

Appendix E-47: Generalist

(overwintering habitats, nesting and staging sites)

Genetic pollution (hybridization)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	33% (2)	67% (4)	0% (0)	6
Unknown	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	80% (4)	5
Other (please specify below)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (4)	4
Total Respondents							63

8. Other threats to the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana.

1. Urban sprawl, the attendant loss of habitat and added roads, traffic and human interference.
2. Although not habitat specific, the inability to responsibly and proactively manage coyotes according to the wildlife conservation model, as opposed to reactive measures through nuisance practices, is a concern regarding the conservation of coyotes. This concern applies across the landscape, not just in urban and suburban environments.
3. Although not habitat specific, the inability to responsibly and proactively manage opossums according to the wildlife conservation model, as opposed to reactive measures through nuisance practices, is a concern regarding the conservation of opossums. This concern applies across the landscape, not just in urban and suburban environments.
4. Although not habitat specific, the inability to responsibly and proactively manage raccoons according to the wildlife conservation model, as opposed to reactive measures through nuisance practices, is a major concern regarding the conservation of raccoons. This concern applies across the landscape, not just in urban and suburban environments.

Total Respondents 4

9. Please briefly describe the top two threats to the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana identified above.

1. Coyotes are highly adaptable and are seemingly expanding their numbers across the state. People are generally "anti-coyote" fearing predation on pets, livestock and wildlife.
 2. The species in Generalist habitats faces few if any threats.
 3. As above
 4. As 8 above
 5. Exclusion of maternity colonies from buildings
- Build-up of dense urban development around roost location without adequate greenspace for foraging.
6. As 8 above

Total Respondents 6

10. Please rank the following threats to the HABITAT of the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana.

	Critical threat	Serious threat	Somewhat of a threat	Slight threat	No threat	Unknown	Response Total
--	-----------------	----------------	----------------------	---------------	-----------	---------	----------------

Appendix E-47: Generalist

Commercial or residential development (sprawl)	0% (0)	33% (2)	0% (0)	17% (1)	50% (3)	0% (0)	6
Counterproductive financial incentives or regulations	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	20% (1)	0% (0)	60% (3)	5
Invasive/non-native species	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	67% (4)	17% (1)	6
Nonpoint source pollution (sedimentation and nutrients)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	17% (1)	50% (3)	17% (1)	6
Habitat fragmentation	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (3)	50% (3)	0% (0)	6
Successional change	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	67% (2)	33% (1)	0% (0)	3
Diseases (of plants that create habitat)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	83% (5)	17% (1)	6
Habitat degradation	0% (0)	0% (0)	33% (2)	17% (1)	50% (3)	0% (0)	6
Climate change	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	67% (2)	33% (1)	3
Stream channelization	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	0% (0)	67% (4)	17% (1)	6
Impoundment of water/flow regulation	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	83% (5)	17% (1)	6
Agricultural/forestry practices	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	67% (2)	33% (1)	0% (0)	3
Residual contamination (persistent toxins)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	17% (1)	50% (3)	17% (1)	6
Point source pollution (continuing)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	17% (1)	50% (3)	17% (1)	6
Mining/acidification	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	33% (2)	67% (4)	0% (0)	6
Drainage practices (stormwater runoff)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	83% (5)	17% (1)	6
Unknown	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	0% (0)	80% (4)	5
Other (please specify below)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (4)	4
Total Respondents							95

11. Other HABITAT threats to the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana.

1. In question #10, the participant has to speculate about the meaning of successional change. Is a "change" an increase or decrease in early or late successional habitats? Climate change also is speculative. Agriculture/Forestry practices have different effects. Grouping these practices into one category does not appropriately represent the individual practice.

2. In question #10, the participant has to speculate about the meaning of successional change. Is a "change" an increase or decrease in early successional habitats? Climate change also is speculative. Agriculture/Forestry practices may have different effects. Grouping these practices into a single category does not appropriately represent each individual practice.

3. In question #10, the participant has to speculate about the meaning of successional change. Is a "change" an increase or decrease in early successional habitats? Climate change also is speculative. Agriculture/Forestry practices have different effects. Grouping these practices into a single category does not appropriately represent each individual practice.

