





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For June 2011

### June 2011

Location	Reports Taken For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	40,304	36.1%	6,007	28.9%
Region 15	1,001	38.06%	136	33.82%
Dearborn	259	36.3%	42	28.6%
Decatur	228	39.5%	31	51.6%
Jefferson	287	39.0%	41	34.1%
Ohio	32	53.1%	2	0.0%
Ripley	136	37.5%	15	26.7%
Switzerland	59	28.8%	5	0.0%


### Statewide Percent Removal Trend



### Region 15 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Reports Taken For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Jun 2011	1,001	38.1%	136	33.8%
May 2011	1,050	40.7%	165	35.8%
Apr 2011	1,036	38.3%	167	32.9%
Mar 2011	964	38.4%	149	26.2%
Feb 2011	814	34.5%	130	26.9%
Jan 2011	821	35.3%	124	20.2%
Dec 2010	817	37.1%	143	31.5%
Nov 2010	908	37.9%	149	37.6%
Oct 2010	901	38.3%	159	38.4%
Sep 2010	870	37.0%	179	39.1%
Aug 2010	819	34.4%	193	36.3%
Jul 2010	797	29.1%	197	39.1%
Jun 2010	811	21.0%	204	33.3%

### Region 15 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered "in home".

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For June 2011

## June 2011

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13694	4105	30.0%	9,589	70.0%	3514	36.6%	5050	52.7%	844	8.8%	181	1.9%
Region 15	367	134	36.5%	233	63.5%	80	34.3%	132	56.7%	18	7.7%	3	1.3%
Dearborn	140	33	23.6%	107	76.4%	29	27.1%	72	67.3%	3	2.8%	3	2.8%
Decatur	82	45	54.9%	37	45.1%	22	59.5%	13	35.1%	2	5.4%	0	0.0%
Jefferson	74	24	32.4%	50	67.6%	20	40.0%	21	42.0%	9	18.0%	0	0.0%
Ohio	13	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Ripley	45	21	46.7%	24	53.3%	6	25.0%	15	62.5%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%
Switzerland	13	4	30.8%	9	69.2%	1	11.1%	7	77.8%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 15 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Jun 2011	367	134	36.5%	233	63.5%	80	34.3%	132	56.7%	18	7.7%	3	1.3%
May 2011	363	114	31.4%	249	68.6%	86	34.5%	138	55.4%	24	9.6%	1	0.4%
Apr 2011	367	111	30.2%	256	69.8%	94	36.7%	134	52.3%	26	10.2%	2	0.8%
Mar 2011	370	116	31.4%	254	68.6%	95	37.4%	133	52.4%	22	8.7%	4	1.6%
Feb 2011	369	124	33.6%	245	66.4%	85	34.7%	130	53.1%	23	9.4%	7	2.9%
Jan 2011	365	121	33.2%	244	66.8%	85	34.8%	130	53.3%	23	9.4%	6	2.5%
Dec 2010	382	135	35.3%	247	64.7%	92	37.2%	120	48.6%	28	11.3%	7	2.8%
Nov 2010	404	151	37.4%	253	62.6%	91	36.0%	125	49.4%	31	12.3%	6	2.4%
Oct 2010	437	163	37.3%	274	62.7%	106	38.7%	129	47.1%	32	11.7%	7	2.6%
Sep 2010	440	162	36.8%	278	63.2%	107	38.5%	130	46.8%	33	11.9%	8	2.9%
Aug 2010	448	158	35.3%	290	64.7%	118	40.7%	129	44.5%	34	11.7%	9	3.1%
Jul 2010	431	148	34.3%	283	65.7%	115	40.6%	120	42.4%	41	14.5%	7	2.5%
Jun 2010	441	162	36.7%	279	63.3%	132	47.3%	105	37.6%	40	14.3%	2	0.7%

## Region 15 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Safely Home Families First by County

June 2011

### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**IA Count** – This is the number of children that have an open Informal Adjustment case on the last day of the report month.

