



INDIANA
DEPARTMENT OF
CHILD
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,
families and future*

Department of Child Services

Practice Indicator Reports

For June 2011



Region 05

June 2011

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



Published
July 2011



DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For June 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

Reports Taken – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

Count – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

Percent Resulting in Screen Outs – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

Assessments Substantiated – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

Count – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

Percent Resulting in Removal – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For June 2011

June 2011

Location	Reports Taken For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	40,304	36.1%	6,007	28.9%
Region 5	1,678	39.87%	228	35.53%
Benton	71	40.8%	11	54.5%
Carroll	91	33.0%	15	33.3%
Clinton	238	42.4%	48	41.7%
Fountain	112	40.2%	18	50.0%
Tippecanoe	968	39.7%	121	31.4%
Warren	47	55.3%	2	0.0%
White	151	35.8%	13	23.1%


Statewide Percent Removal Trend



Region 5 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Reports Taken For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011		Assessments Substantiated For Apr 2011 to Jun 2011	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Jun 2011	1,678	39.9%	228	35.5%
May 2011	1,732	39.5%	224	38.4%
Apr 2011	1,651	38.7%	218	36.7%
Mar 2011	1,627	38.8%	203	36.0%
Feb 2011	1,526	39.0%	185	32.4%
Jan 2011	1,551	37.0%	207	34.8%
Dec 2010	1,526	36.6%	223	28.3%
Nov 2010	1,616	37.2%	279	36.9%
Oct 2010	1,682	40.1%	277	35.7%
Sep 2010	1,687	39.8%	297	41.8%
Aug 2010	1,685	37.0%	306	34.0%
Jul 2010	1,648	33.1%	315	37.1%
Jun 2010	1,689	27.5%	307	32.2%

Region 5 Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For June 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered "in home".

Total CHINS Count – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

CHINS – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

In Home – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Foster Care – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Out of Home Placements – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Relative Homes – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Foster Homes – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Residential – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Other – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For June 2011

June 2011

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13694	4105	30.0%	9,589	70.0%	3514	36.6%	5050	52.7%	844	8.8%	181	1.9%
Region 5	495	181	36.6%	314	63.4%	115	36.6%	178	56.7%	20	6.4%	1	0.3%
Benton	22	1	4.5%	21	95.5%	4	19.0%	13	61.9%	4	19.0%	0	0.0%
Carroll	15	7	46.7%	8	53.3%	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%
Clinton	70	39	55.7%	31	44.3%	18	58.1%	12	38.7%	1	3.2%	0	0.0%
Fountain	47	10	21.3%	37	78.7%	11	29.7%	24	64.9%	2	5.4%	0	0.0%
Tiptecanoe	282	101	35.8%	181	64.2%	60	33.1%	110	60.8%	11	6.1%	0	0.0%
Warren	25	4	16.0%	21	84.0%	9	42.9%	11	52.4%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%
White	34	19	55.9%	15	44.1%	10	66.7%	5	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%


Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Region 5 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Jun 2011	495	181	36.6%	314	63.4%	115	36.6%	178	56.7%	20	6.4%	1	0.3%
May 2011	481	170	35.3%	311	64.7%	112	36.0%	173	55.6%	23	7.4%	3	1.0%
Apr 2011	509	177	34.8%	332	65.2%	126	38.0%	181	54.5%	22	6.6%	3	0.9%
Mar 2011	509	193	37.9%	316	62.1%	118	37.3%	178	56.3%	17	5.4%	3	0.9%
Feb 2011	496	199	40.1%	297	59.9%	100	33.7%	174	58.6%	16	5.4%	7	2.4%
Jan 2011	508	206	40.6%	302	59.4%	104	34.4%	174	57.6%	18	6.0%	6	2.0%
Dec 2010	545	203	37.2%	342	62.8%	119	34.8%	199	58.2%	18	5.3%	6	1.8%
Nov 2010	516	166	32.2%	350	67.8%	106	30.3%	217	62.0%	22	6.3%	5	1.4%
Oct 2010	528	165	31.3%	363	68.8%	115	31.7%	220	60.6%	23	6.3%	5	1.4%
Sep 2010	545	172	31.6%	373	68.4%	129	34.6%	216	57.9%	26	7.0%	2	0.5%
Aug 2010	522	176	33.7%	346	66.3%	115	33.2%	208	60.1%	22	6.4%	1	0.3%
Jul 2010	536	186	34.7%	350	65.3%	123	35.1%	202	57.7%	25	7.1%	0	0.0%
Jun 2010	511	196	38.4%	315	61.6%	105	33.3%	186	59.0%	22	7.0%	2	0.6%

