



**INDIANA**  
DEPARTMENT OF  
**CHILD**  
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

# Department of Child Services Practice Indicator Reports For February 2012



## Region 02 February 2012

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



**Published  
March 2012**



# DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

**Reports Taken** – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Screen Outs** – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

**Assessments Substantiated** – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

**Count** – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

**Percent Resulting in Removal** – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For February 2012

### February 2012

Location	Reports Taken For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012		Assessments Substantiated For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	36,929	35.8%	5,529	26.9%
Region 2	1,409	36.27%	184	26.09%
Jasper	114	30.7%	19	5.3%
Laporte	553	36.2%	57	38.6%
Newton	48	29.2%	12	25.0%
Porter	540	37.0%	65	12.3%
Pulaski	61	37.7%	7	0.0%
Starke	93	41.9%	24	58.3%


### Statewide Percent Removal Trend



### Region 2 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Reports Taken For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012		Assessments Substantiated For Dec 2011 to Feb 2012	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Feb 2012	1,409	36.3%	184	26.1%
Jan 2012	1,382	40.1%	164	27.4%
Dec 2011	1,401	41.5%	148	26.4%
Nov 2011	1,488	41.7%	154	20.1%
Oct 2011	1,523	40.8%	177	21.5%
Sep 2011	1,524	38.1%	179	20.7%
Aug 2011	1,552	36.0%	179	20.7%
Jul 2011	1,560	34.4%	155	22.6%
Jun 2011	1,565	34.8%	179	27.4%
May 2011	1,565	36.7%	152	28.3%
Apr 2011	1,494	37.9%	150	31.3%
Mar 2011	1,550	38.6%	116	36.2%
Feb 2011	1,413	36.0%	137	41.6%

### Region 2 Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, a child with a non-custodial parent is considered "in home".

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**CHINS** – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Foster Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Out of Home Placements** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

**Relative Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Foster Homes** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Residential** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Other** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	12629	3700	29.3	8,929	70.7	3460	38.8	4551	51.0	703	7.9	215	2.4
Region 2	287	64	22.3	223	77.7	94	42.2	101	45.3	20	9.0	8	3.6
Jasper	18	5	27.8	13	72.2	2	15.4	9	69.2	1	7.7	1	7.7
LaPorte	117	18	15.4	99	84.6	49	49.5	36	36.4	11	11.1	3	3.0
Newton	18	10	55.6	8	44.4	3	37.5	4	50.0	1	12.5	0	0.0
Porter	90	21	23.3	69	76.7	27	39.1	36	52.2	4	5.8	2	2.9
Pulaski	12	3	25.0	9	75.0	2	22.2	6	66.7	0	0.0	1	11.1
Starke	32	7	21.9	25	78.1	11	44.0	10	40.0	3	12.0	1	4.0


## Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



## Region 2 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Feb 2012	287	64	22.3	223	77.7	94	42.2	101	45.3	20	9.0	8	3.6
Jan 2012	273	62	22.7	211	77.3	88	41.7	98	46.4	19	9.0	6	2.8
Dec 2011	285	74	26.0	211	74.0	91	43.1	94	44.5	21	10.0	5	2.4
Nov 2011	297	80	26.9	217	73.1	98	45.2	92	42.4	23	10.6	4	1.8
Oct 2011	315	102	32.4	213	67.6	92	43.2	94	44.1	23	10.8	4	1.9
Sep 2011	323	97	30.0	226	70.0	94	41.6	104	46.0	25	11.1	3	1.3
Aug 2011	347	111	32.0	236	68.0	102	43.2	107	45.3	25	10.6	2	0.8
Jul 2011	351	105	29.9	246	70.1	106	43.1	110	44.7	28	11.4	2	0.8
Jun 2011	350	100	28.6	250	71.4	109	43.6	109	43.6	29	11.6	3	1.2
May 2011	332	83	25.0	249	75.0	103	41.4	110	44.2	32	12.9	4	1.6
Apr 2011	338	84	24.9	254	75.1	105	41.3	113	44.5	34	13.4	2	0.8
Mar 2011	323	66	20.4	257	79.6	93	36.2	128	49.8	33	12.8	3	1.2
Feb 2011	344	81	23.5	263	76.5	101	38.4	124	47.1	36	13.7	2	0.8

## Region 2 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Safely Home Families First by County

February 2012

### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**IA Count** – This is the number of children that have an open Informal Adjustment case on the last day of the report month.