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**IA, In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Safely Home Families First by County

For June 2011

### June 2011

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA, In Home CHINS, or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	1821	13694	9440	60.8%	50.0%	6,075	39.2%	50.0%
Region 15	66	367	280	64.7%	50.0%	153	35.3%	50.0%
Dearborn	16	140	78	50.0%	50.0%	78	50.0%	50.0%
Decatur	10	82	77	83.7%	50.0%	15	16.3%	50.0%
Jefferson	24	74	68	69.4%	50.0%	30	30.6%	50.0%
Ohio	1	13	10	71.4%	50.0%	4	28.6%	50.0%
Ripley	10	45	37	67.3%	50.0%	18	32.7%	50.0%
Switzerland	5	13	10	55.6%	50.0%	8	44.4%	50.0%


### Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



### Region 15 For June 2010 to June 2011

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA, In Home CHINS, or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2011	66	367	280	64.7%	50.0%	153	35.3%	50.0%
May 2011	80	363	280	63.2%	50.0%	163	36.8%	50.0%
April 2011	71	367	276	63.0%	50.0%	162	37.0%	50.0%
March 2011	78	370	289	64.5%	50.0%	159	35.5%	50.0%
February 2011	93	369	302	65.4%	50.0%	160	34.6%	50.0%
January 2011	105	365	311	66.2%	50.0%	159	33.8%	50.0%
December 2010	119	382	346	69.1%	50.0%	155	30.9%	50.0%
November 2010	100	404	342	67.9%	50.0%	162	32.1%	50.0%
October 2010	102	437	371	68.8%	50.0%	168	31.2%	50.0%
September 2010	103	440	372	68.5%	50.0%	171	31.5%	50.0%
August 2010	101	448	377	68.7%	50.0%	172	31.3%	50.0%
July 2010	104	431	367	68.6%	50.0%	168	31.4%	50.0%
June 2010	116	441	410	73.6%	50.0%	147	26.4%	50.0%

### Region 15 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For June 2011

## June 2011

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9,589	6,833	71.3%
Region 15	233	142	60.9%
Dearborn	107	67	62.6%
Decatur	37	30	81.1%
Jefferson	50	28	56.0%
Ohio	6	2	33.3%
Ripley	24	12	50.0%
Switzerland	9	3	33.3%


## Statewide Percent Placed Locally



## Region 15 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Jun 2011	233	142	60.9%
May 2011	249	152	61.0%
Apr 2011	256	157	61.3%
Mar 2011	254	159	62.6%
Feb 2011	245	149	60.8%
Jan 2011	244	141	57.8%
Dec 2010	247	152	61.5%
Nov 2010	253	149	58.9%
Oct 2010	274	171	62.4%
Sep 2010	278	182	65.5%
Aug 2010	290	185	63.8%
Jul 2010	283	181	64.0%
Jun 2010	279	188	67.4%

## Region 15 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For June 2010 to June 2011

## June 2011

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 15	2.4
Dearborn	2.4
Decatur	1.8
Jefferson	2.5
Ohio	3.1
Ripley	2.7
Switzerland	3.8


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 15 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
June 2011	2.4
May 2011	2.3
April 2011	2.3
March 2011	2.3
February 2011	2.2
January 2011	2.2
December 2010	2.1
November 2010	2.1
October 2010	2.0
September 2010	2.0
August 2010	2.0
July 2010	2.0
June 2010	2.0

## Region 15 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

June 2011

## June 2011

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2378	1728	72.7%	2.71
Region 15	61	48	78.7%	2.62
Dearborn	29	23	79.3%	2.93
Decatur	11	8	72.7%	2.27
Jefferson	13	10	76.9%	2.46
Ohio	2	2	100.0%	2.00
Ripley	4	3	75.0%	2.25
Switzerland	2	2	100.0%	2.50


## Statewide Percent Together



## Region 15 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
June 2011	61	48	78.7%	2.62
May 2011	65	51	78.5%	2.68
April 2011	69	53	76.8%	2.65
March 2011	67	53	79.1%	2.69
February 2011	64	51	79.7%	2.72
January 2011	62	51	82.3%	2.69
December 2010	63	53	84.1%	2.67
November 2010	65	51	78.5%	2.66
October 2010	71	55	77.5%	2.73
September 2010	73	54	74.0%	2.68
August 2010	78	58	74.4%	2.74
July 2010	79	58	73.4%	2.71
June 2010	80	59	73.8%	2.59

## Region 15 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For June 2011

#### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

**Average-** This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median-** This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For June 2011

