

## ATTACHMENT G Adoption Recruitment Services PROPOSAL SCORING TOOL

Provider:  Service:  1. Adherence to Mandatory Requirements (followed instructions and standard format and inclusion of a budget if higher than standard rate is requested)  2. HISTORY OF QUALITY SERVICES This section of the narrative should also describe your agency's ability to deliver community-based services to at-risk children and their families. This section should document your agency's history of collaboration and work with DCS, Probation, Schools or other community agencies. Information should be specific to county/agency/region served.  Does Not Meet Criteria (1-2Points)  Meets Criteria (3-4 Points)  Proposal does not clearly state service provision history. They fail to deliver an effective plan for serving at risk children and families. The agency does not clearly define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other community based services to at risk families and children is clear and concise and takes into account demographic information for the areas served and provides documentation of an exemplary long standing partnership with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other community agencies within proposed						
Service:  1. Adherence to Mandatory Requirements (followed instructions and standard format and inclusion of a budget if higher than standard rate is requested)  2. HISTORY OF QUALITY SERVICES This section of the narrative should also describe your agency's ability to deliver community-based services to at-risk children and their families. This section should document your agency's history of collaboration and work with DCS, Probation, Schools or other community agencies. Information should be specific to county/agency/region served.  Does Not Meet Criteria (1-2Points)  Meets Criteria (3-4 Points)  Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)  The proposal provides a detailed history of past services rendered. The plan for delivering community based services to at risk families and children is clear and concise and takes into account demographic information of the areas served and provides documentation of an exemplary long standing partnership with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other community  The proposal provides a concise, detailed outline specific to the services rendered to at risk children and their families. The agency does not clearly define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other community						
1. Adherence to Mandatory Requirements (followed instructions and standard format and inclusion of a budget if higher than standard rate is requested)  2. HISTORY OF QUALITY SERVICES This section of the narrative should also describe your agency's ability to deliver community-based services to at-risk children and their families. This section should document your agency's history of collaboration and work with DCS, Probation, Schools or other community agencies. Information should be specific to county/agency/region served.  Does Not Meet Criteria (1-2Points)  Meets Criteria (3- 4 Points)  Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)  The proposal provides a detailed history of past services rendered. The plan for delivering community based services rendered. The plan for delivering community based services to at risk families and children is clear and concise and takes into account demographic information for the areas served and provides documentation of an exemplary long standing partnership with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other community						
format and inclusion of a budget if higher than standard rate is requested)  PASS FAIL  Justification for Fail:  2. HISTORY OF QUALITY SERVICES This section of the narrative should also describe your agency's ability to deliver community-based services to at-risk children and their families. This section should document your agency's history of collaboration and work with DCS, Probation, Schools or other community agencies. Information should be specific to county/agency/region served.  Does Not Meet Criteria (1-2Points)  Meets Criteria (3- 4 Points)  Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)  Proposal does not clearly state service provision history. They fail to deliver an effective plan for serving at risk children and families. The agency does not clearly define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other  The proposal provides a concise, detailed outline specific to the services rendered to at risk families and children is clear and concise and takes into account demographic information for the areas served and provides documentation of a exemplary long standing partnership with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other community						
2. HISTORY OF QUALITY SERVICES  This section of the narrative should also describe your agency's ability to deliver community-based services to at-risk children and their families. This section should document your agency's history of collaboration and work with DCS, Probation, Schools or other community agencies. Information should be specific to county/agency/region served.  Does Not Meet Criteria (1-2Points)  Meets Criteria (3-4 Points)  Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)  Froposal does not clearly state service provision history. They fail to deliver an effective plan for serving at risk children and families. The agency does not clearly define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other  The proposal provides a detailed history of past services rendered. The plan for delivering community based services to at risk families and children is clear and concise and takes into account demographic information for the areas served and provides documentation of an exemplary long standing partnership with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other community						
This section of the narrative should also describe your agency's ability to deliver community-based services to at-risk children and their families. This section should document your agency's history of collaboration and work with DCS, Probation, Schools or other community agencies. Information should be specific to county/agency/region served.  Does Not Meet Criteria (1-2Points)  Meets Criteria (3- 4 Points)  Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)  Proposal does not clearly state service provision history. They fail to deliver an effective plan for serving at risk children and families. The agency does not clearly define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other  The proposal provides a detailed history of past services rendered. The plan for delivering community based services to at risk families and children is clear and concise and takes into account demographic information for the areas served and provides documentation of an exemplary long standing partnership with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other community						
