what might have happened.
 6
    just because there's one text message doesn't
 7
    mean that there might have been racial
    conversations among board members that may have
8
9
    had some kind of impact on this particular issue.
10
     So, I just wanted to --
11
            Are you Rebecca?
                 MS. KIEL: Yes.
12
13
                 COMM. JACKSON: Okay.
14
                 MR. GARCIA: Ask her what's funny
15
               She clicked, "Haha."
    about it.
                 COMM. JACKSON: Well --
16
17
                 MR. GARCIA: What is funny --
18
                 COMM. JACKSON: -- we're not -- we're
19
    not in court. I just wanted to see --
20
                 MR. GARCIA: Right. I know, but like
21
    it's not funny -- there's nothing funny about it
22
     to me.
23
                 COMM. JACKSON: Yeah, I'm just
```

```
looking at the details.
 2
                 MR. GARCIA: Right.
                                      This is a
 3
     complete exchange back and forth, and then
 4
    throwing in the reference to Jill Biden? Jill
 5
    Biden had just --
 6
                 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: There's a lot of
 7
    extraneous --
                               I'm going to ask --
8
                 CHAIR SLASH:
9
                 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: -- material today.
10
                 CHAIR SLASH: Right. At this time,
11
     I'm going to ask that we discontinue any
12
    additional conversation from either the
13
    Complainant or the Respondent --
14
                 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: Thank you.
15
                 CHAIR SLASH: -- unless you are
16
    directly asked a question by a Commissioner.
17
    going to give a brief moment for Comm. Jackson to
18
    verify if he has an additional question prior to
19
    us hearing from the Commissioner that was
20
    assigned the case, which is Vice-Chair Ramos.
21
            Do you have any additional questions?
22
                 COMM. JACKSON: Yeah. I'm just
23
     really concerned about that. I mean I'm black,
```

and if the shoe was on the other foot, you know, 87 percent white population in Zionsville, and this gentleman and his wife are trying to move in and build a house, if it was a clean case where you don't have stuff like this, I mean I wouldn't have any reservation, but my concern is maybe there was some discrimination involved.

If you have board members who are saying different things about the people who want to move into the community, and just because there's only one text message, people delete text messages. This one just happened to get out, and it's a very important piece of evidence, I think, that could point to some other things that fueled persons to block this family from being in the neighborhood, possibly.

CHAIR SLASH: Thank you.

MR. KAZMIERCZAK: Sir, that happened a year after all of the decisions were made.

CHAIR SLASH: Thank you.

MR. KAZMIERCZAK: I believe -- okay.

CHAIR SLASH: Thank you for sharing.

We are going to move to Vice-Chair Ramos,

```
1
     and we will attempt to make an approach towards
 2
    how to move forward with this case.
 3
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: I'm -- I was
 4
    thinking earlier about this is probably one of
 5
    the most unusual cases that I've have reviewed in
 6
    my almost 19 years, because we have two highly
 7
    professional groups, and yet there's a clear
    misunderstanding between the two.
8
                                         I -- my
9
    recommendation would be to remand this back for
10
     further investigation by the Indiana Civil Rights
11
    Commission.
12
                 CHAIR SLASH: Is there a motion to
13
    that point?
14
                 COMM. JACKSON: So moved.
15
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: Second.
16
                 CHAIR SLASH: Is there a second?
17
    Okay. We have a motion by Comm. Jackson and a
18
     second by Comm. Tolliver, and that motion is that
19
    we remand it back for further investigation.
20
                 COMM. JACKSON: Do you have an
21
     attorney?
22
                 MR. GARCIA: Yeah, I have an
23
     attorney.
```

```
1
                 COMM. JACKSON: Why aren't they here?
 2
                 MR. GARCIA: Because I'm fully
3
     capable of doing this. I mean I'm confident that
    I'm doing fine. I just decided to show up -- I
 4
 5
    mean there's $600,000 in this thing.
 6
                 COMM. JACKSON: I would encourage you
 7
    to -- I would encourage you to use your attorney.
    I mean that's your personal preference.
8
9
                 MR. GARCIA: What's that?
10
                 COMM. JACKSON: I would encourage you
11
    to use --
12
                 MR. GARCIA: Yeah.
                                     I know.
13
    you.
14
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. At this time,
15
    we'll take a vote on the motion that's on the
            All in favor?
16
     floor.
17
            (Commissioners responded, "Aye.")
18
                 CHAIR SLASH: Any opposed?
19
                       (No response.)
20
                 CHAIR SLASH:
                              Okay. We will remand
21
    this back to the ICRC for further investigation.
22
                 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: As a point of
23
     clarification, do we get to know what specific
```

points are going to be further investigated?

That's my understanding is that when it gets remanded, it has to be specifically stated what is going to be investigated.

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Right. So, there are four issues that are on the table, and at this point, I would recommend they all be reviewed.

MR. HAZLETT: Can I ask a point of clarification? There have been observations today that we have two groups. We don't have two groups, we have three groups. We have homeowners, the Complainants -- may I step up? We have homeowners who have alleged misconduct, we have a homeowners association that is a separately incorporated entity, legally recognized as a separate and distinct entity under the law, and then we have a separate property management company that is a separate and distinct entity. It's an LLC.

CHAIR SLASH: Are you asking us something about the participation of the third group?

```
1
                 MR. HAZLETT: Yeah, because there's
2
    been the statement that we have two groups and we
 3
    need to refer to -- hand this back and have an
 4
     investigation, but I think if we -- I would
 5
    suggest that there should be clarification on
 6
    precisely -- is that remanding it back to some --
 7
                              So, we'll add to the
                 CHAIR SLASH:
    note that we are remanding back for investigation
8
9
    and specifically looking into all four issues,
10
    but in addition, that the property management
11
    group should be included. Is that what you're
12
    requesting?
13
                 MR. HAZLETT: Yeah. Well, I think
14
    that --
15
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: We'll look at
16
    each Respondent's role in the issue and delineate
17
     as needed.
18
                 MR. HAZLETT: Okay. All right.
19
    Thank you.
20
                 CHAIR SLASH: Thank you.
21
                 MR. GARCIA: So, everybody's included
22
     in that; correct?
23
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER:
                                     Yes.
```

1 CHAIR SLASH: At this time, as a part 2 of the group. 3 MR. GARCIA: Thank you. 4 CHAIR SLASH: Thank you. We all have 5 put significant amounts of time into the issues 6 in this case. Fortunately, we're going to 7 continue on and see where else it goes. 8 You are free to go at this time. 9 questions can be directed towards our Clerk 10 following today's meeting. 11 MR. KAZMIERCZAK: Thank you. 12 CHAIR SLASH: Thank you. 13 The next case is Comonic Shorter versus D & M Hospitality, Inc. D/B/A Baymont by Wyndham, 14 15 Case EMra23060578. That case was assigned to Comm. Tolliver. 16 17 COMM. TOLLIVER: Thank you. 18 At this time, I recommend that we uphold 19 the Commission's finding on both issues of no 20 probable finding under Indiana Civil Rights Law. 21 CHAIR SLASH: Is there a motion? 22 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: So moved.

CHAIR SLASH: Is there a second?

```
1
                 COMM. HARRINGTON: Second.
 2
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. Motion,
 3
    Vice-Chair Ramos; second, Comm. Harrington.
 4
     in favor?
 5
              (Commissioners responded, "Aye.")
 6
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. Any opposed?
 7
                        (No response.)
8
                 CHAIR SLASH: None opposed.
9
            We'll move to the next one, William
10
     Babineaux versus Olynger Management Corporation,
11
    Case HOha23120943.
12
            Comm. Harrington?
13
                 COMM. HARRINGTON: There are three
14
     issues for this case, all for the Indiana Fair
15
    Housing Act and for the Indiana Civil Rights Law,
16
     and my recommendation is uphold the Executive
17
     Director's recommendation on all three issues.
18
                 CHAIR SLASH: Thank you.
19
            Is there a motion?
20
                 COMM. HARRINGTON: Of no probable
21
     cause; I'm sorry.
22
                 CHAIR SLASH: Thank you.
23
            Is there a motion?
```

```
1
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: So moved.
 2
                 CHAIR SLASH: Is there a second?
 3
                 COMM. JACKSON: Second.
 4
                 CHAIR SLASH: Motion, Comm. Tolliver;
 5
    second, Comm. Jackson. All in favor?
 6
             (Commissioners responded, "Aye.")
 7
                 CHAIR SLASH: Any opposed?
8
                       (No response.)
9
                 CHAIR SLASH: None opposed.
                                               Thank
10
    you.
11
           And the next one, a case that was
12
    assigned to me, is Gabrielle R. Miller versus
13
    Shweta Khanna & Gaurav Madaan. That's
14
    Case HOha24040284. That case was assigned to me,
15
    and this case is one that we need to
    administratively dismiss; correct?
16
17
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: (Nodded yes.)
18
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. And I need a
19
    motion for that; correct?
20
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Yes, I'm sorry.
21
     It's an appeal, so you still do.
22
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: So moved.
23
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. Fantastic.
```

```
COMM. TOLLIVER: Second.
 1
 2
                 CHAIR SLASH: I have a motion by
3
    Comm. Tolliver -- oh, Comm. Ramos -- and a
 4
    second --
 5
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: Yes.
 6
                 CHAIR SLASH: -- by Comm. Tolliver.
 7
    All in favor?
8
             (Commissioners responded, "Aye.")
9
                 CHAIR SLASH: Any opposed?
10
                       (No response.)
11
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. Motion carries.
12
            The next, Judith K. Williams versus
13
    Southern Indiana Housing Community Development
14
    Corporation, Case HOha24030155. The case was
15
    assigned to Comm. Jackson.
16
                 COMM. JACKSON: Uphold the Director's
    finding of no probable cause.
17
18
                 CHAIR SLASH: Thank you.
19
            Is there a motion?
20
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: So moved.
21
                 CHAIR SLASH: Is there a second?
22
                       (No response.)
23
                 CHAIR SLASH: I'll second it myself.
```

```
Som moved by Comm. Tolliver; seconded by me.
                                                    All
 2
     in favor?
 3
             (Commissioners responded, "Aye.")
 4
                 CHAIR SLASH: Any opposed?
 5
                       (No response.)
 6
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. Motion carries.
 7
            So, next, Therese Whitsett versus Ground
    Effects, LLC, Case EMra23050462. That case was
8
9
    assigned to Vice-Chair Ramos, and I understand
10
     that we have parties present for --
11
                 MR. LOSTUTTER: Yes.
12
                 CHAIR SLASH: -- oral argument.
                                                   Do
13
    we have both?
14
                 MR. LOSTUTTER: We have the
15
    Complainant.
16
                 CHAIR SLASH:
                              Okay.
17
                 MR. LOSTUTTER: And I believe we have
18
    at least one representative for the Ground
19
    Effects, that would be the Respondent.
20
                 MS. PARRENT: Yes.
                                      I'm on the phone.
21
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay.
                                       Thank you very
22
    much, and thank you for your patience through the
23
    previous oral arguments and the opening portions
```

of this meeting. As before, I don't know if you heard my instruction, but we'll have five minutes from the Complainant, then five minutes from the Respondent, followed by two minutes to respond from the Complainant and two minutes from the Respondent to respond, and then you will have questions from the Commissioners.

At this time, is the Complainant ready to begin?

MS. WHITSETT: Yes.

CHAIR SLASH: Okay. You may begin.

MS. WHITSETT: Yes. My name is

Therese Whitsett, and I was working at Ground Effects for almost five years. I started in 2018. I was on the production floor. I was upgraded in 2019 to Team Lead, which I was considered one of the best Team Leads until like 2021.

I had to get a family medical leave due to my medical issue. I got a little older, and I was using family medical leave, and I also had a paper stating that I could sit periodically during my shift due to my medical leave, and

that's what I was terminated for, for sitting in the break room.

And also, I was terminated -- I kept getting wrote up after I got my family medical leave and was trying to get forced out of the position. I won my unemployment. My unemployment -- I didn't understand why I was getting fired, because they never talked to me about when to sit, how to sit, and also, I was, like I said, one of the better Team Leads.

I was older than all of our Team Leads. I had more seniority. They had two other younger Team Leads, which was Powell and Mai Sung, they was Asians, and most all of the production floor were like Asian workers. And so, they got -- one was just a temporary stand-in for Vonna Bates. She was also a Team Lead. She was on medical leave.

When Vonna was on medical leave, they said they wanted she, Powell, standing in for Vonna, but they end up keeping Powell and firing me, because they had to get rid of somebody, because they wanted the -- more of the Asian Team Leads,

the younger Team Leads, because there's more production -- Asians on the floor, and I couldn't speak their language.

Not only that, I was also retaliated against, because when Vonna did make it back to work, one weekend prior to me getting fired, they -- the two supervisors got on the radio to call in Vonna on the radio and let her know that we needed to be at work on that weekend, and I said I'd try to make it, and Vonna said that she would try to make it, and we was told that if we didn't make it, there would be some consequences behind it.

So, at that weekend, right before

Valentine's, that weekend, 11th, 12th of

February 2023, I didn't make it to work. I went

back to work February 14th. I was told then I

was terminated. So, I did file an appeal with

EEOC -- with ICRC. ICRC took over, and I was

told to go and complain, and I did, because I

feel like I was fairly untreated.

 the reason why I asked for an appeal, because I was falsely -- they said that I kept getting wrote up over and over again. Yes, they was trying to write me up for several things that I wouldn't sign for, because I knew they was trying to force me out of the company because of my medical leave.

2.0

They did not want me there if I was not able to be there as much as the other Team Leads. It's because I was older and I'm an African-American, and like I said, it was more of Asian production workers on second shift, and the other two younger Asians was more needed to be in the company.

So, yes, I feel like I was discriminated against, I was retaliated against, because after I got wrote up, the Unemployment Office lady told me that they wrote me up Wednesday, the week before -- I was wrote up Wednesday, February -- I don't know the date, but I know it was before -- the 10th is when they got on the radio to tell us we had to be to work on the weekend.

I was already wrote up. So, she couldn't

understand why, if they wrote me up on a Wednesday, why didn't they fire me until after that weekend, when they tell us -- when they said there was going to be consequences toward me.

2.0

And they also changed the handbook. The handbook had in there saying that my rights were getting ready to come off within a year, the 2022 year that I worked there. They tried to tell the unemployment people that the handbook said that if I mess up any time between the write-ups, that they wasn't coming off, and that wasn't true, which they did. It's in the handbook in 2023, and tried to use that against me.

When she found out, it's in those paperworks, they never did, because she released my money, and I lived off of that until my unemployment, until I had to get my 401k out of Ground Effects to survive off of.

You know, I understand that they do want younger workers and more Asian workers because there's more Asian people there, but I was treated unfairly. I had anxiety at night since they -- I knew they was trying to force me out,

but the write-ups I was getting was unfair. The 2 other Team Leads was not getting write-ups. was sitting in the break room with two other Team 3 4 Leads. They was told that they had to get verbal 5 warnings just to cover up, make it look good, 6 because if they didn't do that, then -- you know, 7 they knew I was going to be --CHAIR SLASH: You're at time. 8 9 MS. WHITSETT: -- going to -- I'm out 10 of time? 11 CHAIR SLASH: Yes. Thank you. 12 We have five minutes for the Respondent. 13 MS. PARRENT: Good afternoon. 14 Parrent, representing Ground Effects. 15 Ms. Whitsett was hired 7-16 of '18. She was promoted to Team Lead on 6-17 of '19. 16 17 Ms. Whitsett received very good performance 18 reviews. The only issue she had was a lack of 19 desire to learn all of the positions, which were 20 required within the Team Lead position. 21 Ms. Whitsett was terminated due to 22 reaching the last step of the disciplinary 23 procedure. Ms. Whitsett struggled with

attendance, was written up on 6-2 of '21. On 10-13 of '21, Ms. Whitsett received her second corrective action, which was continued failure to cover her jewelry, which was a requirement of the customers for inside ventilation purposes.

2.0

On 3-5 of '22, she received her third corrective action, loafing in a vehicle.

Ms. Whitsett was a Team Lead. She was required to report to the facility 15 minutes before the production employees. Ms. Whitsett punched in and went and sat in her car until the perform -- or until the employees came in, so she was on the clock and sitting in her car for the 15 minutes prior to the production schedule.

Ms. Whitsett was also written up for pre-filling out the DPT-12 which is the final inspection form on the vehicles that we process. On 4-21 of '22, Ms. Whitsett was written up for, again, an issue with the GP-12 due to failure to review a traveler, which is a document that follows the vehicles throughout the process. She failed to review that and completed the GP-12 final inspection without noting an accessory that

was to be placed on the vehicle.

2.0

On 2-9 of '23, Ms. Whitsett was found loafing in the break room, along with two other Leads that did receive corrective actions.