Total Respondents **3**

Appendix E-47: Generalist

12. Please briefly describe the top two HABITAT threats to the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana identified above.

1. 1) Urban sprawl
- 2) Ag/Forestry (mostly ag)

Total Respondents 1

13. What current monitoring efforts by state agencies are you aware of for the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana?

	Yes, these efforts occur	Not aware of these efforts occurring	Response Total
Statewide year-round monitoring conducted by state agencies	0% (0)	100% (6)	6
Statewide once a year monitoring conducted by state agencies	33% (2)	67% (4)	6
Periodic statewide (less than once a year but still regularly scheduled) monitoring conducted by state agencies	0% (0)	100% (6)	6
Occasional statewide (less than once a year and not regularly scheduled) monitoring conducted by state agencies	17% (1)	83% (5)	6
Regional or local year-round monitoring conducted by state agencies	0% (0)	100% (6)	6
Regional or local once a year monitoring conducted by state agencies	33% (2)	67% (4)	6
Periodic regional or local (less than once a year but still regularly scheduled) monitoring conducted by state agencies	0% (0)	100% (6)	6
Occasional regional or local (less than once a year and not regularly scheduled) monitoring conducted by state agencies	17% (1)	83% (5)	6
Total Respondents			48

14. What current monitoring efforts by other organizations are you aware of for the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana?

	Yes, these efforts occur	Not aware of these efforts occurring	Response Total
Statewide year-round monitoring conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	100% (6)	6
Statewide once a year monitoring conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	100% (6)	6
Periodic statewide (less than once a year but still regularly scheduled) monitoring conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	100% (6)	6
Occasional statewide (less than once a year and not regularly scheduled) monitoring conducted by other organizations	17% (1)	83% (5)	6

Appendix E-47: Generalist

Regional or local year-round monitoring conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	100% (6)	6
Regional or local once a year monitoring conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	100% (6)	6
Periodic regional or local (less than once a year but still regularly scheduled) monitoring conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	100% (6)	6
Occasional regional or local (less than once a year and not regularly scheduled) monitoring conducted by other organizations	17% (1)	83% (5)	6
Total Respondents			48

15. How crucial are these monitoring efforts by state agencies for the conservation of the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana?

	Very crucial	Somewhat crucial	Slightly crucial	Not crucial	Unknown	Response Total
Statewide year-round monitoring conducted by state agencies	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	83% (5)	17% (1)	6
Statewide once a year monitoring conducted by state agencies	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	83% (5)	0% (0)	6
Periodic statewide (less than once a year but still regularly scheduled) monitoring conducted by state agencies	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	83% (5)	17% (1)	6
Occasional statewide (less than once a year and not regularly scheduled) monitoring conducted by state agencies	0% (0)	17% (1)	0% (0)	67% (4)	17% (1)	6
Regional or local year-round monitoring conducted by state agencies	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	83% (5)	17% (1)	6
Regional or local once a year monitoring conducted by state agencies	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	83% (5)	17% (1)	6
Periodic regional or local (less than once a year but still regularly scheduled) monitoring conducted by state agencies	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	83% (5)	17% (1)	6
Occasional regional or local (less than once a year and not regularly scheduled) monitoring conducted by state agencies	0% (0)	17% (1)	0% (0)	67% (4)	17% (1)	6
Total Respondents						48

16. How crucial are these monitoring efforts by other organizations for the conservation of the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana?