This section of the narrative should also describe your agency's ability to deliver community-based services to at-risk children and their families. This section should document your agency's history of collaboration and work with DCS, Probation, Schools or other community agencies. Information should be specific to county/agency/region served.  Does Not Meet Criteria (1-2Points)  Meets Criteria (3- 4 Points)  Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)  Proposal does not clearly state service provision history. They fail to deliver an effective plan for serving at risk children and families. The agency does not clearly define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other  The proposal provides a detailed history of past services rendered. The plan for delivering community based services to at risk families and children is clear and concise and takes into account demographic information for the areas served and provides documentation of an exemplary long standing partnership with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other community						
This section of the narrative should also describe your agency's ability to deliver community-based services to at-risk children and their families. This section should document your agency's history of collaboration and work with DCS, Probation, Schools or other community agencies. Information should be specific to county/agency/region served.  Does Not Meet Criteria (1-2Points)  Meets Criteria (3- 4 Points)  Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)  Proposal does not clearly state service provision history. They fail to deliver an effective plan for serving at risk children and families. The agency does not clearly define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other  The proposal provides a detailed history of past services rendered. The plan for delivering community based services to at risk families and children is clear and concise and takes into account demographic information for the areas served and provides documentation of an exemplary long standing partnership with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other community						
This section of the narrative should also describe your agency's ability to deliver community-based services to at-risk children and their families. This section should document your agency's history of collaboration and work with DCS, Probation, Schools or other community agencies. Information should be specific to county/agency/region served.  Does Not Meet Criteria (1-2Points)  Meets Criteria (3- 4 Points)  Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)  Proposal does not clearly state service provision history. They fail to deliver an effective plan for serving at risk children and families. The agency does not clearly define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other  The proposal provides a detailed history of past services rendered. The plan for delivering community based services to at risk families and children is clear and concise and takes into account demographic information for the areas served and provides documentation of an exemplary long standing partnership with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other community						
This section of the narrative should also describe your agency's ability to deliver community-based services to at-risk children and their families. This section should document your agency's history of collaboration and work with DCS, Probation, Schools or other community agencies. Information should be specific to county/agency/region served.  Does Not Meet Criteria (1-2Points)  Meets Criteria (3- 4 Points)  Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)  Proposal does not clearly state service provision history. They fail to deliver an effective plan for serving at risk children and families. The agency does not clearly define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other  The proposal provides a detailed history of past services rendered. The plan for delivering community based services to at risk families and children is clear and concise and takes into account demographic information for the areas served and provides documentation of an exemplary long standing partnership with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other community						
services to at-risk children and their families. This section should document your agency's history of collaboration and work with DCS, Probation, Schools or other community agencies. Information should be specific to county/agency/region served.    Does Not Meet Criteria (1-2Points)						
collaboration and work with DCS, Probation, Schools or other community agencies. Information should be specific to county/agency/region served.  Does Not Meet Criteria (1-2Points)  Meets Criteria (3-4 Points)  Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)  Proposal does not clearly state service provision history. They fail to deliver an effective plan for serving at risk children and families. The agency does not clearly define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other  Collaboration, Schools or other community agencies. Information should be Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)  Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)  The proposal provides a concise, detailed outline specific to the services rendered to at risk children and their families. The agency provides documentation of an exemplary long standing partnership with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other community						
Does Not Meet Criteria (1-2Points)  Meets Criteria (3- 4 Points)  Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)  1 2 3 4 5  Proposal does not clearly state service provision history. They fail to deliver an effective plan for serving at risk children and families. The agency does not clearly define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other  Serviced Criteria (5 Points)  The proposal provides a detailed history of past services rendered. The plan for delivering community based services to at risk families and children is clear and concise and takes into account demographic information for the areas served and provides documentation of experience in serving  Probation, Schools, or other						
Does Not Meet Criteria (1-2Points)  Meets Criteria (3- 4 Points)  Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)  The proposal does not clearly state service provision history. They fail to deliver an effective plan for serving at risk children and families. The agency does not clearly define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other  Meets Criteria (3- 4 Points)  Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)  The proposal provides a concise, detailed outline specific to the services rendered to at risk families and children is clear and concise and takes into account demographic information for the areas served and provides documentation of experience in serving  Proposal does not clearly state service rendered. The plan for delivering community based services to at risk families and children and their families. The agency provides documentation of an exemplary long standing partnership with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other community						