Ms. Whitsett received her final termination for the corrective action because she was at the last step of progressive disciplinary process. The other Leads did not receive the termination because they were not at the last step.

During Ms. Whitsett's tenure with Ground Effects, there were seven African-American Leads, there were five Caucasian Leads, two Asian Leads, one which was two or more races, and one that was Native American -- or Native Hawaiian, excuse me.

There were six Leads that were terminated between 2020 and 2023. There were two that were two or more races, one that was Native American -- or Hawaiian; sorry -- two that were white, and one that was black, and that was Ms. Whitsett. She was the only black or African-American Team Lead that was terminated at that time.

The medical slip that Ms. Whitsett was

referring to as sitting periodically was dated 11-15 of '21. It stated that she could sit periodically, but there was no end date to that statement, and sitting periodically could also include her breaks. It did not mean that she needed extended breaks.

That was clarified on her FMLA paperwork that was not filed until 11-14 of '22. We did clarify with Unum, who is the third-party vendor that we use for our FMLA, and it says that the -- Unum Lead Specialist -- and confirmed that there was no extended breaks within her FMLA requirement.

Ms. Whitsett was terminated due to reaching the last step of the disciplinary process. There was no other reason associated for her termination.

CHAIR SLASH: Does that conclude your time?

MS. PARRENT: That's all I have.

CHAIR SLASH: Thank you.

MS. PARRENT: Pardon me?

CHAIR SLASH: Yes. Does that

conclude your time?

MS. PARRENT: That's it, yes.

CHAIR SLASH: Okay. Thank you.

Does the Complainant -- are you prepared to respond? You have two minutes.

MS. WHITSETT: Yes, I'm ready to respond, yes.

I did -- like she said, I have paperwork from my doctor saying that I could sit periodically. They never asked me why I was sitting. I'm a Team Lead, so I told them once you sit off the floor -- you cannot sit out on the floor, that's dangerous.

And as far as she's saying that me sitting in my car before I start to come to --- I told you I was getting wrote up for different things, even within Ground Effects, me being a quality person, I was working on the line, checking tires as a Team Lead, like all Team Leads would, the quality person will let the truck go out the door, and they wrote me up for it. I was not quality at that time. I was doing tires, I was checking tires. I was not -- I was the only Team

Lead at Ground Effects that ever got fired for something a quality person did.

2.0

I kept getting fired for -- I mean wrote up for different things. As far as my attendance, I had good attendance. Most of my days are still through FMLA. I've got paperwork from my doctor and FMLA to show that, and my letter that I got that I said I could give you, my doctor gave me that general thing that was given when I turned that paper in.

That's not -- that was not -- that meant any time, not -- I don't know where she gets that it was over with or whatever, but they were coming up with all types of scenarios. And also, I was considered as being discriminated against. Like I said, it was mostly Asians there.

It was two separate -- two team leads that stayed there, and I was the longest running Team Lead that they found. She had more time than me, but I was a Team Lead longer than her. I was considered as a good Team Lead. After my family leave came, that's when all of those unnecessary write-ups that -- I'm the only Team Lead that

kept getting wrote up for.

I had good attendance. We can pull paperwork out. I always -- I held my job, I always was on time. Why was me going to my car sitting at the end of the shift, each Team Lead, once they're done with their -- with their areas they were able to go outside. I was not the only Team Lead going outside.

CHAIR SLASH: That concludes your time.

MS. WHITSETT: We're dealing with all of this because they're trying -- okay.

CHAIR SLASH: Thank you.

We're going to hear for two minutes from the Respondent.

MS. PARRENT: Yes. The sitting out in the vehicle was done prior to the shift.

Again, she was scheduled to come in 15 minutes to a half hour early, prior to the production staff coming in. She would punch in and sit in her car until the production staff came in. She was performing no work while on the clock.

The Team Leads, as I stated, they were --

```
1
     they did receive corrective action, they were
 2
    just not at the same step that Ms. Whitsett was.
 3
     I mean again, Ms. Whitsett was terminated for
 4
    reaching the last step of the disciplinary action
 5
    procedure. No other factors were involved with
 6
    that position.
 7
                 CHAIR SLASH: Thank you.
                                          Does that
8
    conclude your time?
9
                 MS. PARRENT: Yes.
10
                 CHAIR SLASH: Thank you.
11
            Commissioners, we have heard from both the
12
    Respondent and the Complainant. This case was
13
    also assigned to Vice-Chair Ramos.
14
            Vice-Chair Ramos, do you have -- well,
15
    first, Commissioners, do you have any questions?
                 COMM. TOLLIVER:
16
                                  No.
17
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. Hearing none,
18
    Vice-Chair Ramos, do you have a recommendation --
19
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Yes.
20
                 CHAIR SLASH: -- or any questions?
21
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: I have no
22
    questions. I recommend that we uphold the Deputy
23
     Director's finding of no probable cause on all
```

```
1
     three issues.
 2
                 CHAIR SLASH: Thank you.
 3
            Is there a motion?
 4
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: So moved.
 5
                 CHAIR SLASH: Is there a second?
 6
                 COMM. JACKSON: Second.
 7
                 CHAIR SLASH: Motion, Comm. Tolliver;
    second, Comm. Jackson. All in favor?
8
9
             (Commissioners responded, "Aye.")
10
                 CHAIR SLASH: Any opposed?
11
                       (No response.)
12
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. The motion
13
    carries.
               Thank you.
14
            And thank you both for your participation
15
    today. If you have questions, you may take --
                 MS. PARRENT: Thank you.
16
17
                 CHAIR SLASH: -- them up with our
18
    Docket Clerk.
19
            Okay. That concludes --
20
                 MS. PARRENT: Thank you.
21
                 CHAIR SLASH: -- our Old -- that
22
     concludes our Old Business. Moving to New
23
    Business, we have one case, and this case is
```

requesting oral argument. I'll assign this one to myself.

2.0

Next, Motions and Other Filings, we have Hope Hatley versus CRF First Choice, Inc., Case EMse24080716.

Respondent, by counsel, respectfully requests that the Commission grant an additional enlargement of time to them to submit their Answer, Affirmative Defenses, and Position Statement, with an extension up to and including September 28, 2024.

I believe I decided this already, and I did give them the extension. We do not need a vote on that, just read it into the record; correct?

MR. LOSTUTTER: Yes.

CHAIR SLASH: Okay.

The next one, ICRC/Christine Harris versus Dominic Pitzel, Case HOra21040110.

The Complainant, by counsel, submits

Objection to the ALJ's Recommended Order on

Complainant's Motion for Summary Judgment and

Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment.

This one does not require any action from us either. I'm just going to keep reading these into the record.

2.0

Okay. The next one, Andria Moss versus Miller Transportation, Case PAha21110432.

Respondent is submitting a Brief in

Opposition to the Complainant's Objection to the

ALJ's Nonfinal Administrative Decision.

The next case, ICRC/Christine Harris versus Dominic Pitzel, Case HOra21040110.

The Respondent files a Response in Opposition to Complainant's Objective -- Objection to the ALJ's order.

Okay. Next, we will review our ALJ

Decisions and Orders. In the case of Andria Moss

versus Miller Transportation, Case PAha21110432,

the Administrative Law Judge in this matter has

determined that the Complainant has filed -- I'm

sorry -- has failed to meet their burden of

proof, rules of behavior -- rules in behavior

[sic] of the Respondent and against the

Complainant, dismissing their complaint with

prejudice. Complainant's attorneys have filed an

objection to the Nonfinal Administrative Decision and the Respondents have filed a brief in opposition to the Complainant's objective -- objection, to which the Complainant has -- still has time to respond. The objection period in this matter has not closed and no decision is needed at this time.

2.0

ICRC/Christine Harris versus Dominic Pitzel, Case HOha -- that's HOra21040110.

The Administrative Law Judge in this matter, after having considered the evidence and testimony presented by both parties, grants
Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment and denies Complainant's Motion for Summary Judgment, and dismisses Complainant, with prejudice.

Complainant's attorneys have filed an objection to this decision and the Respondents have filed a response in opposition to the objection. The Complainant still has time to file a response to the Respondent's response. The objection period in this matter has not closed and no decision is needed at this time.

The next case is ICRC/Charlene Cripps

```
1
    versus Noblesville Senior, LLP and NRP
 2
    Management, LLP, Case HOha23090755.
 3
    Administrative Law Judge in this matter has
 4
    granted the Complainant's motion to withdraw, and
 5
    the complaint -- and their complaint is dismissed
 6
    with prejudice. The objection period in this
 7
    matter has closed.
8
            That's one we need to make a decision on?
9
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: (Nodded yes.)
10
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay.
            Is there a motion to affirm this decision?
11
12
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: So moved.
13
                 CHAIR SLASH: Is there a second?
14
                 COMM. TOLLIVER: Second.
15
                 COMM. JACKSON: Second.
16
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. Motion,
17
    Vice-Chair; second, Comm. Jackson. All in favor?
18
            (Commissioners responded, "Aye.")
19
                 CHAIR SLASH: Any opposed?
20
                       (No response.)
21
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. Motion carries.
22
            The next case, ICRC Ann Marie --
23
                 COMM. HARRINGTON: Leichentritt.
```

CHAIR SLASH: -- Leichentritt versus

Meritus Housing, LLC, Yes Communities, and McAvon

Lakes Property, LLC, HOha23100762. The

Administrative Law Judge in this matter has

issued an Order of Default against the

Complainant, and the matter is dismissed. The

objection period in this matter has not closed

and no decision is needed at this time.

Next, we are at the cases that are automatically confirmed, so I'll read them into the record. The first case, Gregory L. Wilson, Sr., in his official capacity as Executive Director of the Indiana Rights Commission [sic] versus Van Rooy Properties, HOra23060547.

The next case, Christopher Ayres versus Hibbitt Sports Corporate, Case PAha24040213.

That concludes our cases, Our Old and New Business. Next on our agenda is to review meeting dates. Does anyone have any concerns with October 21st?

VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Not at this time.

CHAIR SLASH: So far, it feels like

Mondays were a really great decision for us.

```
COMM. JACKSON: October 21st?
 1
 2
                 CHAIR SLASH: Yes.
 3
                 COMM. JACKSON: Yeah, I'll be here.
 4
                 COMM. TOLLIVER:
                                  I'm good.
 5
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: And that may be a
 6
                     As you noticed, there were quite
    longer meeting.
 7
    a few objections that were filed, so there --
                 CHAIR SLASH: Yes.
8
9
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: -- will likely
10
    be
11
                 CHAIR SLASH: You mean a meeting
12
    that's going to run the amount of time this
13
    meeting went?
14
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Maybe longer.
15
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. So, prepare
16
    to -- try to make your schedule actually
17
    available for the entire two-hour period, if you
18
    can. And also, try to really deeply look through
19
    all of those so that we're able to discuss
20
    questions, but we'll go with October 21st, and
21
    like I said, Mondays look very good for this
22
    Commission.
23
            We do not have any Elections, Training or
```

```
Other. Are there any Announcements?
 2
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: It's Hispanic
 3
    Heritage Month.
 4
                 CHAIR SLASH: Awesome. Is there
 5
    anything that you recommend that we look for or
 6
    that we try to attend?
 7
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: The La Plaza event
    that's typically at the Military Park, I think,
8
9
    is huge. It's on Saturday, this Saturday.
10
                 CHAIR SLASH:
                               Okay.
11
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: And the Indiana
12
    Latino Expo has an event as well, as I recall.
13
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: That was last
14
    weekend.
15
                 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Okay.
16
                 MR. FLEISCHHACKER: Yeah, two
17
    weekends ago, on multiculturalism.
18
                 CHAIR SLASH: Okay. Awesome.
                                                Thank
19
    you.
20
           Other than that, I guess I have a personal
21
    thing. I will be -- after I leave you all in
22
    October, I'm going to Hampton to receive an
23
     award. I guess they decided to acknowledge me as
```

1 a mentor to the Class of 40 Under 40, because 2 it's my first recognition post-age 40. You don't 3 get to -- you don't get to be a part of the 4 class, you get to mentor them, and I think that 5 the mentee that submitted me submitted my work in 6 this space as well as others is how they looked 7 at me for things. So, I wanted to mention that while I was here. 8 9 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Very great. 10 Congratulations. 11 COMM. HARRINGTON: I can vouch for --12 my Godmother is actually at Hampton, and she's 13 mentoring her, so the joke is I'm a Howard grad. 14 So, they --15 (Laughter.) 16 CHAIR SLASH: I take that. 17 COMM. HARRINGTON: That's all HBCU 18 love. 19 CHAIR SLASH: Yeah, it take that. 2.0 VICE-CHAIR RAMOS: Congratulations. 21 CHAIR SLASH: Thank you. 22 And then other than that, we do not have 23 anyone here for public comment, I do not believe.

We've had all of the comments today. COMM. JACKSON: We've had them all. CHAIR SLASH: All right. Thank you. And with that in mind, it is 2:28. meeting is adjourned. Thank you, Commissioners. Thereupon, the proceedings of September 16, 2024 were concluded at 2:28 o'clock p.m. 

# CERTIFICATE

I, Lindy L. Meyer, Jr., the undersigned Court Reporter and Notary Public residing in the City of Shelbyville, Shelby County, Indiana, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me on Monday, September 16, 2024 in this matter and transcribed by me.

Lindy L. Meyer Jr.

Lindy L. Meyer, Jr.,

Notary Public in and

for the State of Indiana.

14

15

13

My Commission expires August 26, 2032.

