

**In the
Supreme Court of Indiana**

IN THE MATTER OF	)	
	)	
THE HONORABLE	)	
	)	
GEOFFREY A. GAITHER	)	Case No: 26S-JD-311
	)	
JUDGE OF	)	
	)	
MARION SUPERIOR COURT NINE	)	

NOTICE OF THE INSTITUTION OF FORMAL PROCEEDINGS
AND
STATEMENT OF CHARGES

The Indiana Commission on Judicial Qualifications (“Commission”), having found probable cause to warrant formal charges of judicial misconduct, now notifies Respondent, the Honorable Geoffrey A. Gaither (“Respondent”) of the filing of these Charges. These Charges are brought under Admission and Discipline Rule 25 and before the Indiana Supreme Court, which, pursuant to Article 7, § 4 of the Constitution of Indiana, has original jurisdiction over the discipline, suspension, and removal of all judges and judicial officers of this State. The Commission charges that Respondent, while Judge of Marion Superior Court Nine, engaged in judicial misconduct as specifically charged below. Pursuant to Admission and Discipline Rule 25VIII (F), Respondent may file a written Answer to these Charges within twenty (20) days of service.

BACKGROUND

1. Respondent was admitted to the Indiana Bar in 1985.
2. Respondent became a judicial officer in 1995. He served as a Magistrate in the Marion Superior Court, Juvenile Division, until December 2020.
3. Respondent was appointed Judge for Marion Superior Court 9 and began serving on January 1, 2021.
4. At all times pertinent to these Charges, Respondent presided over a docket of family and juvenile cases.

SUMMARY OF CHARGES

5. The Charges allege misconduct by Respondent from March 2022 to July 2026. Respondent's conduct demonstrates a pattern of failing to perform his judicial and administrative duties diligently and promptly in addition to failing to adequately supervise his court staff and a judicial officer under his authority.
6. Count 1 alleges that Respondent failed to timely issue orders in family law cases multiple times over a four-year period, despite repeated efforts to assist Respondent in remediating his behavior.
7. Count 2 alleges that Respondent failed to adequately supervise judicial officers under his authority, which resulted in delays in the issuing of orders by one magistrate, causing harm to litigants.
8. Count 3 alleges that Respondent failed to adequately supervise his court staff, resulting in numerous administrative errors and delays, including but not limited to delays in setting court dates, delays in completion of appellate transcripts, failure of court entries to reflect what occurred at hearings, and an incorrect dispositional order.

FACTS GIVING RISE TO MISCONDUCT CHARGES

9. Beginning in late 2021, the Commission received a series of reports regarding Respondent's caseload in Marion Superior Court 9 and concerns over the timely resolution of matters before Respondent.
10. Although the Commission provided Respondent with multiple opportunities over a four-year period to remediate his behavior, Respondent continues to delay rulings, with the most recent example occurring in early 2026.

Initial Report and Attempts to Remediate

11. In late 2021, the Commission received a report from the Marion Superior Court Executive Committee ("Executive Committee") expressing concern about the increased number of cases pending in Respondent's queue.¹
 - a. The Executive Committee noted that Respondent had an average of more than 100 items in his daily queue requiring judicial action, which was significantly higher than other judges.
 - b. The Executive Committee particularly expressed concern that Respondent was not prioritizing urgent matters in his queue and that members of the Bar were complaining to the Committee about Respondent's delays in setting hearings.
 - c. According to the report, the Executive Committee reached out to Respondent to offer assistance and further training, but the problems continued to persist.
12. At the time the Executive Committee made this report, Respondent had been serving as the appointed Judge of Marion Superior Court, Family Division, for less than a year, and the Juvenile Court and Family Courts recently had transitioned to a Family Court

¹ A queue is a function of the Odyssey case management system in which cases needing some sort of action are put into to be tracked by a user. After the required action is taken, the case is removed from the queue.

integrated model.

