



*Protecting our children,
families and future*

Department of Child Services

Practice Indicator Reports

For June 2010



Region 14

June 2010

Data in the report (both historical and current) may be subject to change as it is continually being validated and updated. This may result in statistical data in previous reports to be inconsistent with statistics found in more recent reports even when the data pertain to the same time period.



Published
August 2010



DCS Practice Indicator Report Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected over a rolling three month period ending with the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use a rolling three month period ending with the historical month listed.

Reports Taken – This section has data regarding the reports taken during the three month period ending with the report month.

Count – This is the total number of reports of abuse or neglect that are entered into ICWIS during the period ending with the report month.

Percent Resulting in Screen Outs – This is the percentage of reports that are screened out divided by the total reports taken during the period ending with the report month.

Assessments Substantiated – This section has data regarding the number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the three month period ending with the report month.

Count – This is the total number of assessments with substantiated findings of abuse and/or neglect during the period ending with the report month.

Percent Resulting in Removal – This is the percentage of substantiated assessments during the period that resulted in removal of the child from the home by the end of the report month divided by the total number of substantiated assessments during the period ending with the report month.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Initial Assessment - Use of Substitute Care

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Reports Taken For Apr 2010 to Jun 2010		Assessments Substantiated For Apr 2010 to Jun 2010	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Statewide	33,673	22.2%	7,342	30.8%
Region 14	2,136	26.69%	405	32.59%
Bartholomew	494	24.1%	68	26.5%
Jackson	314	22.3%	74	35.1%
Jennings	334	27.5%	103	28.2%
Johnson	667	30.6%	94	42.6%
Shelby	327	26.0%	66	28.8%


Statewide Percent Removal Trend



Region 14 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Reports Taken For Apr 2010 to Jun 2010		Assessments Substantiated For Apr 2010 to Jun 2010	
	Count	Percent Resulting in Screen Outs	Count	Percent Resulting in Removal
Jun 2010	2,136	26.7%	405	32.6%
May 2010	2,250	20.9%	488	33.2%
Apr 2010	2,109	16.2%	451	30.8%
Mar 2010	1,817	12.1%	392	32.1%
Feb 2010	1,533	8.5%	337	30.0%
Jan 2010	1,494	5.0%	348	29.9%
Dec 2009	1,437	4.5%	378	31.5%
Nov 2009	1,513	5.8%	375	34.9%
Oct 2009	1,485	6.5%	394	35.5%
Sep 2009	1,497	7.4%	427	31.1%
Aug 2009	1,436	7.5%	464	24.8%
Jul 2009	1,435	8.6%	405	24.9%
Jun 2009	1,547	9.1%	385	24.7%

Region 14 Percent Removal





DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care).

Total CHINS Count – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

CHINS – This section has data regarding all children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

In Home – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Foster Care – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Out of Home Placements – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in out of home placement.

Relative Homes – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed with relatives divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Foster Homes – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in foster homes divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Residential – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are placed in residential setting divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Other – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings. Example would be a court ordered unlicensed placement.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in other placement settings divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS Placements by County

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	15396	4593	29.8%	10,803	70.2%	3827	35.4%	5479	50.7%	1249	11.6%	248	2.3%
Region 14	693	185	26.7%	508	73.3%	210	41.3%	241	47.4%	53	10.4%	4	0.8%
Bartholomew	179	36	20.1%	143	79.9%	45	31.5%	77	53.8%	21	14.7%	0	0.0%
Jackson	104	11	10.6%	93	89.4%	20	21.5%	62	66.7%	11	11.8%	0	0.0%
Jennings	170	74	43.5%	96	56.5%	49	51.0%	32	33.3%	15	15.6%	0	0.0%
Johnson	173	26	15.0%	147	85.0%	75	51.0%	64	43.5%	5	3.4%	3	2.0%
Shelby	67	38	56.7%	29	43.3%	21	72.4%	6	20.7%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%


Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Region 14 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS Count	CHINS				Out of Home Placements							
		In Home		Foster Care		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential		Other	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Jun 2010	693	185	26.7%	508	73.3%	210	41.3%	241	47.4%	53	10.4%	4	0.8%
May 2010	665	180	27.1%	485	72.9%	184	37.9%	242	49.9%	53	10.9%	6	1.2%
Apr 2010	663	174	26.2%	489	73.8%	184	37.6%	243	49.7%	51	10.4%	11	2.2%
Mar 2010	646	175	27.1%	471	72.9%	184	39.1%	233	49.5%	44	9.3%	10	2.1%
Feb 2010	654	190	29.1%	464	70.9%	179	38.6%	230	49.6%	47	10.1%	8	1.7%
Jan 2010	614	185	30.1%	429	69.9%	174	40.6%	202	47.1%	48	11.2%	5	1.2%
Dec 2009	633	189	29.9%	444	70.1%	176	39.6%	208	46.8%	53	11.9%	7	1.6%
Nov 2009	613	169	27.6%	444	72.4%	167	37.6%	211	47.5%	50	11.3%	16	3.6%
Oct 2009	612	176	28.8%	436	71.2%	169	38.8%	205	47.0%	48	11.0%	14	3.2%
Sep 2009	599	164	27.4%	435	72.6%	162	37.2%	217	49.9%	41	9.4%	15	3.4%
Aug 2009	587	172	29.3%	415	70.7%	140	33.7%	218	52.5%	46	11.1%	11	2.7%
Jul 2009	575	147	25.6%	428	74.4%	131	30.6%	229	53.5%	52	12.1%	16	3.7%
Jun 2009	553	137	24.8%	416	75.2%	117	28.1%	229	55.0%	52	12.5%	18	4.3%

Region 14 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children from CHINS cases that were open on the last day of the report month. It also collects where that child is located (in their own home or in substitute care). For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

Total CHINS Count – This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the report month.

In Home or Relative Care – This section has data regarding children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month.

Target (At or Above) % – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.

Non Relative Foster Care – This section has data for children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

Count- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care.

Percent- This is the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Target (At or below) % – The target for the percent of the number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month and are not in their own home or in relative care to the total number of children that have an open CHINS case on the last day of the month is 50% or higher.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Use of Foster Care by County

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
Statewide	15396	8420	54.7%	50.0%	6,976	45.3%	50.0%
Region 14	693	395	57.0%	50.0%	298	43.0%	50.0%
Bartholomew	179	81	45.3%	50.0%	98	54.7%	50.0%
Jackson	104	31	29.8%	50.0%	73	70.2%	50.0%
Jennings	170	123	72.4%	50.0%	47	27.6%	50.0%
Johnson	173	101	58.4%	50.0%	72	41.6%	50.0%
Shelby	67	59	88.1%	50.0%	8	11.9%	50.0%


Statewide Foster Care Placement Breakdown



Region 14 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total CHINS	In Home or Relative Care		Target (At or Above) %	Non-Relative Foster Care		Target (At or Below) %
	Count	Count	%		Count	%	
June 2010	693	395	57.0%	50.0%	298	43.0%	50.0%
May 2010	665	364	54.7%	50.0%	301	45.3%	50.0%
April 2010	663	358	54.0%	50.0%	305	46.0%	50.0%
March 2010	646	359	55.6%	50.0%	287	44.4%	50.0%
February 2010	654	369	56.4%	50.0%	285	43.6%	50.0%
January 2010	614	359	58.5%	50.0%	255	41.5%	50.0%
December 2009	633	365	57.7%	50.0%	268	42.3%	50.0%
November 2009	613	336	54.8%	50.0%	277	45.2%	50.0%
October 2009	612	345	56.4%	50.0%	267	43.6%	50.0%
September 2009	599	326	54.4%	50.0%	273	45.6%	50.0%
August 2009	587	312	53.2%	50.0%	275	46.8%	50.0%
July 2009	575	278	48.3%	50.0%	297	51.7%	50.0%
June 2009	553	254	45.9%	50.0%	299	54.1%	50.0%

Region 14 Foster Care Placement Breakdown





DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with their non-custodial parents are considered to be in their own home.