16 Commission No. NP0690003

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

\$	<b>21st</b> [3] - 90:20, 91:1,	13:13, 31:1, 46:7,	<b>Affairs</b> [1] - 7:9	Annual [1] - 6:7
<b>\$470,000</b> [1] - 9:23	91:20 <b>24</b> [1] - 10:21	75:9, 83:7, 91:19 above-captioned [1] -	<b>affects</b> [1] - 42:9 <b>affirm</b> [1] - 89:11	annual [1] - 8:3
\$470,000 [1] - 9.23 \$600,000 [1] - 64:5	<b>26</b> [1] - 95:15	1:10	<b>African</b> [5] - 32:17,	answer <sub>[4]</sub> - 17:12, 18:15, 53:5, 86:9
<b>\$600,000</b> [1] <b>-</b> 04.5	<b>27-foot</b> [1] - 24:18	accepting [1] - 39:18	33:2, 75:11, 79:11,	answered [1] - 37:12
•	<b>28</b> [1] - 86:11	accessory [1] - 78:23	79:21	anxiety [1] - 76:22
	<b>28-year</b> [1] - 24:6	accident [6] - 17:21,	African-American [4]	apartment [1] - 29:16
<b>'18</b> [1] - 77:15	<b>28th</b> [1] - 6:23	17:23, 18:2, 19:11,	- 33:2, 75:11, 79:11,	apologize [1] - 45:23
<b>'19</b> [1] - 77:16	<b>29th</b> [1] - 26:11	28:5, 56:3	79:21	apparent [1] - 26:20
<b>'21</b> [4] - 57:19, 78:1,	<b>2:28</b> [2] - 94:4, 94:7	accidents [1] - 17:22	afternoon [3] - 7:3,	appeal [3] - 69:21,
78:2, 80:2	, , ,	accommodation [2] -	31:8, 77:13	74:18, 75:1
<b>'22</b> [4] - 57:19, 78:6,	3	37:11, 37:12	<b>AFUWAPE</b> [1] - 4:21	appeals [1] - 3:17
78:18, 80:8	-	ACCURATE [1] - 1:21	Afuwape [1] - 2:11	appear [1] - 27:23
<b>'23</b> [1] - 79:2	<b>3-5</b> [1] - 78:6	accurate [3] - 56:23,	<b>age</b> [1] - 93:2	APPEARANCES [1] -
	<b>3.33</b> [1] - 44:15	58:13, 59:7	<b>agencies</b> [1] - 6:15	2:1
1	<b>30</b> [1] - 10:12	accuratereportingofi	agenda [3] - 3:12,	application [1] - 48:11
<b>1,050</b> [1] - 9:16	<b>31</b> [1] - 10:8	ndiana@gmail.com	3:14, 90:18	appreciate [2] - 52:11,
<b>10-13</b> [1] - 78:2	<b>317</b> [1] - 1:22	[1] - 1:23	aggrieved [1] - 54:7	56:20
<b>100</b> [2] - 1:15, 2:7	4	accused [1] - 25:19	<b>ago</b> [2] - 6:16, 92:17	approach [3] - 30:13,
<b>10th</b> [1] - 75:21	4	<b>acknowledge</b> [1] - 92:23	agree [1] - 39:15	46:15, 63:1
<b>11-14</b> [1] - 80:8	<b>4-21</b> [1] - 78:18	acquire [1] - 28:11	<b>ahead</b> [4] - 3:3, 4:11, 5:3, 5:10	<b>approval</b> [2] - 4:12, 29:5
<b>11-15</b> [1] - 80:2	<b>40</b> [3] - 93:1, 93:2	act [2] - 54:11, 68:15	air [1] - 7:18	approve [1] - 3:14
<b>111</b> [1] - 8:20	<b>40-year</b> [1] - 46:10	acting [1] - 49:21	<b>ALJ</b> [2] - 3:22, 87:14	approved [6] - 29:2,
<b>11th</b> [1] - 74:15	<b>400</b> [1] - 34:10	action [9] - 47:20,	<b>ALJ's</b> [3] - 86:21,	29:11, 30:2, 30:5,
<b>12th</b> [1] - 74:15	<b>401k</b> [1] - 76:17	54:8, 78:3, 78:7,	87:8, 87:13	37:13, 40:8
<b>13</b> [1] - 9:13	<b>46032</b> [1] - 1:22	79:6, 84:1, 84:4,	allegation [3] - 18:9,	<b>ARB</b> [1] - 37:4
<b>14</b> [2] - 51:5, 51:10	<b>46204</b> [1] - 2:8	87:1	48:13, 49:3	arborist [13] - 43:15,
14th [1] - 74:17	<b>47</b> [1] - 10:11	actions [1] - 79:4	allegations [4] -	44:5, 44:11, 45:1,
<b>15</b> [4] - 6:17, 78:9,		actively [1] - 42:15	39:15, 39:19, 41:5,	45:10, 46:4, 46:11,
78:13, 83:18	5	actual [1] - 55:2	49:18	46:23, 48:12, 48:15,
<b>16</b> [5] - 1:5, 1:17, 3:1,	<b>50,000</b> [1] - 24:15	<b>ADA</b> [1] - 29:4	alleged [3] - 8:22,	49:3, 49:16
94:7, 95:7 <b>18</b> [1] - 10:21	<b>543</b> [1] - 1:21	add [6] - 38:18, 38:23,	41:9, 65:14	arborist's [1] - 43:19
<b>185</b> [1] - 8:10	<b>576</b> [1] - 10:3	52:8, 53:15, 53:20, 66:7	allowed [3] - 18:18,	architects [1] - 27:4
<b>19</b> [4] - 31:18, 58:15,	<b>6.6</b> [i] 10.0	addition [5] - 25:14,	44:12, 53:19 <b>allows</b> [1] - 53:17	architectural [1] - 31:12
63:6	6	27:20, 29:19, 44:2,	almost [5] - 25:4,	area [2] - 6:9, 51:9
<b>1:06</b> [2] - 1:17, 3:1		66:10	26:12, 58:15, 63:6,	areas [2] - 8:22, 83:6
<b>1:07</b> [1] - 3:4	<b>6-17</b> [1] - 77:16	additional [9] - 7:13,	72:14	argument [11] - 3:18,
	<b>6-2</b> [1] - 78:1	30:16, 52:9, 52:12,	<b>ALSO</b> [1] - 2:13	12:18, 13:16, 16:22,
2	<b>60</b> [1] - 10:7	54:18, 61:12, 61:18,	<b>Alvarez</b> [1] - 16:19	18:20, 21:21, 22:4,
	<b>600,000</b> [1] - 25:4	61:21, 86:7	America [2] - 26:5,	41:1, 50:8, 71:12,
<b>2-9</b> [1] - 79:2		additions [1] - 28:19	58:17	86:1
<b>20</b> [3] - 18:11, 26:9,	7	address [1] - 35:18	American [6] - 33:2,	arguments [1] - 71:23
33:22 <b>200</b> [2] - 6:8, 6:13	<b>7-16</b> [1] - 77:15	addressed [1] - 39:5	75:11, 79:11, 79:14,	Armour [23] - 2:17,
<b>200</b> [2] - 6.8, 6.13 <b>2018</b> [1] - 72:15	<b>789</b> [1] - 9:14	adjourned [1] - 94:5	79:18, 79:21	21:19, 31:13, 38:19,
<b>2019</b> [1] - 72:16		Administrative [1] - 88:10	Americans [1] - 32:19	38:20, 38:21, 39:9,
<b>2020</b> [1] - 79:16	8	administrative [6] -	amount [1] - 91:12 amounts [1] - 67:5	39:11, 39:19, 40:5, 40:6, 40:12, 40:14,
<b>2021</b> [2] - 30:3, 72:18		47:19, 87:8, 87:17,	Andria [2] - 87:4,	40:17, 41:3, 41:10,
<b>2022</b> [5] - 25:11,	<b>848-0088</b> [1] - 1:22	88:1, 89:3, 90:4	87:15	41:12, 41:18, 42:17,
34:19, 35:20, 43:13,	<b>87</b> [2] - 51:9, 62:2	administratively [2] -	anesthesiologist [1] -	42:21, 43:1, 48:6,
76:7	^	16:4, 69:16	32:3	49:12
<b>2023</b> [4] - 26:11,	9	Adrianne [2] - 1:11,	<b>Ann</b> [1] - 89:22	<b>armour</b> [1] - 40:3
74:16, 76:12, 79:16	<b>9th</b> [1] - 7:4	2:2	announcement [2] -	<b>Armour's</b> [2] - 41:17,
<b>2024</b> [6] - 1:5, 1:17,		adults [1] - 56:12	3:12, 23:14	42:16
3:1, 86:11, 94:7,	Α	advantage [1] - 6:19	announcements [1] -	articulate [1] - 46:7
95:7 <b>2032</b> [1] - 95:15		adversely [1] - 42:8	23:10	ashlee [1] - 32:6
<b>2032</b> [1] - <b>93</b> .13 <b>21</b> [1] - <b>43</b> :13	<b>able</b> [8] - 11:21, 12:6,	advisor [1] - 24:4 advisory [1] - 26:4	Announcements [1] -	<b>Asian</b> [6] - 73:15, 73:23, 75:12, 76:20,
11 [1]		auvisory [1] - 20.4	92:1	10.20, 10.12, 10.20,

76:21, 79:12 Asians [4] - 73:14, 74:2, 75:13, 82:16 assert [2] - 40:23, 41:19 asserted [1] - 34:21 assign [1] - 86:1 assigned [14] - 3:20, 12:16, 16:21, 18:14, 18:22, 20:23, 22:2, 61:20, 67:15, 69:12, 69:14, 70:15, 71:9, 84:13 assignment [1] -18:10 assist [1] - 6:14 associated [1] - 80:16 association [1] -65:15 Association [2] -21:18, 31:10 attached [1] - 19:17 attempt [1] - 63:1 attend [3] - 7:8, 7:14, 92:6 attendance [4] - 78:1, 82:5, 83:2 attorney [12] - 43:19, 43:20, 44:3, 44:9, 44:16, 44:23, 45:12, 48:19, 49:23, 63:21, 63:23. 64:7 attorneys [2] - 87:23, 88:16 auditing [1] - 24:8 auditor [1] - 31:20 August [6] - 9:10, 9:14, 9:22, 10:8, 10:9, 95:15 Authority [1] - 20:22 authority [2] - 8:18, 40:7 automatically [2] -4:2. 90:10 available [1] - 91:17 Avenue [2] - 1:15, 2:7 avoid [1] - 43:20 award [1] - 92:23 awards [1] - 7:4 aware [3] - 27:16, 28:23, 39:6 awareness [1] - 11:15 awesome [2] - 92:4, 92:18 Aye [10] - 16:14, 20:9, 21:12, 64:17, 68:5, 69:6, 70:8, 71:3, 85:9, 89:18 **aye** [5] - 5:12, 5:14, 5:16, 5:18, 5:20

**ayes** [3] - 16:17, 20:12, 21:15 **Ayres** [1] - 90:15

# **B**Babineaux [1] - 68:10

backdate [1] - 45:16

backdated [1] - 44:6

background [2] -

23:22, 31:23

Bank [1] - 26:5

based [4] - 16:2,

bash [1] - 47:11

48:9

44:14, 45:9, 55:6

basis [3] - 43:16, 44:7,

Bates [1] - 73:16 Baymont [1] - 67:14 beautification [1] - 6:9 became [2] - 42:4, 57:18 **BEFORE** [1] - 1:1 began [1] - 46:21 begin [12] - 3:8, 4:11, 5:3, 6:1, 12:13, 22:4, 22:22, 23:11, 23:18, 23:21, 72:9, 72:11 beginning [3] - 26:20, 27:16, 47:4 behalf [1] - 2:8 behavior [2] - 87:20 behind [2] - 36:9, 74:13 Ben [1] - 32:4 Bend [1] - 17:19 best [1] - 72:17 better [3] - 14:21, 60:4. 73:10 between [3] - 63:8, 76:10, 79:16 Biden [4] - 55:19, 61:4, 61:5 **big** [1] - 36:14 bit [4] - 9:22, 11:10, 11:11, 14:18 black [5] - 32:18, 51:7, 61:23, 79:19, 79:20 block [1] - 62:15 board [18] - 13:2, 25:19, 31:13, 31:19, 34:8, 35:10, 39:9, 40:3, 40:5, 40:14, 40:17, 51:22, 51:23, 52:1, 52:5, 56:12, 60:8, 62:8 **Board** [2] - 24:2, 60:1 **body** [1] - 60:2 **book** [3] - 19:8, 19:13 **Boone** [7] - 34:19,

42:15, 42:18, 48:23, 49:22, 50:4 break [3] - 73:2, 77:3, 79:3 breakdown [1] - 32:9 breakfast [1] - 55:21 breaks [3] - 80:5, 80:6, 80:12 Brett [1] - 2:18 brief [3] - 61:17, 87:6, bring [1] - 33:21 **Brittons** [1] - 33:3 broadcast [1] - 7:23 brought [1] - 42:21 Bryant [2] - 2:13, 12:20 **bucolic** [1] - 42:3 build [3] - 26:9, 32:3, 62:4 built [1] - 29:10 bullshit [1] - 27:13 bunch [1] - 36:10 **bundle** [1] - 51:3 burden [1] - 87:19 burrito [1] - 28:10 burritos [1] - 55:21 business [7] - 3:16, 12:14, 26:4, 31:22, 85:22, 85:23, 90:18 Business [1] - 3:19 busy [1] - 6:5

## C

canceled [1] - 49:13 cannot [1] - 81:12 capable [1] - 64:3 capacity [1] - 90:12 captioned [1] - 1:10 car [5] - 78:11, 78:13, 81:15, 83:4, 83:20 career [2] - 24:6, 26:8 careful [1] - 34:6 carefully [1] - 35:4 Carmel [1] - 1:22 carries [4] - 70:11, 71:6, 85:13, 89:21 case [67] - 3:20, 8:15, 12:14, 12:16, 13:13, 16:5, 16:19, 16:21, 18:22, 19:15, 20:20, 20:23, 21:3, 21:17, 21:20, 22:2, 22:12, 22:20, 25:1, 26:13, 26:18, 27:1, 35:5, 35:12, 36:4, 37:10, 38:5, 39:1, 44:20, 45:7, 45:13, 47:18, 52:6, 58:8, 61:20,

69:15, 70:14, 71:8, 84:12, 85:23, 86:19, 87:9, 87:15, 87:16, 88:23, 89:2, 89:22, 90:11, 90:15, 90:16 Case [7] - 20:23, 70:14, 71:8, 86:5, 87:5, 87:10, 88:9 cases [11] - 8:8, 8:10, 8:13, 10:6, 10:7, 10:8, 10:11, 37:16, 63:5, 90:9, 90:17 Caucasian [1] - 79:12 caused [2] - 27:22, 52:6 cave [1] - 32:6 Center [2] - 1:15, 2:7 CEO [2] - 31:21, 32:5 certain [4] - 27:2, 29:19, 29:20, 29:23 certainly [2] - 23:2, 49:11 CERTIFICATE [1] -95:1 certify [1] - 95:5 Chair [17] - 4:17, 5:17, 6:3, 16:12, 20:14, 21:11, 22:3, 33:17, 54:19, 61:20, 62:23, 68:3, 71:9, 84:13, 84:14, 84:18, 89:17 CHAIR [200] - 3:3, 3:11, 4:3, 4:8, 4:10, 4:14, 4:16, 5:2, 5:6, 5:9, 5:18, 5:20, 10:18, 10:20, 11:22, 12:1, 12:3, 12:5, 12:12, 12:21, 13:3, 13:17, 14:4, 14:7, 14:9, 14:12, 14:23, 15:2, 15:15, 15:20, 16:1, 16:6, 16:9, 16:10, 16:11, 16:15, 16:17, 17:4, 17:9, 17:15, 18:16, 19:4, 19:6, 19:14, 19:19, 19:21, 20:4, 20:6, 20:10, 20:12, 20:16, 20:20, 21:5, 21:8, 21:9, 21:13, 21:15, 22:1, 22:15, 22:18, 22:21, 23:3, 23:8, 23:13, 23:18, 30:9, 30:11, 30:15, 30:22, 33:7, 33:12, 36:21, 37:19, 37:22, 38:10, 38:14, 43:6, 45:20,

62:4, 63:2, 67:6,

67:13, 67:15, 68:11,

68:14, 69:11, 69:14,

46:16, 47:23, 50:6, 50:15, 50:19, 52:7, 52:11, 52:14, 53:10, 53:12, 53:21, 54:18, 55:10, 56:15, 56:18, 57:2, 57:23, 58:3, 58:7, 58:11, 58:20, 59:6. 59:8. 59:10. 59:14, 59:19, 59:21, 61:8, 61:10, 61:15, 62:17, 62:20, 62:22, 63:3, 63:12, 63:16, 64:14, 64:18, 64:20, 65:5, 65:21, 66:7, 66:20, 67:1, 67:4, 67:12, 67:21, 67:22, 67:23, 68:2, 68:6, 68:8, 68:18, 68:22, 69:2, 69:4, 69:7, 69:9, 69:18, 69:22, 69:23, 70:2, 70:6, 70:9, 70:11, 70:18, 70:21, 70:23, 71:4, 71:6, 71:12, 71:16, 71:21, 72:11, 77:8, 77:11, 80:18, 80:21, 80:23, 81:3, 83:9, 83:13, 84:7, 84:10, 84:17, 84:19, 84:20, 84:21, 85:2, 85:5, 85:7, 85:10, 85:12, 85:17, 85:21, 86:17, 89:10, 89:12, 89:13, 89:16, 89:19, 89:21, 90:1, 90:21, 90:22, 91:2, 91:8, 91:11, 91:15, 92:2, 92:4, 92:7, 92:10, 92:11, 92:15, 92:18, 93:9, 93:16, 93:19, 93:20, 93:21, 94:3 chair [2] - 5:19, 33:16 Chairperson [2] -1:12, 2:2 challenged [1] - 28:1 challenging [1] -24.16 **change** [1] - 28:3 changed [2] - 28:4, 76:5 charge [1] - 18:4 charges [1] - 43:21 Charlie [1] - 6:11 chase [1] - 27:15 check [3] - 27:22, 28:3, 30:20 **checking** [2] - 81:18, 81:23 **Choice** [1] - 86:4

