In the Indiana Supreme Court

In the Matter of: Allison Martinez-Wheeler, Respondent

Supreme Court Case Nos. 25S-DI-93 25S-DI-94



Published Order Suspending Respondent from the Practice of Law in Indiana for Noncooperation

On April 15, 2025, in the two above-captioned cases, this Court ordered Respondent to show cause why she should not be immediately suspended from the practice of law in this state for failure to cooperate with the Commission's investigation of grievance **Nos. 25-0970 and 25-1005** filed against her. Respondent has not submitted a response in either case to the Court's order to show cause. On July 8, 2025, the Commission filed in each case a "Request for Ruling and to Tax Costs" asserting that Respondent still has not cooperated, to which Respondent has not responded.

Being duly advised, the Court ORDERS in DI-93 and DI-94 that **Respondent be** suspended from the practice of law for noncooperation with the Commission, effective immediately. Pursuant to Admission and Discipline Rule 23(10.1)(c)(3), the suspension in each case shall continue until the Executive Director of the Disciplinary Commission certifies to the Court that Respondent has cooperated fully with the investigation or until further order of this Court, provided there are no other suspensions then in effect.

Respondent is already suspended for continuing legal education noncompliance and nonpayment of disciplinary costs. Respondent is ordered to fulfill the continuing duties of a suspended attorney under Admission and Discipline Rule 23(26).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to Admission and Discipline Rule 23(10.1)(d), that Respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Commission \$541.08 for the costs of prosecuting the proceeding in DI-93. The Court declines to separately order a reimbursement of costs in DI-94.

Done at Indianapolis, Indiana, on 8/19/2025

Louis A. Rush

Loretta H. Rush Chief Justice of Indiana

All Justices concur.