Region 5 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Safely Home Families First by County

June 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

IA Count – This is the number of children that have an open Informal Adjustment case on the last day of the report month.

Total CHINS Count – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

IA, In Home or Relative Care – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Target (At or Above) % – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

Non Relative Foster Care – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Target (At or below) % – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Safely Home Families First by County

For June 2011

June 2011

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA, In Home CHINS, or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	1821	13694	9440	60.8%	50.0%	6,075	39.2%	50.0%
Region 5	52	495	348	63.6%	50.0%	199	36.4%	50.0%
Benton	2	22	7	29.2%	50.0%	17	70.8%	50.0%
Carroll	11	15	21	80.8%	50.0%	5	19.2%	50.0%
Clinton	12	70	69	84.1%	50.0%	13	15.9%	50.0%
Fountain	6	47	27	50.9%	50.0%	26	49.1%	50.0%
Tippecanoe	17	282	178	59.5%	50.0%	121	40.5%	50.0%
Warren	0	25	13	52.0%	50.0%	12	48.0%	50.0%
White	4	34	33	86.8%	50.0%	5	13.2%	50.0%


Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Region 5 For June 2010 to June 2011

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA, In Home CHINS, or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2011	52	495	348	63.6%	50.0%	199	36.4%	50.0%
May 2011	57	481	339	63.0%	50.0%	199	37.0%	50.0%
April 2011	46	509	349	62.9%	50.0%	206	37.1%	50.0%
March 2011	45	509	356	64.3%	50.0%	198	35.7%	50.0%
February 2011	41	496	340	63.3%	50.0%	197	36.7%	50.0%
January 2011	47	508	357	64.3%	50.0%	198	35.7%	50.0%
December 2010	60	545	382	63.1%	50.0%	223	36.9%	50.0%
November 2010	57	516	329	57.4%	50.0%	244	42.6%	50.0%
October 2010	64	528	344	58.1%	50.0%	248	41.9%	50.0%
September 2010	55	545	356	59.3%	50.0%	244	40.7%	50.0%
August 2010	51	522	342	59.7%	50.0%	231	40.3%	50.0%
July 2010	53	536	362	61.5%	50.0%	227	38.5%	50.0%
June 2010	38	511	339	61.7%	50.0%	210	38.3%	50.0%

Region 5 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For June 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

Total Out of Home Placements – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

Placed in Same County – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

Percent Locally Placed – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For June 2011

June 2011

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9,589	6,833	71.3%
Region 5	314	201	64.0%
Benton	21	9	42.9%
Carroll	8	2	25.0%
Clinton	31	23	74.2%
Fountain	37	19	51.4%
Tippecanoe	181	127	70.2%
Warren	21	7	33.3%
White	15	14	93.3%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 5 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Jun 2011	314	201	64.0%
May 2011	311	200	64.3%
Apr 2011	332	228	68.7%
Mar 2011	316	221	69.9%
Feb 2011	297	207	69.7%
Jan 2011	302	207	68.5%
Dec 2010	342	239	69.9%
Nov 2010	350	227	64.9%
Oct 2010	363	239	65.8%
Sep 2010	373	234	62.7%
Aug 2010	346	227	65.6%
Jul 2010	350	230	65.7%
Jun 2010	315	205	65.1%

