




**INDIANA**  
DEPARTMENT OF  
**CHILD**  
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services

## Practice Indicator Reports

### For January 2011



# Region 13

## January 2011

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published**  
**February 2011**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### For January 2011

#### January 2011

Location	Reports Taken For Nov 2010 to Jan 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Nov 2010 to Jan 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	36,064	34.1%	5,343	30.8%
Region 13	1,431	37.32%	135	26.67%
Brown	97	44.3%	2	0.0%
Greene	255	32.5%	24	25.0%
Lawrence	332	36.1%	22	13.6%
Monroe	613	38.7%	69	34.8%
Owen	134	38.1%	18	16.7%


#### Statewide Percent Removal Trend



#### Region 13 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Reports Taken For Nov 2010 to Jan 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Nov 2010 to Jan 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Jan 2011	1,431	37.3%	135	26.7%
Dec 2010	1,468	39.7%	163	25.8%
Nov 2010	1,547	40.9%	187	23.0%
Oct 2010	1,426	41.7%	220	20.9%
Sep 2010	1,353	40.3%	224	19.2%
Aug 2010	1,326	35.3%	231	23.4%
Jul 2010	1,351	32.3%	245	28.2%
Jun 2010	1,443	28.3%	268	34.3%
May 2010	1,509	25.2%	265	33.6%
Apr 2010	1,363	22.0%	260	27.3%
Mar 2010	1,266	19.7%	266	21.8%
Feb 2010	1,143	21.6%	280	26.1%
Jan 2010	1,215	21.2%	272	26.5%

#### Region 13 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered "in home".

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For January 2011

## January 2011

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14172	4207	29.7%	9,965	70.3%	3554	35.7%	5192	52.1%	981	9.8%	238	2.4%
Region 13	351	101	28.8%	250	71.2%	119	47.6%	112	44.8%	17	6.8%	2	0.8%
Brown	24	6	25.0%	18	75.0%	7	38.9%	9	50.0%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%
Greene	41	14	34.1%	27	65.9%	8	29.6%	17	63.0%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%
Lawrence	38	9	23.7%	29	76.3%	17	58.6%	10	34.5%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%
Monroe	204	43	21.1%	161	78.9%	76	47.2%	72	44.7%	12	7.5%	1	0.6%
Owen	44	29	65.9%	15	34.1%	11	73.3%	4	26.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 13 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Jan 2011	351	101	28.8%	250	71.2%	119	47.6%	112	44.8%	17	6.8%	2	0.8%
Dec 2010	356	97	27.2%	259	72.8%	139	53.7%	99	38.2%	17	6.6%	4	1.5%
Nov 2010	362	91	25.1%	271	74.9%	147	54.2%	103	38.0%	18	6.6%	3	1.1%
Oct 2010	380	103	27.1%	277	72.9%	147	53.1%	110	39.7%	17	6.1%	3	1.1%
Sep 2010	396	111	28.0%	285	72.0%	146	51.2%	119	41.8%	17	6.0%	3	1.1%
Aug 2010	408	118	28.9%	290	71.1%	143	49.3%	123	42.4%	19	6.6%	5	1.7%
Jul 2010	436	133	30.5%	303	69.5%	145	47.9%	132	43.6%	20	6.6%	6	2.0%
Jun 2010	479	174	36.3%	305	63.7%	148	48.5%	131	43.0%	20	6.6%	6	2.0%
May 2010	487	171	35.1%	316	64.9%	152	48.1%	135	42.7%	20	6.3%	9	2.8%
Apr 2010	469	158	33.7%	311	66.3%	154	49.5%	133	42.8%	20	6.4%	4	1.3%
Mar 2010	484	183	37.8%	301	62.2%	130	43.2%	145	48.2%	20	6.6%	6	2.0%
Feb 2010	493	204	41.4%	289	58.6%	124	42.9%	140	48.4%	19	6.6%	6	2.1%
Jan 2010	502	202	40.2%	300	59.8%	136	45.3%	138	46.0%	21	7.0%	5	1.7%

