




INDIANA
DEPARTMENT OF
CHILD
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,
families and future*

Department of Child Services Practice Indicator Reports For February 2011



Region 11 February 2011

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published
March 2011**



DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For February 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

Reports Taken – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

Count – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

Percent Resulting in Screen Outs – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

Assessments Substantiated – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

Count – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

Percent Resulting in Removal – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For February 2011

February 2011

Location	Reports Taken For Dec 2010 to Feb 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Dec 2010 to Feb 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	34,738	34.9%	5,149	30.8%
Region 11	1,543	37.78%	268	23.51%
Hamilton	499	41.5%	46	19.6%
Hancock	246	40.7%	50	40.0%
Madison	744	34.1%	157	19.1%
Tipton	54	40.7%	15	26.7%


Statewide Percent Removal Trend



Region 11 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Reports Taken For Dec 2010 to Feb 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Dec 2010 to Feb 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Feb 2011	1,543	37.8%	268	23.5%
Jan 2011	1,727	36.9%	273	21.6%
Dec 2010	1,794	35.6%	281	20.6%
Nov 2010	1,975	34.7%	277	18.1%
Oct 2010	1,843	34.6%	257	21.4%
Sep 2010	1,694	36.0%	301	36.9%
Aug 2010	1,566	35.4%	307	39.7%
Jul 2010	1,610	31.5%	337	37.4%
Jun 2010	1,706	26.6%	289	26.6%
May 2010	1,748	23.2%	307	27.7%
Apr 2010	1,610	18.8%	292	33.2%
Mar 2010	1,508	17.7%	258	30.2%
Feb 2010	1,320	17.8%	268	22.4%

Region 11 Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For February 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered "in home".

Total CHINS Count – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

CHINS – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

In Home – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Foster Care – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Out of Home Placements – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Relative Homes – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Foster Homes – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Residential – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Other – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For February 2011

February 2011

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14102	4181	29.6%	9,921	70.4%	3507	35.3%	5235	52.8%	956	9.6%	223	2.2%
Region 11	530	143	27.0%	387	73.0%	137	35.4%	207	53.5%	37	9.6%	6	1.6%
Hamilton	106	40	37.7%	66	62.3%	24	36.4%	36	54.5%	4	6.1%	2	3.0%
Hancock	83	34	41.0%	49	59.0%	16	32.7%	27	55.1%	4	8.2%	2	4.1%
Madison	319	69	21.6%	250	78.4%	87	34.8%	132	52.8%	29	11.6%	2	0.8%
Tipton	22	0	0.0%	22	#####	10	45.5%	12	54.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%


Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Region 11 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Feb 2011	530	143	27.0%	387	73.0%	137	35.4%	207	53.5%	37	9.6%	6	1.6%
Jan 2011	547	166	30.3%	381	69.7%	142	37.3%	193	50.7%	41	10.8%	5	1.3%
Dec 2010	557	162	29.1%	395	70.9%	136	34.4%	214	54.2%	40	10.1%	5	1.3%
Nov 2010	541	132	24.4%	409	75.6%	142	34.7%	220	53.8%	39	9.5%	8	2.0%
Oct 2010	547	126	23.0%	421	77.0%	141	33.5%	227	53.9%	43	10.2%	10	2.4%
Sep 2010	546	115	21.1%	431	78.9%	148	34.3%	228	52.9%	43	10.0%	12	2.8%
Aug 2010	556	124	22.3%	432	77.7%	142	32.9%	237	54.9%	41	9.5%	12	2.8%
Jul 2010	588	142	24.1%	446	75.9%	154	34.5%	233	52.2%	48	10.8%	11	2.5%
Jun 2010	617	150	24.3%	467	75.7%	173	37.0%	235	50.3%	47	10.1%	12	2.6%
May 2010	600	156	26.0%	444	74.0%	169	38.1%	214	48.2%	54	12.2%	7	1.6%
Apr 2010	588	152	25.9%	436	74.1%	149	34.2%	217	49.8%	62	14.2%	8	1.8%
Mar 2010	579	153	26.4%	426	73.6%	150	35.2%	215	50.5%	55	12.9%	6	1.4%
Feb 2010	564	151	26.8%	413	73.2%	148	35.8%	209	50.6%	50	12.1%	6	1.5%

Region 11 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

February 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

Total CHINS Count – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

In Home or Relative Care – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Target (At or Above) % – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