chose [2] - 27:18, 30:5

40:6

Christiana [2] - 2:11, 4:23 Christopher [1] -90:15 Cindy [5] - 2:17, 2:18, 31:13, 38:21, 77:13 Circle [1] - 6:22 Circuit [1] - 48:23 circumstance [1] -54:5 City [1] - 95:4 city [1] - 6:22 CIVIL [2] - 1:2, 2:6 civil [11] - 7:4, 20:1, 24:10, 25:10, 27:1, 43:11, 44:1, 44:20, 45:7, 54:8, 68:15 Civil [5] - 1:11, 3:5, 26:13, 63:10, 67:20 claim [4] - 34:22, 43:16, 44:8, 45:13 claiming [1] - 27:14 claims [2] - 25:7, 47:13 clarification [3] -64:23, 65:10, 66:5 clarified [1] - 80:7 clarify [2] - 51:20, 80:9 **class** [2] - 93:1, 93:4 classic [1] - 6:22 clean [1] - 62:4 clear [3] - 23:14, 58:17, 63:7 clearly [1] - 27:15 Clerk [1] - 67:9 clerk [1] - 85:18 click [1] - 56:2 clicked [1] - 60:15 client [3] - 38:19, 44:12, 48:6 clients [1] - 57:12 clock [2] - 78:13, 83:22 close [1] - 54:13 closed [6] - 10:10, 10:11, 88:6, 88:21, 89:7, 90:7 closures [1] - 9:20 CMS [1] - 11:4 **co**[1] - 39:4 co-respondent's [1] -Colombia [1] - 51:16 Columbia [1] - 33:4 comfortable [1] - 35:5 coming [8] - 6:14, 6:22, 10:14, 20:17, 23:16, 76:11, 82:14, 83:20 COMM [85] - 4:15,

5:12, 5:14, 5:16, 13:7, 13:18, 13:21, 13:23, 14:5, 14:8, 14:14, 15:10, 15:23, 16:2, 16:8, 19:3, 19:5, 19:7, 19:12, 19:16, 20:3, 20:5, 21:2. 21:7. 22:22. 23:4, 32:8, 32:13, 32:18, 32:20, 38:3, 38:9, 38:11, 51:19, 52:16, 52:20, 53:1, 54:16, 54:20, 55:9, 57:20, 57:22, 58:1, 58:5, 58:10, 58:16, 59:4, 59:13, 59:15, 59:17, 59:20, 59:23, 60:13, 60:16, 60:18, 60:23, 61:22, 63:14, 63:15, 63:20, 64:1, 64:6, 64:10, 67:17, 68:1, 68:13, 68:20, 69:1, 69:3, 70:1, 70:5, 70:16, 70:20, 84:16, 85:4, 85:6, 89:14, 89:15, 89:23, 91:1, 91:3, 91:4, 93:11, 93:17, 94:2 Comm [28] - 4:18, 5:11, 5:13, 5:15, 12:17, 13:4, 16:12, 20:7, 21:1, 21:10, 54:4, 61:17, 63:17, 63:18, 67:16, 68:3, 68:12, 69:4, 69:5, 70:3, 70:6, 70:15, 71:1, 85:7, 85:8, 89:17 comment [4] - 36:23, 54:22, 55:1, 93:23 commentary [1] -52:12 comments [5] - 36:16, 39:4, 54:21, 55:7, 94:1 COMMISSION [4] -1:2, 2:2, 2:6, 2:10 **commission** [7] - 7:5, 7:11, 8:11, 33:16, 86:7, 90:13, 91:22 Commission [9] -1:11, 2:8, 3:5, 25:12, 26:13, 45:1, 63:11, 95:15, 95:16 Commission's [1] -67:19 commission's [1] -44:22

commissioner [3] -

3:20, 61:16, 61:19

commissioners [10] -3:6, 3:17, 22:9, 38:16, 41:15, 45:23, 50:8, 52:15, 72:7, 84.11 Commissioners [13] -16:14, 20:9, 21:12, 41:2, 64:17, 68:5, 69:6, 70:8, 71:3, 84:15, 85:9, 89:18, 94.5 common [1] - 28:2 communication [3] -41:10, 41:12, 57:6 communications [1] -40:15 Communities [1] -90:2 Community [4] -20:22, 21:18, 31:10, 70:13 community [3] - 8:18, 55:23, 62:10 Comonic [1] - 67:13 company [4] - 17:18, 65:19, 75:6, 75:14 comparable [1] - 9:11 comparators [1] -37:14 compared [1] - 10:12 complain [1] - 74:20 Complainant [19] -17:5, 18:12, 18:13, 18:17, 22:5, 22:6, 23:16, 23:19, 61:13, 71:15, 72:3, 72:5, 81:4, 86:20, 87:18, 87:22, 88:4, 88:15, 88.19 complainant [3] -72:8, 84:12, 90:6 Complainant's [7] -86:22, 87:7, 87:12, 87:23, 88:3, 88:14, 88.16 complainant's [1] -89:4 complainants [3] -10:1, 34:1, 65:13 complaint [8] - 26:11, 42:21, 42:23, 54:9, 54:10, 87:22, 89:5 complaints [5] - 9:13, 9:15, 9:19, 11:12, 26:15 complete [5] - 37:5, 39:5, 44:13, 56:5, 61:3 completed [2] - 51:5, 78:22

completely [3] - 45:5, 45:13, 55:17 complexity [1] - 26:23 compliance [3] - 9:7, 24:9, 40:10 components [1] - 52:9 concern [1] - 62:6 concerned [3] - 3:19, 43:1, 61:23 concerning [1] - 51:1 concerns [1] - 90:19 conclude [3] - 80:18, 81:1. 84:8 concluded [6] - 26:15, 27:22, 30:8, 35:13, 57:5, 94:7 concludes [5] - 50:7, 83:9, 85:19, 85:22, 90:17 conclusion [3] - 25:8, 25:9, 44:14 conditioned [1] -24:14 conduct [7] - 26:18, 40:20, 41:4, 41:19, 41:21, 43:3, 44:16 conducted [1] - 26:14 conducting [2] -26:22, 44:10 confident [1] - 64:3 confirm [1] - 13:18 confirmed [3] - 4:2, 80:11, 90:10 confused [1] - 15:8 congratulations [2] -93:10, 93:20 connected [1] - 36:7 consecutive [1] - 56:4 consequences [2] -74:12. 76:4 considered [4] -72:17, 82:15, 82:21, 88:11 considering [1] -35:14 construct [1] - 27:19 construction [6] -24:16, 24:23, 25:1, 27:17, 31:23, 46:21 contained [1] - 44:4 context [1] - 40:19 continue [3] - 9:5, 52:14, 67:7 continued [1] - 78:3 continues [1] - 26:7 continuing [1] - 11:6 contract [7] - 8:2, 8:3, 8:5, 8:6, 8:9, 8:13, 47.7 contracted [2] - 39:12,

contracts [1] - 47:5 conversation [1] -61:12 conversations [1] -60.8 convey [1] - 39:21 conveyance [1] - 40:2 conveyed [5] - 40:3, 40:4, 40:13, 40:15, Corporate [1] - 90:16 Corporation [1] -68:10 corporation [1] -70:14 correct [11] - 5:5, 13:14, 14:3, 14:10, 43:4, 44:17, 66:22, 69:16, 69:19, 86:15, 95:6 **corrective** [5] - 78:3, 78:7, 79:4, 79:6, 84:1 correctly [1] - 35:16 counsel [3] - 49:17, 86:6, 86:20 counsel's [2] - 49:14, 49:15 County [8] - 1:14, 34:19, 42:15, 42:18, 48:23, 49:22, 95:4 county [1] - 50:4 couple [5] - 4:1, 6:16, 7:19, 10:4, 36:12 **Courchene** [1] - 46:10 court [7] - 34:19, 35:12, 49:1, 54:8, 54:14, 54:17, 60:19 Court [5] - 48:23, 49:22, 50:4, 54:12, covenant [1] - 41:22 covenants [10] -34:21, 35:16, 37:6, 40:11, 42:5, 42:11, 42:13, 47:6, 51:15, 53:16 cover [2] - 77:5, 78:4 covered [1] - 19:15 crack [1] - 55:17 create [1] - 25:16 created [3] - 45:2, 45:6, 45:14 creating [1] - 43:22 credibility [1] - 57:11 CRF [1] - 86:4 Cripps [1] - 88:23 critical [2] - 27:8, 39:9 crossed [1] - 9:18

EMAIL [1] - 1:23

cruising [1] - 10:14 customers [1] - 78:5 cut [1] - 53:18 cutting [2] - 15:11, 42:6

#### D

d/b/a [1] - 67:14 dangerous [1] - 81:13 data [3] - 10:22, 11:11, 11:18 data-driven [1] - 11:18 date [4] - 7:22, 25:5, 75:20, 80:3 dated [1] - 80:2 dates [1] - 90:19 David [1] - 2:6 days [7] - 6:6, 6:7, 6:18, 6:21, 36:12, 46:20, 82:6 deal [2] - 36:18, 56:11 dealing [1] - 83:11 decided [4] - 26:17, 64:4, 86:12, 92:23 decision [14] - 17:11, 22:10, 39:21, 40:8, 48:9, 56:14, 88:1, 88:6, 88:17, 88:21, 89:8, 89:11, 90:8, 90:23 **Decision** [1] - 87:8 decision-making [1] -39:21 decisions [6] - 3:22, 4:1, 36:7, 40:18, 53:23, 62:19 **Decisions** [1] - 87:15 deeply [2] - 57:11, 91:18 defamation [1] - 47:12 defame [1] - 26:8 defamed [1] - 47:13 default [1] - 90:5 defense [2] - 44:7, 47:10 **Defenses** [1] - 86:9 definition [1] - 14:1 degree [2] - 23:23, 24:10 delete [1] - 62:11 deliberation [1] - 34:6 delineate [1] - 66:16 demographic [3] -10:23, 32:9, 33:8 demographics [1] -50:10 denied [2] - 37:11, 37:13

denies [1] - 88:14

dentist [1] - 32:6 **Department** [1] - 60:2 departure [1] - 15:21 deposed [4] - 45:11, 46:5, 49:4, 49:18 deposition [4] - 49:2, 49:4, 49:9, 49:13 Deputy [4] - 2:6, 19:22, 34:2, 84:22 derogatory [2] -27:21, 28:7 Derrick [2] - 33:2, 52:1 design [3] - 24:15, 29:7, 29:20 designed [1] - 29:13 desire [1] - 77:19 detailed [1] - 34:13 details [3] - 60:3, 60:4, 61:1 determinations [1] -53:23 determine [3] - 42:13, 48:16, 53:2 determined [2] - 45:8, 87:18 developed [1] - 42:7 development [6] -8:18, 31:22, 42:2, 42:4, 42:9, 70:13 deviated [2] - 24:15, 24:22 deviation [1] - 47:4 different [6] - 13:12, 18:6, 58:23, 62:9, 81:16, 82:4 differently [2] - 37:17, 51:14 digging [1] - 11:3 dinner [1] - 7:5 direct [2] - 32:5, 52:17 directed [2] - 58:21, 67:9 direction [1] - 30:1 directions [1] - 29:21 directly [2] - 59:3, 61:16 Director [3] - 2:6, 34:2, 34:13 director [2] - 24:7, 90.13 director's [4] - 3:16, 19:23, 70:16, 84:23 Director's [3] - 6:1, 21:4, 68:17 disability [12] - 8:23, 27:9, 27:12, 27:14, 27:17, 29:3, 29:7,

29:8, 29:10, 29:14,

29:18, 36:23

disciplinary [4] -

disclose [6] - 23:7, 29:6, 29:14, 29:17, 29:21. 44:23 disconnected [1] -57.21 discontinue [2] -56:16, 61:11 discounted [1] - 7:10 discovery [1] - 36:4 discretion [2] - 37:4, 37:5 discriminated [2] -75:15, 82:15 discrimination [11] -8:22, 25:20, 34:22, 35:15, 35:17, 37:16, 39:16, 47:9, 47:10, 55:5, 62:7 discriminatory [9] -18:14, 39:17, 40:22, 41:20, 54:23 discuss [3] - 28:15, 30:6, 91:19 discussion [3] -27:19, 31:7, 50:22 discussions [1] -43:20 dismiss [1] - 69:16 dismissed [3] - 16:4, 89:5. 90:6 dismisses [1] - 88:15 dismissing [1] - 87:22 dispute [3] - 35:16, 41:22, 47:7 distinct [2] - 65:17, 65:20 diverse [1] - 32:22 division [1] - 42:1 docket[1] - 85:18 doctor[4] - 32:2, 81:9, 82:7, 82:9 document [4] - 43:22, 44:6, 48:22, 78:20 documentation [1] -55.11 documents [5] -34:10, 44:4, 44:18, 44:19 dogs [1] - 27:15 dollars [1] - 26:5 Dominic [3] - 86:19, 87:10, 88:8 **Dominion** [2] - 16:20, 17:3 done [6] - 10:22, 18:10, 25:18, 49:13, 83:6, 83:17 door [3] - 33:3, 51:12,

77:22, 79:7, 80:15,

84.4

81:21 double [1] - 30:20 double-check [1] -30:20 down [12] - 9:21, 10:2, 10:8, 25:3, 34:11, 36:12, 37:4, 42:7, 46:22, 47:3, 53:18, 54:12 **DPT-12** [1] - 78:16 **Dr** [6] - 32:1, 32:6, 33:1, 57:7, 57:8, 57:12 drawing [1] - 46:14 drawings [2] - 37:14, 46:18 dressing [1] - 34:16 Drive [2] - 1:21, 6:10 driven [1] - 11:18 drops [1] - 24:17 drove [1] - 17:20 due [9] - 10:4, 10:9, 16:4, 26:23, 72:19, 72:23, 77:21, 78:19, 80:14 dump [1] - 36:14 dumping [1] - 36:15 during [4] - 26:14, 50:9, 72:23, 79:10 Ε

e-mail [2] - 28:17, 47:16 e-mails [1] - 28:11 early [1] - 83:19 easier [1] - 12:7 eastern [1] - 33:6 eating [1] - 28:10 edit [1] - 31:4 **education** [1] - 11:15 **EEOC** [5] - 8:2, 8:6, 8:11, 33:23, 74:19 effect [3] - 39:18, 40:22, 41:20 effects [2] - 71:8, 76:18 Effects [6] - 71:19, 72:14, 77:14, 79:11, 81:17, 82:1 efficiency [1] - 12:6 effort [3] - 28:11, 34:15, 48:16 efforts [2] - 10:1, 10:2 either [3] - 48:21, 61:12. 87:2 Elections [1] - 91:23 elevator [1] - 29:15 elevators [1] - 29:12 Eli [1] - 24:5

emblematic [1] -41:16 EMno23070604 [1] -16:21 employed [1] - 15:5 employee [4] - 15:3, 17:17, 17:18, 17:22 employees [7] - 6:18, 13:22, 14:2, 14:15, 18:11, 78:10, 78:12 employer [1] - 14:1 EMra23050462 [1] -71:8 EMra23060578 [1] -67:15 EMse24010065 [1] -12:16 EMse24080716 [1] -86:5 enclave [1] - 41:23 encourage [3] - 64:6, 64:7, 64:10 end [13] - 8:3, 9:9, 9:14, 9:16, 9:22, 10:8, 10:9, 26:2, 27:15, 33:9, 73:21, 80:3, 83:5 ending [1] - 8:3 engage [3] - 41:18, 43:3, 50:2 engaged [1] - 49:5 engaging [2] - 27:18, 41:4 engineering [2] -23:23, 24:10 engineers [1] - 27:4 enlargement [1] - 86:8 ensuring [1] - 24:8 entire [4] - 26:12, 43:16, 44:7, 91:17 entity [4] - 41:3, 65:16, 65:17, 65:20 environment [1] -25:16 Ernst [1] - 31:20 erroneous [2] - 25:8, 25:9 errors [1] - 24:20 essentially [1] - 41:16 established [1] - 42:2 esthetic [2] - 42:3, 42:9 esthetics [1] - 42:6 ethnic [2] - 51:7, 52:1 event [2] - 92:7, 92:12 evidence [14] - 18:12, 24:20, 24:22, 25:6,

25:7, 27:7, 27:8,

30:16, 36:11, 37:1,