13. On March 16, 2022, the Commission issued an advisory letter to Respondent addressing the Executive Committee's reports. In the letter, the Commission encouraged Respondent to utilize assistance within the Marion Superior Court system to avoid rising backlogs in his caseload. Respondent acknowledged receipt of the advisory letter.
14. Between March and August of 2022, the Commission received reports that four (4) Trial Rule 53.1/53.2 motions (sometimes referred to as "lazy judge" motions) had been granted in cases over which Respondent presided. Respondent's failure to timely rule in the cases resulted in the appointment of a new judge for each case.
15. On July 24, 2022, the Commission received an additional report of delays.
16. The Commission launched an investigation and sent Respondent a Notice of Inquiry on September 22, 2022, requesting an explanation for the multiple delayed rulings and information on any steps taken to prevent future delays and to address any backlog. Respondent submitted a response on October 27, 2022.
17. On January 20, 2023, the Commission requested that Respondent conduct a survey of all cases pending in Marion Superior Court 9 and provide the Commission with information on how long each had been pending and any hearing dates.
18. On February 13, 2023, the Commission was notified that another Trial Rule 53.1 motion had been granted concerning a pending case before Respondent in Marion Superior Court 9.
19. On February 17, 2023, Respondent submitted his response to the Commission's January 2023 request. Although Respondent provided an "aging report" that detailed

how long cases had been pending in Marion Superior Court 9, Respondent did not provide a list of cases that Respondent had under advisement.

20. The Commission eventually obtained this information and reviewed updated pending case reports for Marion Superior Court 9. A review of these reports revealed that Respondent's pending cases appeared to be decreasing from the previous period.
21. On August 23, 2023, the Commission and Respondent entered into a deferred resolution agreement ("deferred resolution"). The deferred resolution provided that the Commission's investigation would be closed with a private caution so long as Respondent complied with five (5) terms during the deferral period:
 - a. He obtain additional continuing judicial education on caseload management;
 - b. He draft detailed uniform procedures for monitoring cases under advisement;
 - c. He seek assistance from the Indiana Office of Court Technology to educate himself and his staff on appropriate case entries and codes;
 - d. He meet with Marion County Court Administration to determine ways to streamline or consolidate Respondent's administrative responsibilities, and;
 - e. He submit monthly status reports to the Commission on pending cases.
22. The deferral period would end on August 15, 2024.
23. On March 18, 2024, the Commission received a report of another Trial Rule 53.1 motion that had been granted of a case in front of Respondent. The granting of the motion necessitated the appointment of a new judge.
24. Respondent submitted a self-report about the motion in March 2024.
25. The Commission and Respondent agreed to extend the deferred resolution to August 15, 2025 in response to the new Trial Rule 53.1 motion.

26. On March 6, 2025, the Commission received a complaint against a magistrate under Respondent's supervision in Marion Superior Court 9. The complaint alleged that the magistrate failed to act for several months after conducting a hearing in November 2024.
27. On July 10, 2025, the Executive Committee notified the Commission that it was conducting an internal audit of Respondent's magistrate's docket.
28. The magistrate tendered his resignation on July 16, 2025.
29. In July 2025, Respondent informed the Commission that his court staff was conducting an internal audit and that he would submit the results to the Commission.
30. By August 2025, Respondent had not completed the terms of the deferred resolution, as he had not drafted detailed uniform procedures for monitoring cases under advisement, and the deferred resolution remained pending.
31. Although Respondent gave an informal update in August 2025 regarding his staff's review of the former magistrate's docket, a full internal audit had not been completed as of September 10, 2025. Respondent reported at that time that he believed there were only twenty-five (25) cases from the former magistrate's docket that would require additional judicial action.
32. In early December 2025, the Commission received a report from the Executive Committee regarding its comprehensive audit of the status of operations in Marion Superior Court 9. The review examined ruling procedures, staff updating protocols, and case distribution within Marion Superior Court 9. Some findings detailed in the report included:
 - a. Ninety-eight (98) motions or orders pending (as of July 29, 2025) in the queue

of the former magistrate's docket, with an average pending time of thirty-four (34) days. Eight (8) tasks had been pending over 100 days.