Non Relative Foster Care – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Target (At or below) % – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For February 2011

February 2011

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	14102	7688	54.5%	50.0%	6,414	45.5%	50.0%
Region 11	530	280	52.8%	50.0%	250	47.2%	50.0%
Hamilton	106	64	60.4%	50.0%	42	39.6%	50.0%
Hancock	83	50	60.2%	50.0%	33	39.8%	50.0%
Madison	319	156	48.9%	50.0%	163	51.1%	50.0%
Tipton	22	10	45.5%	50.0%	12	54.5%	50.0%


Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Region 11 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
February 2011	530	280	52.8%	50.0%	250	47.2%	50.0%
January 2011	547	308	56.3%	50.0%	239	43.7%	50.0%
December 2010	557	298	53.5%	50.0%	259	46.5%	50.0%
November 2010	541	274	50.6%	50.0%	267	49.4%	50.0%
October 2010	547	267	48.8%	50.0%	280	51.2%	50.0%
September 2010	546	263	48.2%	50.0%	283	51.8%	50.0%
August 2010	556	266	47.8%	50.0%	290	52.2%	50.0%
July 2010	588	296	50.3%	50.0%	292	49.7%	50.0%
June 2010	617	323	52.4%	50.0%	294	47.6%	50.0%
May 2010	600	325	54.2%	50.0%	275	45.8%	50.0%
April 2010	588	301	51.2%	50.0%	287	48.8%	50.0%
March 2010	579	303	52.3%	50.0%	276	47.7%	50.0%
February 2010	564	299	53.0%	50.0%	265	47.0%	50.0%

Region 11 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

Total Out of Home Placements – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

Placed in Same County – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

Percent Locally Placed – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2011

February 2011

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9,921	7,093	71.5%
Region 11	387	219	56.6%
Hamilton	66	52	78.8%
Hancock	49	29	59.2%
Madison	250	127	50.8%
Tipton	22	11	50.0%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 11 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Feb 2011	387	219	56.6%
Jan 2011	381	203	53.3%
Dec 2010	395	229	58.0%
Nov 2010	409	235	57.5%
Oct 2010	421	247	58.7%
Sep 2010	431	257	59.6%
Aug 2010	432	258	59.7%
Jul 2010	446	277	62.1%
Jun 2010	467	286	61.2%
May 2010	444	270	60.8%
Apr 2010	436	262	60.1%
Mar 2010	426	263	61.7%
Feb 2010	413	263	63.7%

Region 11 Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For February 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

Average Number of Placements Per Child – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For February 2010 to February 2011

February 2011

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 11	2.3
Hamilton	2.1
Hancock	2.1
Madison	2.4
Tipton	2.0


Statewide Average Number of Placements



Region 11 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
February 2011	2.3
January 2011	2.2
December 2010	2.2
November 2010	2.2
October 2010	2.2
September 2010	2.2
August 2010	2.2
July 2010	2.3
June 2010	2.3
May 2010	2.4
April 2010	2.3
March 2010	2.4
February 2010	2.4

Region 11 Average Number of Placements





DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For February 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

Count of Sibling Cases – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

Cases With All Children Placed Together – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

Average Number of Children per Case – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

February 2011

February 2011

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2433	1743	71.6%	2.70
Region 11	100	76	76.0%	2.55
Hamilton	18	15	83.3%	2.33
Hancock	12	12	100.0%	2.67
Madison	63	45	71.4%	2.56
Tipton	7	4	57.1%	2.86


Statewide Percent Together



Region 11 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
February 2011	100	76	76.0%	2.55
January 2011	96	72	75.0%	2.51
December 2010	97	72	74.2%	2.60
November 2010	105	79	75.2%	2.59
October 2010	108	83	76.9%	2.55
September 2010	112	88	78.6%	2.54
August 2010	111	86	77.5%	2.54
July 2010	114	87	76.3%	2.58
June 2010	123	90	73.2%	2.57
May 2010	116	84	72.4%	2.49
April 2010	116	82	70.7%	2.48
March 2010	111	80	72.1%	2.52
February 2010	106	77	72.6%	2.58

Region 11 Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For February 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

One Year - 0 to 365 Days— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 366 to 730 Days— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 731 to 1095 Days— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 1096 Days+— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Length Per Child – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

Average- This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

Median- This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For February 2011