	Very crucial	Somewhat crucial	Slightly crucial	Not crucial	Unknown	Response Total
Statewide year-round monitoring conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	33% (2)	67% (4)	6
Statewide once a year monitoring conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	33% (2)	67% (4)	6

Appendix E-47: Generalist

Periodic statewide (less than once a year but still regularly scheduled) monitoring conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	33% (2)	67% (4)	6
Occasional statewide (less than once a year and not regularly scheduled) monitoring conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	17% (1)	0% (0)	17% (1)	67% (4)	6
Regional or local year-round monitoring conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	33% (2)	67% (4)	6
Regional or local once a year monitoring conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	33% (2)	67% (4)	6
Periodic regional or local (less than once a year but still regularly scheduled) monitoring conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	33% (2)	67% (4)	6
Occasional regional or local (less than once a year and not regularly scheduled) monitoring conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	17% (1)	0% (0)	17% (1)	67% (4)	6
Total Respondents						48

17. Regional or local state agency monitoring for the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana.

1. The only monitoring I know of for coyotes is the furharvest report and they might be included on small game harvest questionnaires.
2. statewide
3. Indiana Division of Fish and Wildlife. Population monitoring efforts at the state, regional and local scales are occurring to obtain annual population trends but they are not habitat specific nor do they encompass all habitat types associated with generalist species.
4. State Rabies Lab
DNR monitoring records for bat mistnet captures

Total Respondents 3

18. Regional or local monitoring by other organizations for the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana.

Indiana State University- most recently by John O. Whitaker, Jr. (Public survey soliciting for information on known bat locations)

Total Respondents 1

19. Please list organizations that are monitoring the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana.

1. There may be some informal monitoring by Farm Bureau or other agricultural groups but if so, it would probably be to prove there are too many.

Appendix E-47: Generalist

2. IDNR

3. Indiana Division of Fish and Wildlife. IDF&W uses professional surveys to monitor annual population trends at the state, regional and local scales. However, monitoring is not a means to associate opossum activity with particular habitats, as inferred in the questionnaire.

4. Indiana Division of Fish and Wildlife. IDF&W uses a road-kill survey to monitor annual trends in raccoon populations at the state, regional and local scales. However, monitoring is not a means to associate raccoon activity with particular habitats, as inferred on the questionnaire.

Total Respondents 4

20. What are the current monitoring techniques for the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana?

	Frequently used	Occasionally used	Not used but possible with existing technology and data	Not used and not possible with existing technology and data	Not economically feasible	Unknown	Response Total
Radio telemetry and tracking	0% (0)	50% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (1)	2
Modeling	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (1)	2
Coverboard routes	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (1)	1
Spot mapping	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (1)	1
Driving a survey route	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (1)	1
Reporting from harvest, depredation, or unintentional take (road kill, bycatch)	100% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	2
Mark and recapture	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (1)	2
Professional survey/census	50% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (1)	2
Volunteer survey/census	50% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (1)	2
Trapping (by any technique)	67% (2)	33% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	3
Representative sites	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (1)	1
Probabilistic sites	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (1)	1
Other (please specify below)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (1)	1

Appendix E-47: Generalist

Total Respondents 21

21. Other monitoring techniques for the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana.

1. coyote "howling" counts

Reports of coyote depredation on pets or livestock

2. IDF&W uses professional survey/census to monitor annual population trends but, here again, it is not means to associate raccoon activity within all generalist habitat types.

Total Respondents 2

22. What one or two monitoring techniques would you recommend for effective conservation of the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana?

1. Harvest information
Depredation information

2. IDF&W uses Harvest Reports and Professional Surveys. However, these techniques are not habitat specific nor do they cover the full spectrum of habitats associated with generalist species.

3. IDF&W uses Harvest Reports and Professional Surveys. However, these techniques are not habitat specific nor do they cover the full spectrum of habitats associated with generalist species.

4. Mark-Recapture monitoring of representative colonies across the state.

Survey a sample of Indiana residents every 10 years as to whether they have bats in their home. (Follow-up affirmative responses with a visit to confirm species)

Total Respondents 4

23. What current HABITAT inventory and assessment efforts or activities by state agencies are you aware of for the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana?

