INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SERVICES

Protecting our children, families and future

Department of Child Services
Practice Indicator Reports
For February 2011



Region 09
February 2011

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.

Published
March 2011

DCS Practice Indicator Report
Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For February 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

Reports Taken – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

Count – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

Percent Resulting in Screen Outs – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

Assessments Substantiated – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

Count – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

Percent Resulting in Removal – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.

DCS Practice Indicator Report
Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For February 2011

February 2011

Location	Reports Taken For Dec 2010 to Feb 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Dec 2010 to Feb 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	34,738	34.9%	5,149	30.8%
Region 9	1,461	40.52%	118	27.12%
Boone	239	49.8%	18	16.7%
Hendricks	441	40.1%	26	42.3%
Montgomery	275	40.7%	40	12.5%
Morgan	334	35.6%	24	29.2%
Putnam	172	37.8%	10	60.0%

Statewide Percent Removal Trend



Region 9 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Reports Taken For Dec 2010 to Feb 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Dec 2010 to Feb 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Feb 2011	1,461	40.5%	118	27.1%
Jan 2011	1,599	36.8%	146	34.2%
Dec 2010	1,559	38.4%	196	34.7%
Nov 2010	1,656	38.9%	195	32.8%
Oct 2010	1,612	42.0%	215	32.1%
Sep 2010	1,549	40.0%	197	30.5%
Aug 2010	1,381	37.9%	231	27.7%
Jul 2010	1,354	33.8%	249	22.5%
Jun 2010	1,390	27.8%	266	21.1%
May 2010	1,502	23.4%	249	20.1%
Apr 2010	1,430	18.8%	250	21.2%
Mar 2010	1,378	21.0%	234	17.9%
Feb 2010	1,257	24.6%	218	17.9%

Region 9 Percent Removal



Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
March 02 2011

State of Indiana
Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director
302 West Washington Street Room E306 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:
ICWIS Monthly Data

DCS Practice Indicator Report
CHINS Placements by County

For February 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered "in home".

Total CHINS Count – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

CHINS – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

In Home – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Foster Care – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Out of Home Placements – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Relative Homes – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Foster Homes – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Residential – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Other – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

DCS Practice Indicator Report
CHINS Placements by County

For February 2011

February 2011

Location	Total CHINS	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
	Count	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14102	4181	29.6%	9,921	70.4%	3507	35.3%	5235	52.8%	956	9.6%	223	2.2%
Region 9	319	106	33.2%	213	66.8%	81	38.0%	105	49.3%	22	10.3%	5	2.3%
Boone	56	29	51.8%	27	48.2%	14	51.9%	8	29.6%	3	11.1%	2	7.4%
Hendricks	66	20	30.3%	46	69.7%	20	43.5%	22	47.8%	4	8.7%	0	0.0%
Montgomery	99	34	34.3%	65	65.7%	20	30.8%	34	52.3%	8	12.3%	3	4.6%
Morgan	57	13	22.8%	44	77.2%	14	31.8%	27	61.4%	3	6.8%	0	0.0%
Putnam	41	10	24.4%	31	75.6%	13	41.9%	14	45.2%	4	12.9%	0	0.0%

Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Region 9 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Total CHINS	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
	Count	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Feb 2011	319	106	33.2%	213	66.8%	81	38.0%	105	49.3%	22	10.3%	5	2.3%
Jan 2011	339	113	33.3%	226	66.7%	89	39.4%	112	49.6%	20	8.8%	5	2.2%
Dec 2010	358	123	34.4%	235	65.6%	91	38.7%	119	50.6%	20	8.5%	5	2.1%
Nov 2010	366	106	29.0%	260	71.0%	103	39.6%	130	50.0%	18	6.9%	9	3.5%
Oct 2010	371	107	28.8%	264	71.2%	108	40.9%	126	47.7%	18	6.8%	12	4.5%
Sep 2010	365	112	30.7%	253	69.3%	108	42.7%	115	45.5%	19	7.5%	11	4.3%
Aug 2010	363	104	28.7%	259	71.3%	110	42.5%	119	45.9%	18	6.9%	12	4.6%
Jul 2010	383	130	33.9%	253	66.1%	105	41.5%	119	47.0%	17	6.7%	12	4.7%
Jun 2010	424	152	35.8%	272	64.2%	119	43.8%	125	46.0%	16	5.9%	12	4.4%
May 2010	416	137	32.9%	279	67.1%	125	44.8%	128	45.9%	16	5.7%	10	3.6%
Apr 2010	422	141	33.4%	281	66.6%	125	44.5%	133	47.3%	15	5.3%	8	2.8%
Mar 2010	429	153	35.7%	276	64.3%	115	41.7%	136	49.3%	15	5.4%	10	3.6%
Feb 2010	440	157	35.7%	283	64.3%	119	42.0%	137	48.4%	18	6.4%	9	3.2%