Region 5 Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For June 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

Average Number of Placements Per Child – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For June 2010 to June 2011

June 2011

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 5	1.7
Benton	1.4
Carroll	1.5
Clinton	1.1
Fountain	1.8
Tippecanoe	1.9
Warren	1.4
White	1.8


Statewide Average Number of Placements



Region 5 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
June 2011	1.7
May 2011	1.8
April 2011	1.7
March 2011	1.7
February 2011	1.8
January 2011	1.8
December 2010	1.8
November 2010	1.8
October 2010	1.8
September 2010	1.8
August 2010	1.8
July 2010	1.7
June 2010	1.8

Region 5 Average Number of Placements





DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For June 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

Count of Sibling Cases – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

Cases With All Children Placed Together – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

Average Number of Children per Case – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

June 2011

June 2011

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2378	1728	72.7%	2.71
Region 5	83	72	86.7%	2.55
Benton	4	3	75.0%	3.25
Carroll	2	1	50.0%	2.00
Clinton	10	10	100.0%	2.40
Fountain	9	8	88.9%	2.56
Tippecanoe	49	44	89.8%	2.53
Warren	6	5	83.3%	3.00
White	3	1	33.3%	2.00


Statewide Percent Together



Region 5 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
June 2011	83	72	86.7%	2.55
May 2011	79	71	89.9%	2.57
April 2011	85	75	88.2%	2.56
March 2011	79	71	89.9%	2.58
February 2011	72	62	86.1%	2.57
January 2011	76	63	82.9%	2.55
December 2010	87	71	81.6%	2.63
November 2010	87	71	81.6%	2.57
October 2010	93	76	81.7%	2.59
September 2010	97	82	84.5%	2.63
August 2010	90	74	82.2%	2.61
July 2010	91	73	80.2%	2.64
June 2010	82	69	84.1%	2.56

Region 5 Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For June 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

One Year - 0 to 365 Days– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 366 to 730 Days– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 731 to 1095 Days– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 1096 Days+– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Length Per Child – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

Average- This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

Median- This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For June 2011

June 2011

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,339	45.3%	2,397	25.0%	1,208	12.6%	1,644	17.1%	639.6	414.4
Region 5	190	60.5%	103	32.8%	12	3.8%	9	2.9%	338.6	282.0
Benton	11	52.4%	8	38.1%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	399.6	357.5
Carroll	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	192.3	232.0
Clinton	23	74.2%	8	25.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	179.3	105.4
Fountain	24	64.9%	9	24.3%	3	8.1%	1	2.7%	396.4	282.6
Tippecanoe	107	59.1%	64	35.4%	3	1.7%	7	3.9%	349.4	294.3
Warren	10	47.6%	7	33.3%	4	19.0%	0	0.0%	351.6	367.5
White	8	53.3%	6	40.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.7%	369.3	311.6


Statewide Median Length



Region 5 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
June 2011	190	60.5%	103	32.8%	12	3.8%	9	2.9%	338.6	282.0
May 2011	208	66.9%	80	25.7%	13	4.2%	10	3.2%	346.8	301.2
April 2011	224	67.5%	84	25.3%	13	3.9%	11	3.3%	340.6	287.5
March 2011	221	69.9%	73	23.1%	12	3.8%	10	3.2%	325.1	268.5
February 2011	208	70.0%	72	24.2%	8	2.7%	9	3.0%	331.5	248.6
January 2011	224	74.2%	63	20.9%	6	2.0%	9	3.0%	314.4	230.0
December 2010	251	73.4%	78	22.8%	4	1.2%	9	2.6%	288.8	205.5
November 2010	254	72.6%	79	22.6%	10	2.9%	7	2.0%	293.0	207.0
October 2010	262	72.2%	83	22.9%	11	3.0%	7	1.9%	281.0	188.4
September 2010	270	72.4%	85	22.8%	11	2.9%	7	1.9%	260.7	168.6
August 2010	246	71.1%	84	24.3%	8	2.3%	8	2.3%	272.8	179.0
July 2010	264	75.4%	70	20.0%	6	1.7%	10	2.9%	266.9	165.0
June 2010	249	79.0%	51	16.2%	5	1.6%	10	3.2%	280.1	205.6