	Yes, these efforts occur	No effort that I'm aware of	Response Total
Statewide annual inventory and assessment conducted by state agencies	0% (0)	100% (5)	5
Statewide once a year inventory and assessment conducted by state agencies	0% (0)	100% (5)	5
Periodic statewide (less than once a year but still regularly scheduled) inventory and assessment conducted by state agencies	0% (0)	100% (5)	5
Occasional statewide (less than once a year and not regularly scheduled) inventory and assessment conducted by state agencies	0% (0)	100% (5)	5
Regional or local year-round inventory and assessment	0% (0)	100% (5)	5

Appendix E-47: Generalist

conducted by state agencies			
Regional or local once a year inventory and assessment conducted by state agencies	0% (0)	100% (5)	5
Periodic regional or local (less than once a year but still regularly scheduled) inventory and assessment conducted by state agencies	0% (0)	100% (5)	5
Occasional regional or local (less than once a year and not regularly scheduled) inventory and assessment conducted by state agencies	0% (0)	100% (5)	5
Total Respondents			40

24. What current HABITAT inventory and assessment efforts or activities by other organizations are you aware of for the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana?

	Yes, these efforts occur	No effort that I'm aware of	Response Total
Statewide year-round inventory and assessment conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	100% (5)	5
Statewide once a year inventory and assessment conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	100% (5)	5
Periodic statewide (less than once a year but still regularly scheduled) inventory and assessment conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	100% (5)	5
Occasional statewide (less than once a year and not regularly scheduled) inventory and assessment conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	100% (5)	5
Regional or local year-round inventory and assessment conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	100% (5)	5
Regional or local once a year inventory and assessment conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	100% (5)	5
Periodic regional or local (less than once a year but still regularly scheduled) inventory and assessment conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	100% (5)	5
Occasional regional or local (less than once a year and not regularly scheduled) inventory and assessment conducted by other organizations	0% (0)	100% (5)	5
Total Respondents			40

Appendix E-47: Generalist

30.

What are the current monitoring techniques for the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana?

If a technique is not applicable to the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat do not select a response in that row.

	Frequently used	Occasionally used	Not used but possible with existing technology and data	Not used and not possible with existing technology and data	Not economically feasible	Unknown	Response Total
GIS mapping	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	80% (4)	5
Aerial photography and analysis	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	80% (4)	5
Systematic sampling	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	80% (4)	5
Property tax estimates	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (5)	5
State revenue data	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (5)	5
Regulatory information	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (5)	5
Participation in landuse programs	20% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	80% (4)	5
Modeling	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	80% (4)	5
Voluntary landowner reporting	0% (0)	20% (1)	20% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	60% (3)	5
Other (please specify below)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (4)	4
Total Respondents							49

31.

Other HABITAT inventory and assessment techniques for the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana.

No responses were entered for this question.

Total Respondents 0

32.

What one or two HABITAT inventory and assessment techniques would you recommend for effective conservation of the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana?

GIS mapping or examination of aerial photos

Total Respondents 1

Appendix E-47: Generalist

33. What is the current body of science for the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana?

		Response Total	Response Percent
Complete, up to date and extensive		1	17%
Adequate	█	1	17%
Inadequate		1	17%
Nonexistent		0	0%
Other (please explain below)	<p>1. There is very little habitat specific research on coyotes in IN. Particularly when generalizing across generalist habitat types.</p> <p>2. I am not aware of any opossum literature as it pertains to generalist habitats in Indiana.</p> <p>3. Literature focuses on rural, as opposed to urban, areas and therefore does not encompass all the habitats used by generalist.</p>	3	50%
Total Respondents		6	

34. Please provide a citation (title, author, date, publisher) that would give the best overview of the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana, if available. This resource may be used if further detail is needed.

		Response Total	Response Percent
Title	<p>1. Mammels of Indiana</p> <p>2. Ecology of coyotes as influenced by landscape fragmentation</p> <p>3. Raccoon density, home range, and habitat use on south-central Indiana farmland.</p>	3	100%
Author	<p>1. Mumford/Whitaker</p> <p>2. Todd Attwood</p> <p>3. Larry Lehman</p>	3	100%
Date	<p>1. 1982</p> <p>2. May 2002</p> <p>3. 1984</p>	3	100%
Publisher	<p>1. IU Press</p> <p>2. Purdue University</p> <p>3. IDF&W</p>	3	100%
Total Respondents		3	

Appendix E-47: Generalist

35. If possible, please provide a second citation (title, author, date, publisher) that would give another good overview of the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana. This resource may also be used if further detail is needed.