Region 9 Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
March 02 2011

State of Indiana
Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director
302 West Washington Street Room E306 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:
ICWIS Monthly Data

DCS Practice Indicator Report
Use of Foster Care by County

February 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

Total CHINS Count – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

In Home or Relative Care – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Target (At or Above) % – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

Non Relative Foster Care – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Target (At or below) % – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

DCS Practice Indicator Report
Use of Foster Care by County

For February 2011

February 2011

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	14102	7688	54.5%	50.0%	6,414	45.5%	50.0%
Region 9	319	187	58.6%	50.0%	132	41.4%	50.0%
Boone	56	43	76.8%	50.0%	13	23.2%	50.0%
Hendricks	66	40	60.6%	50.0%	26	39.4%	50.0%
Montgomery	99	54	54.5%	50.0%	45	45.5%	50.0%
Morgan	57	27	47.4%	50.0%	30	52.6%	50.0%
Putnam	41	23	56.1%	50.0%	18	43.9%	50.0%

Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Region 9 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
February 2011	319	187	58.6%	50.0%	132	41.4%	50.0%
January 2011	339	202	59.6%	50.0%	137	40.4%	50.0%
December 2010	358	214	59.8%	50.0%	144	40.2%	50.0%
November 2010	366	209	57.1%	50.0%	157	42.9%	50.0%
October 2010	371	215	58.0%	50.0%	156	42.0%	50.0%
September 2010	365	220	60.3%	50.0%	145	39.7%	50.0%
August 2010	363	214	59.0%	50.0%	149	41.0%	50.0%
July 2010	383	235	61.4%	50.0%	148	38.6%	50.0%
June 2010	424	271	63.9%	50.0%	153	36.1%	50.0%
May 2010	416	262	63.0%	50.0%	154	37.0%	50.0%
April 2010	422	266	63.0%	50.0%	156	37.0%	50.0%
March 2010	429	268	62.5%	50.0%	161	37.5%	50.0%
February 2010	440	276	62.7%	50.0%	164	37.3%	50.0%

Region 9 Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
March 08 2011

State of Indiana
Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director
302 West Washington Street Room E306 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:
ICWIS Monthly Data

DCS Practice Indicator Report
Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

Total Out of Home Placements – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

Placed in Same County – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

Percent Locally Placed – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

DCS Practice Indicator Report
Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2011

February 2011

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9,921	7,093	71.5%
Region 9	213	125	58.7%
Boone	27	16	59.3%
Hendricks	46	32	69.6%
Montgomery	65	28	43.1%
Morgan	44	25	56.8%
Putnam	31	24	77.4%

Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 9 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Feb 2011	213	125	58.7%
Jan 2011	226	136	60.2%
Dec 2010	235	140	59.6%
Nov 2010	260	162	62.3%
Oct 2010	264	162	61.4%
Sep 2010	253	157	62.1%
Aug 2010	259	164	63.3%
Jul 2010	253	167	66.0%
Jun 2010	272	188	69.1%
May 2010	279	193	69.2%
Apr 2010	281	187	66.5%
Mar 2010	276	186	67.4%
Feb 2010	283	201	71.0%

Region 9 Percent Placed Locally



Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
March 02 2011

State of Indiana
Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director
302 West Washington Street Room E306 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:
ICWIS Monthly Data

DCS Practice Indicator Report
Average Number of Placements

For February 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

Average Number of Placements Per Child – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

DCS Practice Indicator Report
Average Number of Placements

For February 2010 to February 2011

February 2011

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 9	2.2
Boone	1.6
Hendricks	2.1
Montgomery	2.3
Morgan	2.7
Putnam	2.1

Statewide Average Number of Placements



Region 9 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
February 2011	2.2
January 2011	2.1
December 2010	2.1
November 2010	2.1
October 2010	2.1
September 2010	2.1
August 2010	2.1
July 2010	2.1
June 2010	2.1
May 2010	2.1
April 2010	2.1
March 2010	2.1
February 2010	2.0

Region 9 Average Number of Placements



Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
March 02 2011

State of Indiana
Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director
302 West Washington Street Room E306 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:
ICWIS Monthly Data

DCS Practice Indicator Report
Sibling Placement Report

For February 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the histori month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

Count of Sibling Cases – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at tl end of the report month.