Total Out of Home Placements – This is the total number of CHINS children that are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.

Placed in Same County – This is the total number of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case.

Percent Locally Placed – This is the percentage of CHINS children that are placed in the same county as the county of their case divided by the total number of CHINS children which are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Locally Placed CHINS

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Statewide	10,803	7,934	73.4%
Region 14	508	367	72.2%
Bartholomew	143	83	58.0%
Jackson	93	75	80.6%
Jennings	96	77	80.2%
Johnson	147	111	75.5%
Shelby	29	21	72.4%


Statewide Percent Placed Locally



Region 14 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Total Out of Home Placements	Placed in Same County	Percent Locally Placed
Jun 2010	508	367	72.2%
May 2010	485	357	73.6%
Apr 2010	489	366	74.8%
Mar 2010	471	353	74.9%
Feb 2010	464	334	72.0%
Jan 2010	429	311	72.5%
Dec 2009	444	323	72.7%
Nov 2009	444	328	73.9%
Oct 2009	436	331	75.9%
Sep 2009	435	324	74.5%
Aug 2009	415	295	71.1%
Jul 2009	428	294	68.7%
Jun 2009	416	279	67.1%

Region 14 Percent Placed Locally





DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed.

Average Number of Placements Per Child – This is the total number of out of home placements for each CHINS child in out of home placement on the last day of the report month. This includes all placements during the current removal episode. If a child had at least one placement end in the first 8 days from removal, then that child's number of placements was reduced by one.

This number is divided by the total number CHINS children who are in out of home placement on the last day of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Average Number of Placements

For June 2009 to June 2010

June 2010

Location	Average Number of Placements Per Child
Statewide	2.5
Region 14	2.1
Bartholomew	3.1
Jackson	2.1
Jennings	1.5
Johnson	2.0
Shelby	1.4


Statewide Average Number of Placements



Region 14 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Average Number of Placements Per Child
June 2010	2.1
May 2010	2.2
April 2010	2.2
March 2010	2.2
February 2010	2.2
January 2010	2.2
December 2009	2.3
November 2009	2.3
October 2009	2.3
September 2009	2.3
August 2009	2.3
July 2009	2.3
June 2009	2.4

Region 14 Average Number of Placements





DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are considered to be in their own home.

Count of Sibling Cases – This is the number of open cases as of the end of the report month which have more than one child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

Cases With All Children Placed Together – This is the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement where all of the children are placed in the same resource with the same resource ID.

Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases– This is the percentage of the number of cases where all of the children in out of home placement are placed together divided by the number of open cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.

Average Number of Children per Case – This number takes the number of children in out of home placement from cases with more than one child in out of home placement and divides it by the number of cases with more than one child in out of home placement as of the end of the report month.




DCS Practice Indicator Report Sibling Placement Report

June 2010

June 2010

Location	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
Statewide	2745	1960	71.4%	2.67
Region 14	141	105	74.5%	2.53
Bartholomew	44	31	70.5%	2.34
Jackson	20	16	80.0%	2.95
Jennings	30	26	86.7%	2.33
Johnson	38	25	65.8%	2.76
Shelby	9	7	77.8%	2.22


Statewide Percent Together



Region 14 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Count of Sibling Cases	Cases With All Children Placed Together	Percent Placed Together of Sibling Cases	Average Number of Children per Case
June 2010	141	105	74.5%	2.53
May 2010	133	99	74.4%	2.53
April 2010	130	99	76.2%	2.58
March 2010	123	93	75.6%	2.66
February 2010	123	94	76.4%	2.59
January 2010	113	83	73.5%	2.57
December 2009	116	86	74.1%	2.57
November 2009	114	79	69.3%	2.62
October 2009	112	79	70.5%	2.62
September 2009	113	79	69.9%	2.65
August 2009	110	76	69.1%	2.62
July 2009	114	83	72.8%	2.55
June 2009	106	78	73.6%	2.59

Region 14 Percent Together





DCS Practice Indicator Report

Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the end of the historical month listed. This report looks at CHINS children that were in out of home placement at the end of the month. It measures the number of days since the child was last removed from its home. For the purpose of this report, children with a non-custodial parent are treated as in home.