- b. Systemic deficiencies in staff tracking proposed order deadlines, providing sufficient detail in case entries regarding hearing outcomes, and providing consistent case closure practices.
 - c. As of July 13, 2025, there were 391 cases with incomplete tasks, without future hearing dates, or with open warrants.
33. The Executive Committee's audit identified a more significant problem than relayed by Respondent to the Commission.
34. The Executive Committee also detailed in its report that although members of the Executive Committee met with Respondent in July/August 2025 to develop an initial remediation plan to address the backlog on the former magistrate's docket, Respondent failed to implement many key aspects of the plan as of December 2025.
35. On December 10, 2025, the Commission voted to withdraw from the deferred resolution agreement and to reopen its investigation.

Delayed Rulings

36. As of the date of the filing of these Charges, Respondent has been the subject of seven (7) Trial 53.1/53.2 motions since 2022 that required appointing a new judge.
- a. In cause number 49D09-1905-GU-000046, the Supreme Court appointed a special judge on June 29, 2022, after a determination was made that Respondent had failed to rule on a petition for a successor guardian of a minor, despite taking the matter under advisement following a hearing on January 12, 2022. The motion had been pending for over six months.

- b. In cause number 49D09-1101-DR-004016, the Supreme Court appointed a special judge on June 29, 2022, after a determination was made that Respondent had failed to rule on the Father's petition to modify custody, parenting time, and support and a motion for release of information regarding the Mother's address that were filed in April 2022. Although Respondent was required by court rule to act within thirty (30) days, the motions had been pending for over sixty (60) days.
- c. In cause number 49D09-0912-DR-055473, the Supreme Court appointed a special judge on June 29, 2022, after a determination was made that Respondent had failed to rule on the Husband's motion to compel discovery that was filed on April 29, 2022. The motion had been pending for over thirty (30) days.
- d. In cause number 49D09-1904-DC-014825, the Supreme Court appointed a special judge on July 8, 2022, after a determination was made that Respondent failed to rule on the Husband's verified petition for an injunction that was filed on May 17, 2022. The motion had been pending for over thirty (30) days.
- e. In cause number 49D09-1510-DR-035333, the Supreme Court appointed a special judge on February 14, 2023, after a determination was made that Respondent failed to rule on the two motions filed by the Husband on December 22, 2022: a Motion to Reinstate Amended Arbitration Order and Release Letter of Credit and a Motion to Reduce Judgment. The motions had been pending for over thirty (30) days.
- f. In cause number 49D09-1811-DC-046592, the Supreme Court appointed a

special judge on March 19, 2024, after a determination was made that Respondent failed to rule on various motions filed by the parties, beginning with the Wife's motion to correct error and motion to clarify supervision order filed on April 12, 2023 and motion for rule to show cause filed on April 13, 2023; Husband's motion for rule to show cause filed on April 21, 2023; and Wife's verified motion for Rule 11 sanctions and request for guidance in preparation of June hearing filed on May 24, 2023. Although Respondent ultimately set the original show-cause motions for a hearing on June 1, 2023, Respondent did not conduct a hearing on that date and did not rule on any of the pending motions. Respondent continued to fail to rule on the motions for nearly a year.