**Total CHINS Count** – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

**IA and In Home** – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**IA, In Home or Relative Care** – This section has data regarding children that have an open IA case or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or Above) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

**Non Relative Foster Care** – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Count-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

**Percent-** This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month.

**Target (At or below) %** – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open IA or CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Safely Home Families First by County

For February 2012

### February 2012

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA and In Home CHINS		IA, In Home CHINS, and Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%	Count	%	%	Count	%	%
Statewide	1802	12629	5502	38.1%	8962	62.1%	50%	5,469	37.9%	50%
Region 2	37	287	101	31.2%	195	60.2%	50%	129	39.8%	50%
Jasper	23	18	28	68.3%	30	73.2%	50%	11	26.8%	50%
LaPorte	9	117	27	21.4%	76	60.3%	50%	50	39.7%	50%
Newton	1	18	11	57.9%	14	73.7%	50%	5	26.3%	50%
Porter	0	90	21	23.3%	48	53.3%	50%	42	46.7%	50%
Pulaski	1	12	4	30.8%	6	46.2%	50%	7	53.8%	50%
Starke	3	32	10	28.6%	21	60.0%	50%	14	40.0%	50%


### Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



### Region 2 For February 2011 to February 2012

Location	IA	Total CHINS	IA and In Home CHINS		IA, In Home CHINS, and Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	Count	%	Count	%	%	Count	%	%
February 2012	37	287	101	31.2%	195	60.2%	50%	129	39.8%	50%
January 2012	39	273	101	32.4%	189	60.6%	50%	123	39.4%	50%
December 2011	44	285	118	35.9%	209	63.5%	50%	120	36.5%	50%
November 2011	50	297	130	37.5%	228	65.7%	50%	119	34.3%	50%
October 2011	57	315	159	42.7%	251	67.5%	50%	121	32.5%	50%
September 2011	43	323	140	38.3%	234	63.9%	50%	132	36.1%	50%
August 2011	26	347	137	36.7%	239	64.1%	50%	134	35.9%	50%
July 2011	22	351	127	34.0%	233	62.5%	50%	140	37.5%	50%
June 2011	21	350	121	32.6%	230	62.0%	50%	141	38.0%	50%
May 2011	22	332	105	29.7%	208	58.8%	50%	146	41.2%	50%
April 2011	22	338	106	29.4%	211	58.6%	50%	149	41.4%	50%
March 2011	20	323	86	25.1%	179	52.2%	50%	164	47.8%	50%
February 2011	17	344	98	27.1%	199	55.1%	50%	162	44.9%	50%

### Region 2 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

**Total Out of Home Placements** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

**Placed in Same County** – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

**Percent Locally Placed** – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	8,929	6,315	70.7%
Region 2	223	146	65.5%
Jasper	13	4	30.8%
Laporte	99	73	73.7%
Newton	8	3	37.5%
Porter	69	44	63.8%
Pulaski	9	2	22.2%
Starke	25	20	80.0%


## Statewide Percent Placed Locally



## Region 2 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Feb 2012	223	146	65.5%
Jan 2012	211	139	65.9%
Dec 2011	211	138	65.4%
Nov 2011	217	147	67.7%
Oct 2011	213	147	69.0%
Sep 2011	226	156	69.0%
Aug 2011	236	163	69.1%
Jul 2011	246	163	66.3%
Jun 2011	250	169	67.6%
May 2011	249	167	67.1%
Apr 2011	254	174	68.5%
Mar 2011	257	164	63.8%
Feb 2011	263	166	63.1%