Region 5 Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For June 2011

Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code "Case opened in error – disregard" are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column "Total" refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column "Total within 24 months" refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For June 2011

June 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	563	76	12	64	45	760	647	85.1
Region 5	35	6	0	6	1	48	47	97.9
Benton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Carroll	1	0	0	1	0	2	2	100.0
Clinton	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	100.0
Fountain	4	0	0	1	0	5	5	100.0
Tippecanoe	20	3	0	4	1	28	27	96.4
Warren	6	1	0	0	0	7	7	100.0
White	4	1	0	0	0	5	5	100.0


Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



Region 5 For June 2010 to June 2011

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Jun 2011	35	6	0	6	1	48	47	97.9
May 2011	37	8	1	0	0	46	45	97.8
Apr 2011	39	3	1	3	1	47	46	97.9
Mar 2011	33	3	0	7	0	43	40	93.0
Feb 2011	30	0	2	0	2	34	34	100.0
Jan 2011	37	3	0	1	1	42	41	97.6
Dec 2010	18	22	1	0	0	41	39	95.1
Nov 2010	28	1	1	5	0	35	33	94.3
Oct 2010	26	10	0	1	0	37	36	97.3
Sep 2010	32	1	2	3	0	38	37	97.4
Aug 2010	25	2	1	5	1	34	33	97.1
Jul 2010	24	1	2	4	0	31	28	90.3
Jun 2010	29	3	0	0	2	34	30	88.2

Region 5 Permanency within 24 Months





DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For June 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

Children Out of Home Entire Month – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

Contact Information – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

FCM with Family – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

FCM with Child – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

FCM with Family and Child – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For June 2011

June 2011

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	9,191	3,019	32.8%	9,007	98.0%	2,980	32.4%
Region 5	283	168	59.4%	272	96.1%	165	58.3%
Benton	19	11	57.9%	19	100.0%	11	57.9%
Carroll	7	5	71.4%	7	100.0%	5	71.4%
Clinton	27	24	88.9%	27	100.0%	24	88.9%
Fountain	35	17	48.6%	35	100.0%	17	48.6%
Tippecanoe	167	91	54.5%	157	94.0%	88	52.7%
Warren	14	8	57.1%	13	92.9%	8	57.1%
White	14	12	85.7%	14	100.0%	12	85.7%


Statewide Child Contact Rate



Region 5 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Jun 2011	283	168	59.4%	272	96.1%	165	58.3%
May 2011	299	143	47.8%	290	97.0%	142	47.5%
Apr 2011	314	177	56.4%	305	97.1%	174	55.4%
Mar 2011	274	151	55.1%	268	97.8%	150	54.7%
Feb 2011	284	111	39.1%	274	96.5%	110	38.7%
Jan 2011	292	143	49.0%	285	97.6%	140	47.9%
Dec 2010	311	120	38.6%	306	98.4%	120	38.6%
Nov 2010	336	142	42.3%	331	98.5%	142	42.3%
Oct 2010	348	152	43.7%	334	96.0%	147	42.2%
Sep 2010	330	135	40.9%	321	97.3%	135	40.9%
Aug 2010	327	138	42.2%	319	97.6%	138	42.2%
Jul 2010	312	150	48.1%	305	97.8%	150	48.1%
Jun 2010	276	98	35.5%	273	98.9%	98	35.5%

Region 5 Child Contact Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For June 2011

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

Children with visits to their family during the month – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Child Visitation Report