		Response Total	Response Percent
Title		0	0%
Author		0	0%
Date		0	0%
Publisher		0	0%
Total Respondents		0	

36. What is the current HABITAT body of science for the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana?

		Response Total	Response Percent
Complete, up to date and extensive		1	20%
Adequate		1	20%
Inadequate		0	0%
Nonexistent		0	0%
Other (please explain below)	1. Unknown		
	2. unknown	3	60%
	3. unknown		
Total Respondents		5	

37. Please provide a citation (title, author, date, publisher) that would give the best HABITAT overview of the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana, if available. This resource may be used if further detail is needed.

		Response Total	Response Percent
Title		0	0%
Author		0	0%
Date		0	0%
Publisher		0	0%
Total Respondents		0	

38. If possible, please provide a second citation (title, author, date, publisher) that would give another good HABITAT overview of the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana. This resource may also be used if further detail is needed.

Appendix E-47: Generalist

	Response Total	Response Percent
Title	0	0%
Author	0	0%
Date	0	0%
Publisher	0	0%
Total Respondents	0	

39. What are the research needs for the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana?

	Urgently needed	Greatly needed	Needed	Slightly needed	Not needed	Unknown	Response Total
Life cycle	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	83% (5)	0% (0)	6
Distribution and abundance	0% (0)	17% (1)	0% (0)	33% (2)	50% (3)	0% (0)	6
Limiting factors (food, shelter, water, breeding sites)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	17% (1)	67% (4)	0% (0)	6
Threats (predators/competition, contamination)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17% (1)	33% (2)	50% (3)	0% (0)	6
Relationship/dependence on specific habitats	0% (0)	17% (1)	0% (0)	17% (1)	67% (4)	0% (0)	6
Population health (genetic and physical)	0% (0)	17% (1)	33% (2)	33% (2)	17% (1)	0% (0)	6
Other (please specify below)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (4)	4
Total Respondents							40

40. Other research needs for the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana.

1. The above research needs are at the landscape level not strictly habitat specific.
2. The above research needs are needed on a landscape scale, not habitat specific.

Total Respondents 2

41. What are the HABITAT research needs for the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana?

	Urgently needed	Greatly needed	Needed	Slightly needed	Not needed	Unknown	Response Total
Successional changes	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	80% (4)	0% (0)	5
Distribution and abundance (fragmentation)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (5)	0% (0)	5
Threats (land use change/competition,	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (2)	60% (3)	0% (0)	5

Appendix E-47: Generalist

contamination/global warming)								
Relationship/dependence on specific site conditions	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	80% (4)	20% (1)	5	
Growth and development of individual components of the habitat	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	80% (4)	20% (1)	5	
Other (please specify below)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (4)	4	
							Total Respondents	29

42. Other HABITAT research needs for the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana.

No responses were entered for this question.

Total Respondents **0**

43. How well do the following conservation efforts address the threats to the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana?

	Very well	Somewhat	Not at all	Not used	Unknown	Response Total
Habitat protection (use below for details)	20% (1)	20% (1)	60% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	5
Population management (hunting, trapping)	40% (2)	60% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	5
Population enhancement (captive breeding and release)	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	80% (4)	0% (0)	5
Reintroduction (restoration)	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	80% (4)	0% (0)	5
Food plots	0% (0)	20% (1)	20% (1)	40% (2)	20% (1)	5
Threats reduction	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	20% (1)	60% (3)	5
Native predator control	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (2)	60% (3)	0% (0)	5
Exotic/invasive species control	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (2)	40% (2)	20% (1)	5
Regulation of collecting	20% (1)	20% (1)	20% (1)	40% (2)	0% (0)	5
Disease/parasite management	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (5)	0% (0)	5
Translocation to new geographic range	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	80% (4)	0% (0)	5
Protection of migration routes	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	80% (4)	0% (0)	5
Limiting contact with pollutants/contaminants	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	60% (3)	20% (1)	5
Public education to reduce human disturbance	0% (0)	0% (0)	60% (3)	20% (1)	20% (1)	5
Culling/selective removal	20% (1)	0% (0)	20% (1)	60% (3)	0% (0)	5
Stocking	0% (0)	0% (0)	20% (1)	80% (4)	0% (0)	5
Other (please specify below)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (4)	4

Appendix E-47: Generalist

Total Respondents 84

44. Other current conservation practices for the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana.

No responses were entered for this question.