February 2011

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,635	46.7%	2,469	24.9%	1,234	12.4%	1,583	16.0%	635.4	402.3
Region 11	177	45.7%	107	27.6%	48	12.4%	55	14.2%	587.8	397.6
Hamilton	30	45.5%	18	27.3%	6	9.1%	12	18.2%	613.5	384.5
Hancock	26	53.1%	16	32.7%	4	8.2%	3	6.1%	470.2	364.5
Madison	115	46.0%	65	26.0%	31	12.4%	39	15.6%	605.5	411.0
Tipton	6	27.3%	8	36.4%	7	31.8%	1	4.5%	572.0	498.0


Statewide Median Length



Region 11 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
February 2011	177	45.7%	107	27.6%	48	12.4%	55	14.2%	587.8	397.6
January 2011	185	48.6%	96	25.2%	44	11.5%	56	14.7%	581.0	389.6
December 2010	191	48.4%	106	26.8%	44	11.1%	54	13.7%	562.5	415.4
November 2010	194	47.4%	108	26.4%	50	12.2%	57	13.9%	553.6	399.4
October 2010	199	47.3%	116	27.6%	48	11.4%	58	13.8%	548.7	387.5
September 2010	211	49.0%	115	26.7%	47	10.9%	58	13.5%	537.4	374.5
August 2010	211	48.8%	112	25.9%	48	11.1%	61	14.1%	544.1	378.0
July 2010	225	50.4%	116	26.0%	43	9.6%	62	13.9%	535.7	350.0
June 2010	244	52.2%	113	24.2%	46	9.9%	64	13.7%	522.6	345.4
May 2010	220	49.5%	112	25.2%	48	10.8%	64	14.4%	555.3	368.5
April 2010	225	51.6%	101	23.2%	45	10.3%	65	14.9%	548.7	351.5
March 2010	219	51.4%	100	23.5%	48	11.3%	59	13.8%	547.7	351.0
February 2010	202	48.9%	97	23.5%	60	14.5%	54	13.1%	568.0	374.6

Region 11 Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For February 2011

Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code "Case opened in error – disregard" are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column "Total" refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column "Total within 24 months" refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For February 2011

February 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	583	73	21	51	24	752	665	88.4
Region 11	24	0	0	1	0	25	24	96.0
Hamilton	9	0	0	0	0	9	8	88.9
Hancock	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	100.0
Madison	12	0	0	1	0	13	13	100.0
Tipton	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	100.0


Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



Region 11 For February 2010 to February 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Feb 2011	24	0	0	1	0	25	24	96.0
Jan 2011	33	1	0	4	0	38	35	92.1
Dec 2010	17	3	0	3	1	24	20	83.3
Nov 2010	23	5	2	3	0	33	27	81.8
Oct 2010	17	1	0	7	2	27	23	85.2
Sep 2010	13	4	3	6	0	26	23	88.5
Aug 2010	35	4	2	2	3	46	36	78.3
Jul 2010	26	11	2	6	4	49	34	69.4
Jun 2010	18	0	1	2	1	22	17	77.3
May 2010	18	5	0	0	1	24	21	87.5
Apr 2010	15	0	2	3	1	21	18	85.7
Mar 2010	31	3	0	2	0	36	31	86.1
Feb 2010	31	5	4	2	2	44	38	86.4

Region 11 Permanency within 24 Months





DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For February 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

Children Out of Home Entire Month – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

Contact Information – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

FCM with Family – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

FCM with Child – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

FCM with Family and Child – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For February 2011

February 2011

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	9,638	2,728	28.3%	9,378	97.3%	2,684	27.8%
Region 11	376	103	27.4%	348	92.6%	103	27.4%
Hamilton	63	14	22.2%	60	95.2%	14	22.2%
Hancock	49	13	26.5%	44	89.8%	13	26.5%
Madison	242	71	29.3%	224	92.6%	71	29.3%
Tipton	22	5	22.7%	20	90.9%	5	22.7%