#### June 2011

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,339	45.3%	2,397	25.0%	1,208	12.6%	1,644	17.1%	639.6	414.4
Region 15	109	46.8%	80	34.3%	14	6.0%	30	12.9%	530.7	394.6
Dearborn	46	43.0%	41	38.3%	6	5.6%	14	13.1%	541.1	419.6
Decatur	24	64.9%	11	29.7%	2	5.4%	0	0.0%	286.1	323.6
Jefferson	22	44.0%	17	34.0%	3	6.0%	8	16.0%	592.3	431.0
Ohio	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	533.6	141.0
Ripley	10	41.7%	8	33.3%	3	12.5%	3	12.5%	599.5	470.0
Switzerland	3	33.3%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	4	44.4%	883.4	444.4


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 15 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
June 2011	109	46.8%	80	34.3%	14	6.0%	30	12.9%	530.7	394.6
May 2011	122	49.0%	82	32.9%	16	6.4%	29	11.6%	502.6	385.3
April 2011	136	53.1%	75	29.3%	15	5.9%	30	11.7%	474.7	357.5
March 2011	145	57.1%	65	25.6%	15	5.9%	29	11.4%	457.6	329.0
February 2011	142	58.0%	64	26.1%	11	4.5%	28	11.4%	461.3	322.4
January 2011	148	60.7%	54	22.1%	15	6.1%	27	11.1%	466.4	319.0
December 2010	143	57.9%	54	21.9%	17	6.9%	33	13.4%	487.9	296.3
November 2010	152	60.1%	53	20.9%	21	8.3%	27	10.7%	472.4	277.6
October 2010	180	65.7%	46	16.8%	27	9.9%	21	7.7%	425.8	243.5
September 2010	186	66.9%	42	15.1%	30	10.8%	20	7.2%	422.9	232.5
August 2010	198	68.3%	41	14.1%	32	11.0%	19	6.6%	400.6	222.5
July 2010	183	64.7%	48	17.0%	32	11.3%	20	7.1%	416.8	250.6
June 2010	183	65.6%	42	15.1%	34	12.2%	20	7.2%	426.5	238.5

#### Region 15 Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code "Case opened in error – disregard" are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column "Total" refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column "Total within 24 months" refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For June 2011

## June 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	563	76	12	64	45	760	647	85.1
Region 15	11	0	0	0	1	12	10	83.3
Dearborn	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	100.0
Decatur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Jefferson	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	100.0
Ohio	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Ripley	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0.0
Switzerland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 15 For June 2010 to June 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Jun 2011	11	0	0	0	1	12	10	83.3
May 2011	11	3	0	0	1	15	13	86.7
Apr 2011	21	0	0	1	0	22	21	95.5
Mar 2011	27	0	0	0	0	27	22	81.5
Feb 2011	26	0	0	0	2	28	27	96.4
Jan 2011	25	2	0	0	0	27	26	96.3
Dec 2010	21	4	0	1	0	26	19	73.1
Nov 2010	28	0	0	2	0	30	30	100.0
Oct 2010	27	2	1	1	3	34	29	85.3
Sep 2010	15	0	1	0	2	18	16	88.9
Aug 2010	15	0	0	4	1	20	18	90.0
Jul 2010	24	0	0	2	0	26	26	100.0
Jun 2010	12	0	0	0	2	14	12	85.7

## Region 15 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

### For June 2011

#### June 2011

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	9,191	3,019	32.8%	9,007	98.0%	2,980	32.4%
Region 15	229	96	41.9%	227	99.1%	96	41.9%
Dearborn	107	48	44.9%	106	99.1%	48	44.9%
Decatur	33	15	45.5%	32	97.0%	15	45.5%
Jefferson	50	13	26.0%	50	100.0%	13	26.0%
Ohio	6	4	66.7%	6	100.0%	4	66.7%
Ripley	24	14	58.3%	24	100.0%	14	58.3%
Switzerland	9	2	22.2%	9	100.0%	2	22.2%


