



STATE HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT PROGRAM

Scoring Criteria

This document details how SHSP grant applications will be scored during the review process.

Eligible type of organization?	Yes	5 points
	No	0 points

What type of priority was chosen?	National Priority
	Enduring Needs

Specific priority type? Only choose one.	Cyber
	Soft Target
	Information and Intelligence
	Domestic Violent Extremism
	Emergent Threats
	Election Safety
	Local

Core capability	Non-allowable core capability	0 points
	Low Priority	1 point
	Medium Priority	3 points
	High Priority	5 points

How does the project connect to core capability/gaps identified?	Project not connected to the core capability chosen.	0 points
	Project provided very minimal connection to core capability.	1 point
	Project provided adequate connection to core capability.	3 points
	Project provided multiple detailed and specific connections to the chosen Core capability.	5 points

Are the items allowable?	Non-allowable items	0 points
	Some items are allowable, some not	3 points
	All items are allowable	5 points

How well does the applicant tie their project to terrorism?	Does not mention terrorism.	0 points
	Rarely mentions terrorism and there is no clear tie to the project.	1 point
	Rare mention of terrorism with a weak tie to project.	2 points
	Adequate mention of terrorism and adequate tie to project.	3 points
	Good amount of mentions of terrorism and good tie to the project.	4 points
	Provided multiple detailed and specific mentions of terrorism that directly tie into the project.	5 points

How well does the project include collaboration with mentioned entities?	Applicant does not mention any collaboration.	0 points
	Applicant mentions minimal collaborative efforts in their project.	1 point
	Applicant mentions adequate collaborative efforts in their project.	3 points
	Applicant mentions multiple distinct collaborative efforts in the project.	5 points

Has the subgrantee been funded by shsp in the past three years?	Yes	0 points
	No	5 points

Is the applicant a part of an underserved community?	Applicant is not a part of an underserved community.	0 points
	Applicant is a part of an underserved community but gave minimal explanation.	3 points
	Applicant is a part of an underserved community and gave good explanation of that in the application.	5 points

Is the problem significant enough to warrant funding?	Does not warrant funding, no connection to scope of the grant.	0 points
	Has minimal connection to scope of grant.	1 point
	Has a connection to grant, but it is weak.	2 points
	Has an adequate connection to scope but doesn't go above and beyond in application detail.	3 points
	Has a good connection to the scope of the grant.	4 points
	Goes above and beyond in the scope of the grant and goes in depth about the connection between the project and scope.	5 points