Cases With All Children Placed Together – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

Average Number of Children per Case – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

DCS Practice Indicator Report
Sibling Placement Report

February 2011

February 2011

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2433	1743	71.6%	2.70
Region 9	55	35	63.6%	2.56
Boone	7	3	42.9%	2.86
Hendricks	11	10	90.9%	2.36
Montgomery	16	11	68.8%	2.69
Morgan	11	4	36.4%	2.55
Putnam	10	7	70.0%	2.40

Statewide Percent Together



Region 9 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
February 2011	55	35	63.6%	2.56
January 2011	58	36	62.1%	2.57
December 2010	58	34	58.6%	2.64
November 2010	68	45	66.2%	2.62
October 2010	71	51	71.8%	2.59
September 2010	68	47	69.1%	2.57
August 2010	68	45	66.2%	2.59
July 2010	65	42	64.6%	2.58
June 2010	73	52	71.2%	2.53
May 2010	74	53	71.6%	2.50
April 2010	75	54	72.0%	2.51
March 2010	76	61	80.3%	2.43
February 2010	79	61	77.2%	2.41

Region 9 Percent Together



Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
March 02 2011

State of Indiana
Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director
302 West Washington Street Room E306 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:
ICWIS Monthly Data

DCS Practice Indicator Report
Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement
Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal
For February 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

One Year - 0 to 365 Days– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.
Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 366 to 730 Days– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.
Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 731 to 1095 Days– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.
Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 1096 Days+– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.
Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Length Per Child – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

Average- This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.
Median- This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

DCS Practice Indicator Report
Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement
Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal
For February 2011

February 2011

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,635	46.7%	2,469	24.9%	1,234	12.4%	1,583	16.0%	635.4	402.3
Region 9	92	43.2%	58	27.2%	35	16.4%	28	13.1%	586.4	481.4
Boone	21	77.8%	2	7.4%	2	7.4%	2	7.4%	429.9	299.6
Hendricks	16	34.8%	5	10.9%	15	32.6%	10	21.7%	737.1	749.0
Montgomery	25	38.5%	24	36.9%	6	9.2%	10	15.4%	590.3	514.4
Morgan	13	29.5%	19	43.2%	8	18.2%	4	9.1%	603.3	570.0
Putnam	17	54.8%	8	25.8%	4	12.9%	2	6.5%	466.9	309.1

Statewide Median Length



Region 9 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
February 2011	92	43.2%	58	27.2%	35	16.4%	28	13.1%	586.4	481.4
January 2011	100	44.2%	63	27.9%	34	15.0%	29	12.8%	560.0	453.0
December 2010	107	45.5%	63	26.8%	35	14.9%	30	12.8%	539.1	424.5
November 2010	117	45.0%	79	30.4%	29	11.2%	35	13.5%	526.4	424.0
October 2010	133	50.4%	66	25.0%	31	11.7%	34	12.9%	491.4	361.0
September 2010	119	47.0%	76	30.0%	26	10.3%	32	12.6%	517.3	393.4
August 2010	122	47.1%	75	29.0%	33	12.7%	29	11.2%	515.8	379.4
July 2010	113	44.7%	80	31.6%	27	10.7%	33	13.0%	553.6	422.6
June 2010	124	45.6%	80	29.4%	35	12.9%	33	12.1%	536.1	402.0
May 2010	135	48.4%	78	28.0%	33	11.8%	33	11.8%	514.5	393.3
April 2010	131	46.6%	88	31.3%	27	9.6%	35	12.5%	516.7	424.3
March 2010	129	46.7%	86	31.2%	24	8.7%	37	13.4%	518.6	413.0
February 2010	136	48.1%	91	32.2%	24	8.5%	32	11.3%	496.0	383.6