51:2, 55:4, 62:13, 88.11 exactly [2] - 7:17, 39.11 examined [1] - 46:12 exceeded [1] - 8:7 exchange [1] - 61:3 excited [1] - 6:20 excuse [1] - 79:14 Exec [1] - 34:2 executive [2] - 6:17, 68:16 Executive 131 - 34:2. 34:13, 90:12 exhibits [1] - 43:23 **existed** [1] - 42:3 existing [1] - 46:18 expand [1] - 11:21 expert [1] - 25:5 expires [1] - 95:15 explained [1] - 57:8 explaining [1] - 29:23 expo [2] - 7:16, 92:12 **extended** [2] - 80:6, 80:12 extension [2] - 86:10, 86:13 extraneous [1] - 61:7

#### F

fabricated [1] - 44:11 face [1] - 29:20 faces [1] - 29:23 facility [1] - 78:9 fact [6] - 16:4, 34:6, 42:14, 45:1, 56:9, 57:15 factors [1] - 84:5 facts [5] - 17:17, 34:8, 39:1, 45:18, 48:9 factually [1] - 38:17 fail [1] - 18:2 failed [5] - 17:23, 28:15, 44:16, 78:22, 87:19 failure [5] - 18:7, 18:8, 19:10, 78:3, 78:19 fair [4] - 8:18, 9:7, 29:4, 44:8 Fair [2] - 54:11, 68:14 fairly [2] - 17:16, 74:21 faith [1] - 48:16 false [17] - 25:15, 25:22, 28:6, 43:9, 43:11, 43:22, 44:18, 44:19, 45:4, 45:5, 45:9, 45:14, 45:18, 46:8, 48:22, 49:1 falsely [1] - 75:2

family [5] - 62:15, fire [1] - 76:2 72:19, 72:21, 73:4, fired [4] - 73:8, 74:6, 82:21 82:1, 82:3 fantastic [1] - 69:23 far [9] - 3:18, 9:9, First [1] - 86:4 9:15, 14:1, 32:17, 43:1, 81:14, 82:4, 90.22 Farm [2] - 21:18, 31:10 90:11, 93:2 farm [1] - 42:4 farms [3] - 39:14, 51:11 39:23, 40:11 favor [11] - 5:22, 16:13, 20:8, 21:11, 64:16, 68:4, 69:5, 70:7, 71:2, 85:8, 89.17 features [1] - 29:7 February [3] - 74:16, 2:6 74:17, 75:19 Federal [1] - 54:11 federally [1] - 38:7 fees [2] - 24:15, 25:5 fence [7] - 27:13, 37:1, 37:2, 37:7, 37:8, 47:2 few [4] - 6:19, 10:10, 33:11, 91:7 92:16 fewer [1] - 13:19 figure [1] - 36:19 81:12, 81:13 file [6] - 30:19, 47:20, 54:7, 54:14, 74:18, 88:19 filed [23] - 9:15, 26:10, 82:7 38:7, 42:20, 42:23, 43:14, 45:14, 47:12, 48:5, 48:8, 54:5, 25:21, 31:1 54:6, 54:9, 54:10, 54:11, 54:17, 80:8, 87:18, 87:23, 88:2, 88:16, 88:17, 91:7 files [1] - 87:11 78:21 filing [1] - 48:10 foot [1] - 62:1 filings [1] - 86:3 filling [1] - 78:16 74.23 final [5] - 28:4, 40:18, 78:16, 78:23, 79:5 finalized [1] - 8:17 financial [2] - 24:4, form [1] - 78:17 26:3 **Findings** [1] - 43:4 26:18 findings [5] - 25:15, 34:14, 36:16, 36:17, fine [3] - 33:14, 53:2, 64:4 fines [3] - 52:17, 52:19, 53:13

fingertips [1] - 12:8

firing [1] - 73:21 first [13] - 9:18, 12:14, 15:3, 15:6, 15:18, 24:23, 32:3, 51:11, 58:5, 58:6, 84:15, first-generation [1] -Fishers [1] - 24:3 five [12] - 18:20, 22:5. 22:17, 23:15, 23:19, 51:5, 72:2, 72:3, 72:14, 77:12, 79:12 five-minute [1] - 23:19 Fleischhacker [1] -**FLEISCHHACKER** [21] - 6:3, 10:19, 11:2, 11:23, 12:4, 12:11, 22:11, 22:16, 22:19, 38:6, 54:3, 66:15, 66:23, 69:17, 69:20, 89:9, 91:5, 91:9, 91:14, 92:13, floor [6] - 64:16, 72:15, 73:14, 74:2, flow [2] - 10:16, 11:19 **FMLA** [5] - 80:7, 80:10, 80:12, 82:6, folks [2] - 7:9, 32:9 follow [3] - 24:14, followed [1] - 72:4 following [1] - 67:10 follows [2] - 30:13, force [2] - 75:6, 76:23 forced [2] - 73:5, foreclose [1] - 28:17 **foregoing** [1] - 95:5 formal [2] - 26:17, formalized [1] - 9:19 former [1] - 24:2 forth [2] - 18:10, 61:3 fortunately [1] - 67:6 **forum** [1] - 42:12 forward [3] - 5:23, 45:13, 63:2

**four** [8] - 3:17, 34:5,

46:20, 47:22, 51:11, 53:22, 65:6, 66:9 framed [1] - 18:4 frankly [1] - 49:23 fraudulent [10] -43:10, 43:15, 44:4, 44:5, 44:6, 44:14, 44:19, 45:9, 48:13, 48:22 fraudulently [1] - 45:2 free [3] - 20:15, 20:16, 67:8 Freight [1] - 16:20 fueled [1] - 62:14 full [2] - 24:19, 46:17 full-size [1] - 46:17 fully [3] - 28:23, 42:7, 64:2 **fun** [1] - 56:1 **funds** [1] - 8:14 funny [4] - 60:14, 60:17, 60:21 furthermore [1] -26:10

#### G

Gabrielle [1] - 69:12

Garcia [11] - 2:14,

game [1] - 7:2

21:17, 26:2, 27:23, 28:3, 33:4, 36:1, 40:1, 51:13, 55:18, 56:3 GARCIA [20] - 23:22, 30:10, 43:8, 51:20, 52:10, 52:13, 53:15, 55:8, 55:13, 56:17, 60:14, 60:17, 60:20, 61:2, 63:22, 64:2, 64:9, 64:12, 66:21, 67:3 Garcia's [1] - 49:15 Garcias [10] - 34:17, 37:17, 40:4, 40:5, 40:17, 41:9, 42:10, 42:20, 47:16, 51:6 gas [2] - 17:18, 17:19 Gaurav [1] - 69:13 general [1] - 82:9 generates [1] - 26:4 generation [1] - 51:11 gentleman [1] - 62:3 given [4] - 28:1, 46:14, 49:18, 82:10 glad [1] - 12:8 Godmother [1] - 93:12 Gomez [17] - 27:21, 27:23, 28:3, 28:5, 28:7, 28:9, 28:14,

41:9, 55:16, 55:18, 56:2, 56:3, 56:14, 58:2, 58:18, 58:22 good-faith [1] - 48:16 governing [1] - 60:2 Government [2] -1.15 2.7 government [1] - 24:9 Governor [1] - 6:16 **GP-12** [2] - 78:19, 78:22 grad [1] - 93:13 grading [1] - 36:15 grant [1] - 86:7 granted [1] - 89:4 grants [1] - 88:12 great [4] - 10:16, 12:10, 90:23, 93:9 Gregory [1] - 90:11 Griesinger [2] - 12:14, 12:23 Ground [6] - 71:7, 76:18, 77:14, 79:10, 81:17, 82:1 ground [2] - 71:18, 72:13 grounds [2] - 19:9, 55:5 group [3] - 65:23, 66:11, 67:2 groups [5] - 63:7, 65:11, 65:12, 66:2 grown [1] - 56:12 growth [1] - 42:7 guarding [1] - 47:2 guess [2] - 92:20, 92:23 guests [2] - 7:8, 7:10

#### н

Haha [2] - 56:2, 60:15

guys [1] - 14:21

half [4] - 11:4, 11:6, 36:6, 83:19 Hampton [2] - 92:22, 93:12 hand [3] - 39:22, 39:23, 66:3 handbook [5] - 18:1, 76:5, 76:6, 76:9, 76:12 handicap [1] - 29:12 handled [1] - 44:21 handout [1] - 30:12 hang [1] - 31:4 happy [2] - 17:12, 45:19 HARRINGTON [20] -4:15, 5:12, 13:7,

13:23, 14:5, 14:8, 14:14, 15:10, 15:23, 16:2, 23:4, 54:16, 54:20, 55:9, 68:1, 68:13, 68:20, 89:23, 93:11, 93:17 Harrington [8] - 2:3, 4:18, 5:11, 12:17, 13:4, 54:4, 68:3, 68:12 Harris [3] - 86:18, 87:9, 88:8 Hatley [1] - 86:4 Haute [1] - 20:21 Hawaiian [2] - 79:14, 79:18 Hazlett [2] - 2:15, 22:23 HAZLETT [9] - 21:23, 38:2, 38:12, 38:15, 48:3, 65:9, 66:1, 66:13, 66:18 HBCU [1] - 93:17 head [2] - 3:10, 46:16 healthy [2] - 42:7, 47:1 hear [12] - 4:6, 12:9, 13:5, 13:15, 14:21, 22:4, 34:23, 37:23, 52:15, 54:19, 58:18, 83:14 heard [11] - 34:18, 34:23, 36:10, 46:1, 46:2, 51:2, 54:21, 58:20, 58:21, 72:2, 84:11 hearing [6] - 14:19, 14:21, 44:22, 58:6, 61:19, 84:17 held [1] - 83:3 helpful [1] - 50:14 helping [1] - 6:8 hereby [1] - 95:5 Heritage [1] - 92:3 Hession [1] - 2:18 Hibbitt [1] - 90:16 high [1] - 31:20 high-level [1] - 31:20 highlight [2] - 29:7, 43:8 highly [3] - 24:3, 26:3, 63:6 hill [1] - 24:18 hired [4] - 15:4, 15:6, 15:18, 77:15 Hispanic [4] - 51:7, 51:15, 55:23, 92:2 **HOA**[3] - 24:11, 39:22, 40:14 HOfs2402112 [1] -20:23

**HOha**[1] - 88:9 HOha23090755 [1] -89.2 HOha23100762 [1] -90:3 HOha23120943 [1] -68:11 HOha24030155 [1] -70:14 HOha24040284 [1] -69:14 hold [1] - 30:23 Holli [1] - 2:3 home [5] - 24:17, 28:17, 29:13, 43:18, 51:6 homeowners [3] -65:13, 65:14, 65:15 homes [2] - 29:20, 51:10 HOno24010006 [1] -21:20 Hope [1] - 86:4 hoping [1] - 9:5 HOra21040110 [3] -86:19, 87:10, 88:9 HOra23060547 [1] -90.14 hospitality [1] - 67:14 hostile [1] - 25:16 hour [2] - 83:19, 91:17 hours [1] - 6:17 house [3] - 29:23, 56:14, 62:4 household [2] - 32:23, 33:1 households [1] -29:20 Housing [2] - 20:21, 90:2 housing [9] - 8:16, 8:17, 8:19, 9:8, 29:4, 44:8, 54:11, 68:15, 70:13 Howard [1] - 93:13 HUD [4] - 26:11, 26:12, 26:14, 26:17 huge [1] - 92:9 hundred [3] - 25:21, 28:6, 29:11 husband's [1] - 32:23

ICRC [23] - 3:15, 5:4, 6:1, 24:19, 24:20, 24:21, 25:7, 26:18, 26:21, 33:23, 34:15, 35:10, 35:14, 35:15, 43:12, 44:3, 44:12,

47:15, 47:17, 64:21, 74:19, 89:22 ICRC's [4] - 25:9, 25:15, 26:5, 39:2 ICRC/Charlene [1] -88.23 ICRC/Christine [3] -86:18, 87:9, 88:8 idea [2] - 46:6, 49:20 ignore [1] - 30:5 ignored [1] - 27:10 immigrant [2] - 32:22, 51:16 **immigrants** [2] - 33:4, 51:12 impact [1] - 60:9 implies [1] - 39:12 **importance** [1] - 42:6 important [4] - 31:16, 35:6, 37:15, 62:13 impossible [1] - 28:2 inaudible [2] - 14:16, 15:5 inaudible) [1] - 15:9 Inc [5] - 12:15, 16:20, 31:10, 67:14, 86:4 incident [2] - 58:19, 59:9 incidentally [1] -38:19 include [1] - 80:5 included [4] - 8:13, 28:19, 66:11, 66:21 including [4] - 24:7, 51:6, 51:12, 86:10 incorporated [2] -29:8, 65:16 incorrect [1] - 35:9 incorrectly [1] - 49:21 increase [1] - 8:14 **INDIANA** [3] - 1:1, 1:21, 2:6 Indiana [22] - 1:10, 1:14, 1:16, 1:22, 2:7, 2:8, 3:5, 7:16, 8:17, 14:3, 20:1, 26:13, 63:10, 67:20, 68:14, 68:15, 70:13, 90:13, 92:11, 95:4, 95:13 Indianapolis [2] -1:16, 2:8 individual [1] - 56:13 individuals [2] - 7:13, 24:13 industry [1] - 24:4 Indy [2] - 7:20, 32:5 inform [1] - 39:8 **information** [14] - 7:7,

26:16, 35:1, 39:22,

40:2, 40:3, 40:4,

informed [2] - 27:8, 30:2 injunction [8] - 43:14, 43:18, 45:15, 48:5, 48:7, 48:11, 53:4, 53:6 **inquiries** [1] - 9:12 inside [1] - 78:5 insinuates [1] - 56:7 inspection [2] - 78:17, 78:23 Inspector [1] - 25:2 inspectors [1] - 27:4 install [1] - 27:13 instance [1] - 49:15 instead [2] - 27:18, 27:23 instruction [1] - 72:2 Insurance [1] - 12:15 intended [1] - 59:11 intending [1] - 4:5 intent [3] - 39:17, 40:22, 41:21 interest [1] - 26:21 interested [1] - 7:7 interesting [1] - 13:8 interfering [1] - 30:4 internal [1] - 7:9 interviewed [1] - 27:5 interviews [2] - 34:7, 34:12 investigate [2] -47:18, 47:21 investigated [2] -65:1, 65:4 investigation [16] -26:15, 26:17, 26:19, 26:22, 39:2, 39:7, 43:12, 44:10, 44:13, 47:15, 54:13, 63:10, 63:19, 64:21, 66:4, 66:8 investigative [1] -51:4 investigator [15] -26:21, 27:2, 27:22, 28:4, 28:10, 28:13, 28:15, 30:8, 33:19, 34:3, 35:8, 44:3, 44:10, 44:12, 45:4 investigators [1] -27:10 involve [1] - 3:18 involved [4] - 35:3, 45:12, 62:7, 84:5 involves [1] - 41:8

Islamic [1] - 29:19

43:2, 43:10, 44:14,

45:3, 45:6, 45:9,

55:3

issue [17] - 18:7, 35:23, 37:9, 39:9, 41:8, 41:10, 42:15, 48:12, 48:17, 48:19, 57:18, 60:9, 66:16, 72:20, 77:18, 78:19 issued [1] - 90:5 issues [15] - 18:5, 19:4, 19:23, 21:2, 21:3, 39:5, 40:16, 53:22, 65:6, 66:9, 67:5, 67:19, 68:14, 68:17, 85:1 it'll [1] - 7:19 Italy [1] - 32:2

Jackson [11] - 2:4, 5:13, 17:2, 20:7, 21:1, 61:17, 63:17, 69:5, 70:15, 85:8, 89:17 **JACKSON** [39] - 5:14, 19:3, 19:5, 19:7, 19:12, 19:16, 20:5, 21:2, 32:8, 32:13, 32:18, 32:20, 51:19, 57:20, 57:22, 58:1, 58:5, 58:10, 58:16, 59:4, 59:17, 59:23, 60:13, 60:16, 60:18, 60:23, 61:22, 63:14, 63:20, 64:1, 64:6, 64:10, 69:3, 70:16, 85:6, 89:15, 91:1, 91:3, 94:2 James [1] - 2:4 January [1] - 26:11 jewelry [1] - 78:4 Jewish [3] - 32:23, 33:1, 33:2 **Jill** [4] - 55:19, 61:4 **job** [2] - 10:16, 83:3 John [4] - 2:14, 21:17, 26:1, 39:23 Johnson [1] - 20:21 join [1] - 39:3 joke [1] - 93:13 **Jr** [4] - 1:13, 6:10, 95:2, 95:11 judge [3] - 47:7, 89:3, 90:4 Judge [3] - 34:22, 87:17, 88:10 judged [1] - 57:11 judgment [4] - 86:22, 86:23, 88:13, 88:14 Judith [1] - 70:12 July [4] - 30:3, 35:20,

57:18, 57:19 jurisdiction [5] - 13:9, 13:11, 16:5, 38:4, 47:21 Justin [1] - 2:15

