Guiding Questions for Additional Evidence Review

Does the evidence provide an adequate representation of the student's independent English abilities? If 'Yes': If 'No':

It is evidence that could be considered in the review.

Consider evidence that provides enough depth and examples of their English abilities in an academic setting.

Is there longitudinal data available to be reviewed that may highlight a growth trajectory (i.e., historical ILEARN scores)?

If 'Yes':	If 'No':
Take this into consideration when reviewing the current data to see possible patterns of growth.	It may still be used. However, consider what other pieces of evidence have longitudinal data to show patterns in the student's English use and performance.

Is the evidence consistent with the student's performance on other schoolwork or assessments?			
If 'Yes':	If 'No':		
It is evidence that could be considered in the review.	Consider why it may not be. Find other pieces of evidence that offer a representative example of their independent English proficiency and performance.		

Does the evidence demonstrate that the student is proficient in English?			
If 'Yes':	If 'No':		
Make note on the <i>ILP Committee</i> Decision-Making Tool. Continue the review process, as applicable, to determine if other pieces of evidence also demonstrate proficiency.	Make note on the <i>ILP Committee Decision-Making Tool</i> . Continue the review process, as applicable; however, it may not be appropriate to exit the student from EL status.		

ILP	Committee	e Decision-Mak	ing Tool - W	VIDA ACCE	SS
Student Name:		STN: Grade for SY 25-26:			
ILP Committee Me	mbers:		Eligibility Assurances:		
EL Teacher of Reco	rd:		☐ Student meets eligibility outlined in the Exit		
Classroom Teacher	(s):		Criteria for ELs Guidance		
Administrator:			☐ WIDA ACCESS overall proficiency score between 4.3-4.9		
Parent/Guardian:			☐ Initial Review of Evidence		
Other members:			Initial Review of Evidence		
		WIDA ACCES	S Results		
Most recent scores: 2024-2025 Previous school year: Previous school year:					nool vear:
	ading:		Reading:	Listening:	Reading:
_	riting:		Vriting:	Speaking:	Writing:
Overall Composite Score	-	Overall Composite Score: Overall Composite Score:		-	
		Category A E	Evidence		
Type of evidence:					
Results:	ILP Committ	ee Notes:			
		Category B E	vidence		
Type of evidence:					
Results:	ILP Committ	ee Notes:			

Category A/B Evidence
Type of evidence:
Results: ILP Committee Notes:
Guiding Questions for Evidence Portfolio Review
Does the entire body of evidence support that the student is proficient in English and
 performing similarly to native English-speaking peers? Has the student demonstrated English proficiency for a sustained period of time to clearly show
 that they will continue along that trajectory? Is the student demonstrating proficiency in all four language domains (Listening, Speaking,
Reading, Writing)? • Would the student continue to demonstrate proficiency and academic success if EL services
and the ILP along with its accommodations and modifications are removed?
ILP Committee Decision
Provisional Decision of the ILP Committee (check one): Date:
Exit the student from EL services
☐ Continue EL services
5 W_bck`YX[a YbhcZDfcj]g]cbU`9 l]h8 YW[g]cb: ÓˆΑ&@&\ð̞*ΑσΦΑ΄δ[¢Α΄δ^ [¸Ε΄ΑΦΦΑ΄δ΄Σ΄ÚΑ΄Ô[{{ãσ^^Α΄ {^{à^}-♠σ&\}[¸ ^å*^ΑσΦΦΑ΄ΦΑ΄δ΄^&ōÃ, Α΄ξΑ΄ΦΘΑ΄ΦΑ΄Α Α΄Θ΄ Α΄ΦΘ΄ Α΄ΦΘ΄ Α΄ΦΘ΄ Α΄ΔΕ΄ Α΄ΦΟ΄ Α΄ΔΕ΄ Α΄ΔΑ΄ Α΄ΔΕ΄
Œ∏Á^``ã^åÁrçãå^}&^Á(`•ơÁs^Ár`à{ãcc^åÁçãæÁc©Á; [¸ã;*Á;¦{K <u>RÔ¢ãc^åÁÔŠÁÛc`å^}ơÁx^¦ãã&æãi}</u> Á <u>Ù`à{ã•ã}</u>
☐ The ILP Committee acknowledges and agrees to the assurance statement above.
ILP Committee Signatures:
EL Teacher of Record:
Classroom Teacher(s): Administrator:
Parent/Guardian:
Other members:
Notes: