



INDIANA
DEPARTMENT OF
CHILD
SERVICES

*Protecting our children,
families and future*

Department of Child Services
Practice Indicator Reports
For January 2008

Region 02
January 2008



Published
February 2008



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for January 2007 to January 2008


November 2007 to January 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Nov 2007 to Jan 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Nov 2007 to Jan 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	19,092	23.88%	5,235	28.16%
Region 2	881	40.07%	176	24.43%
Jasper	23	17.39%	8	12.50%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 2 Percent Removal



Jasper County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for January 2007 to January 2008


November 2007 to January 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Nov 2007 to Jan 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Nov 2007 to Jan 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	19,092	23.88%	5,235	28.16%
Region 2	881	40.07%	176	24.43%
Laporte	424	37.50%	35	31.43%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 2 Percent Removal



Laporte County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for January 2007 to January 2008


November 2007 to January 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Nov 2007 to Jan 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Nov 2007 to Jan 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	19,092	23.88%	5,235	28.16%
Region 2	881	40.07%	176	24.43%
Newton	29	10.34%	12	16.67%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 2 Percent Removal



Newton County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for January 2007 to January 2008


November 2007 to January 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Nov 2007 to Jan 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Nov 2007 to Jan 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	19,092	23.88%	5,235	28.16%
Region 2	881	40.07%	176	24.43%
Porter	240	45.83%	90	22.22%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 2 Percent Removal



Porter County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for January 2007 to January 2008


November 2007 to January 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Nov 2007 to Jan 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Nov 2007 to Jan 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	19,092	23.88%	5,235	28.16%
Region 2	881	40.07%	176	24.43%
Pulaski	29	65.52%	4	0.00%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 2 Percent Removal



Pulaski County Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

Trended for January 2007 to January 2008


November 2007 to January 2008

Location	Reports Taken Rolling Quarter For Nov 2007 to Jan 2008		Cases Substantiated Rolling Quarter For Nov 2007 to Jan 2008	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	19,092	23.88%	5,235	28.16%
Region 2	881	40.07%	176	24.43%
Starke	136	42.65%	27	33.33%


Statewide Percent Removal



Region 2 Percent Removal



Starke County Percent Removal






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13519	3649	26.99%	2187	16.18%	5717	42.29%	1448	10.71%	518	3.83%
Region 2	548	139	25.36%	96	17.52%	213	38.87%	81	14.78%	19	3.47%
Jasper County	38	3	7.89%	1	2.63%	19	50.00%	15	39.47%	0	0.00%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 2 Percentage Breakdown



Jasper County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13519	3649	26.99%	2187	16.18%	5717	42.29%	1448	10.71%	518	3.83%
Region 2	548	139	25.36%	96	17.52%	213	38.87%	81	14.78%	19	3.47%
Laporte County	93	35	37.63%	8	8.60%	33	35.48%	14	15.05%	3	3.23%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 2 Percentage Breakdown



Laporte County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13519	3649	26.99%	2187	16.18%	5717	42.29%	1448	10.71%	518	3.83%
Region 2	548	139	25.36%	96	17.52%	213	38.87%	81	14.78%	19	3.47%
Newton County	35	20	57.14%	5	14.29%	5	14.29%	5	14.29%	0	0.00%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 2 Percentage Breakdown



Newton County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13519	3649	26.99%	2187	16.18%	5717	42.29%	1448	10.71%	518	3.83%
Region 2	548	139	25.36%	96	17.52%	213	38.87%	81	14.78%	19	3.47%
Porter County	274	57	20.80%	67	24.45%	109	39.78%	29	10.58%	12	4.38%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 2 Percentage Breakdown



Porter County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13519	3649	26.99%	2187	16.18%	5717	42.29%	1448	10.71%	518	3.83%
Region 2	548	139	25.36%	96	17.52%	213	38.87%	81	14.78%	19	3.47%
Pulaski County	32	6	18.75%	7	21.88%	17	53.13%	2	6.25%	0	0.00%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 2 Percentage Breakdown



Pulaski County Percentage Breakdown






DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	13519	3649	26.99%	2187	16.18%	5717	42.29%	1448	10.71%	518	3.83%
Region 2	548	139	25.36%	96	17.52%	213	38.87%	81	14.78%	19	3.47%
Starke County	76	18	23.68%	8	10.53%	30	39.47%	16	21.05%	4	5.26%


Statewide Percentage Breakdown



Region 2 Percentage Breakdown



Starke County Percentage Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Locally Placed CHINS

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9870	7169	72.63%
Region 2	409	217	53.06%
Jasper County	35	11	31.43%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 2 Percent Placed Locally



Jasper County Percent Placed Locally








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Locally Placed CHINS

For January 2007 to January 2008

January 2008			
Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9870	7169	72.63%
Region 2	409	217	53.06%
Laporte County	58	37	63.79%





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Locally Placed CHINS

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9870	7169	72.63%
Region 2	409	217	53.06%
Newton County	15	8	53.33%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 2 Percent Placed Locally



Newton County Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Locally Placed CHINS

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9870	7169	72.63%
Region 2	409	217	53.06%
Porter County	217	117	53.92%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 2 Percent Placed Locally



Porter County Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Locally Placed CHINS

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9870	7169	72.63%
Region 2	409	217	53.06%
Pulaski County	26	12	46.15%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 2 Percent Placed Locally



Pulaski County Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Locally Placed CHINS

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	9870	7169	72.63%
Region 2	409	217	53.06%
Starke County	58	32	55.17%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 2 Percent Placed Locally



Starke County Percent Placed Locally








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For January 2007 to January 2008

January 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 2	3.18
Jasper	2.16








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For January 2007 to January 2008

January 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 2	3.18
Laporte	2.63








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For January 2007 to January 2008

January 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 2	3.18
Newton	1.71








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For January 2007 to January 2008

January 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 2	3.18
Porter	4.01








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For January 2007 to January 2008

January 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 2	3.18
Pulaski	1.86








DCS Practice Indicator Report

Average Number of Placement Moves

For January 2007 to January 2008

January 2008	
County	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.85
Region 2	3.18
Starke	2.40





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2414	1568	64.95%	2.71
Region 2	88	47	53.41%	2.86
Jasper County	5	4	80.00%	3.40


Statewide Percent Together



Region 2 Percent Together



Jasper County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2414	1568	64.95%	2.71
Region 2	88	47	53.41%	2.86
Laporte County	7	5	71.43%	2.86


Statewide Percent Together



Region 2 Percent Together



Laporte County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2414	1568	64.95%	2.71
Region 2	88	47	53.41%	2.86
Newton County	1	1	100.00%	3.00


Statewide Percent Together



Region 2 Percent Together



Newton County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2414	1568	64.95%	2.71
Region 2	88	47	53.41%	2.86
Porter County	55	26	47.27%	2.82


Statewide Percent Together



Region 2 Percent Together



Porter County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2414	1568	64.95%	2.71
Region 2	88	47	53.41%	2.86
Pulaski County	6	4	66.67%	3.17


Statewide Percent Together



Region 2 Percent Together



Pulaski County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Sibling Placement Report

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2414	1568	64.95%	2.71
Region 2	88	47	53.41%	2.86
Starke County	14	7	50.00%	2.71


Statewide Percent Together



Region 2 Percent Together



Starke County Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,367	44.2%	2,305	23.4%	1,411	14.3%	1,787	18.1%	670.43	448.00
Region 2	151	36.9%	124	30.3%	63	15.4%	71	17.4%	674.01	544.41
Jasper	15	42.9%	7	20.0%	10	28.6%	3	8.6%	589.13	509.55


Statewide Median Length



Region 2 Median Length



Jasper County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,367	44.2%	2,305	23.4%	1,411	14.3%	1,787	18.1%	670.43	448.00
Region 2	151	36.9%	124	30.3%	63	15.4%	71	17.4%	674.01	544.41
Laporte	27	46.6%	12	20.7%	7	12.1%	12	20.7%	792.71	521.50


Statewide Median Length



Region 2 Median Length



Laporte County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,367	44.2%	2,305	23.4%	1,411	14.3%	1,787	18.1%	670.43	448.00
Region 2	151	36.9%	124	30.3%	63	15.4%	71	17.4%	674.01	544.41
Newton	5	33.3%	7	46.7%	1	6.7%	2	13.3%	596.01	481.58


Statewide Median Length



Region 2 Median Length



Newton County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,367	44.2%	2,305	23.4%	1,411	14.3%	1,787	18.1%	670.43	448.00
Region 2	151	36.9%	124	30.3%	63	15.4%	71	17.4%	674.01	544.41
Porter	61	28.1%	74	34.1%	36	16.6%	46	21.2%	705.79	628.56


Statewide Median Length



Region 2 Median Length



Porter County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,367	44.2%	2,305	23.4%	1,411	14.3%	1,787	18.1%	670.43	448.00
Region 2	151	36.9%	124	30.3%	63	15.4%	71	17.4%	674.01	544.41
Pulaski	12	46.2%	12	46.2%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	369.41	376.00


Statewide Median Length



Region 2 Median Length



Pulaski County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement


Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

County	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Available Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	4,367	44.2%	2,305	23.4%	1,411	14.3%	1,787	18.1%	670.43	448.00
Region 2	151	36.9%	124	30.3%	63	15.4%	71	17.4%	674.01	544.41
Starke	31	53.4%	12	20.7%	7	12.1%	8	13.8%	644.35	323.50