#### Κ

Kay [3] - 21:17, 23:5, 40:1 Kazmierczak [2] -2:15, 31:9 KAZMIERCZAK [34] -30:12, 30:20, 31:3, 31:8, 32:10, 32:15, 32:19, 32:21, 33:10, 33:14, 36:22, 37:20, 45:22, 46:17, 48:2, 50:12, 50:17, 50:20, 50:23, 52:18, 52:22, 53:3, 53:11, 53:13, 56:22, 57:4, 57:21, 61:6, 61:9, 61:14, 62:18, 62:21, 64:22, 67:11 keep [3] - 43:18, 45:15, 87:2 keeping [1] - 73:21 kept [4] - 73:3, 75:2, 82:3, 83:1 Khanna [1] - 69:13 Kiel [6] - 2:16, 31:12, 31:22, 55:17, 57:7, 57:14 **KIEL** [1] - 60:12 Kiel's [1] - 32:23 Kincaid [2] - 34:22, 47:8 kind [8] - 7:11, 11:16, 11:18, 11:19, 11:20, 42:3, 48:22, 60:9 King [1] - 6:10 Kirchoff [1] - 2:11 Kowlowitz [5] - 32:1, 33:1, 57:7, 57:8, 57:12 Kris [2] - 2:15, 31:9 Kroon [5] - 2:16, 31:12, 31:21, 32:22, 51:16

#### L

lack [1] - 77:18 lady [1] - 75:17 Lakes [1] - 90:3 language [1] - 74:3 larger [1] - 10:4 last [14] - 7:15, 9:11, 9:21, 10:2, 10:3,

10:7, 10:12, 11:5, 77:22, 79:6, 79:9, 80:15, 84:4, 92:13 latino [1] - 92:12 **Latino** [1] - 7:16 laughing [1] - 28:8 Laughter [1] - 93:15 law [8] - 20:1, 65:18, 67:20, 68:15, 87:17, 88:10, 89:3, 90:4 laws [1] - 9:8 lawsuit [4] - 42:17, 42:18, 44:1, 48:7 lawyer [1] - 31:9 lead [11] - 72:16, 73:17, 77:16, 77:20, 78:8, 79:21, 81:11, 81:19, 82:1, 82:23, 83:8 Lead [5] - 80:11, 82:19, 82:20, 82:21, 83:5 Leads [8] - 72:17, 73:11, 73:13, 75:9, 79:4, 79:12, 81:19 leads [11] - 73:10, 73:23, 74:1, 74:23, 77:2, 77:4, 79:8, 79:11, 79:15, 82:17, 83:23 learn [1] - 77:19 least [5] - 10:21, 25:17, 41:7, 58:8, 71:18 leave [11] - 6:18, 20:15, 72:19, 72:21, 72:23, 73:5, 73:18, 73:19, 75:7, 82:22, 92:21 legal [1] - 25:4 legally [2] - 53:19, 65:16 Leichentritt [2] -89:23, 90:1 less [1] - 14:2 letter [2] - 28:2, 82:8 level [1] - 31:20 levied [1] - 53:14 Lewis [1] - 17:2 liable [1] - 41:13 license [1] - 50:1 lien [1] - 54:5 likely [1] - 91:9 Lilly [2] - 23:5, 24:5 limited [1] - 26:21 **Lindy** [3] - 1:12, 95:2, 95:11 line [7] - 3:7, 4:4, 4:19,

5:21, 16:20, 17:7,

81:18

litigated [1] - 42:15 litigation [5] - 10:6, 10:11, 25:10, 42:19, 43:11 lived [1] - 76:16 living [1] - 52:2 LLC [8] - 1:21, 21:19, 38:20, 39:13, 65:20, 71:8, 90:2, 90:3 LLC's [1] - 38:21 LLP [2] - 89:1, 89:2 loafing [2] - 78:7, 79:3 location [1] - 17:19 Lockport [1] - 20:22 long-term [1] - 31:11 longest [1] - 82:18 look [9] - 3:6, 5:22, 13:9, 46:9, 66:15, 77:5, 91:18, 91:21, 92:5 looked [2] - 47:6, 93:6 looking [4] - 27:2, 35:4, 61:1, 66:9 losing [1] - 15:10 lost [2] - 39:13, 51:6 Lost [5] - 21:18, 31:10, 39:22, 40:11, 49:12 Lostutter [1] - 2:10 LOSTUTTER [21] -3:10, 3:13, 4:9, 4:22, 5:5, 5:8, 5:10, 5:13, 5:15, 5:17, 5:19, 12:22, 13:15, 14:17, 15:1, 15:11, 17:6, 71:11, 71:14, 71:17, 86:16 love [1] - 93:18 Luther [1] - 6:10

#### М

Madaan [1] - 69:13 Mai [1] - 73:13 mail [2] - 28:17, 47:16 mails [1] - 28:11 maintain [2] - 42:2, 42:5 maintaining [1] -10:16 makeup [3] - 51:23, 52:1, 52:5 maliciously [1] - 26:7 Management [6] -38:21, 40:12, 40:15, 40:18, 68:10, 89:2 management [10] -21:19, 38:20, 39:10, 39:11, 39:13, 39:20, 40:7, 41:3, 65:19,

31:13

members [6] - 7:5,

66:10 management's [1] -40:6 manager [1] - 31:15 mandated [1] - 40:10 mandatory [1] - 23:1 manner [2] - 27:21, 28.7 manufacturing [1] -24:8 Marie [1] - 89:22 Marsh [6] - 2:13, 12:15. 12:20. 14:7. 14:8. 14:9 MARSH [9] - 12:20, 13:20, 14:11, 14:13, 14:16, 14:20, 15:13, 15:17, 15:22 Martin [1] - 6:10 Mary [3] - 21:17, 23:5, 39:23 material [1] - 61:9 matter [14] - 1:10, 34:20, 44:21, 50:1, 87:17, 88:6, 88:11, 88:21, 89:3, 89:7, 90:4, 90:6, 90:7, 95.7 MBA [1] - 24:1 McAvon [1] - 90:2 Mckey [1] - 33:2 McKey's [1] - 52:2 mean [15] - 13:15, 32:16, 50:21, 52:1, 56:11, 60:7, 61:23, 62:5, 64:3, 64:5, 64:8, 80:5, 82:3, 84:3, 91:11 meaning [1] - 52:19 means [1] - 14:1 meant [1] - 82:11 measures [1] - 44:17 mediation [1] - 10:2 medical [9] - 72:19, 72:20, 72:21, 72:23, 73:4, 73:17, 73:19, 75:7, 79:23 meet [4] - 29:8, 29:9, 30:6, 87:19 meeting [11] - 3:4, 3:15, 4:11, 4:12, 67:10, 72:1, 90:19, 91:6, 91:11, 91:13, 94:5 **MEETING** [1] - 1:5 meetings [1] - 8:5 Mejia [1] - 16:19 member [2] - 24:2,

7:12, 25:19, 34:8, 60:8, 62:8 **MEMBERS** [1] - 2:2 mentee [1] - 93:5 mention [1] - 93:7 mentioned [3] - 8:5, 19:7, 35:19 mentor [2] - 93:1, 93:4 mentoring [1] - 93:13 merit [1] - 60:1 Meritus [1] - 90:2 mess [1] - 76:10 message [9] - 35:19, 36:5, 57:1, 57:3, 57:6, 57:17, 59:5, 60:6. 62:11 messages [11] - 26:1, 27:11, 28:12, 28:18, 40:15, 43:2, 55:15, 56:5, 56:10, 62:12 met [1] - 8:6 metrics [1] - 9:9 Mexican [1] - 28:10 Mexicans [1] - 55:20 Meyer [3] - 1:12, 95:2, 95.11 Michael [2] - 2:10, 2:14 middle [1] - 33:6 might [2] - 60:5, 60:7 Mike [1] - 17:1 Military [1] - 92:8 Miller [3] - 69:12, 87:5, 87:16 millions [1] - 26:4 mind [2] - 4:21, 94:4 minimum [1] - 25:12 mining [1] - 10:22 minorities [1] - 51:7 minute [3] - 23:19, 33:13, 36:20 minutes [23] - 3:15, 4:13, 18:20, 22:5, 22:7, 22:8, 22:17, 23:15, 33:11, 37:21, 43:7, 45:21, 72:2, 72:3, 72:4, 72:5, 77:12, 78:9, 78:13, 81:5, 83:14, 83:18 misconduct [1] -65:14 misleading [1] - 43:9 missed [2] - 33:19 misunderstanding [1] - 63:8 mock [1] - 27:18 mocking [1] - 27:11 modification [3] -29:2, 29:9, 37:12 moment [1] - 61:17

Monday [2] - 1:16, 95:7 Mondays [2] - 90:23, 91.21 money [1] - 76:16 month [2] - 3:14, 8:4 Month [1] - 92:3 months [3] - 10:21, Moore [11] - 34:3, 35:2, 35:13, 36:15, 46:3, 46:8, 46:13, 46:15, 47:6, 50:13, 57:9 morning [1] - 7:21 moss [1] - 87:4 Moss [1] - 87:15 most [4] - 9:10, 63:5, 73:14, 82:5 mostly [1] - 82:16 motion [30] - 4:17, 5:6, 16:7, 16:11, 20:2, 20:6, 21:6, 21:10, 63:12, 63:17, 63:18, 64:15, 67:21, 68:2, 68:19, 68:23, 69:4, 69:19, 70:2, 70:11, 70:19, 71:6, 85:3, 85:12, 86:22, 88:13, 88:14, 89:4, 89:11, 89:21 Motion [3] - 85:7, 86:23. 89:16 motions [2] - 3:21, 86:3 MOU's [1] - 8:17 move [7] - 12:6, 12:13, 62:4, 62:10, 62:23, 63:2, 68:9 moved [15] - 4:14, 16:8, 20:3, 21:7, 51:22, 52:3, 52:4, 63:14, 67:22, 69:1, 69:22, 70:20, 71:1, 85:4, 89:12 moving [3] - 10:17, 45:16, 85:22 **MR** [121] - 3:10, 3:13, 4:9, 4:22, 5:5, 5:8, 5:10. 5:13. 5:15. 5:17. 5:19. 6:3. 10:19, 11:2, 11:23, 12:4, 12:11, 12:20, 12:22, 13:15, 13:20, 14:11, 14:13, 14:16, 14:17, 14:20, 15:1, 15:11, 15:13, 15:17, 15:22, 17:1, 17:6, 17:12, 17:16, 19:10, 19:17, 20:14, 20:18,

21:23, 22:11, 22:16, 22:19, 23:22, 30:10, 30:12, 30:20, 31:3, 31:8, 32:10, 32:15, 32:19, 32:21, 33:10, 33:14, 36:22, 37:20, 38:2, 38:6, 38:12, 38:15. 43:8. 45:22. 46:17, 48:2, 48:3, 50:12, 50:17, 50:20, 50:23, 51:20, 52:10, 52:13, 52:18, 52:22, 53:3, 53:11, 53:13, 53:15, 54:3, 55:8, 55:13, 56:17, 56:22, 57:4, 57:21, 60:14, 60:17, 60:20, 61:2, 61:6, 61:9, 61:14, 62:18, 62:21, 63:22, 64:2, 64:9, 64:12, 64:22, 65:9, 66:1, 66:13, 66:15, 66:18, 66:21, 66:23, 67:3, 67:11, 69:17, 69:20, 71:11, 71:14, 71:17, 86:16, 89:9, 91:5, 91:9, 91:14, 92:13, 92:16 MS [16] - 4:21, 60:12, 71:20, 72:10, 72:12, 77:9, 77:13, 80:20, 80:22, 81:2, 81:6, 83:11, 83:16, 84:9,

#### Ν

85:16, 85:20

92:17

55:4

multiculturalism [1] -

multiple [2] - 55:2,

mute [1] - 4:19

N300 [2] - 1:16, 2:7 name [5] - 31:9, 36:1, 39:12, 55:1, 72:12 names [2] - 57:13, 57:16 narrative [3] - 25:15, 43:11, 45:14 Native [2] - 79:14 native [1] - 79:17 necessarily [2] - 52:8, 58:22 necessary [2] - 23:6, need [7] - 38:18, 39:8, 66:3, 69:15, 69:18, 86:13, 89:8 needed [7] - 66:17,

74:9, 75:13, 80:6,

88:7, 88:22, 90:8 nefariously [1] - 49:21 **negotiation** [1] - 45:12 negotiations [1] - 49:5 neighborhood [10] -24:12, 26:2, 28:20, 31:17, 32:4, 32:7, 32:22, 47:16, 52:2, 62:16 neighborhoods [1] -25:18 neighbors [4] - 25:19, 26:1, 27:5, 33:3 never [6] - 15:14, 15:15, 50:13, 73:8, 76:15, 81:10 new [6] - 3:19, 9:6, 10:21, 85:22, 90:17 next [29] - 6:6, 7:19, 8:4, 8:8, 8:14, 9:1, 11:9, 11:16, 16:19, 20:20, 21:17, 33:3, 51:12, 55:18, 67:13, 68:9, 69:11, 70:12, 71:7, 86:3, 86:18, 87:4, 87:9, 87:14, 88:23, 89:22, 90:9, 90:15, 90:18 next-door [1] - 33:3 night [1] - 76:22 Noblesville [1] - 89:1 none [3] - 68:8, 69:9, 84:17 Nonfinal [1] - 88:1 nonfinal [1] - 87:8 nonsense [1] - 49:23 North [4] - 1:15, 2:7, 2:7 Notary [3] - 1:13, 95:3, 95:12 note [2] - 12:17, 66:8 nothing [3] - 13:12, 53:16, 60:21 Notice [3] - 26:6, 28:16, 43:4 notice [6] - 24:19, 25:13, 39:2, 49:7, 49.9 noticed [1] - 91:6

notices [1] - 23:11

noting [1] - 78:23

nozzle [1] - 17:20

number [2] - 8:19,

**numbers** [1] - 9:10

numerous [2] - 24:6,

NRP [1] - 89:1

8.20

27:6

NP0690003 [1] - 95:16

#### 0

o'clock [3] - 1:17, 3:1,

94.7

objection [10] - 86:21, 87:7, 88:1, 88:4, 88:5, 88:16, 88:18, 88:20, 89:6, 90:7 Objection [1] - 87:13 objections [1] - 91:7 Objective [1] - 87:12 **objective** [1] - 88:3 observations [3] -40:13, 65:10 obtained [1] - 9:23 obviously [2] - 32:2, 42:12 occupying [1] - 43:18 occur [1] - 41:21 occurred [4] - 35:15, 40:21, 41:2, 50:3 October [8] - 7:4, 25:11, 34:19, 43:13, 90:20, 91:1, 91:20, 92:22 **OF** [3] - 1:1, 1:5, 1:21 offense [3] - 55:22, 56:5, 56:6 offhand [1] - 32:16 office [1] - 75:17 official [1] - 90:12 often [1] - 34:14 Old [3] - 16:20, 17:2, 85:22 old [6] - 3:16, 12:14, 42:7, 51:14, 85:21, 90:17 older [3] - 72:20, 73:11, 75:10 **Olynger** [1] - 68:10 omissions [1] - 24:20 on-line [5] - 3:7, 4:4, 4:19, 5:21, 17:7 once [5] - 7:12, 7:22, 28:23, 81:11, 83:6 one [54] - 3:19, 3:23, 7:18, 9:20, 13:2, 13:7, 21:22, 30:14, 32:3, 33:12, 34:7, 36:2, 36:20, 39:22, 40:20, 41:5, 43:23, 50:8, 51:20, 54:20, 54:22, 55:3, 55:4, 55:6, 56:11, 56:23, 57:1, 57:2, 57:17, 59:8, 60:6, 62:11, 62:12, 63:4, 68:9, 69:11, 69:15, 71:18, 72:17, 73:10, 73:15, 74:6, 74:22, 79:13,

79:17, 79:19, 85:23, 86:1, 86:18, 87:1, 87:4, 89:8 one-time [1] - 56:11 ones [3] - 7:8, 37:18, 49.12 ongoing [2] - 8:1, 54:6 open [2] - 10:7, 10:8 opening [1] - 71:23 **operations** [1] - 10:13 opportunity [5] -18:21, 36:3, 46:9, opposed [12] - 16:15. 20:10. 21:13. 64:18. 68:6, 68:8, 69:7, 69:9, 70:9, 71:4, 85:10, 89:19 opposition [4] - 87:7, 87:12, 88:3, 88:18 oral [11] - 3:18, 12:18, 13:16, 16:22, 18:19, 21:21, 22:3, 50:8, 71:12, 71:23, 86:1 order [7] - 3:5, 6:17, 22:12, 49:15, 86:21, 87:13, 90:5 Orders [1] - 87:15 orders [1] - 3:22 organization [1] - 14:2 original [7] - 23:14, 26:10, 29:1, 29:5, 30:19, 50:9, 50:16 **OTHER** [1] - 2:10 outcome [1] - 35:5 outline [1] - 30:13 outside [4] - 44:21, 53:18, 83:7, 83:8 own [3] - 18:19, 46:23, 57:5 owner [1] - 31:21

# Р

owners [1] - 33:5

p.m [3] - 1:17, 3:1, 94:7 Padgett [2] - 2:14, 17:1 PADGETT [7] - 17:1, 17:12, 17:16, 19:10, 19:17, 20:14, 20:18 pages [1] - 34:10 PAha21110432 [2] -87:5, 87:16 PAha24040213 [1] -90:16 paid [1] - 6:18 paper [2] - 72:22, 82:10