Region 9 Median Length



Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
March 02 2011

State of Indiana
Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director
302 West Washington Street Room E306 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:
ICWIS Monthly Data

DCS Practice Indicator Report
CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For February 2011

Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code "Case opened in error – disregard" are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column "Total" refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column "Total within 24 months" refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

DCS Practice Indicator Report
CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For February 2011

February 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	583	73	21	51	24	752	665	88.4
Region 9	34	3	0	1	2	40	35	87.5
Boone	5	0	0	0	1	6	5	83.3
Hendricks	6	2	0	0	0	8	6	75.0
Montgomery	7	0	0	0	0	7	7	100.0
Morgan	6	1	0	1	1	9	8	88.9
Putnam	10	0	0	0	0	10	9	90.0

Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



Region 9 For February 2010 to February 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Feb 2011	34	3	0	1	2	40	35	87.5
Jan 2011	16	5	0	1	0	22	18	81.8
Dec 2010	16	12	0	1	1	30	21	70.0
Nov 2010	13	3	0	1	0	17	17	100.0
Oct 2010	10	4	2	0	1	17	13	76.5
Sep 2010	20	6	0	0	1	27	24	88.9
Aug 2010	24	9	0	0	0	33	29	87.9
Jul 2010	39	10	0	0	0	49	39	79.6
Jun 2010	16	1	0	0	2	19	14	73.7
May 2010	16	0	0	3	0	19	16	84.2
Apr 2010	15	0	2	4	0	21	21	100.0
Mar 2010	23	0	0	5	0	28	27	96.4
Feb 2010	32	6	0	1	1	40	35	87.5

Region 9 Permanency within 24 Months



Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
March 11 2011

State of Indiana
Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director
302 West Washington Street Room E306 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:
ICWIS Monthly Data

DCS Practice Indicator Report
Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For February 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

Children Out of Home Entire Month – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

Contact Information – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

FCM with Family – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

> **Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.
> **Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

FCM with Child – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

> **Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.
> **Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

FCM with Family and Child – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

> **Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.
> **Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

DCS Practice Indicator Report

Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For February 2011

February 2011

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	9,638	2,728	28.3%	9,378	97.3%	2,684	27.8%
Region 9	213	73	34.3%	208	97.7%	73	34.3%
Boone	27	14	51.9%	27	100.0%	14	51.9%
Hendricks	46	14	30.4%	46	100.0%	14	30.4%
Montgomery	64	24	37.5%	64	100.0%	24	37.5%
Morgan	44	13	29.5%	42	95.5%	13	29.5%
Putnam	32	8	25.0%	29	90.6%	8	25.0%

Statewide Child Contact Rate



Region 9 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Feb 2011	213	73	34.3%	208	97.7%	73	34.3%
Jan 2011	223	74	33.2%	217	97.3%	72	32.3%
Dec 2010	232	69	29.7%	227	97.8%	68	29.3%
Nov 2010	252	80	31.7%	235	93.3%	80	31.7%
Oct 2010	250	95	38.0%	241	96.4%	94	37.6%
Sep 2010	247	80	32.4%	233	94.3%	80	32.4%
Aug 2010	247	95	38.5%	242	98.0%	95	38.5%
Jul 2010	250	83	33.2%	242	96.8%	83	33.2%
Jun 2010	265	82	30.9%	257	97.0%	81	30.6%
May 2010	267	85	31.8%	257	96.3%	84	31.5%
Apr 2010	269	88	32.7%	249	92.6%	88	32.7%
Mar 2010	272	93	34.2%	255	93.8%	92	33.8%
Feb 2010	279	97	34.8%	266	95.3%	95	34.1%

Region 9 Child Contact Rate



Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
March 08 2011

State of Indiana
Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director
302 West Washington Street Room E306 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:
ICWIS Monthly Data

DCS Practice Indicator Report
Child Visitation Report

For February 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

Children with visits to their family during the month – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.
Rate – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).