Statewide Child Contact Rate



Region 11 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Feb 2011	376	103	27.4%	348	92.6%	103	27.4%
Jan 2011	367	84	22.9%	360	98.1%	84	22.9%
Dec 2010	385	116	30.1%	380	98.7%	115	29.9%
Nov 2010	400	93	23.3%	395	98.8%	93	23.3%
Oct 2010	409	122	29.8%	398	97.3%	122	29.8%
Sep 2010	413	132	32.0%	400	96.9%	130	31.5%
Aug 2010	413	132	32.0%	409	99.0%	131	31.7%
Jul 2010	430	156	36.3%	419	97.4%	156	36.3%
Jun 2010	434	147	33.9%	431	99.3%	147	33.9%
May 2010	426	96	22.5%	417	97.9%	96	22.5%
Apr 2010	414	113	27.3%	408	98.6%	112	27.1%
Mar 2010	399	118	29.6%	395	99.0%	118	29.6%
Feb 2010	385	104	27.0%	364	94.5%	100	26.0%

Region 11 Child Contact Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For February 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

Children with visits to their family during the month – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.
Rate – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Child Visitation Report

For February 2011

February 2011

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	5,238	1,313	25.1%
Region 11	234	22	9.4%
Hamilton	34	0	0.0%
Hancock	30	0	0.0%
Madison	158	20	12.7%
Tipton	12	2	16.7%


Statewide Visitation Rate



Region 11 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Feb 2011	234	22	9.4%
Jan 2011	234	25	10.7%
Dec 2010	235	22	9.4%
Nov 2010	243	31	12.8%
Oct 2010	252	32	12.7%
Sep 2010	254	35	13.8%
Aug 2010	256	48	18.8%
Jul 2010	278	42	15.1%
Jun 2010	287	52	18.1%
May 2010	260	53	20.4%
Apr 2010	244	53	21.7%
Mar 2010	236	52	22.0%
Feb 2010	230	34	14.8%

Region 11 Visitation Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2011

Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

Time Period – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Assessments during time period – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

Victim Selection – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

First Occurrence – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

Recurrence Time Period – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ($\geq 1^{\text{st}}$ Occurrence +1 and $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$ Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1st to Dec 31st. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1st to Jun 30th. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7th, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8th to Aug 9th. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5th, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10th, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1 st to Dec 31 st)											
1 st Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1 st to Jun 30 th)											
						Recurrence Period (Feb 8 th to Aug 9 th)					

Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2011

February 2011

County	Victims from Mar 2010 to Sep 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	12,002	10,748	89.55%	94.60%
Region 11	520	454	87.31%	94.60%
Hamilton	117	92	78.63%	94.60%
Hancock	72	66	91.67%	94.60%
Madison	318	283	88.99%	94.60%
Tipton	13	13	100.00%	94.60%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 11 For February 2010 to February 2011

County	Victims from Mar 2010 to Sep 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
February 2011	520	454	87.31%	94.60%
January 2011	515	453	87.96%	94.60%
December 2010	524	465	88.74%	94.60%
November 2010	524	470	89.69%	94.60%
October 2010	509	477	93.71%	94.60%
September 2010	481	453	94.18%	94.60%
August 2010	482	455	94.40%	94.60%
July 2010	496	469	94.56%	94.60%
June 2010	505	476	94.26%	94.60%
May 2010	505	473	93.66%	94.60%
April 2010	513	475	92.59%	94.60%
March 2010	541	503	92.98%	94.60%
February 2010	523	484	92.54%	94.60%

Region 11 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For February 2011

Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

Time Period – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Assessments during the time period – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

Children in Foster Care during the time period – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Percent of absence of maltreatment – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For February 2011

For February 2011

Location	Children in Foster Care from Mar 2010 to Feb 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	21,280	65	99.69%	99.68%
Region 11	818	2	99.76%	99.68%
Hamilton	152	1	99.34%	99.68%
Hancock	128	1	99.22%	99.68%
Madison	501	0	100.00%	99.68%
Tipton	37	0	100.00%	99.68%

Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



Region 11 for February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Children in Foster Care from Mar 2010 to Feb 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
February 2011	818	2	99.76%	99.68%
January 2011	827	2	99.76%	99.68%
December 2010	833	2	99.76%	99.68%
November 2010	842	4	99.52%	99.68%
October 2010	852	4	99.53%	99.68%
September 2010	846	4	99.53%	99.68%
August 2010	862	7	99.19%	99.68%
July 2010	872	7	99.20%	99.68%
June 2010	890	5	99.44%	99.68%
May 2010	868	6	99.31%	99.68%
April 2010	865	6	99.31%	99.68%
March 2010	848	6	99.29%	99.68%
February 2010	814	7	99.14%	99.68%

Region 11 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