One Year - 0 to 365 Days– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 0 and 365 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 366 to 730 Days– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the c last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 366 and 730 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 731 to 1095 Days– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement between 731 to 1095 days since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

One Year - 1096 Days+– This is column shows data for CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

Count- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal.

Percent- This is the number of CHINS children that have been in out of home placement 1096 days or more since the child's last removal divided by the total number of CHINS children in out of home placement at the end of the month.

Length Per Child – This column shows data for length of time of CHINS children who were in out of home placement at the end of the report month have been in out of home placement since the child's last removal from their home.

Average- This column calculates the average or "mean" length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.

Median- This column calculates the median length of time in out of home placement since the last removal per child in out of home placement at the end of the report month.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Length of Stay in Out of Home Placement

Children in Out of Home Placement by Length of Time Since Latest Removal For June 2010

June 2010

Location	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
Statewide	5,427	50.2%	2,495	23.1%	1,247	11.5%	1,634	15.1%	594.6	363.4
Region 14	296	58.3%	110	21.7%	64	12.6%	38	7.5%	450.2	298.0
Bartholomew	53	37.1%	36	25.2%	36	25.2%	18	12.6%	640.3	488.3
Jackson	59	63.4%	24	25.8%	8	8.6%	2	2.2%	349.8	162.4
Jennings	62	64.6%	21	21.9%	5	5.2%	8	8.3%	385.4	251.5
Johnson	100	68.0%	24	16.3%	15	10.2%	8	5.4%	396.0	274.6
Shelby	22	75.9%	5	17.2%	0	0.0%	2	6.9%	324.7	132.4


Statewide Median Length



Region 14 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	One Year 0 to 365 Days		Two Years 366 to 730 Days		Three Years 731 to 1095 Days		Three + Years 1096 Days+		Length Per Child	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Average	Median
June 2010	296	58.3%	110	21.7%	64	12.6%	38	7.5%	450.2	298.0
May 2010	264	54.4%	117	24.1%	61	12.6%	43	8.9%	471.5	311.3
April 2010	265	54.2%	132	27.0%	50	10.2%	42	8.6%	446.7	292.6
March 2010	276	58.6%	110	23.4%	45	9.6%	40	8.5%	441.8	316.6
February 2010	280	60.3%	99	21.3%	51	11.0%	34	7.3%	451.2	307.0
January 2010	239	55.7%	112	26.1%	43	10.0%	35	8.2%	485.7	306.6
December 2009	253	57.0%	114	25.7%	40	9.0%	37	8.3%	467.1	292.5
November 2009	256	57.7%	110	24.8%	40	9.0%	38	8.6%	454.2	275.0
October 2009	242	55.5%	117	26.8%	37	8.5%	40	9.2%	458.6	292.0
September 2009	246	56.6%	122	28.0%	30	6.9%	37	8.5%	438.6	277.4
August 2009	231	55.7%	114	27.5%	31	7.5%	39	9.4%	465.4	331.6
July 2009	241	56.3%	122	28.5%	27	6.3%	38	8.9%	459.5	321.5
June 2009	233	56.0%	115	27.6%	27	6.5%	41	9.9%	467.6	321.0

Region 14 Median Length





DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses CHINS and IA case types that have case type status end date occurring during the month. It then groups these cases using the closure reasons codes into categories of closure reasons. Case with closure reason code “Case opened in error – disregard” are filtered out for the purpose of this report.

Closure Reasons are group by:

Description	Category	Description	Category
Child Reunited with Parents	Reunification	Case closed; AG case created	Guardianship
Child never Removed from own home, wardship terminated	Reunification	Child placed with relatives	Relative
Extended wardship terminated (on court order for age 18 to 21)	Emancipation	Child Placed with Legal guardian	Guardianship
Child Returned to Primary Home	Reunification	Child Reached Age of Majority (age 18)	Emancipation
Period of IA ended code used for Informal Adjustment cases only	Reunification	Emancipated Court Ordered (age 18)	Emancipation
Child Adoption Finalized	Adoption	Child Already Reunited with parent (s) when wardship terminated	Reunification

The Permanency column “Total” refers to the total case with reason codes in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.

The Permanency column “Total within 24 months” refers to the total case that ended within 24 month of the case status type begin date in the Reunification, Adoption, Relative, Guardianship, and Emancipation categories.




DCS Practice Indicator Report CHINS and IA Permanency Report

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Statewide	851	78	12	69	61	1071	901	84.1
Region 14	43	1	0	5	4	53	48	90.6
Bartholomew	9	1	0	1	2	13	10	76.9
Jackson	4	0	0	0	1	5	4	80.0
Jennings	12	0	0	3	0	15	14	93.3
Johnson	12	0	0	1	1	14	14	100.0
Shelby	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	100.0


Statewide Permanency within 24 Months



Region 14 For June 2009 to June 2010

Location	Closed Cases Types with Permanency Outcomes					Permanency		
	Reunification	Adoption	Relative	Guardianship	Emanicipation	Total	Within 24 Months	24 Month Rate
Jun 2010	43	1	0	5	4	53	48	90.6
May 2010	35	2	0	0	1	38	38	100.0
Apr 2010	15	0	0	5	0	20	20	100.0
Mar 2010	37	4	0	10	0	51	48	94.1
Feb 2010	30	6	0	1	3	40	37	92.5
Jan 2010	31	2	3	2	1	39	35	89.7
Dec 2009	31	3	0	5	2	41	36	87.8
Nov 2009	21	0	0	1	1	23	22	95.7
Oct 2009	24	0	0	1	0	25	25	100.0
Sep 2009	20	1	1	0	1	23	22	95.7
Aug 2009	32	5	0	5	0	42	37	88.1
Jul 2009	32	5	0	6	1	44	38	86.4
Jun 2009	12	0	0	1	3	16	13	81.3

Region 14 Permanency within 24 Months





DCS Practice Indicator Report Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected over at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

Children Out of Home Entire Month – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have a removal date prior to the first of the report month. For the purpose of this report, children with non-custodial parents and treated as in home.

Contact Information – This section of the reports shows information regarding FCM contacts (from the contact screens) during the report month.

FCM with Family – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

FCM with Child – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a the child during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with the child divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).

FCM with Family and Child – This section of the report shows FCM contacts with the child's family (as described above) **AND** the child during the report month. Contact with both had to have occurred for the child to be included in this section. The family and child do not need to present at the same contact, contact with each could have been made in two separate contacts.

Children – This is the total number of children that the FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that FCM had at least one contact with a member of the child's family **and** at least one contact with the child during the report month divided by the total number of children in out of home placement for the entire month (as described above).



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Family Case Manager Contacts Report

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Statewide	10,279	3,171	30.8%	10,072	98.0%	3,137	30.5%
Region 14	472	170	36.0%	444	94.1%	167	35.4%
Bartholomew	137	38	27.7%	125	91.2%	38	27.7%
Jackson	85	35	41.2%	78	91.8%	32	37.6%
Jennings	88	26	29.5%	82	93.2%	26	29.5%
Johnson	139	57	41.0%	139	100.0%	57	41.0%
Shelby	23	14	60.9%	20	87.0%	14	60.9%