Proposal does not clearly state service provision history. They fail to deliver an effective plan for serving at risk children and families. The agency does not clearly define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other  The proposal provides a detailed history of past services rendered. The plan for delivering community based services to at risk families and children is clear and concise and takes into account demographic information for the areas served and provides documentation of experience in serving  The proposal provides a concise, detailed outline specific to the services rendered to at risk children and their families. The agency provides documentation of an exemplary long standing partnership with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other community						
Proposal does not clearly state service provision history. They fail to deliver an effective plan for serving at risk children and families. The agency does not clearly define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other  The proposal provides a detailed history of past services rendered. The plan for delivering community based services to at risk families and children is clear and concise and takes into account demographic information for the areas served and provides documentation of experience in serving  The proposal provides a concise, detailed outline specific to the services rendered to at risk children and their families. The agency provides documentation of an exemplary long standing partnership with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other community						
Proposal does not clearly state service provision history. They fail to deliver an effective plan for serving at risk children and families. The agency does not clearly define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other  The proposal provides a detailed history of past services rendered. The plan for delivering outline specific to the services rendered to at risk families and children and their families. The agency provides documentation of an exemplary long standing partnership with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other or the areas served and provides documentation of experience in serving						
Proposal does not clearly state service provision history. They fail to deliver an effective plan for serving at risk children and families. The agency does not clearly define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other  The proposal provides a detailed history of past services rendered. The plan for delivering outline specific to the services rendered to at risk families and children and their families. The agency provides documentation of an exemplary long standing partnership with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other or the areas served and provides documentation of experience in serving						
provision history. They fail to deliver an effective plan for serving at risk children and families. The agency does not clearly define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other services rendered. The plan for delivering community based services to at risk families and children is clear and concise and takes into account demographic information for the areas served and provides documentation of experience in serving outline specific to the services rendered to at risk children and their families. The agency provides documentation of an exemplary long standing partnership with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other						
provision history. They fail to deliver an effective plan for serving at risk children and families. The agency does not clearly define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other services rendered. The plan for delivering community based services to at risk families and children is clear and concise and takes into account demographic information for the areas served and provides documentation of experience in serving outline specific to the services rendered to at risk children and their families. The agency provides documentation of an exemplary long standing partnership with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other						
and families. The agency does not clearly define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other  children is clear and concise and takes into account demographic information for the areas served and provides documentation of experience in serving  provides documentation of an exemplary long standing partnership with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other community						
define history of working relationships with DCS, Probation, Schools, or other  demographic information for the areas served and provides documentation of experience in serving						
DCS, Probation, Schools, or other provides documentation of experience in serving Probation, Schools, or other community						
county or region.						
3. PROGRAM NAME/SERVICE STANDARD & INTAKE/REFERRAL PROCESS						
The Service Narrative should identify the service standard and description of the intake/ referral process.						
Description of the intake/ referral process should include from the time an agency receives the referral to the						
initiation of services for the referral. Identify key positions that ensure the initiation timeframes of referrals will be met as sufficient in DCS continued that the first services are designed to the continued to the continue						
be met as outlined in DCS service standards. (e.g., how is referral email monitored, timeframes, SNAPS first						
contact, family contact, referral initiation)						
Does Not Meet Criteria (1-2Points) Meets Criteria (3- 4 Points) Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)						
1 2 3 4 5						
1 2 3 4 5						
Proposal does not clearly define the service   Identifies the correct service deliverables and   Recognition of the proposed service						
deliverables and does not have a clear proposes a structured and clear intake process. deliverables initiation timeframes and a						
description of the intake/referral process.  Includes detailed information regarding the initiation concise/detailed explanation of the agency's						
Fails to identify the plan for initiation of the referral. Fails to identify the staff members are identified in regards to referral and initiation process. Provides detailed information, in regards to the						
recertal leads to identify the statt members of statt members are identified in regards to the detailed information in regards to the						
that will ensure compliance to the responsibilities in adhering to the timeframes organization of the agency: focusing on the						