## Region 13 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For January 2011

## January 2011

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	14172	7761	54.8%	50.0%	6,411	45.2%	50.0%
Region 13	351	220	62.7%	50.0%	131	37.3%	50.0%
Brown	24	13	54.2%	50.0%	11	45.8%	50.0%
Greene	41	22	53.7%	50.0%	19	46.3%	50.0%
Lawrence	38	26	68.4%	50.0%	12	31.6%	50.0%
Monroe	204	119	58.3%	50.0%	85	41.7%	50.0%
Owen	44	40	90.9%	50.0%	4	9.1%	50.0%


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 13 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
January 2011	351	220	62.7%	50.0%	131	37.3%	50.0%
December 2010	356	236	66.3%	50.0%	120	33.7%	50.0%
November 2010	362	238	65.7%	50.0%	124	34.3%	50.0%
October 2010	380	250	65.8%	50.0%	130	34.2%	50.0%
September 2010	396	257	64.9%	50.0%	139	35.1%	50.0%
August 2010	408	261	64.0%	50.0%	147	36.0%	50.0%
July 2010	436	278	63.8%	50.0%	158	36.2%	50.0%
June 2010	479	322	67.2%	50.0%	157	32.8%	50.0%
May 2010	487	323	66.3%	50.0%	164	33.7%	50.0%
April 2010	469	312	66.5%	50.0%	157	33.5%	50.0%
March 2010	484	313	64.7%	50.0%	171	35.3%	50.0%
February 2010	493	328	66.5%	50.0%	165	33.5%	50.0%
January 2010	502	338	67.3%	50.0%	164	32.7%	50.0%

## Region 13 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For January 2011

## January 2011

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9,965	7,071	71.0%
Region 13	250	158	63.2%
Brown	18	16	88.9%
Greene	27	15	55.6%
Lawrence	29	20	69.0%
Monroe	161	97	60.2%
Owen	15	10	66.7%


## Statewide Percent Placed Locally



## Region 13 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Jan 2011	250	158	63.2%
Dec 2010	259	178	68.7%
Nov 2010	271	190	70.1%
Oct 2010	277	200	72.2%
Sep 2010	285	207	72.6%
Aug 2010	290	212	73.1%
Jul 2010	303	209	69.0%
Jun 2010	305	205	67.2%
May 2010	316	219	69.3%
Apr 2010	311	219	70.4%
Mar 2010	301	206	68.4%
Feb 2010	289	202	69.9%
Jan 2010	300	209	69.7%

## Region 13 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For January 2010 to January 2011

## January 2011

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 13	2.4
Brown	1.8
Greene	3.5
Lawrence	2.4
Monroe	2.3
Owen	2.0


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 13 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
January 2011	2.4
December 2010	2.3
November 2010	2.4
October 2010	2.4
September 2010	2.3
August 2010	2.4
July 2010	2.3
June 2010	2.3
May 2010	2.3
April 2010	2.3
March 2010	2.3
February 2010	2.3
January 2010	2.3

## Region 13 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

January 2011

## January 2011

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2452	1734	70.7%	2.68
Region 13	63	54	85.7%	2.56
Brown	5	3	60.0%	2.80
Greene	7	7	100.0%	2.14
Lawrence	5	5	100.0%	2.40
Monroe	41	34	82.9%	2.63
Owen	5	5	100.0%	2.40


## Statewide Percent Together



## Region 13 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
January 2011	63	54	85.7%	2.56
December 2010	69	56	81.2%	2.42
November 2010	75	60	80.0%	2.45
October 2010	75	58	77.3%	2.51
September 2010	79	60	75.9%	2.54
August 2010	79	56	70.9%	2.53
July 2010	83	60	72.3%	2.54
June 2010	82	60	73.2%	2.56
May 2010	89	65	73.0%	2.55
April 2010	88	63	71.6%	2.57
March 2010	85	64	75.3%	2.56
February 2010	80	64	80.0%	2.50
January 2010	84	69	82.1%	2.52

## Region 13 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For January 2011

#### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**— This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

**Average-** This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median-** This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For January 2011