## Region 2 Percent Placed Locally





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

**Average Number of Placements Per Child** – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For February 2011 to February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.6
Region 2	2.7
Jasper	1.5
Laporte	2.3
Newton	2.0
Porter	3.6
Pulaski	1.9
Starke	2.2


## Statewide Average Number of Placements



## Region 2 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
February 2012	2.7
January 2012	2.7
December 2011	2.6
November 2011	2.6
October 2011	2.6
September 2011	2.5
August 2011	2.4
July 2011	2.5
June 2011	2.6
May 2011	2.7
April 2011	2.6
March 2011	2.8
February 2011	2.7

## Region 2 Average Number of Placements





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

**Count of Sibling Cases** – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Cases With All Children Placed Together** – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

**Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases**– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

**Average Number of Children per Case** – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2202	1638	74.4%	2.71
Region 2	48	37	77.1%	2.69
Jasper	4	4	100.0%	2.50
Laporte	20	16	80.0%	2.90
Newton	2	1	50.0%	2.00
Porter	15	10	66.7%	2.40
Pulaski	1	1	100.0%	3.00
Starke	6	5	83.3%	3.00


## Statewide Percent Together



## Region 2 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
February 2012	48	37	77.1%	2.69
January 2012	45	34	75.6%	2.69
December 2011	48	38	79.2%	2.63
November 2011	53	43	81.1%	2.40
October 2011	51	41	80.4%	2.37
September 2011	54	42	77.8%	2.44
August 2011	56	44	78.6%	2.46
July 2011	59	46	78.0%	2.58
June 2011	60	47	78.3%	2.52
May 2011	57	43	75.4%	2.61
April 2011	57	43	75.4%	2.65
March 2011	60	44	73.3%	2.60
February 2011	62	45	72.6%	2.56

## Region 2 Percent Together





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For February 2012

#### Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home.

**One Year - 0 to 365 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child’s last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child’s last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 366 to 730 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child’s last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child’s last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 731 to 1095 Days**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child’s last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child’s last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**One Year - 1096 Days+**– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child’s last removal.

**Count-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child’s last removal.

**Percent-** This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child’s last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

**Length Per Child** – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child’s last removal from their home.

**Average-** This column calculates the average or “mean” length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

**Median-** This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For February 2012

#### February 2012

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,312	48.3%	2,059	23.1%	1,107	12.4%	1,451	16.3%	624.5	383.4
Region 2	124	55.6%	45	20.2%	18	8.1%	36	16.1%	576.3	285.4
Jasper	10	76.9%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	282.7	43.7
Laporte	62	62.6%	20	20.2%	11	11.1%	6	6.1%	420.8	238.5
Newton	1	12.5%	4	50.0%	0	0.0%	3	37.5%	703.3	585.5
Porter	30	43.5%	13	18.8%	4	5.8%	22	31.9%	824.3	506.6
Pulaski	4	44.4%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	625.7	584.6
Starke	17	68.0%	3	12.0%	2	8.0%	3	12.0%	602.0	99.7


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 2 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
February 2012	124	55.6%	45	20.2%	18	8.1%	36	16.1%	576.3	285.4
January 2012	112	53.1%	44	20.9%	23	10.9%	32	15.2%	591.4	293.4
December 2011	111	52.6%	47	22.3%	22	10.4%	31	14.7%	591.3	337.7
November 2011	93	42.9%	61	28.1%	31	14.3%	32	14.7%	636.6	429.4
October 2011	90	42.3%	55	25.8%	34	16.0%	34	16.0%	654.8	419.6
September 2011	95	42.0%	60	26.5%	41	18.1%	30	13.3%	630.9	429.5
August 2011	112	47.5%	52	22.0%	39	16.5%	33	14.0%	626.6	402.0
July 2011	109	44.3%	63	25.6%	40	16.3%	34	13.8%	627.4	392.0
June 2011	119	47.6%	58	23.2%	39	15.6%	34	13.6%	636.7	383.0
May 2011	122	49.0%	56	22.5%	33	13.3%	38	15.3%	656.4	369.5
April 2011	137	53.9%	48	18.9%	31	12.2%	38	15.0%	625.7	340.5
March 2011	129	50.2%	55	21.4%	28	10.9%	45	17.5%	662.5	352.6
February 2011	127	48.3%	60	22.8%	30	11.4%	46	17.5%	652.2	389.5