Statewide Child Contact Rate



Region 14 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Children out of home entire month	Contact Information					
		FCM with Family		FCM with Child		FCM with Family and Child	
		Children	Rate	Children	Rate	Children	Rate
Jun 2010	472	170	36.0%	444	94.1%	167	35.4%
May 2010	474	159	33.5%	430	90.7%	154	32.5%
Apr 2010	455	143	31.4%	411	90.3%	140	30.8%
Mar 2010	439	170	38.7%	415	94.5%	165	37.6%
Feb 2010	432	122	28.2%	362	83.8%	118	27.3%
Jan 2010	418	104	24.9%	365	87.3%	100	23.9%
Dec 2009	420	110	26.2%	371	88.3%	108	25.7%
Nov 2009	429	139	32.4%	368	85.8%	133	31.0%
Oct 2009	418	148	35.4%	394	94.3%	145	34.7%
Sep 2009	404	123	30.4%	385	95.3%	122	30.2%
Aug 2009	384	130	33.9%	370	96.4%	129	33.6%
Jul 2009	393	135	34.4%	371	94.4%	132	33.6%
Jun 2009	407	146	35.9%	387	95.1%	142	34.9%

Region 14 Child Contact Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report Child Visitation Report

For June 2010

Report Description

This report uses data collected at the end of the current report month. The graphs and numbers for historical months also use data from the historical month listed. For the purpose of this report, a person is considered family for the child if they have the following relationships:

- Father
- Mother
- Stepfather
- Stepmother
- Grandfather
- Grandmother
- Pre-adoptive father
- Pre-adoptive mother
- Adoptive grandparent
- Legal Guardian

Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification – This column shows the number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month who also have reunification as the most recently entered primary case plan goal.

Children with visits to their family during the month – This section of the report shows data regarding children who have had a visit with the child's family (as described above) begin during the report month.

Children – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family during the report month.

Rate – This is the total number of children that the child had at least one visit with a member of the child's family divided by the total number of children in out of home placement at the end of the report month with case plan goal of reunification(as described above).



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Child Visitation Report

For June 2010

June 2010

Location	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Statewide	5,731	988	17.2%
Region 14	300	67	22.3%
Bartholomew	60	13	21.7%
Jackson	55	25	45.5%
Jennings	64	14	21.9%
Johnson	106	12	11.3%
Shelby	15	3	20.0%


Statewide Visitation Rate



Region 14 For June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Children out of home with case plan goal of reunification	Children with visits to their family during the month	
		Children	Rate
Jun 2010	300	67	22.3%
May 2010	284	69	24.3%
Apr 2010	286	67	23.4%
Mar 2010	251	65	25.9%
Feb 2010	247	57	23.1%
Jan 2010	215	29	13.5%
Dec 2009	234	57	24.4%
Nov 2009	238	44	18.5%
Oct 2009	214	58	27.1%
Sep 2009	209	47	22.5%
Aug 2009	205	54	26.3%
Jul 2009	222	56	25.2%
Jun 2009	225	72	32.0%

Region 14 Visitation Rate





DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2010

Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

Time Period – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Assessments during time period – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

Victim Selection – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period.

First Occurrence – This looks at the victims of substantiated abuse or neglect during the first 6 months of the time period (6 to 12 months prior to the month of the report). If a child was a victim in multiple assessments with substantiated abuse or neglect, the assessment with the earliest report date is considered the first occurrence.

Recurrence Time Period – The time period used to see if a child is a substantiated victim in a recurring assessment of abuse or neglect is based off the report date of the first occurrence. The recurrence period begins one day after the first occurrence report date and ends 183 after the first occurrence report date ($\geq 1^{\text{st}}$ Occurrence +1 and $\leq 1^{\text{st}}$ Occurrence +183). If a child has multiple recurrences, the earliest report date in the recurring time period is considered the recurring assessment.

Here is a visual representation of an example. This is a report for December of a given year. The time period to review assessments would run from Jan 1st to Dec 31st. The time period for a first occurrence would be Jan 1st to Jun 30th. If a child had a first occurrence on Feb 7th, the recurrence period would run from Feb 8th to Aug 9th. If the child had a recurrence in Aug 5th, that would be considered repeat maltreatment. If the child had a recurrence Oct 10th, that would not be considered repeat maltreatment because it fell outside the recurrence period.