Total Respondents 0

45. What one or two specific practices would you recommend for more effective conservation of the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana?

1. See #43. In addition, although not habitat specific, outreach programs are needed to effectively and accurately educate citizens about wildlife (game and non-game), the wildlife conservation model (for game and non-game) and the need for effective coyote management programs.

2. See #43. In addition, although not habitat specific, outreach programs are needed to effectively and accurately educate citizens about wildlife (game and non-game), the wildlife conservation model (for game and non-game) and effective opossum management and it's alternatives.

3. Protect bats as part of historic home preservation.

Further research into how to allow peaceful and safe coexistence between bats and homeowners.

4. See #43. In addition, although not habitat specific, outreach programs are needed to effectively and accurately educate citizens about wildlife (game and non-game), the wildlife conservation model (for game and non-game) and effective raccoon management programs.

Total Respondents 4

46. How well do the following conservation efforts address the HABITAT threats to the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana?

	Very well	Somewhat	Not at all	Not used	Unknown	Response Total
Habitat protection through regulation	0% (0)	40% (2)	60% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	5
Habitat protection on public lands	0% (0)	40% (2)	60% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	5
Habitat protection incentives (financial)	0% (0)	40% (2)	60% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	5
Habitat restoration through regulation	0% (0)	40% (2)	60% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	5
Habitat restoration on public lands	0% (0)	40% (2)	60% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	5
Habitat restoration incentives (financial)	0% (0)	40% (2)	60% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	5
Artificial habitat creation (artificial reefs, nesting platforms)	0% (0)	0% (0)	60% (3)	40% (2)	0% (0)	5
Selective use of functionally equivalent exotic species in place of extirpated natives	0% (0)	0% (0)	60% (3)	20% (1)	20% (1)	5
Succession control (fire, mowing)	0% (0)	40% (2)	20% (1)	40% (2)	0% (0)	5
Corridor development/protection	0% (0)	40% (2)	60% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	5

Appendix E-47: Generalist

Managing water regimes	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (2)	40% (2)	20% (1)	5	
Pollution reduction	0% (0)	0% (0)	80% (4)	0% (0)	20% (1)	5	
Protection of adjacent buffer zone	0% (0)	40% (2)	60% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	5	
Restrict public access and disturbance	0% (0)	20% (1)	60% (3)	0% (0)	20% (1)	5	
Land use planning	0% (0)	0% (0)	60% (3)	20% (1)	20% (1)	5	
Technical assistance	0% (0)	20% (1)	40% (2)	20% (1)	20% (1)	5	
Cooperative land management agreements (conservation easements)	0% (0)	40% (2)	40% (2)	0% (0)	20% (1)	5	
Other (please specify below)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (4)	4	
						Total Respondents	89

47. Other current HABITAT conservation practices for the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana.

No responses were entered for this question.

Total Respondents 0

48. What one or two specific HABITAT practices would you recommend for more effective conservation of the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat in Indiana?

No responses were entered for this question.

Total Respondents 0

49. Do you have any additional comments or information on the Wildlife in Generalist Habitat that you feel would be useful in the development of the Indiana Comprehensive Wildlife Strategy?

Historical records show that coyotes were present in Indiana in settlement times. Ever since, one of the goals of the residents of the state seemed to be to eliminate them. Poisoning, unregulated hunting, virtually no closed season on hunting/trapping, paying bounties have done little to reduce the population. In fact, some evidence points to an increasing population in spite of all these attempts. About the only real threat to coyotes would be urban sprawl cutting into their numbers or over-population creating an outbreak of mange or disease. Coyotes will be a part of Indiana's wildlife for a long time.

Total Respondents 1