paperwork [4] - 80:7, 81:8, 82:6, 83:3 paperworks [1] -76:15 parade [1] - 7:1 parallel [1] - 54:14 pardon [1] - 80:22 park [2] - 6:9, 92:8 Park [1] - 6:12 PARRENT [9] - 71:20, 77:13, 80:20, 80:22, 81:2, 83:16, 84:9, 85:16. 85:20 Parrent [2] - 2:18, 77:14 part [16] - 30:17, 30:18, 32:12, 37:13, 43:13, 50:15, 51:2, 53:7, 53:8, 53:22, 54:6, 57:5, 59:9, 67:1, 93:3 participating [1] - 7:1 participation [3] -43:21, 65:22, 85:14 particular [3] - 7:23, 58:8, 60:9 parties [6] - 12:19, 13:10, 16:22, 21:21, 71:10, 88:12 party [7] - 13:6, 41:11, 42:17, 42:20, 48:6, 48:7. 80:9 pass [1] - 60:3 passed [1] - 9:4 passionate [1] - 56:19 past [2] - 9:3, 56:8 patently [1] - 49:1 patience [1] - 71:22 Paul [1] - 12:15 pay [1] - 52:21 pending [4] - 27:1, 34:20, 36:1, 38:5 people [11] - 12:9, 13:2, 18:5, 51:11, 51:21, 52:3, 52:4, 62:9, 62:11, 76:9, 76:21 people's [2] - 57:13, 57:16 perceived [1] - 59:1 percent [8] - 9:13, 9:14, 9:20, 25:21, 28:6, 29:11, 51:9, 62.2 perception [1] - 58:14 perfect [1] - 22:21 perform [1] - 78:11 performance [1] -77:17 **performing** [1] - 83:22

period [6] - 26:14, 88:5, 88:20, 89:6, 90:7, 91:17 periodically [5] -72:22, 80:1, 80:3, 80:4, 81:10 perjury [1] - 43:21 permeating [1] - 47:9 permitting [1] - 6:17 person [6] - 13:1, 15:18, 54:7, 81:18, 81:20. 82:2 person's [1] - 35:20 personal [2] - 64:8. 92:20 persons [1] - 62:15 perspective [3] -33:21, 36:13, 42:16 petition [1] - 48:11 Petitioner's [1] - 49:14 phase [1] - 11:16 phone [2] - 11:3, 71:20 photos [1] - 51:13 picture [1] - 45:17 piece [1] - 62:13 pieces [1] - 10:23 pin [1] - 33:7 pinpoint [1] - 11:11 Pitzel [3] - 86:19, 87:10, 88:9 place [3] - 25:11, 42:5, 49.10 placed [1] - 79:1 plaintiff's [1] - 49:14 plans [9] - 24:23, 29:5, 29:10. 29:13. 29:22. 30:2, 30:5, 40:9, 53:17 played [1] - 34:18 **Plaza** [1] - 92:7 plus [1] - 7:8 Pocket [1] - 6:12 pockets [1] - 11:14 point [12] - 10:3, 22:11, 41:15, 42:19, 42:22, 52:9, 53:20, 62:14, 63:13, 64:22, 65:7, 65:9 Pointe [1] - 1:21 points [3] - 48:4, 55:6, 65:1 police [2] - 60:1, 60:2 policy [5] - 17:21, 18:1, 19:8, 19:13

Ponds [1] - 1:21

62:2

population [2] - 51:9,

portions [1] - 71:23

position [7] - 19:18,

41:17, 50:18, 73:6, 77:20, 84:6, 86:9 positions [1] - 77:19 possibly [1] - 62:16 post [1] - 93:2 post-age [1] - 93:2 potential [2] - 8:22, 43:20 Powell [3] - 73:13, 73:20, 73:21 pre [1] - 78:16 pre-filling [1] - 78:16 precisely [1] - 66:6 prefer [1] - 38:12 preference [1] - 64:8 prejudice [3] - 87:23, 88:15, 89:6 preliminary [4] -26:14, 43:14, 43:17, 45:15 prepare [1] - 91:15 prepared [2] - 39:3, 81.4 presence [1] - 7:1 PRESENT [2] - 2:10, 2.13 present [13] - 12:19, 12:23, 13:6, 16:22, 17:2, 17:5, 18:18, 21:21, 22:19, 30:23, 32:1, 38:14, 71:10 presented [3] - 18:13, 35:1, 88:12 president [1] - 31:11 President [2] - 24:11, 31.11 pretty [3] - 3:21, 9:11, 46:14 previous [4] - 3:14, 4:12, 24:12, 71:23 previously [1] - 55:12 primary [1] - 43:23 principal [1] - 38:21 probable [6] - 19:23, 21:4, 67:20, 68:20, 70:17, 84:23 probed [2] - 57:10 procedure [2] - 77:23, 84.5 proceeding [2] - 54:7, 54.15 proceedings [2] -94:6. 95:6 PROCEEDINGS [1] -1.9 proceeds [1] - 38:4 process [6] - 35:8, 39:21, 78:17, 78:21,

79:7, 80:16

processes [1] - 24:8

processing [1] - 8:15 produce [2] - 48:21, 56:9 produced [1] - 25:6 production [8] -72:15, 73:14, 74:2, 75:12, 78:10, 78:14, 83:19, 83:21 professional [2] -44:16, 63:7 professionals [1] -32:7 profiling [2] - 58:12, 59:1 progressive [1] - 79:7 project [2] - 24:16, 25:3 projects [2] - 6:9, 8:1 promoted [1] - 77:16 proof [1] - 87:20 propagate [2] - 25:15, 43:10 proper [1] - 50:3 properties [6] - 8:19, 8:21, 9:2, 9:3, 9:6, 90:14 Property [4] - 38:20, 40:12, 40:14, 40:17 property [15] - 21:19, 31:14, 35:21, 38:20, 39:10, 39:11, 39:12, 39:19, 40:5, 40:6, 41:3, 46:11, 65:19, 66:10, 90:3 proven [1] - 45:10 proves [1] - 28:8 provide [2] - 28:22, 49:16 provided [5] - 7:6, 44:3, 45:3, 45:6, 55:3 providing [1] - 27:7 proximity [1] - 36:6 **PUBLIC** [1] - 1:5 public [1] - 93:23 **Public** [3] - 1:13, 95:3, 95:12 **pull** [2] - 59:15, 83:2 pulling [1] - 59:19 pump [1] - 17:18 pumped [1] - 17:19 punch [1] - 83:20 punched [1] - 78:10 Purdue [2] - 23:23, 24:10 purposes [1] - 78:5 pushed [2] - 34:8,

put [8] - 31:6, 34:5,

34:12, 34:13, 34:15,

37:6, 53:6, 67:5

#### Q

quality [4] - 81:17, 81:20, 81:22, 82:2 quarters [1] - 9:2 questions [20] - 12:1, 17:13, 18:15, 18:22, 18:23, 19:19, 22:9, 33:9, 45:19, 51:18, 52:15, 54:19, 61:21, 67:9, 72:7, 84:15, 84:20, 84:22, 85:15, 91:20 quick [5] - 3:21, 19:5, 20:14, 22:11, 31:5 quite [5] - 6:19, 9:19, 10:10, 49:22, 91:6 quo [1] - 53:7 quorum [1] - 3:9 quote [4] - 26:1, 26:3, 27:12, 27:15

#### R

R.N [1] - 31:22 race [2] - 8:23, 29:17 races [2] - 79:13, 79:17 racial [5] - 51:7, 58:10, 58:12, 58:19, 60:7 radio [3] - 74:7, 74:8, 75:21 Ramos [16] - 2:3, 4:17, 5:17, 16:12, 21:11, 22:3, 33:17, 54:19, 61:20, 62:23, 68:3, 70:3, 71:9, 84:13, 84:14, 84:18 **RAMOS** [30] - 4:14, 5:18, 10:18, 10:20, 11:22, 14:7, 16:10, 21:8, 55:10, 58:3, 58:7, 58:11, 58:20, 59:6, 59:10, 59:14, 63:3, 65:5, 67:22, 69:22, 84:19, 84:21, 89:12, 90:21, 92:2, 92:7, 92:11, 92:15, 93:9, 93:20 ramp [2] - 29:12, 29.15 rapist [1] - 26:2 rate [1] - 7:10 rather [1] - 49:14 rationale [1] - 29:15 reach [1] - 44:13 reaching [3] - 77:22, 80:15, 84:4

read [2] - 86:14, 90:10 reading [2] - 4:1, 87:2 ready [4] - 46:5, 72:8, 76:7, 81:6 real [1] - 31:5 really [6] - 38:17, 46:1, 53:3, 61:23, 90:23, 91.18 reason [5] - 47:18, 59:23, 60:4, 75:1, Rebecca [5] - 2:16, 31:12, 55:16, 57:7, 60:11 receive [4] - 79:4. 79:8, 84:1, 92:22 received [9] - 10:5, 49:7, 58:9, 58:13, 59:14, 77:17, 78:2, 78:6, 79:5 receiving [1] - 25:23 recently [1] - 25:23 recognition [1] - 93:2 recognized [1] - 65:17 recommend [4] - 65:7, 67:18, 84:22, 92:5 recommendation [8] -16:3, 19:1, 19:22, 63:9, 68:16, 68:17, 84:18 recommended [1] -86:21 record [13] - 31:7, 32:12, 32:14, 38:18, 49:23, 50:16, 50:22, 51:3, 53:9, 53:22, 86:14, 87:3, 90:11 records [1] - 30:18 recuse [2] - 23:1, 23:6 redo [1] - 33:18 refer [4] - 28:14, 55:15, 55:18, 66:3 reference [5] - 28:9, 44:4, 44:19, 48:5, 61.4 referral [1] - 41:9 referred [2] - 27:20, 56.8 referring [4] - 51:21, 55:20, 56:13, 80:1 refused [3] - 27:10, 30:6, 45:10 refusing [1] - 49:3 regard [3] - 53:4, 53:14, 54:9 regarding [3] - 18:9, 27:8. 35:20 regardless [1] - 13:9 regions [2] - 11:12, 11:13

register [1] - 7:13 regularly [1] - 28:14 regulated [1] - 24:3 reinvestigation [1] -35.7 released [1] - 76:15 relied [1] - 46:13 relief [2] - 9:21, 9:23 religion [2] - 29:18, 29:22 remain [2] - 46:19, 46:20 remand [3] - 63:9, 63:19. 64:20 remanded [1] - 65:3 remanding [2] - 66:6, 66:8 remedial [1] - 44:17 remember [1] - 55:10 reminding [1] - 32:11 rent [1] - 29:16 repeat [2] - 19:8, 32.13 report [18] - 3:16, 6:2, 17:23, 18:2, 18:7, 18:8, 19:10, 28:4, 43:15, 44:5, 44:11, 45:1, 46:6, 46:9, 48:14, 48:15, 51:4, 78.9 reported [1] - 17:22 Reporter [1] - 95:3 **REPORTING** [1] - 1:21 represent [2] - 38:19, 49:17 representation [1] -38:1 representative [2] -31.19 71.18 representatives [1] -22:13 represented [1] -31:14 representing [2] -33:23, 77:14 represents [2] - 41:17, 48:20 reputation [1] - 26:8 request [4] - 35:21, 37:2, 37:8, 43:17 requested [1] - 33:18 requesting [4] - 25:12, 44:23, 66:12, 86:1 requests [1] - 86:7 require [1] - 87:1 required [7] - 29:6,

29:13, 29:17, 29:21,

56:9, 77:20, 78:8

requirement [2] -

78:4, 80:13

requires [1] - 17:21 rescheduled [2] -49:5. 49:6 reservation [1] - 62:6 reserve [1] - 37:20 residences [1] - 51:5 residing [1] - 95:3 resolve [2] - 48:18, 50:3 resolving [1] - 47:8 respect [5] - 38:23, 39:9. 40:8. 40:9. 40:16 respectfully [1] - 86:6 respond [12] - 18:21, 22:7, 22:8, 43:7, 45:19, 56:22, 59:2, 72:4, 72:6, 81:5, 81:7, 88:5 responded [11] -16:14, 20:9, 21:12, 34:9, 64:17, 68:5, 69:6, 70:8, 71:3, 85:9, 89:18 respondent [5] - 72:6, 77:12, 87:6, 87:11, 87:21 Respondent [8] -22:6, 22:7, 61:13, 71:19, 72:4, 83:15, 84:12, 86:6 Respondent's [3] -66:16, 88:13, 88:20 respondent's [3] -37:23, 39:4, 86:23 respondents [2] -34:1, 49:11 Respondents [23] -22:12, 22:14, 23:15, 25:6, 25:9, 25:14, 27:9, 27:11, 27:16, 27:20, 28:5, 28:12, 28:16, 28:18, 28:23, 30:3, 43:9, 43:14, 44:2, 48:21, 49:6, 88:2, 88:17 respondents' [2] -43:16, 43:23 Respondents' [1] -44:7 responding [1] -57:14 response [22] - 4:7, 5:1, 12:2, 16:16, 19:20, 20:11, 21:14, 23:12, 23:17, 56:19, 64:19, 68:7, 69:8, 70:10, 70:22, 71:5,

85:11, 87:11, 88:18,

88:19, 88:20, 89:20

responsible [2] - 24:7, 41:13 resting [1] - 4:21 result [1] - 18:8 retain [1] - 49:17 retaliated [2] - 74:4, 75:16 retired [1] - 24:5 retract [1] - 25:13 revenue [1] - 26:5 reversal [1] - 33:18 review [6] - 3:22, 31:13, 78:20, 78:22, 87:14, 90:18 reviewed [2] - 63:5, 65:8 reviews [1] - 77:18 revoke [1] - 53:17 revoked [1] - 29:1 rid [1] - 73:22 RIGHTS [2] - 1:2, 2:6 rights [8] - 7:4, 20:1, 29:4, 30:4, 67:20, 68:15, 76:6, 90:13 **Rights** [4] - 1:11, 3:5, 26:13, 63:10 risk [1] - 50:1 Road [1] - 20:22 role [4] - 39:10, 39:20, 40:6, 66:16 roles [1] - 24:6 roll [1] - 3:7 Room [2] - 1:16, 2:7 room [4] - 48:20, 73:2, 77:3, 79:3 Rooy [1] - 90:14 routes [2] - 18:10, 18:14 rule [1] - 44:15 rules [5] - 24:14, 25:21, 44:15, 87:20 rumors [1] - 25:17 Run [1] - 21:18 run [8] - 26:3, 31:10, 39:13, 39:23, 40:11, 49:12, 51:6, 91:12 running [1] - 82:18

# S

safety [1] - 18:5 sake [1] - 41:1 Sarah [5] - 12:14, 15:3, 15:4, 15:8, 20:21 sat [1] - 78:11 Saturday [4] - 7:18, 7:21, 92:9 Saturdays [1] - 7:19 save [1] - 5:23

scenarios [1] - 82:14 schedule [4] - 52:17, 52:18, 78:14, 91:16 scheduled [1] - 83:18 screws [1] - 57:16 sec [1] - 4:16 second [37] - 4:15, 4:18, 5:7, 16:9, 16:10, 16:12, 20:4, 20:5, 20:7, 21:8, 21:9, 21:10, 37:23, 48:12, 63:15, 63:16, 63:18, 67:23, 68:1, 68:3, 69:2, 69:3, 69:5, 70:1, 70:4, 70:21, 70:23, 75:12, 78:2, 85:5, 85:6, 85:8, 89:13, 89:14, 89:15, 89:17 seconded [1] - 71:1 secured [1] - 48:15 see [7] - 9:5, 10:22, 19:12, 36:10, 59:18, 60:19, 67:7 seeing [5] - 11:10, 11:12, 18:17, 55:11 seeking [1] - 45:11 Senate [2] - 1:15, 2:7 Senior [2] - 24:7, 89:1 senior [1] - 18:12 seniority [2] - 18:11, 73:12 sent [3] - 28:16, 28:18, 59.5 **separate** [5] - 39:13, 65:17, 65:18, 65:19, 82:17 separately [2] - 31:14, 65:16 **SEPTEMBER** [1] - 1:5 **September** [6] - 1:17, 3:1, 6:23, 86:11, 94:7, 95:7 serial [1] - 26:2 series [3] - 55:14, 55:15, 56:4 served [2] - 24:6, 24:11 serves [2] - 43:15, 44:6 service [2] - 6:7, 12:10 set [1] - 17:17 **settlement** [2] - 9:21,

settlements [1] - 10:4

several [4] - 3:17, 9:1,

sexuality [1] - 29:18

seven [1] - 79:11

33:5. 75:4

sex [1] - 8:23

TELEPHONE [1] -

Shanequa [3] - 34:3, 35:2, 46:2 share [3] - 17:10, 50:11, 56:20 sharing [5] - 52:7, 52:12, 56:18, 56:21, 62.22 Shelby [2] - 1:14, 95:4 Shelbyville [1] - 95:4 shenanigans [1] shift [4] - 72:23, 75:12, 83:5, 83:17 shoe [1] - 62:1 shook [1] - 3:10 **shorter** [1] - 67:13 **show** [4] - 7:16, 45:17, 64:4, 82:7 **showed** [1] - 46:11 showing [1] - 7:20 **shows** [2] - 24:22, 51:13 **shut** [2] - 25:3, 54:12 Shweta [1] - 69:13 sic [2] - 87:21, 90:13 sic] [1] - 20:23 side [2] - 8:16, 10:13 sign [1] - 75:5 signed [2] - 6:16, 12:9 significance [2] -58:2, 58:3 significant [1] - 67:5 significantly [1] - 42:8 similar [1] - 54:5 simple [2] - 17:17, 46:14 simply [1] - 39:18 singular [1] - 58:19 sit [10] - 38:13, 56:12, 72:22, 73:9, 80:2, 81:9, 81:12, 83:20 sits [1] - 24:17 sitting [9] - 73:1, 77:3, 78:13, 80:1, 80:4, 81:11, 81:14, 83:5, 83:16 **situation** [1] - 30:6 six [3] - 13:21, 14:2, 79:15 size [1] - 46:17 **SLASH** [170] - 3:3, 3:11, 4:3, 4:8, 4:10, 4:16, 5:2, 5:6, 5:9, 5:20, 12:1, 12:3, 12:5, 12:12, 12:21, 13:3, 13:17, 14:4, 14:9, 14:12, 14:23, 15:2, 15:15, 15:20, 16:1, 16:6, 16:9, 16:11, 16:15, 16:17,

