STATE HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT PROGRAM
SCORING CRITERIA

This document details how SHSP grant applications will be scored during the review process.

ELIGIBLE TYPE OF ORGANIZATION?	5 points – Yes
	0 points – No

WHAT TYPE OF PRIORITY WAS CHOSEN?	National Priority
	Enduring Needs

SPECIFIC PRIORITY TYPE? ONE CHOSEN	Cyber
	Soft Target
	Information and Intelligence
	Domestic Violent Extremism
	Emergent Threats
	Election Security
	Local

CORE CAPABILITY	0 points – Non-allowable Core Capability
	1 point – Low Priority
	3 points – Medium Priority
	5 points – High Priority
HOW DOES PROJECT CONNECT TO CORE CAPABILITY/GAPS IDENTIFIED?	0 points – Project not connected to the core capability chosen.
	1 point – Project provided very minimal connection to Core Capability.
	3 points – Project provided adequate connection to Core capability.
	5 points – Project provided multiple detailed and specific connections to the chosen Core capability.

ARE THE ITEMS ALLOWABLE?	0 points – Non-allowable items.
	3 points – Some items are allowable, some not.
	5 points – All items are allowable.

HOW WELL DOES THE APPLICANT TIE THEIR PROJECT TO TERRORISM?	0 points – Does not mention terrorism.
	1 point – Rarely mentions terrorism and there is no clear tie to the project.
	2 points – Rare mention of terrorism with a weak tie to project.
	3 points – Adequate mention of terrorism and adequate tie to project.
	4 points – Good amount of mentions of terrorism and good tie to the project.
	5 points – Provided multiple detailed and specific mentions of terrorism that directly tie into the project.

HOW WELL DOES THE PROJECT INCLUDE COLLABORATION WITH MENTIONED ENTITIES?	0 points – Applicant does not mention any collaboration.
	1 point – Applicant mentions minimal collaborative efforts in their project.
	3 points – Applicant mentions adequate collaborative efforts in their project.
	5 points – Applicant mentions multiple distinct collaborative efforts in the project.

HAS THE SUBGRANTEE BEEN FUNDED BY SHSP IN THE PAST THREE YEARS?	0 points – Yes
	5 points – No

IS THE APPLICANT A PART OF AN UNDERSERVED COMMUNITY?	0 points – Applicant is not a part of an underserved community.
	3 points – Applicant is a part of an underserved community but gave minimal explanation.
	5 points – Applicant is a part of an underserved community and gave good explanation of that in the application.

IS THE PROBLEM SIGNIFICANT ENOUGH TO WARRANT FUNDING?	0 points – Does not warrant funding, no connection to scope of the grant.
	1 point – Has minimal connection to scope of grant.
	2 points – Has a connection to grant, but it is weak.
	3 points – Has an adequate connection to scope but doesn't go above and beyond in application detail.
	4 points – Has a good connection to the scope of the grant.
	5 points – Goes above and beyond in the scope of the grant and goes in depth about the connection between the project and scope.