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Time Period (Jan 1 st to Dec 31 st)											
1 st Occurrence Time Period (Jan 1 st to Jun 30 th)											
			Recurrence Period (Feb 8 th to Aug 9 th)								

Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children with a first occurrence minus the number of children with a recurrence. The denominator is the number of children with first occurrence.

$$\frac{(1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence} - \text{Recurrence})}{1^{\text{st}} \text{ Occurrence}}$$

For the purposes of this report, the county the child is assigned to is based off the county of first occurrence. If a child has a recurrence in a different county, the repeat will show for the county of the first occurrence.



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Absence of Repeat Maltreatment

For June 2010

June 2010

County	Victims from Jul 2009 to Jan 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	11,636	10,733	92.24%	94.60%
Region 14	659	595	90.29%	94.60%
Bartholomew	106	99	93.40%	94.60%
Jackson	97	86	88.66%	94.60%
Jennings	181	152	83.98%	94.60%
Johnson	216	203	93.98%	94.60%
Shelby	59	55	93.22%	94.60%


Statewide Absence of Repeat Maltreatment



Region 14 For June 2009 to June 2010

County	Victims from Jul 2009 to Jan 2010	Victims without a recurrence within 6 months	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
June 2010	659	595	90.29%	94.60%
May 2010	672	593	88.24%	94.60%
April 2010	685	609	88.91%	94.60%
March 2010	673	592	87.96%	94.60%
February 2010	693	607	87.59%	94.60%
January 2010	685	587	85.69%	94.60%
December 2009	643	548	85.23%	94.60%
November 2009	589	504	85.57%	94.60%
October 2009	563	477	84.72%	94.60%
September 2009	553	475	85.90%	94.60%
August 2009	535	457	85.42%	94.60%
July 2009	512	456	89.06%	94.60%
June 2009	539	488	90.54%	94.60%

Region 14 Absence of Repeat Maltreatment





DCS Practice Indicator Report Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For June 2010

Report Description

This is a description of the key elements used in this report.

Time Period – The time period used in this report is the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Assessments during the time period – The assessment used in this report are assessment with BOTH report date AND approval date during the time period (12 months prior to the month of the report).

Victim of maltreatment in Foster Care – The children used in this report were identified as having at least one substantiated allegation of abuse or neglect on an assessment during the time period where the perpetrator is a foster parent or institutional staff.

Children in Foster Care during the time period – All CHINS children with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report. Also included are Probation children (who are IV-E eligible) with an open removal episode at any time during the 12 months prior to the month of the report.

Percent of absence of repeat maltreatment – This calculations uses the terms defined above. The numerator is the number of children in foster care minus the number of victims of maltreatment in foster care. The denominator is the number of children in foster care.

$$\frac{(\text{Children in Foster Care} - \text{Victims of maltreatment in Foster Care})}{\text{Children in Foster Care}}$$



DCS Practice Indicator Report


Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

For June 2010

For June 2010

Location	Children in Foster Care from Jul 2009 to Jun 2010	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
Statewide	21,990	95	99.57%	99.68%
Region 14	1,055	0	100.00%	99.68%
Bartholomew	281	0	100.00%	99.68%
Jackson	198	0	100.00%	99.68%
Jennings	214	0	100.00%	99.68%
Johnson	270	0	100.00%	99.68%
Shelby	92	0	100.00%	99.68%

Statewide Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care



Region 14 for June 2009 to June 2010

Month	Children in Foster Care from Jul 2009 to Jun 2010	Substantiated Victims in Foster Care	Absence of Repeat Maltreatment Percent	National Standard
June 2010	1,055	0	100.00%	99.68%
May 2010	1,006	0	100.00%	99.68%
April 2010	1,005	0	100.00%	99.68%
March 2010	986	0	100.00%	99.68%
February 2010	959	0	100.00%	99.68%
January 2010	921	0	100.00%	99.68%
December 2009	931	0	100.00%	99.68%
November 2009	922	0	100.00%	99.68%
October 2009	921	0	100.00%	99.68%
September 2009	910	0	100.00%	99.68%
August 2009	885	1	99.89%	99.68%
July 2009	860	1	99.88%	99.68%
June 2009	842	1	99.88%	99.68%

Region 14 Trend of Absence of Maltreatment in Foster Care