#### Region 2 Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code “Case opened in error – disregard” are filtered out for the purpose of this report. This report uses the reason codes selected by the worker at the date of case closure. **Adoption closure reasons will not match the number of actual finalized adoptions reported from the hearing information fields in ICWIS/MaGik.**

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column “Total” refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column “Total within 24 months” refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For February 2012

## February 2012

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	581	51	29	58	17	736	649	88.2
Region 2	14	0	0	1	1	16	15	93.8
Jasper	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA
Laporte	5	0	0	0	1	6	5	83.3
Newton	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	100.0
Porter	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	100.0
Pulaski	2	0	0	1	0	3	3	100.0
Starke	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	100.0


## Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



## Region 2 For February 2011 to February 2012

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Feb 2012	14	0	0	1	1	16	15	93.8
Jan 2012	18	3	1	2	0	24	24	100.0
Dec 2011	11	12	0	1	0	24	13	54.2
Nov 2011	18	6	0	4	0	28	21	75.0
Oct 2011	15	3	0	1	1	20	17	85.0
Sep 2011	19	7	0	1	2	29	21	72.4
Aug 2011	15	4	0	1	1	21	17	81.0
Jul 2011	9	5	0	1	1	16	9	56.3
Jun 2011	10	1	0	3	2	16	12	75.0
May 2011	15	0	0	1	0	16	16	100.0
Apr 2011	12	9	0	1	1	23	11	47.8
Mar 2011	22	1	0	4	1	28	26	92.9
Feb 2011	19	2	0	3	0	24	22	91.7

## Region 2 Permanency within 24 Months





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children Out of Home Entire Month** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month.

**Contact Information** – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

**FCM with Family** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

**FCM with Family and Child** – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Family Case Manager Contacts Report

### For February 2012

#### February 2012

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	8,463	2,643	31.2%	8,172	96.6%	2,563	30.3%
Region 2	211	80	37.9%	206	97.6%	78	37.0%
Jasper	7	5	71.4%	7	100.0%	5	71.4%
Laporte	97	37	38.1%	93	95.9%	35	36.1%
Newton	8	0	0.0%	8	100.0%	0	0.0%
Porter	67	23	34.3%	66	98.5%	23	34.3%
Pulaski	9	3	33.3%	9	100.0%	3	33.3%
Starke	23	12	52.2%	23	100.0%	12	52.2%


#### Statewide Child Contact Rate



#### Region 2 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Feb 2012	211	80	37.9%	206	97.6%	78	37.0%
Jan 2012	204	100	49.0%	200	98.0%	98	48.0%
Dec 2011	193	73	37.8%	191	99.0%	73	37.8%
Nov 2011	199	58	29.1%	197	99.0%	58	29.1%
Oct 2011	208	80	38.5%	203	97.6%	78	37.5%
Sep 2011	218	68	31.2%	212	97.2%	66	30.3%
Aug 2011	225	83	36.9%	219	97.3%	79	35.1%
Jul 2011	234	82	35.0%	223	95.3%	80	34.2%
Jun 2011	235	89	37.9%	226	96.2%	85	36.2%
May 2011	242	88	36.4%	235	97.1%	86	35.5%
Apr 2011	244	102	41.8%	235	96.3%	97	39.8%
Mar 2011	250	112	44.8%	250	100.0%	112	44.8%
Feb 2011	262	85	32.4%	261	99.6%	84	32.1%

#### Region 2 Child Contact Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For February 2012

## Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

**Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification** – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