17:4, 17:9, 17:15, 18:16, 19:4, 19:6, 19:14, 19:19, 19:21, 20:4, 20:6, 20:10, 20:12, 20:16, 20:20, 21:5, 21:9, 21:13, 21:15, 22:1, 22:15, 22:18. 22:21. 23:3. 23:8, 23:13, 23:18, 30:9, 30:11, 30:15, 30:22, 33:7, 33:12, 36:21, 37:19, 37:22, 38:10, 38:14, 43:6, 45:20, 46:16, 47:23, 50:6, 50:15, 50:19, 52:7, 52:11, 52:14, 53:10, 53:12, 53:21, 54:18, 56:15, 56:18, 57:2, 57:23, 59:8, 59:19, 59:21, 61:8, 61:10, 61:15, 62:17, 62:20, 62:22, 63:12, 63:16, 64:14, 64:18, 64:20, 65:21, 66:7, 66:20, 67:1, 67:4, 67:12, 67:21, 67:23, 68:2, 68:6, 68:8, 68:18, 68:22, 69:2, 69:4, 69:7, 69:9, 69:18, 69:23, 70:2, 70:6, 70:9, 70:11, 70:18, 70:21, 70:23, 71:4, 71:6, 71:12, 71:16, 71:21, 72:11, 77:8, 77:11, 80:18, 80:21, 80:23, 81:3, 83:9, 83:13, 84:7, 84:10, 84:17, 84:20, 85:2, 85:5, 85:7, 85:10, 85:12, 85:17, 85:21, 86:17, 89:10, 89:13, 89:16, 89:19, 89:21, 90:1, 90:22, 91:2, 91:8, 91:11, 91:15, 92:4, 92:10, 92:18, 93:16, 93:19, 93:21, 94:3 Slash [5] - 1:11, 2:2, 5:19, 6:4, 20:14 slip [1] - 79:23 slur [2] - 58:10, 58:19 som [1] - 71:1 someone [3] - 4:4, 29:12, 29:16 sometimes [1] - 50:14 soon [1] - 47:19 sorry [7] - 15:12, 15:13, 33:11, 68:21, 69:20, 79:18, 87:19

sort [1] - 53:8

sought [2] - 53:5, 53:6 sounds [1] - 12:5 South [1] - 17:19 southern [1] - 70:13 **space** [1] - 93:6 specialist [1] - 80:11 specific [1] - 64:23 specifically [2] - 65:3, spell [2] - 27:22, 28:3 spent [3] - 24:14, 25:4, 26:8 sports [1] - 90:16 **spot** [1] - 36:17 spread [1] - 25:17 Sr [1] - 90:12 staff [6] - 7:11, 10:10, 10:13, 12:6, 83:19, 83:21 STAFF [1] - 2:10 stand [4] - 35:11, 38:13, 38:15, 73:16 stand-in [1] - 73:16 standards [1] - 24:9 **standing** [1] - 73:20 Stanford [1] - 24:1 start [3] - 3:11, 26:16, 81:15 started [5] - 11:2, 15:3, 25:23, 47:15, 72:14 starting [2] - 8:4, 8:12 **state** [7] - 6:15, 6:18, 8:21, 28:4, 38:8, 54:6, 54:14 **STATE** [1] - 1:1 State [3] - 1:13, 14:3, 95:13 statement [10] - 13:5, 19:18, 41:14, 47:14, 50:18, 55:18, 55:20, 66:2, 80:4, 86:10 statements [2] -50:10, 55:23 States [1] - 51:17 states [2] - 55:16, 55:17 **stating** [2] - 26:1, 72:22 **statistic** [1] - 51:8 status [2] - 53:7, 54:10 stay [2] - 9:17, 48:17 stayed [1] - 82:18 step [8] - 47:19, 65:13, 77:22, 79:7, 79:9, 80:15, 84:2, 84:4 Steve [1] - 46:10 Steven [1] - 2:3 still [6] - 35:12, 59:1,

69:21, 82:6, 88:4, 88.19 story [2] - 45:4, 45:16 strongly [2] - 40:23, 41:19 struggled [1] - 77:23 stuff [4] - 7:11, 11:11, 11:14, 62:5 **submit** [1] - 86:8 submits [1] - 86:20 submitted [7] - 29:5, 43:22, 55:12, 55:13, 55:14, 93:5 submitting [2] - 29:22, 87:6 subsequent [2] - 9:4, 42:22 successful [1] - 26:3 sue [1] - 45:15 **sufficient** [1] - 26:16 suggest [1] - 66:5 suggested [1] - 18:3 summary [3] - 86:22, 88:13, 88:14 Summary [1] - 86:23 **Sung** [1] - 73:13 **superiors** [1] - 34:4 **supervisors** [2] - 35:2, 74:7 support [1] - 21:3 supposed [1] - 46:19 supposedly [1] -24:21 survive [1] - 76:18 sympathy [1] - 28:20 symptomatic [1] -41.17 system [2] - 11:3, 11:4 Т

table [1] - 65:6 taping [1] - 7:15 **Team** [12] - 72:17, 74:1, 75:9, 77:2, 79:21, 81:19, 82:18, 82:21, 82:23, 83:5, 83:8, 83:23 team [17] - 39:3, 72:16, 73:10, 73:11, 73:13, 73:17, 73:23, 74:22, 77:3, 77:16, 77:20, 78:8, 81:11, 81:19, 81:23, 82:17, 82:20 technologies [1] -11:17 technology [2] -10:21, 12:7 **Telemundo** [1] - 7:20

1:22 telltale [1] - 11:1 temporal [1] - 36:6 temporary [1] - 73:16 tenure [1] - 79:10 term [1] - 31:11 terminated [11] - 18:3, 18:4, 18:6, 73:1, 73:3, 74:18, 77:21, 79:15, 79:21, 80:14, 84:3 termination [5] - 18:8, 19:9. 79:5. 79:8. 80:17 terms [10] - 18:4, 18:9, 39:16, 39:17, 40:9, 40:10, 48:9, 48:12, 49.2 Terre [1] - 20:21 **Terry** [1] - 2:4 tested [1] - 9:3 testimony [1] - 88:12 testing [4] - 8:19, 8:21, 9:4, 9:6 tests [1] - 8:20 Texas [1] - 55:20 text [19] - 25:23, 27:10, 28:8, 28:12, 28:18, 35:19, 36:5, 54:22, 55:15, 56:4, 56:10, 57:1, 57:2, 57:6, 57:17, 59:4, 60:6, 62:11 texted [1] - 29:1 texts [1] - 54:21 THE [1] - 1:1 theories [1] - 34:9 Therese [3] - 2:17, 71:7, 72:13 **Thereupon** [1] - 94:6 they've [3] - 9:4, 34:21, 47:21 thinking [1] - 63:4 third [6] - 41:7, 41:8, 42:20, 65:22, 78:6, 80:9 third-party [2] - 42:20, 80:9 thorough [3] - 26:22, 39:3, 39:4 thousand [2] - 9:19, 57:8 thread [1] - 28:8 threatening [1] -28:17 three [10] - 9:13, 15:7,

15:14, 15:16, 15:17,

46:20, 65:12, 68:13,

68:17, 85:1

throughout [1] - 78:21 throwing [1] - 61:4 timer [1] - 23:20 tires [3] - 81:18, 81:22, 81:23 today [15] - 3:7, 5:23, 16:23, 20:17, 32:2, 33:21, 34:18, 38:22, 45:1, 46:2, 54:1, 61:9, 65:11, 85:15, 94:1 today's [1] - 67:10 together [4] - 22:23, 34:12, 34:13, 57:15 **TOLLIVER** [26] - 5:16, 13:18, 13:21, 16:8, 20:3, 21:7, 22:22, 38:3, 38:9, 38:11, 52:16, 52:20, 53:1, 59:13, 59:15, 59:20, 63:15, 67:17, 69:1, 70:1, 70:5, 70:20, 84:16, 85:4, 89:14, 91.4 Tolliver [12] - 2:4, 5:15, 16:12, 20:7, 21:10, 63:18, 67:16, 69:4, 70:3, 70:6, 71:1, 85:7 took [4] - 33:13, 36:19, 51:22, 74:19 tore [1] - 37:3 toward [1] - 76:4 towards [2] - 63:1, 67:9 Town [2] - 24:2, 25:2 track [1] - 9:16 training [1] - 91:23 trajectory [1] - 9:17 transcribed [1] - 95:8 transcript [1] - 95:6 transfer [2] - 8:12 transferred [1] - 26:12 transferring [1] - 8:10 transition [1] - 26:17 transitioning [2] -15:4, 15:19 Transportation [2] -87:5, 87:16 traveler [1] - 78:20 treated [3] - 37:17, 51:14, 76:22 tree [15] - 36:10, 36:12, 36:13, 36:14, 37:3, 37:6, 45:5, 45:17, 46:12, 46:18, 46:19, 47:1, 48:17, 53:17 trees [1] - 42:8 trend [1] - 9:5

trends [1] - 10:23 tried [2] - 76:8, 76:13 trouble [2] - 14:18, 14:20 truck [2] - 36:14, 81:20 trucking [1] - 17:18 true [5] - 39:18, 39:19, 48:14, 76:11, 95:5 trust [2] - 28:21, 35:8 try [5] - 74:10, 74:11, 91:16, 91:18, 92:6 trying [7] - 59:21, 62:3, 73:5, 75:4, 75:5, 76:23, 83:12 **Tuesday** [1] - 6:6 turned [1] - 82:10 two [48] - 6:6, 6:17, 6:21, 15:7, 15:14, 15:16, 15:22, 15:23, 16:1, 19:4, 21:2, 22:7, 22:8, 22:12, 24:13, 25:17, 35:18, 36:3, 37:21, 43:7, 45:21, 48:4, 49:7, 49:8, 51:10, 63:6, 63:8, 65:11, 66:2, 72:4, 72:5, 73:12, 74:7, 75:13, 77:3, 79:3, 79:12, 79:13, 79:16, 79:17, 79:18, 81:5, 82:17, 83:14, 91:17, 92:16 two-hour [1] - 91:17 types [2] - 50:2, 82:14 typically [1] - 92:8

ultimately [1] - 13:16 unchecked [1] - 26:7 unclear [1] - 55:6 uncontested [1] - 34:7 uncorrected [1] - 26:6 under [9] - 20:1, 29:4, 37:5, 44:15, 51:14, 54:10, 65:18, 67:20, undersigned [1] -95:2 unemployment [5] -73:6, 73:7, 75:17, 76:9, 76:17 unfair [1] - 77:1 unfairly [1] - 76:22 unhealthy [1] - 36:11 unimaginable [1] -24:13 unique [2] - 33:21, 55:21

U

United [1] - 51:17 University [3] - 23:23, 24:1, 24:11 unlawful [1] - 35:14 unless [2] - 13:11, 61:15 unnecessarily [1] -17:14 unnecessary [1] -82:22 untreated [1] - 74:21 **Unum** [2] - 80:9, 80:11 unusual [1] - 63:5 **up** [45] - 6:17, 6:23, 9:12, 9:13, 12:6, 12:9, 13:2, 14:18, 15:2, 15:5, 17:13, 31:6, 34:20, 36:5, 41:23, 46:11, 46:12, 55:17, 57:16, 59:16, 59:19, 64:4, 65:13, 73:4, 73:21, 75:3, 75:4, 75:17, 75:18, 75:19, 75:23, 76:1, 76:10, 77:5, 78:1, 78:15, 78:18, 81:16, 81:21, 82:4, 82:14, 83:1, 85:17, 86:10 upgraded [2] - 11:5, 72:16 upgrades [1] - 11:6 uphold [5] - 19:22, 67:18, 68:16, 70:16, 84:22 **ups** [4] - 76:10, 77:1, 77:2, 82:23 utilizing [1] - 11:17

#### V

vacant [1] - 33:5

**Valentine's** [1] - 74:15 Van [1] - 90:14 various [1] - 40:16 vehicle [3] - 78:7, 79:1, 83:17 vehicles [2] - 78:17, 78:21 **vendor** [1] - 80:9 ventilation [1] - 78:5 **venue** [1] - 50:3 verbal [1] - 77:4 verify [2] - 30:15, 61:18 versus [20] - 12:15, 16:20, 20:21, 21:18, 31:1, 67:13, 68:10, 69:12, 70:12, 71:7, 86:4, 86:18, 87:4, 87:10, 87:16, 88:8,

10:18. 10:20. 11:22. 14:7, 16:10, 21:8, 55:10, 58:3, 58:7, 58:11, 58:20, 59:6, 59:10, 59:14, 63:3, 65:5, 67:22, 69:22, 84:19, 84:21, 89:12, 90:21, 92:2, 92:7, 92:11, 92:15, 93:9, 93:20 Vice [15] - 4:17, 5:17, 16:12, 21:11, 22:3, 33:17, 54:19, 61:20, 62:23, 68:3, 71:9, 84:13, 84:14, 84:18, 89.17 VICE-CHAIR [30] -4:14, 5:18, 10:18, 10:20, 11:22, 14:7, 16:10, 21:8, 55:10, 58:3, 58:7, 58:11, 58:20, 59:6, 59:10, 59:14, 63:3, 65:5, 67:22, 69:22, 84:19, 84:21, 89:12, 90:21, 92:2, 92:7, 92:11, 92:15, 93:9, 93:20 Vice-Chair [15] - 4:17, 5:17, 16:12, 21:11, 22:3, 33:17, 54:19, 61:20, 62:23, 68:3, 71:9, 84:13, 84:14, 84:18, 89:17 Vincent [2] - 2:16, 31:11 violated [1] - 42:14 violating [3] - 29:3, 30:4, 42:11 VIVA [1] - 7:16 **volunteer** [1] - 6:18 volunteered [1] -50:13 volunteers [2] - 6:8, 6:14 Vonna [6] - 73:16, 73:19, 73:20, 74:5, 74:8, 74:10 vote [4] - 3:23, 5:4, 64:15, 86:14

89:1, 90:1, 90:14,

VICE [30] - 4:14, 5:18,

90.15

#### W

wait [1] - 5:4 walnut [2] - 36:13, 42:8 warned [1] - 46:21

vouch [1] - 93:11

warnings [1] - 77:5 Watkins [1] - 6:9 ways [1] - 40:3 wealthy [1] - 41:23 Wednesday [4] - 6:6, 75:18, 75:19, 76:2 week [4] - 7:15, 8:12, 49:7, 75:18 weekend [7] - 74:6, 74:9, 74:14, 74:15, 75:22, 76:3, 92:14 weekends [1] - 92:17 weeks [2] - 7:19, 15:7 weeks' [2] - 49:7, 49:9 welcome [1] - 12:4 wheat [1] - 32:4 whiff [1] - 35:17 white [4] - 32:20, 51:10, 62:2, 79:19 WHITSETT [5] - 72:10, 72:12, 77:9, 81:6, 83:11 Whitsett [19] - 2:17, 71:7, 72:13, 77:15, 77:17, 77:21, 77:23, 78:2, 78:8, 78:10, 78:15, 78:18, 79:2, 79:5, 79:20, 79:23, 80:14, 84:2, 84:3 whitsett's [1] - 79:10 wholesale [1] - 32:5 wife [6] - 24:5, 24:9, 27:17, 29:2, 29:6, 62:3 wife's [3] - 27:9, 27:12, 29:10 Wiggins [1] - 6:11 William [1] - 68:9 Williams [1] - 70:12 Wilson [1] - 90:11 window [1] - 34:16 withdraw [1] - 89:4 withdrawn [2] - 37:1, 37:2 withdrew [1] - 37:8 witnesses [2] - 25:5, 27:4 won [1] - 73:6 wonderful [1] - 28:19 workers [4] - 73:15, 75:12, 76:20 worth [1] - 9:23 write [5] - 75:4, 76:10, 77:1, 77:2, 82:23 write-ups [4] - 76:10, 77:1, 77:2, 82:23 written [3] - 78:1, 78:15, 78:18 wrote [11] - 73:4, 75:3,

75:17, 75:18, 75:19,

75:23, 76:1, 81:16, 81:21, 82:3, 83:1 **Wyndham** [1] - 67:14

### Υ

year [27] - 6:11, 8:7, 8:14, 9:11, 9:12, 9:15, 9:17, 9:21, 10:2, 10:3, 10:5, 10:6, 10:7, 10:9, 10:11, 10:12, 11:4, 11:5, 11:9, 26:12, 36:5, 42:19, 57:17, 62:19, 76:7, 76:8 year's [1] - 8:9 **years** [9] - 6:16, 23:5, 26:9, 33:22, 36:3, 47:22, 58:15, 63:6, 72:14 young [1] - 31:20 younger [4] - 73:12, 74:1, 75:13, 76:20 Yovanis [1] - 16:19 Yvette [1] - 2:11

# Ζ

**Zionsville** [4] - 25:2, 42:1, 51:8, 62:2 **Zoning** [1] - 24:2