



INDIANA  
DEPARTMENT OF  
CHILD  
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,  
families and future*

**Department of Child Services**  
**Practice Indicator Reports**  
**For March 2008**

**Region 05**  
**March 2008**



**Published**  
**May 2008**




# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Trended Repeat Maltreatment


For March 2007 to March 2008

For March 2008			
County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,303	4,607	86.88%
Region 5	192	155	80.73%
Benton	2	2	100.00%


**Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**



**Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**



**Benton County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment**






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Trended Repeat Maltreatment


For March 2007 to March 2008

For March 2008			
County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,303	4,607	86.88%
Region 5	192	155	80.73%
Carroll	7	6	85.71%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Carroll County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For March 2007 to March 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter	
	Count	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,303	86.88%
Region 5	192	80.73%
Clinton	34	73.53%





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For March 2007 to March 2008


### For March 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,303	4,607	86.88%
Region 5	192	155	80.73%
Fountain	17	13	76.47%


### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Fountain County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For March 2007 to March 2008


### For March 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,303	4,607	86.88%
Region 5	192	155	80.73%
Tippecanoe	101	80	79.21%


### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Tippecanoe County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For March 2007 to March 2008


### For March 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,303	4,607	86.88%
Region 5	192	155	80.73%
Warren	4	4	100.00%


### Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



### Warren County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Trended Repeat Maltreatment


For March 2007 to March 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,303	4,607	86.88%
Region 5	192	155	80.73%
White	27	25	92.59%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 5 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



White County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For March 2007 to March 2008


## March 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,382	2.02	806	3.09
Region 5	398	2.29	61	3.28
Benton	32	2.81	3	4.33


### Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



### Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



### Benton County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

**Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For March 2007 to March 2008**


## March 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,382	2.02	806	3.09
Region 5	398	2.29	61	3.28
Carroll	9	2.11	0	0.00


### Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



### Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



### Carroll County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

**Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For March 2007 to March 2008**


## March 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,382	2.02	806	3.09
Region 5	398	2.29	61	3.28
Clinton	36	2.44	5	2.80


### Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



### Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



### Clinton County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

**Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For March 2007 to March 2008**


## March 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,382	2.02	806	3.09
Region 5	398	2.29	61	3.28
Fountain	39	2.10	14	2.71


### Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



### Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



### Fountain County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For March 2007 to March 2008


## March 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,382	2.02	806	3.09
Region 5	398	2.29	61	3.28
Tippecanoe	243	2.11	39	3.46


### Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



### Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



### Tippecanoe County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

**Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For March 2007 to March 2008**


## March 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,382	2.02	806	3.09
Region 5	398	2.29	61	3.28
Warren	18	4.61	0	0.00


### Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



### Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



### Warren County Average Visitations and Contacts






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County  
For March 2007 to March 2008


## March 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,382	2.02	806	3.09
Region 5	398	2.29	61	3.28
White	21	1.71	0	0.00


### Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



### Region 5 Average Visitations and Contacts



### White County Average Visitations and Contacts





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For March 2007 to March 2008


March 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Mar 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Mar 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,265	130	10.28%	8,665	256	2.95%
Region 5	32	5	15.63%	202	6	2.97%
Benton County	0	0	NA	7	0	0.00%


**Statewide Rates**



**Region 5 Rates**



**Benton County Rates**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For March 2007 to March 2008


March 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Mar 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Mar 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,265	130	10.28%	8,665	256	2.95%
Region 5	32	5	15.63%	202	6	2.97%
Carroll County	0	0	NA	0	0	NA


**Statewide Rates**



**Region 5 Rates**



**Carroll County Rates**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For March 2007 to March 2008


March 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Mar 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Mar 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,265	130	10.28%	8,665	256	2.95%
Region 5	32	5	15.63%	202	6	2.97%
Clinton County	4	0	0.00%	19	0	0.00%


**Statewide Rates**



**Region 5 Rates**



**Clinton County Rates**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For March 2007 to March 2008


March 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Mar 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Mar 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,265	130	10.28%	8,665	256	2.95%
Region 5	32	5	15.63%	202	6	2.97%
Fountain County	4	0	0.00%	32	0	0.00%


**Statewide Rates**



**Region 5 Rates**



**Fountain County Rates**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For March 2007 to March 2008


March 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Mar 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Mar 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,265	130	10.28%	8,665	256	2.95%
Region 5	32	5	15.63%	202	6	2.97%
Tippecanoe County	20	5	25.00%	126	5	3.97%