#### Statewide Child Contact Rate



#### Region 15 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Jun 2011	229	96	41.9%	227	99.1%	96	41.9%
May 2011	244	89	36.5%	233	95.5%	83	34.0%
Apr 2011	238	116	48.7%	232	97.5%	113	47.5%
Mar 2011	232	106	45.7%	223	96.1%	101	43.5%
Feb 2011	236	108	45.8%	225	95.3%	104	44.1%
Jan 2011	227	90	39.6%	212	93.4%	88	38.8%
Dec 2010	235	96	40.9%	225	95.7%	95	40.4%
Nov 2010	246	90	36.6%	234	95.1%	90	36.6%
Oct 2010	258	97	37.6%	249	96.5%	97	37.6%
Sep 2010	264	103	39.0%	254	96.2%	103	39.0%
Aug 2010	260	95	36.5%	253	97.3%	95	36.5%
Jul 2010	259	109	42.1%	256	98.8%	109	42.1%
Jun 2010	261	109	41.8%	255	97.7%	108	41.4%

#### Region 15 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For June 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

### For June 2011

#### June 2011

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	4,977	1,200	24.1%
Region 15	124	20	16.1%
Dearborn	54	8	14.8%
Decatur	24	3	12.5%
Jefferson	26	4	15.4%
Ohio	4	4	100.0%
Ripley	11	1	9.1%
Switzerland	5	0	0.0%

#### Statewide Visitation Rate



#### Region 15 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Jun 2011	124	20	16.1%
May 2011	148	27	18.2%
Apr 2011	146	58	39.7%
Mar 2011	148	34	23.0%
Feb 2011	139	32	23.0%
Jan 2011	147	33	22.4%
Dec 2010	154	39	25.3%
Nov 2010	159	45	28.3%
Oct 2010	156	39	25.0%
Sep 2010	138	15	10.9%
Aug 2010	145	21	14.5%
Jul 2010	159	42	26.4%
Jun 2010	150	50	33.3%

#### Region 15 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2011

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Dec 31 <sup>st</sup> )											
1 <sup>st</sup> Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1 <sup>st</sup> to Jun 30 <sup>th</sup> )											
						Recurrence Period (Feb 8 <sup>th</sup> to Aug 9 <sup>th</sup> )					

**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2011

### June 2011

County	Victims from Jul 2010 to Jan 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	10,111	9,445	93.41%	94.60%
Region 15	263	250	95.06%	94.60%
Dearborn	80	79	98.75%	94.60%
Decatur	28	27	96.43%	94.60%
Jefferson	75	71	94.67%	94.60%
Ohio	17	17	100.00%	94.60%
Ripley	45	38	84.44%	94.60%
Switzerland	18	18	100.00%	94.60%


### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Region 15 For June 2010 to June 2011

County	Victims from Jul 2010 to Jan 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
June 2011	263	250	95.06%	94.60%
May 2011	279	263	94.27%	94.60%
April 2011	310	290	93.55%	94.60%
March 2011	306	287	93.79%	94.60%
February 2011	341	315	92.38%	94.60%
January 2011	329	300	91.19%	94.60%
December 2010	329	297	90.27%	94.60%
November 2010	325	291	89.54%	94.60%
October 2010	324	282	87.04%	94.60%
September 2010	336	299	88.99%	94.60%
August 2010	319	287	89.97%	94.60%
July 2010	349	309	88.54%	94.60%
June 2010	332	297	89.46%	94.60%

### Region 15 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For June 2011

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For June 2011

#### For June 2011

Location	Children in Foster Care from Jul 2010 to Jun 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	20,859	55	99.74%	99.68%
Region 15	583	2	99.66%	99.68%
Dearborn	190	1	99.47%	99.68%
Decatur	133	0	100.00%	99.68%
Jefferson	121	1	99.17%	99.68%
Ohio	17	0	100.00%	99.68%
Ripley	97	0	100.00%	99.68%
Switzerland	25	0	100.00%	99.68%

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 15 for June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Children in Foster Care from Jul 2010 to Jun 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
June 2011	583	2	99.66%	99.68%
May 2011	605	2	99.67%	99.68%
April 2011	618	2	99.68%	99.68%
March 2011	615	2	99.67%	99.68%
February 2011	598	2	99.67%	99.68%
January 2011	596	2	99.66%	99.68%
December 2010	585	2	99.66%	99.68%
November 2010	596	1	99.83%	99.68%
October 2010	616	0	100.00%	99.68%
September 2010	609	0	100.00%	99.68%
August 2010	612	0	100.00%	99.68%
July 2010	593	0	100.00%	99.68%
June 2010	595	0	100.00%	99.68%

#### Region 15 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