#### January 2011

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,746	47.6%	2,462	24.7%	1,192	12.0%	1,565	15.7%	627.4	397.6
Region 13	119	47.6%	74	29.6%	28	11.2%	29	11.6%	568.3	410.0
Brown	11	61.1%	1	5.6%	3	16.7%	3	16.7%	573.2	258.5
Greene	11	40.7%	9	33.3%	3	11.1%	4	14.8%	592.8	444.4
Lawrence	11	37.9%	11	37.9%	4	13.8%	3	10.3%	569.9	487.6
Monroe	78	48.4%	49	30.4%	16	9.9%	18	11.2%	570.1	392.2
Owen	8	53.3%	4	26.7%	2	13.3%	1	6.7%	496.0	312.3


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 13 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
January 2011	119	47.6%	74	29.6%	28	11.2%	29	11.6%	568.3	410.0
December 2010	128	49.4%	72	27.8%	32	12.4%	27	10.4%	550.7	384.6
November 2010	130	48.0%	75	27.7%	30	11.1%	36	13.3%	570.6	380.4
October 2010	138	49.8%	74	26.7%	32	11.6%	33	11.9%	563.1	366.7
September 2010	149	52.3%	74	26.0%	30	10.5%	32	11.2%	545.9	351.5
August 2010	156	53.8%	72	24.8%	25	8.6%	37	12.8%	544.0	329.0
July 2010	160	52.8%	77	25.4%	27	8.9%	39	12.9%	534.2	324.4
June 2010	158	51.8%	80	26.2%	29	9.5%	38	12.5%	522.4	337.4
May 2010	175	55.4%	77	24.4%	23	7.3%	41	13.0%	511.7	327.5
April 2010	181	58.2%	65	20.9%	27	8.7%	38	12.2%	498.2	317.4
March 2010	182	60.5%	54	17.9%	29	9.6%	36	12.0%	499.9	302.4
February 2010	171	59.2%	50	17.3%	28	9.7%	40	13.8%	526.4	292.4
January 2010	178	59.3%	50	16.7%	29	9.7%	43	14.3%	515.4	276.5

#### Region 13 Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code "Case opened in error – disregard" are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption

Description	Category
Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child placed with relatives	Relative
Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column "Total" refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column "Total within 24 months" refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For January 2011

## January 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	726	97	12	64	26	925	796	86.1
Region 13	27	2	0	0	0	29	28	96.6
Brown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Greene	9	0	0	0	0	9	9	100.0
Lawrence	8	0	0	0	0	8	8	100.0
Monroe	9	2	0	0	0	11	10	90.9
Owen	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	100.0


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 13 For January 2010 to January 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Jan 2011	27	2	0	0	0	29	28	96.6
Dec 2010	18	1	0	1	1	21	20	95.2
Nov 2010	20	2	0	0	1	23	21	91.3
Oct 2010	41	5	0	4	0	50	49	98.0
Sep 2010	19	3	0	5	1	28	23	82.1
Aug 2010	29	2	0	4	1	36	33	91.7
Jul 2010	50	0	0	1	3	54	49	90.7
Jun 2010	48	2	2	1	1	54	51	94.4
May 2010	31	0	0	0	0	31	31	100.0
Apr 2010	45	2	0	1	3	51	49	96.1
Mar 2010	42	6	1	4	3	56	51	91.1
Feb 2010	25	5	0	6	1	37	32	86.5
Jan 2010	39	1	0	0	2	42	40	95.2

## Region 13 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

### For January 2011

#### January 2011

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	9,558	2,669	27.9%	9,315	97.5%	2,634	27.6%
Region 13	244	79	32.4%	239	98.0%	79	32.4%
Brown	18	3	16.7%	15	83.3%	3	16.7%
Greene	27	7	25.9%	27	100.0%	7	25.9%
Lawrence	29	7	24.1%	29	100.0%	7	24.1%
Monroe	155	53	34.2%	153	98.7%	53	34.2%
Owen	15	9	60.0%	15	100.0%	9	60.0%