**Statewide Rates**



**Region 5 Rates**



**Tippecanoe County Rates**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For March 2007 to March 2008


March 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Mar 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Mar 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,265	130	10.28%	8,665	256	2.95%
Region 5	32	5	15.63%	202	6	2.97%
Warren County	0	0	NA	2	0	0.00%


**Statewide Rates**



**Region 5 Rates**



**Warren County Rates**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

### For March 2007 to March 2008


March 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Mar 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Feb 2008	Reunifications Occuring During Mar 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,265	130	10.28%	8,665	256	2.95%
Region 5	32	5	15.63%	202	6	2.97%
White County	4	0	0.00%	16	1	6.25%


**Statewide Rates**



**Region 5 Rates**



**White County Rates**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For March 2007 to March 2008


### March 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,416	44.4%	2,299	23.1%	1,406	14.1%	1,834	18.4%	665.69	430.52
Region 5	148	63.2%	42	17.9%	16	6.8%	28	12.0%	488.90	228.00
Benton	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	274.50	200.70


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 5 Median Length



#### Benton County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For March 2007 to March 2008


### March 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,416	44.4%	2,299	23.1%	1,406	14.1%	1,834	18.4%	665.69	430.52
Region 5	148	63.2%	42	17.9%	16	6.8%	28	12.0%	488.90	228.00
Carroll	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.00	0.00


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 5 Median Length



#### Carroll County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For March 2007 to March 2008


### March 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,416	44.4%	2,299	23.1%	1,406	14.1%	1,834	18.4%	665.69	430.52
Region 5	148	63.2%	42	17.9%	16	6.8%	28	12.0%	488.90	228.00
Clinton	16	64.0%	2	8.0%	2	8.0%	5	20.0%	758.60	349.56


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 5 Median Length



#### Clinton County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For March 2007 to March 2008


### March 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,416	44.4%	2,299	23.1%	1,406	14.1%	1,834	18.4%	665.69	430.52
Region 5	148	63.2%	42	17.9%	16	6.8%	28	12.0%	488.90	228.00
Fountain	15	40.5%	17	45.9%	0	0.0%	5	13.5%	500.30	376.38


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 5 Median Length



#### Fountain County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For March 2007 to March 2008


### March 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,416	44.4%	2,299	23.1%	1,406	14.1%	1,834	18.4%	665.69	430.52
Region 5	148	63.2%	42	17.9%	16	6.8%	28	12.0%	488.90	228.00
Tippecanoe	104	71.7%	16	11.0%	9	6.2%	16	11.0%	432.52	201.65


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 5 Median Length



#### Tippecanoe County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For March 2007 to March 2008


### March 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,416	44.4%	2,299	23.1%	1,406	14.1%	1,834	18.4%	665.69	430.52
Region 5	148	63.2%	42	17.9%	16	6.8%	28	12.0%	488.90	228.00
Warren	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	208.91	208.50


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 5 Median Length



#### Warren County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


### Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

#### For March 2007 to March 2008


### March 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,416	44.4%	2,299	23.1%	1,406	14.1%	1,834	18.4%	665.69	430.52
Region 5	148	63.2%	42	17.9%	16	6.8%	28	12.0%	488.90	228.00
White	5	27.8%	6	33.3%	5	27.8%	2	11.1%	659.54	510.50


#### Statewide Median Length



#### Region 5 Median Length



#### White County Median Length





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For March 2007 to March 2008


**March 2008**

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2434	1599	65.69%	2.71
Region 5	53	41	77.36%	2.55
Benton County	2	2	100.00%	2.00


**Statewide Percent Together**



**Region 5 Percent Together**



**Benton County Percent Together**



Prepared by  
Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis  
April 02 2008



State of Indiana

Mitchell E. Daniels Jr, Governor - James W. Payne, Director  
402 West Washington Street Room W392 / Indianapolis, IN 46204-2739

Source:  
ICWIS Monthly Data



# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For March 2007 to March 2008


March 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2434	1599	65.69%	2.71
Region 5	53	41	77.36%	2.55
Carroll County	0	0	NA	NA