**Children with visits to their family during the month** – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

**Children** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.

**Rate** – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Child Visitation Report

### For February 2012

#### February 2012

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	4,811	1,111	23.1%
Region 2	131	30	22.9%
Jasper	7	7	100.0%
Laporte	65	7	10.8%
Newton	3	2	66.7%
Porter	38	3	7.9%
Pulaski	4	2	50.0%
Starke	14	9	64.3%


#### Statewide Visitation Rate



#### Region 2 For February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Feb 2012	131	30	22.9%
Jan 2012	128	29	22.7%
Dec 2011	110	22	20.0%
Nov 2011	109	21	19.3%
Oct 2011	104	19	18.3%
Sep 2011	116	29	25.0%
Aug 2011	125	28	22.4%
Jul 2011	123	27	22.0%
Jun 2011	120	32	26.7%
May 2011	128	26	20.3%
Apr 2011	130	37	28.5%
Mar 2011	142	44	31.0%
Feb 2011	136	42	30.9%

#### Region 2 Visitation Rate





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For February 2012

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim Selection** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

**First Occurrence** – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

**Recurrence Time Period** – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ( $\geq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +1 and  $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$  Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Dec 31<sup>st</sup>. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1<sup>st</sup> to Jun 30<sup>th</sup>. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7<sup>th</sup>, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8<sup>th</sup> to Aug 9<sup>th</sup>. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5<sup>th</sup>, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10<sup>th</sup>, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.



**Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment** – This calculation uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

### For February 2012

#### February 2012

County	Victims from Mar 2011 to Sep 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	10,531	9,776	92.83%	94.60%
Region 2	326	304	93.25%	94.60%
Jasper	35	31	88.57%	94.60%
Laporte	94	92	97.87%	94.60%
Newton	29	29	100.00%	94.60%
Porter	119	105	88.24%	94.60%
Pulaski	18	17	94.44%	94.60%
Starke	31	30	96.77%	94.60%


#### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



#### Region 2 For February 2011 to February 2012

County	Victims from Mar 2011 to Sep 2011	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
February 2012	326	304	93.25%	94.60%
January 2012	295	274	92.88%	94.60%
December 2011	284	264	92.96%	94.60%
November 2011	273	246	90.11%	94.60%
October 2011	257	241	93.77%	94.60%
September 2011	261	246	94.25%	94.60%
August 2011	244	229	93.85%	94.60%
July 2011	286	270	94.41%	94.60%
June 2011	313	299	95.53%	94.60%
May 2011	332	313	94.28%	94.60%
April 2011	332	312	93.98%	94.60%
March 2011	341	322	94.43%	94.60%
February 2011	339	316	93.22%	94.60%

#### Region 2 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For February 2012

## Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

**Time Period** – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Assessments during the time period** – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

**Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care** – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

**Children in Foster Care during the time period** – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

**Percent of absence of maltreatment** – This calculation uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

### For February 2012

#### For February 2012

Location	Children in Foster Care from Mar 2011 to Feb 2012	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	19,457	22	99.89%	99.68%
Region 2	524	0	100.00%	99.68%
Jasper	37	0	100.00%	99.68%
Laporte	204	0	100.00%	99.68%
Newton	40	0	100.00%	99.68%
Porter	170	0	100.00%	99.68%
Pulaski	24	0	100.00%	99.68%
Starke	49	0	100.00%	99.68%

#### Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



#### Region 2 for February 2011 to February 2012

Month	Children in Foster Care from Mar 2011 to Feb 2012	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
February 2012	524	0	100.00%	99.68%
January 2012	527	1	99.81%	99.68%
December 2011	540	1	99.81%	99.68%
November 2011	541	1	99.82%	99.68%
October 2011	539	1	99.81%	99.68%
September 2011	559	1	99.82%	99.68%
August 2011	567	1	99.82%	99.68%
July 2011	565	1	99.82%	99.68%
June 2011	580	1	99.83%	99.68%
May 2011	573	1	99.83%	99.68%
April 2011	591	1	99.83%	99.68%
March 2011	599	1	99.83%	99.68%
February 2011	607	0	100.00%	99.68%

#### Region 2 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

