


# In the Indiana Supreme Court

In the Matter of: James K. Wisco,  
Respondent

Supreme Court Case Nos.  
24S-DI-87  
24S-DI-88  
24S-DI-89




## Published Order Suspending Respondent from the Practice of Law in Indiana for Noncooperation

On March 14, 2024, in the three above-captioned cases, this Court ordered Respondent to show cause why he should not be immediately suspended from the practice of law in this state for failure to cooperate with the Commission's investigation of grievance **Nos. 24-0237, 24-0515, and 24-0681** filed against him. Respondent sought an extension of time in which to respond, which we denied. Respondent has not otherwise responded to our show cause orders. The Commission has filed in each case a "Request for Ruling and to Tax Costs" asserting that Respondent still has not cooperated, to which Respondent has not responded.

Being duly advised, the Court ORDERS in DI-87, DI-88, and DI-89 that **Respondent be suspended from the practice of law for noncooperation with the Commission, effective immediately**. Pursuant to Admission and Discipline Rule 23(10.1)(c)(3), the suspension in each case shall continue until the Executive Director of the Disciplinary Commission certifies to the Court that Respondent has cooperated fully with the investigation or until further order of this Court, provided there are no other suspensions then in effect.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to Admission and Discipline Rule 23(10.1)(d), that Respondent reimburse the Disciplinary Commission **\$537.38** for the costs of prosecuting the proceeding in DI-87. The Court declines to separately order a reimbursement of costs in DI-88 and DI-89.

Done at Indianapolis, Indiana, on 6/19/2024.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Loretta H. Rush  
Chief Justice of Indiana

All Justices concur.