Statewide Median Length



Region 2 Median Length



Starke County Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Dec 2007	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jan 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Dec 2007	Reunifications Occuring During Jan 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,176	149	12.67%	8,683	221	2.55%
Region 2	68	1	1.47%	336	11	3.27%
Jasper County	3	1	33.33%	33	2	6.06%


Statewide Rates



Region 2 Rates



Jasper County Rates





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Dec 2007	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jan 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Dec 2007	Reunifications Occuring During Jan 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,176	149	12.67%	8,683	221	2.55%
Region 2	68	1	1.47%	336	11	3.27%
Laporte County	6	0	0.00%	53	4	7.55%


Statewide Rates



Region 2 Rates



Laporte County Rates





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Dec 2007	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jan 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Dec 2007	Reunifications Occuring During Jan 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,176	149	12.67%	8,683	221	2.55%
Region 2	68	1	1.47%	336	11	3.27%
Newton County	0	0	NA	20	2	10.00%


Statewide Rates



Region 2 Rates



Newton County Rates





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Dec 2007	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jan 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Dec 2007	Reunifications Occuring During Jan 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,176	149	12.67%	8,683	221	2.55%
Region 2	68	1	1.47%	336	11	3.27%
Porter County	45	0	0.00%	165	0	0.00%


Statewide Rates



Region 2 Rates



Porter County Rates





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Dec 2007	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jan 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Dec 2007	Reunifications Occuring During Jan 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,176	149	12.67%	8,683	221	2.55%
Region 2	68	1	1.47%	336	11	3.27%
Pulaski County	1	0	0.00%	25	0	0.00%


Statewide Rates



Region 2 Rates



Pulaski County Rates





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Finalized Adoption Rates and Reunification Rates

For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

Location	Out of Home CHINS with TPR at the end of Dec 2007	Finalized Adoptions Entered During Jan 2008	Percent of Adoptions to CHINS TPRs	Out of Home CHINS without TPR at the end of Dec 2007	Reunifications Occuring During Jan 2008	Percent Reunifications to CHINS without TPR
Statewide	1,176	149	12.67%	8,683	221	2.55%
Region 2	68	1	1.47%	336	11	3.27%
Starke County	13	0	0.00%	40	3	7.50%


Statewide Rates



Region 2 Rates



Starke County Rates






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,161	2.06	766	3.26
Region 2	290	1.77	60	2.58
Jasper	19	1.21	3	1.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 2 Average Visitations and Contacts



Jasper County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,161	2.06	766	3.26
Region 2	290	1.77	60	2.58
Laporte	51	1.67	8	3.50


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 2 Average Visitations and Contacts



Laporte County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,161	2.06	766	3.26
Region 2	290	1.77	60	2.58
Newton	31	1.03	7	1.14


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 2 Average Visitations and Contacts



Newton County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,161	2.06	766	3.26
Region 2	290	1.77	60	2.58
Porter	71	1.69	0	0.00


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 2 Average Visitations and Contacts



Porter County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,161	2.06	766	3.26
Region 2	290	1.77	60	2.58
Pulaski	37	1.86	32	2.88


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 2 Average Visitations and Contacts



Pulaski County Average Visitations and Contacts






DCS Practice Indicator Report Visitations and Contacts Report

Average Visitations and Contacts per Child During the Report Month by County
For January 2007 to January 2008


January 2008

County	FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Average FCM Contacts With Families Occuring During the Month	Children with Visitations Occuring During the Month	Average Visitations Occuring per Child with Contact During the Month
Statewide	8,161	2.06	766	3.26
Region 2	290	1.77	60	2.58
Starke	81	2.26	10	2.40


Statewide Average Visitations and Contacts



Region 2 Average Visitations and Contacts



Starke County Average Visitations and Contacts





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For January 2007 to January 2008


For January 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,173	4,541	87.78%
Region 2	169	155	91.72%
Jasper	8	8	100.00%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 2 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Jasper County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For January 2007 to January 2008


For January 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,173	4,541	87.78%
Region 2	169	155	91.72%
Laporte	35	30	85.71%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 2 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Laporte County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For January 2007 to January 2008


For January 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,173	4,541	87.78%
Region 2	169	155	91.72%
Newton	12	10	83.33%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 2 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Newton County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For January 2007 to January 2008


For January 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,173	4,541	87.78%
Region 2	169	155	91.72%
Porter	83	78	93.98%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 2 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Porter County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For January 2007 to January 2008


For January 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,173	4,541	87.78%
Region 2	169	155	91.72%
Pulaski	4	4	100.00%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 2 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Pulaski County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report


Trended Repeat Maltreatment

For January 2007 to January 2008


For January 2008

County	Children With Substantiated Allegations During Rolling Quarter		
	Count	Children With No Prior Maltreatment in the Prior 12 Months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent
Statewide	5,173	4,541	87.78%
Region 2	169	155	91.72%
Starke	27	25	92.59%

Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 2 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Starke County Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