#### Statewide Child Contact Rate



#### Region 13 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Jan 2011	244	79	32.4%	239	98.0%	79	32.4%
Dec 2010	252	89	35.3%	245	97.2%	87	34.5%
Nov 2010	261	90	34.5%	256	98.1%	90	34.5%
Oct 2010	267	99	37.1%	262	98.1%	99	37.1%
Sep 2010	269	100	37.2%	258	95.9%	98	36.4%
Aug 2010	280	95	33.9%	278	99.3%	95	33.9%
Jul 2010	293	100	34.1%	280	95.6%	100	34.1%
Jun 2010	296	100	33.8%	284	95.9%	98	33.1%
May 2010	301	89	29.6%	292	97.0%	88	29.2%
Apr 2010	294	121	41.2%	286	97.3%	121	41.2%
Mar 2010	273	98	35.9%	268	98.2%	97	35.5%
Feb 2010	280	99	35.4%	274	97.9%	98	35.0%
Jan 2010	300	113	37.7%	285	95.0%	111	37.0%

#### Region 13 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For January 2011

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.  
**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

### For January 2011

#### January 2011

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	5,268	1,245	23.6%
Region 13	121	46	38.0%
Brown	8	0	0.0%
Greene	15	8	53.3%
Lawrence	17	2	11.8%
Monroe	72	31	43.1%
Owen	9	5	55.6%


#### Statewide Visitation Rate



#### Region 13 For January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Jan 2011	121	46	38.0%
Dec 2010	125	33	26.4%
Nov 2010	124	25	20.2%
Oct 2010	117	21	17.9%
Sep 2010	142	55	38.7%
Aug 2010	147	38	25.9%
Jul 2010	153	57	37.3%
Jun 2010	162	50	30.9%
May 2010	168	56	33.3%
Apr 2010	165	58	35.2%
Mar 2010	166	36	21.7%
Feb 2010	160	39	24.4%
Jan 2010	116	40	34.5%

#### Region 13 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For January 2011

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.



**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

### For January 2011

#### January 2011

County	Victims from Feb 2010 to Aug 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	11,919	10,697	89.75%	94.60%
Region 13	424	385	90.80%	94.60%
Brown	17	15	88.24%	94.60%
Greene	85	79	92.94%	94.60%
Lawrence	76	69	90.79%	94.60%
Monroe	208	192	92.31%	94.60%
Owen	38	30	78.95%	94.60%


#### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



#### Region 13 For January 2010 to January 2011

County	Victims from Feb 2010 to Aug 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
January 2011	424	385	90.80%	94.60%
December 2010	442	407	92.08%	94.60%
November 2010	464	432	93.10%	94.60%
October 2010	473	438	92.60%	94.60%
September 2010	483	456	94.41%	94.60%
August 2010	498	468	93.98%	94.60%
July 2010	510	464	90.98%	94.60%
June 2010	526	475	90.30%	94.60%
May 2010	487	440	90.35%	94.60%
April 2010	499	453	90.78%	94.60%
March 2010	540	486	90.00%	94.60%
February 2010	507	450	88.76%	94.60%
January 2010	532	479	90.04%	94.60%

#### Region 13 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For January 2011

### Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of maltreatment** – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For January 2011

#### For January 2011

Location	Children in Foster Care from Feb 2010 to Jan 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	21,543	71	99.67%	<b>99.68%</b>
Region 13	629	2	99.68%	<b>99.68%</b>
Brown	44	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Greene	117	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Lawrence	53	0	100.00%	<b>99.68%</b>
Monroe	340	1	99.71%	<b>99.68%</b>
Owen	75	1	98.67%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 13 for January 2010 to January 2011

Month	Children in Foster Care from Feb 2010 to Jan 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
January 2011	629	2	99.68%	<b>99.68%</b>
December 2010	646	2	99.69%	<b>99.68%</b>
November 2010	648	2	99.69%	<b>99.68%</b>
October 2010	652	2	99.69%	<b>99.68%</b>
September 2010	643	2	99.69%	<b>99.68%</b>
August 2010	640	2	99.69%	<b>99.68%</b>
July 2010	647	1	99.85%	<b>99.68%</b>
June 2010	655	1	99.85%	<b>99.68%</b>
May 2010	660	2	99.70%	<b>99.68%</b>
April 2010	654	2	99.69%	<b>99.68%</b>
March 2010	658	2	99.70%	<b>99.68%</b>
February 2010	635	2	99.69%	<b>99.68%</b>
January 2010	633	2	99.68%	<b>99.68%</b>

#### Region 13 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