## 4. SERVICE DEMOGRAPHICS

Describe the capacity of your agency to provide the service within the county you are proposing. Please indicate any specialized populations are you able to serve or specialized staff expertise. (e.g., adoptive families, issues facing youth in care, multilingual staff availability, special training or credentials) Describe your agencies ability to serve diverse cultural populations.

Does Not Meet Criteria (1-2Points)		Meets Criteria (3- 4 Points)		Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)	
1	2	3	4	5	
Proposal fails to identify a specific/target population that will benefit from the service. Fails to identify not only caseload capacity per worker for the specific service standard but also agency capacity. Fails to describe agency's effort to serve a culturally diverse population.		Agency clearly identifies the target service population. Proposal provides caseload and agency capacity and identifies the agency's ability to serve a culturally diverse population.		Agency provides demographic information for the area to be served and matches that information with their proposed target population. Provides concrete and detailed information regarding their capacity and a detailed plan for increasing capacity if needed in the future, including plans for model sustainability. Agency provides a detailed description of ability to serve the identified cultures in the proposed area.	

## 5. SERVICE DELIVERABLES

Describe Respondent's experience and training related to the service deliverables described in RFP.

 What are specific certifications that you have to provide this service, if applicable. Please attach a copy of any applicable certifications or licenses.

Description of any familiarity with DCS' Practice Model and how this will be utilized throughout service delivery.

 All methods, processes, interactions with service population utilized must be consistent with the DCS' Mission, Vision, and Values.

Describe the supervision structure. (e.g., ratio of supervisors to direct workers, frequency and method of supervision, supervision tools)

Does Not Meet Criteria (1-2Points)		Meets Criteria (3- 4 Points)		Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)	
1	2	3	4	5	
The proposal fails to: describe a promising process for the delivery of services; the proposal fails to adequately describe the components of the service deliverables; justification for the service delivery choice is not given; the practice/process referenced does not coincide with the proposed practice; and/or the proposal does not demonstrate a sufficient understanding of the model and required components including training, certification, fidelity, and assurance.		The proposal effectively descr process for the delivery of serv with the proposed service. The model that is appropriate for the The description clearly and co- components of the practice/pro- utilize. The proposal demonst understanding of the model and components including training and assurance.	vices that coincides e agency describes a ne proposed service. ncisely describes the ocess they intend to rates a full d required	The agency proposes to implement a promising process for the delivery of services, which meets the needs of the targeted population, and outlines the viability for offering immediate service under the proposed practice model. They provide a clear and concise plan for implementation, sustainability, and integration into daily service provision. The agency clearly articulates how model fidelity will be ensured.	

## 6. PROGRAM EVALUATION

The Service Narrative should describe the agency's prior years' outcome related to serving the proposed target population. If outcomes are not available, describe the agency's plan to capture clients' outcomes. Description should also include specific quality improvement/ assurance plans that the agency has implemented to ensure quality service delivery. Provide an example of when your agency has used data to make decisions about the program.

Does Not Meet Criteria (1-2Points)		Meets Criteria (3- 4 Points)		Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)	
1	2	3	4	5	
Proposal fails to describe any prior years' outcome data related to the target population and/or does not describe the agency's plan to capture quality outcomes. No mention of quality improvement or quality assurance is included.		The agency's prior years' outcomes are discussed and/or the proposal described the agency's plan to capture service outcomes. A detailed quality improvement/quality assurance plan is referenced.		The agency clearly demonstrates collection of outcome data and implementing their quality improvement/quality assurance plan. An effective use of outcome data is provided and includes the use of outside stakeholder input in planning improvements.	

7. Budget						
Does Not Meet Criter	ria (1-2Points)	Meets Criteria (3- 4 Points)		Exceeds Criteria (5 Points)		
1	2	3 4		5		
STEP 2 TOTAL PO	OINTS		/30			
Comments:						
Evaluator Signature	e:	Print Na	me:		Date:	
Evaluator Signature	<b>e</b> :	Print Na	me:		Date:	
Evaluator Signature	e:	Print Na	me:		Date:	