For June 2011

June 2011

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	4,977	1,200	24.1%
Region 5	174	112	64.4%
Benton	9	8	88.9%
Carroll	7	7	100.0%
Clinton	20	17	85.0%
Fountain	19	12	63.2%
Tippecanoe	107	57	53.3%
Warren	3	3	100.0%
White	9	8	88.9%


Statewide Visitation Rate



Region 5 For June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Jun 2011	174	112	64.4%
May 2011	197	120	60.9%
Apr 2011	201	124	61.7%
Mar 2011	183	102	55.7%
Feb 2011	196	91	46.4%
Jan 2011	199	100	50.3%
Dec 2010	216	102	47.2%
Nov 2010	225	105	46.7%
Oct 2010	243	116	47.7%
Sep 2010	234	117	50.0%
Aug 2010	236	126	53.4%
Jul 2010	224	103	46.0%
Jun 2010	209	112	53.6%

Region 5 Visitation Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2011

Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

Time Period – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Assessments during time period – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

Victim Selection – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

First Occurrence – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

Recurrence Time Period – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ($\geq 1^{\text{st}}$ Occurrence +1 and $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$ Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1st to Dec 31st. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1st to Jun 30th. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7th, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8th to Aug 9th. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5th, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10th, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1 st to Dec 31 st)											
1 st Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1 st to Jun 30 th)											
						Recurrence Period (Feb 8 th to Aug 9 th)					

Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2011

June 2011

County	Victims from Jul 2010 to Jan 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	10,111	9,445	93.41%	94.60%
Region 5	417	394	94.48%	94.60%
Benton	11	11	100.00%	94.60%
Carroll	25	24	96.00%	94.60%
Clinton	73	66	90.41%	94.60%
Fountain	52	48	92.31%	94.60%
Tippecanoe	214	205	95.79%	94.60%
Warren	10	10	100.00%	94.60%
White	32	30	93.75%	94.60%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 5 For June 2010 to June 2011

County	Victims from Jul 2010 to Jan 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
June 2011	417	394	94.48%	94.60%
May 2011	464	435	93.75%	94.60%
April 2011	524	491	93.70%	94.60%
March 2011	547	513	93.78%	94.60%
February 2011	533	504	94.56%	94.60%
January 2011	500	471	94.20%	94.60%
December 2010	510	480	94.12%	94.60%
November 2010	448	417	93.08%	94.60%
October 2010	408	385	94.36%	94.60%
September 2010	401	377	94.01%	94.60%
August 2010	395	373	94.43%	94.60%
July 2010	428	408	95.33%	94.60%
June 2010	431	416	96.52%	94.60%

Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For June 2011

Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

Time Period – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Assessments during the time period – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

Children in Foster Care during the time period – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Percent of absence of maltreatment – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For June 2011

For June 2011

Location	Children in Foster Care from Jul 2010 to Jun 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	20,859	55	99.74%	99.68%
Region 5	812	3	99.63%	99.68%
Benton	31	0	100.00%	99.68%
Carroll	33	1	96.97%	99.68%
Clinton	77	0	100.00%	99.68%
Fountain	73	2	97.26%	99.68%
Tippecanoe	500	0	100.00%	99.68%
Warren	32	0	100.00%	99.68%
White	66	0	100.00%	99.68%

Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



Region 5 for June 2010 to June 2011

Month	Children in Foster Care from Jul 2010 to Jun 2011	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
June 2011	812	3	99.63%	99.68%
May 2011	812	3	99.63%	99.68%
April 2011	827	5	99.40%	99.68%
March 2011	823	5	99.39%	99.68%
February 2011	779	5	99.36%	99.68%
January 2011	786	5	99.36%	99.68%
December 2010	805	5	99.38%	99.68%
November 2010	788	5	99.37%	99.68%
October 2010	798	5	99.37%	99.68%
September 2010	823	2	99.76%	99.68%
August 2010	799	3	99.62%	99.68%
July 2010	802	3	99.63%	99.68%
June 2010	782	2	99.74%	99.68%

Region 5 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