**Statewide Percent Together**



**Region 5 Percent Together**



**Carroll County Percent Together**






# DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report For March 2007 to March 2008


**March 2008**

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2434	1599	65.69%	2.71
Region 5	53	41	77.36%	2.55
Clinton County	5	4	80.00%	3.00


**Statewide Percent Together**



**Region 5 Percent Together**



**Clinton County Percent Together**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For March 2007 to March 2008


**March 2008**

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2434	1599	65.69%	2.71
Region 5	53	41	77.36%	2.55
Fountain County	8	8	100.00%	2.75


**Statewide Percent Together**



**Region 5 Percent Together**



**Fountain County Percent Together**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For March 2007 to March 2008


March 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2434	1599	65.69%	2.71
Region 5	53	41	77.36%	2.55
Tippecanoe County	34	25	73.53%	2.44


**Statewide Percent Together**



**Region 5 Percent Together**



**Tippecanoe County Percent Together**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For March 2007 to March 2008


**March 2008**

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2434	1599	65.69%	2.71
Region 5	53	41	77.36%	2.55
Warren County	0	0	NA	NA


**Statewide Percent Together**



**Region 5 Percent Together**



**Warren County Percent Together**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Sibling Placement Report

### For March 2007 to March 2008


**March 2008**

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2434	1599	65.69%	2.71
Region 5	53	41	77.36%	2.55
White County	4	2	50.00%	2.75


**Statewide Percent Together**



**Region 5 Percent Together**



**White County Percent Together**








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For March 2007 to March 2008

March 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 5	2.80
Benton	2.13








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For March 2007 to March 2008

March 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 5	2.80
Carroll	NA








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For March 2007 to March 2008

March 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 5	2.80
Clinton	3.00








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For March 2007 to March 2008

March 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 5	2.80
Fountain	2.61








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For March 2007 to March 2008

March 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 5	2.80
Tippecanoe	2.88








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For March 2007 to March 2008

March 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 5	2.80
Warren	2.50








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Average Number of Placement Moves

### For March 2007 to March 2008

March 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 5	2.80
White	2.50





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Locally Placed CHINS

### For March 2007 to March 2008


March 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9955	7242	72.75%
Region 5	234	143	61.11%
Benton County	7	5	71.43%


**Statewide Percent Placed Locally**



**Region 5 Percent Placed Locally**



**Benton County Percent Placed Locally**








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS

### For March 2007 to March 2008

March 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9955	7242	72.75%
Region 5	234	143	61.11%
Carroll County	0	0	NA








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS

### For March 2007 to March 2008

March 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9955	7242	72.75%
Region 5	234	143	61.11%
Clinton County	25	17	68.00%







# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS For March 2007 to March 2008

March 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9955	7242	72.75%
Region 5	234	143	61.11%
Fountain County	37	13	35.14%


**Statewide Percent Placed Locally**



**Region 5 Percent Placed Locally**



**Fountain County Percent Placed Locally**






# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS


### For March 2007 to March 2008

March 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9955	7242	72.75%
Region 5	234	143	61.11%
Tippecanoe County	145	95	65.52%


**Statewide Percent Placed Locally**



**Region 5 Percent Placed Locally**



**Tippecanoe County Percent Placed Locally**








# DCS Practice Indicator Report

## Locally Placed CHINS

### For March 2007 to March 2008




March 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9955	7242	72.75%
Region 5	234	143	61.11%
Warren County	2	0	0.00%





# DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS For March 2007 to March 2008

March 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9955	7242	72.75%
Region 5	234	143	61.11%
White County	18	13	72.22%






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For March 2007 to March 2008


## March 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13736	3781	27.53%	2258	16.44%	5718	41.63%	1504	10.95%	475	3.46%
Region 5	350	116	33.14%	44	12.57%	136	38.86%	44	12.57%	10	2.86%
Benton County	8	1	12.50%	4	50.00%	2	25.00%	1	12.50%	0	0.00%


### Statewide Percentage Breakdown



### Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



### Benton County Percentage Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For March 2007 to March 2008


**March 2008**

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13736	3781	27.53%	2258	16.44%	5718	41.63%	1504	10.95%	475	3.46%
Region 5	350	116	33.14%	44	12.57%	136	38.86%	44	12.57%	10	2.86%
Carroll County	0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA


**Statewide Percentage Breakdown**



**Region 5 Percentage Breakdown**



**Carroll County Percentage Breakdown**






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For March 2007 to March 2008