- g. In cause number 49D09-2407-DC-007043, the Supreme Court appointed a special judge on July 9, 2026, after a determination was made that Respondent failed to rule on the Husband's motion for summary judgment regarding the division of a piece of real property, despite taking the matter under advisement after hearings on November 4, 2025 and November 17, 2025. The motion had been pending for over five (5) months.
37. As a result of Respondent's dereliction of duties, seven different judges had to be appointed as special judges to rule on the matters described in ¶36.
38. During its investigation, the Commission identified four (4) additional cases in which Respondent failed to take judicial action, but the litigants did not file Trial Rule 53.1/53.2 motions.
- a. On August 14, 2024, Respondent presided over a status hearing in cause

number 49D09-2407-DN-006596. The hearing journal entry indicated that Respondent issued the dissolution on August 14, 2024, but in an Order dated August 20, 2024, Respondent rescinded the dissolution because the sixty (60) day statutory period had not passed. The Order also stated the Dissolution would be reissued after September 9, 2024, but Respondent did not issue the dissolution until August 11, 2025, over eleven months later.

b. On November 12, 2024, Respondent presided over a hearing on a motion to modify support in cause number 49D09-2303-DC-002446. Although the hearing journal entry entered the same date indicated that Respondent ruled in open court and would issue an order, Respondent had not issued a written order by August 2025.

1) On August 18, 2025, the Mother filed a pro se motion seeking Respondent's order regarding her motion to modify child support.

2) Respondent scheduled and presided over a hearing on October 15, 2025, and the hearing journal entry entered that day indicated that Respondent would issue a child support order.

3) Respondent did not issue the order until March 4, 2026. In the order, the Father was ordered to pay \$170 per week in support. The March 4, 2026 order was the first instance in which support was ordered.²

c. On May 20, 2025, Respondent conducted a final hearing in cause number 49D09-2501-JP-000365. The hearing journal entry entered the same day indicated that Respondent made a ruling in open court and that counsel was to

² Although the Mother had filed a motion to modify child support, she had been directed by the court to do so. At no point prior to the March 4, 2026 had a calculation been made about child support owed to the Mother.

submit a proposed order. No activity in the case has occurred since the hearing, and Respondent has not issued an order to address the subject of custody or child support.

- d. On December 9, 2025, Respondent presided over a final dissolution hearing in cause number 49D09-2506-DN-005445. The hearing journal entry the same day indicated that a formal order was to follow. No activity in the case has occurred since the hearing, and Respondent has not issued an order.
39. When Superior Court 9 began the transition from its juvenile case management system, Quest, to its current system, Odyssey, in January 2021, Respondent utilized two (2) queues in the Odyssey case management system. He described the two queues as “regular” and “urgent.”
- a. Between January 1, 2021 and March 2022, Respondent had up to 300 cases between the two queues that required some action on his part.
 - b. During the deferral period, Respondent requested the creation of a third queue that he identified as the “hold” queue. The creation of the “hold” queue was designed to assist Respondent with case management by making it easier to track cases he took under advisement.

Failure to Supervise Magistrate

40. As Judge of Marion Superior Court 9, Respondent has directly supervised two magistrates who also hear cases in the court.
41. In response to the Commission’s Notice of Investigation, Respondent stated his supervision of magistrates is “calibrated to the experience of the judicial officers [he] supervises.”

42. D.M. began his employment as a magistrate under Respondent's supervision in January 2021 as the Juvenile Division transitioned into the Family Division on January 1, 2021.
43. Prior to becoming a magistrate, D.M.'s practice as an attorney was almost exclusively criminal cases. D.M. had no experience with Family Division cases such as divorce, guardianship, or paternity.
44. Even though D.M. was inexperienced as a judicial officer with Family Division cases, Respondent assigned equally inexperienced bailiffs to work with D.M.
45. As D.M.'s supervising judge, Respondent had the ability to review D.M.'s queue of matters that required rulings. Respondent reviewed D.M.'s queue on several occasions throughout D.M.'s employment.

Notice of Magistrate's Performance Issues and Ineffective Response

46. Beginning in August 2023, Respondent became aware that D.M. was having difficulties ruling on pending matters.
47. On August 18, 2023, the legal assistant of Father's counsel emailed Superior Court 9 requesting an update on a Motion to Modify filed on July 8, 2023 in cause number 49D09-2302-JP-001447. In the motion, Father requested a hearing, but no hearing had been scheduled.
 - a. Respondent emailed D.M. requesting that immediate action be taken.
 - b. D.M. acted by setting Father's Motion Correct Error, which had previously been filed on May 9, 2023, for a hearing on September 1, 2023.
 - c. D.M. did not set the Motion to Modify for a separate hearing.
48. Respondent sent a separate email to D.M. on August 18, 2023 expressing concern over

the number and timing of matters in D.M.'s queue and indicated that D.M. should resolve all the matters in his queue by close of business on August 21, 2023.

49. Despite his knowledge as of 2023 that D.M. was having difficulties keeping up with matters in his queue, Respondent took no formal action to assist D.M. with ruling in a more timely fashion or to obtain more training for D.M.
50. From August 2023 to July 1, 2025, Respondent continued to receive information that D.M. was not ruling in a timely fashion, usually because an attorney, a legal assistant, or a litigant had called the court to express concern as to why there had not been a ruling on a motion.
 - a. On August 18, 2023, after Father's counsel contacted court staff, Respondent became aware that D.M. had not set a hearing in cause number 49D09-2302-JP-01447, despite Father filing a motion on July 18, 2023 requesting a hearing on Father's request to modify summer parenting time.. After Respondent sent an email to D.M., D.M. issued an order on August 18, 2023 scheduling the hearing for September 1, 2023. The delay between the filing of the motion and the order scheduling the hearing was 31 days.
 - b. On March 28, 2024, after an assistant for Wife's counsel contacted court staff, Respondent became aware that D.M. had not entered a ruling in cause number 49D09-2210-DC-007716, despite a final hearing being held on October 7, 2023, and Wife's counsel submitting a proposed order. After Respondent sent an email to D.M., D.M. issued a ruling identical to Wife's proposed order. The delay between the final hearing and the issuance of the divorce decree was 163 days.

- c. In the same cause number of 49D09-2210-DC-007716, after Husband's counsel contacted court staff on May 24, 2024, Respondent became aware that D.M. still was delaying the case and had not entered a ruling or set a hearing on a motion filed on April 25, 2024. After Respondent sent an email to D.M., D.M. issued an order setting the motion for a hearing. The delay between the filing of the motion and the order scheduling a hearing was 33 days.
- d. In another case, on May 9, 2024, after court staff was contacted, Respondent became aware that D.M. had not entered a ruling in cause number 49D09-2307-DN-006363, although a final hearing had been held on April 10, 2024. After Respondent sent an email to D.M., D.M. issued a ruling on September 13, 2024. The delay between the April 10, 2024 hearing and the issuance of the divorce decree was 156 days.
- e. On May 16, 2024, after court staff was contacted by Wife's counsel, Respondent became aware that D.M. had not entered a ruling in cause number 49D09-2207-DN-005030 on a rule to show cause, despite a hearing being held on December 11, 2023 and the parties submitting proposed findings by January 6, 2024. After Respondent sent an email to D.M., D.M. issued a ruling on May 28, 2024. The delay between the December 11, 2023 hearing and the issuance of the court's findings was 169 days.
- f. On June 6, 2024, after Father's counsel contacted court staff, Respondent became aware that D.M. had not entered a ruling cause number 49D09-2208-JP-006489 on a contempt motion, despite a hearing being held on March 6, 2024. After Respondent sent an email to D.M., D.M. issued a ruling on June

28, 2024. The delay between the March 6, 2024 hearing and the issuance of the ruling was 114 days.

- g. On July 9, 2025, after Husband's counsel contacted court staff, Respondent became aware that D.M. had not entered a ruling in cause number 49D09-1708-DC-033197, despite a hearing being held on December 18, 2024. Respondent sent an email to D.M., but D.M. did not issue a ruling prior to his resignation. A ruling was issued on May 26, 2026. The delay between the December 18, 2024 hearing and the issuance of the ruling was 524 days.