DCS Practice Indicator Report

Child Visitation Report

For February 2011

February 2011

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	5,238	1,313	25.1%
Region 9	94	39	41.5%
Boone	22	11	50.0%
Hendricks	16	5	31.3%
Montgomery	26	2	7.7%
Morgan	16	9	56.3%
Putnam	14	12	85.7%

Statewide Visitation Rate



Region 9 For February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Chidlren with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Feb 2011	94	39	41.5%
Jan 2011	104	40	38.5%
Dec 2010	114	33	28.9%
Nov 2010	126	41	32.5%
Oct 2010	127	51	40.2%
Sep 2010	130	29	22.3%
Aug 2010	132	47	35.6%
Jul 2010	122	36	29.5%
Jun 2010	132	33	25.0%
May 2010	124	40	32.3%
Apr 2010	118	27	22.9%
Mar 2010	123	19	15.4%
Feb 2010	131	20	15.3%

Region 9 Visitation Rate



Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
March 15 2011

State of Indiana
Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director
302 West Washington Street Room E306 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:
ICWIS Monthly Data

DCS Practice Indicator Report
Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2011

Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

Time Period – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Assessments during time period – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

Victim Selection – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

First Occurrence – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

Recurrence Time Period – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date (>= 1st Occurrence +1 and <= 1st Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1st to Dec 31st. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1st to Jun 30th. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7th, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8th to Aug 9th. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5th, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10th, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1st to Dec 31st)											
1st Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1st to Jun 30th)											
			Recurrence Period (Feb 8th to Aug 9th)								

Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{st}\text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{st}\text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.

DCS Practice Indicator Report

Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2011

February 2011

County	Victims from Mar 2010 to Sep 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	12,002	10,748	89.55%	94.60%
Region 9	384	337	87.76%	94.60%
Boone	70	58	82.86%	94.60%
Hendricks	89	73	82.02%	94.60%
Montgomery	111	98	88.29%	94.60%
Morgan	64	61	95.31%	94.60%
Putnam	50	47	94.00%	94.60%

Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 9 For February 2010 to February 2011

County	Victims from Mar 2010 to Sep 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
February 2011	384	337	87.76%	94.60%
January 2011	394	348	88.32%	94.60%
December 2010	424	384	90.57%	94.60%
November 2010	417	377	90.41%	94.60%
October 2010	377	339	89.92%	94.60%
September 2010	358	333	93.02%	94.60%
August 2010	381	355	93.18%	94.60%
July 2010	431	399	92.58%	94.60%
June 2010	414	386	93.24%	94.60%
May 2010	416	388	93.27%	94.60%
April 2010	459	430	93.68%	94.60%
March 2010	472	442	93.64%	94.60%
February 2010	482	459	95.23%	94.60%

Region 9 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
March 01 2011

State of Indiana
Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director
302 West Washington Street Room E306 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:
ICWIS Monthly Data

DCS Practice Indicator Report
Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For February 2011

Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

Time Period – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Assessments during the time period – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

Children in Foster Care during the time period – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Percent of absence of maltreatment – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{\text{(Children in Foster Care – Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care)}}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$

DCS Practice Indicator Report

Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For February 2011

For February 2011

Location	Children in Foster Care from Mar 2010 to Feb 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	21,280	65	99.69%	**99.68%**
Region 9	592	4	99.32%	**99.68%**
Boone	87	4	95.40%	**99.68%**
Hendricks	107	0	100.00%	**99.68%**
Montgomery	190	0	100.00%	**99.68%**
Morgan	117	0	100.00%	**99.68%**
Putnam	91	0	100.00%	**99.68%**

Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



Region 9 for February 2010 to February 2011

Month	Children in Foster Care from Mar 2010 to Feb 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
February 2011	592	4	99.32%	**99.68%**
January 2011	600	5	99.17%	**99.68%**
December 2010	611	5	99.18%	**99.68%**
November 2010	614	3	99.51%	**99.68%**
October 2010	612	2	99.67%	**99.68%**
September 2010	613	2	99.67%	**99.68%**
August 2010	612	3	99.51%	**99.68%**
July 2010	621	4	99.36%	**99.68%**
June 2010	629	4	99.36%	**99.68%**
May 2010	632	4	99.37%	**99.68%**
April 2010	618	7	98.87%	**99.68%**
March 2010	630	4	99.37%	**99.68%**
February 2010	641	2	99.69%	**99.68%**

Region 9 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



Prepared by
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis
March 01 2011

State of Indiana
Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director
302 West Washington Street Room E306 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:
ICWIS Monthly Data