## March 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13736	3781	27.53%	2258	16.44%	5718	41.63%	1504	10.95%	475	3.46%
Region 5	350	116	33.14%	44	12.57%	136	38.86%	44	12.57%	10	2.86%
Clinton County	40	15	37.50%	4	10.00%	19	47.50%	2	5.00%	0	0.00%


### Statewide Percentage Breakdown



### Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



### Clinton County Percentage Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For March 2007 to March 2008


## March 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13736	3781	27.53%	2258	16.44%	5718	41.63%	1504	10.95%	475	3.46%
Region 5	350	116	33.14%	44	12.57%	136	38.86%	44	12.57%	10	2.86%
Fountain County	42	5	11.90%	8	19.05%	24	57.14%	4	9.52%	1	2.38%


### Statewide Percentage Breakdown



### Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



### Fountain County Percentage Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For March 2007 to March 2008


## March 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13736	3781	27.53%	2258	16.44%	5718	41.63%	1504	10.95%	475	3.46%
Region 5	350	116	33.14%	44	12.57%	136	38.86%	44	12.57%	10	2.86%
Tippecanoe County	232	87	37.50%	26	11.21%	79	34.05%	32	13.79%	8	3.45%


### Statewide Percentage Breakdown



### Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



### Tippecanoe County Percentage Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For March 2007 to March 2008


## March 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13736	3781	27.53%	2258	16.44%	5718	41.63%	1504	10.95%	475	3.46%
Region 5	350	116	33.14%	44	12.57%	136	38.86%	44	12.57%	10	2.86%
Warren County	2	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%


### Statewide Percentage Breakdown



### Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



### Warren County Percentage Breakdown






# DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For March 2007 to March 2008


## March 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13736	3781	27.53%	2258	16.44%	5718	41.63%	1504	10.95%	475	3.46%
Region 5	350	116	33.14%	44	12.57%	136	38.86%	44	12.57%	10	2.86%
White County	26	8	30.77%	2	7.69%	12	46.15%	3	11.54%	1	3.85%


### Statewide Percentage Breakdown



### Region 5 Percentage Breakdown



### White County Percentage Breakdown





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for March 2007 to March 2008


#### January 2008 to March 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	19,479	15.18%	5,285	28.57%
Region 5	938	25.16%	192	30.73%
Benton	39	10.26%	2	50.00%


#### Statewide Percent Removal



#### Region 5 Percent Removal



#### Benton County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for March 2007 to March 2008


#### January 2008 to March 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	19,479	15.18%	5,285	28.57%
Region 5	938	25.16%	192	30.73%
Carroll	47	34.04%	7	0.00%


**Statewide Percent Removal**



**Region 5 Percent Removal**



**Carroll County Percent Removal**





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for March 2007 to March 2008


#### January 2008 to March 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	19,479	15.18%	5,285	28.57%
Region 5	938	25.16%	192	30.73%
Clinton	115	29.57%	34	17.65%


#### Statewide Percent Removal



#### Region 5 Percent Removal



#### Clinton County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for March 2007 to March 2008


#### January 2008 to March 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	19,479	15.18%	5,285	28.57%
Region 5	938	25.16%	192	30.73%
Fountain	55	21.82%	15	33.33%


#### Statewide Percent Removal



#### Region 5 Percent Removal



#### Fountain County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for March 2007 to March 2008


#### January 2008 to March 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	19,479	15.18%	5,285	28.57%
Region 5	938	25.16%	192	30.73%
Tippecanoe	548	25.00%	106	42.45%


#### Statewide Percent Removal



#### Region 5 Percent Removal



#### Tippecanoe County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for March 2007 to March 2008


#### January 2008 to March 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	19,479	15.18%	5,285	28.57%
Region 5	938	25.16%	192	30.73%
Warren	21	23.81%	4	0.00%


#### Statewide Percent Removal



#### Region 5 Percent Removal



#### Warren County Percent Removal





# DCS Practice Indicator Report


## Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

### Trended for March 2007 to March 2008


#### January 2008 to March 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Jan 2008 to Mar 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	19,479	15.18%	5,285	28.57%
Region 5	938	25.16%	192	30.73%
White	113	24.78%	24	8.33%

#### Statewide Percent Removal



#### Region 5 Percent Removal



#### White County Percent Removal