- 51. In response to the concerns relayed in ¶50, other than sending an email to D.M. to rule on the matter the day that a complaint was received or to occasionally schedule an informal meeting with D.M. to discuss the magistrate's plan to handle the backlog, Respondent did not undertake any progressive discipline or other action to address D.M.'s lagging performance.
- 52. Besides the information received from external sources about D.M.'s performance, Respondent also received concerns from internal stakeholders.
 - a. Around the same timeframe that outsiders were registering complaints about delayed rulings, a member of Respondent's staff approached Respondent with concerns about D.M.'s performance.
 - b. In late 2024, Respondent discussed D.M.'s performance with another Family Court Judge, and that judge advised Respondent to implement formal discipline against D.M. Despite this advice, Respondent took no steps to formally discipline D.M.
- 53. In the first half of 2025, Respondent and another judicial officer discussed potentially

transferring D.M. to a court with a criminal docket. Despite his awareness of the issues with D.M., Respondent did not inform the other judicial officer about D.M.'s performance issues.

54. In addition to the delayed matters brought to Respondent's attention, the Commission discovered during its investigation other matters that had been delayed by D.M.
55. On July 16, 2025, D.M. resigned his position as a magistrate.

Audit of D.M.'s Docket

56. Following D.M.'s resignation, Respondent instructed his staff to review the pending cases assigned to D.M. to determine which cases needed further judicial action. Respondent eventually identified twenty-three (23) cases that needed further judicial action. See Exhibit A.
57. During its investigation, the Commission identified twenty-six (26) cases, in addition to those identified by Respondent, that had been assigned to D.M. that needed further judicial action following his resignation. See Exhibit B. Many had been waiting for a ruling for more than 200 days. Some examples include the following:
 - a. On February 15, 2023, D.M. approved the parties' Mediated Marital Settlement Agreement in cause number 49D09-2209-DN-006848, but he had not issued the Decree of Dissolution prior to his resignation. On September 3, 2025, a successor magistrate issued a Decree of Dissolution to be effective as of February 15, 2023, 931 days after D.M. accepted the parties' settlement agreement.
 - b. On January 22, 2024, D.M. presided over a Final Dissolution Hearing in cause number 49D09-2301-DN-000702, but the dissolution decree had not been

issued prior to his resignation. According to the CCS, during the audit, it was discovered that D.M. had generated and signed a dissolution decree on January 22, 2024, but it had not been issued. The decree was issued on March 17, 2026, 785 days after the Final Dissolution hearing. The decree bore D.M.'s signature dated January 22, 2024.

- c. On March 6, 2024, D.M. presided over a final hearing on paternity and custody in cause number 49D09-2307-JP-005835, but D.M. had not issued an order prior to his resignation. A successor magistrate issued a Final Order on February 5, 2026, 701 days after the hearing.
- d. On March 19, 2024, D.M. presided over a Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity in cause number 49D09-2312-JP-010879, but he had not issued an order prior to his resignation. On December 15, 2025, Respondent presided over a Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity in the cause and signed an order establishing paternity that same day, 636 days after the March 2024 hearing.
- e. On May 29, 2025, Mother filed a pro se Motion to Correct Clerical Error in Child Support Order in cause number 49D09-2306-DC-004527 alleging an error resulting in Mother receiving less child support than she was entitled. On June 30, 2025, Mother filed a pro se Motion to Expedite Ruling on Motion to Correct Clerical Error. D.M. took no action on either motion prior to his resignation. The successor magistrate issued an order granting Mother's motion to correct error on January 29, 2026, 245 days after Mother filed her initial motion.

58. Of the cases identified by both Respondent and the Commission, nine (9) cases lacked rulings as of August 1, 2026.

- a. An order on a Motion to Modify Custody in cause number 49D09-2102-JP-000946 filed by Mother on September 28, 2023 and heard by D.M. on November 1, 2023.
- b. An order on a Petition for Legal Separation in cause number 49D09-2405-DC-005152 heard by D.M. on August 5, 2024.
- c. An order on a Final Dissolution Hearing in cause number 49D09-2304-DN-002935 heard by D.M. on October 21, 2024. Although no order has been issued as of the date of the filing of this complaint, another final dissolution hearing presided over by a different judicial officer was scheduled for August 31, 2026.
- d. An order on Father's pro se Verified Motion to Modify Parenting Time Without an Agreement in cause number 49D09-2403-JP-002860 filed on October 28, 2024 and heard by D.M. on January 29, 2025.
- e. An order on Father's Motion for Rule to Show Cause regarding parenting time in cause number 49D09-1505-JP-015159 heard by D.M. on November 25, 2024.
- f. An order on a Final Dissolution Hearing in cause number 49D09-2302-DC-001426 heard by D.M. on March 11, 2025.
- g. An order on a Petition to Establish Paternity in cause number 49D09-2403-JP-002394 heard by D.M. on April 7, 2025.
- h. An order on a Motion for Attorney Fees in cause number 49D09-2302-DC-000894 heard by D.M. on June 9, 2025.

- i. An order Father's Motion to Modify Parenting Time in cause number 49D09-1502-JP-005906 heard by D.M. on July 2, 2025.
59. Despite, Respondent's knowledge that there remain matters heard by D.M. that have not been ruled upon, Respondent has failed to take effective action to resolve these matters in a timely fashion.

Failure to Supervise Staff

60. The deferred resolution between the Commission and Respondent was partially based on errors committed by Respondent's staff.
61. While under the deferred resolution, Respondent failed to supervise his staff, which resulted in cases that did not have future dates scheduled, or future dates were set in a delayed fashion, and/or hearing journal entries were not made in a consistent manner.

Dispositional Order

62. On July 28, 2021, the State filed a Petition under cause number 49D09-2107-JD-006296 alleging that D.S., a child, was delinquent for Count I, Dangerous Possession of a Firearm, and Count II, Carrying a Handgun Without a License, both Class A misdemeanors.
63. On August 16, 2021, Respondent presided over a bench trial and made a true finding as to both counts. After objection by D.S.'s counsel on double jeopardy grounds, Respondent decided to "close out" Count II.
64. However, Respondent signed a Dispositional Decree on Delinquency on October 5, 2021 that stated the child entered an admission to both counts.
65. D.S. appealed the true findings under cause number 21A-JV-2392.
66. On July 9, 2022, the Indiana Court of Appeals affirmed Respondent's finding as to

Count I but noted the error in the Dispositional Decree.

67. The Court of Appeals remanded the case to Respondent to amend the Dispositional Decree and Chronological Case Summary to reflect that a true finding was made on Count I after a fact-finding hearing.
68. On November 2, 2022, Respondent filed a Nunc Pro Tunc Order correcting the Dispositional Decree, but the order was still incorrect in stating that the child was found true at trial of both counts.
69. In his responses to the Commission, Respondent admitted his staff made mistakes that led to the incorrect Dispositional Decree. Respondent further admitted that he did not identify the errors before the Dispositional Decree was issued despite his responsibility to do so.

Dormant Cases

70. In its December 2025 report, the Marion County Executive Committee provided the Commission with information showing pending cases in Respondent's court that lacked future dates as of July 2025.
71. During its investigation, the Commission identified sixty-six (66) cases pending in Respondent's court which either had no hearing scheduled as of August 1, 2026 or, after a delay, Respondent's staff scheduled some kind of court date. See Exhibit C.
72. Because no hearings had occurred in the cases listed in Exhibit C, Respondent's staff set a large portion of the cases for hearings for potential dismissal pursuant to Trial Rule 41(E).
73. In other matters, some activity prompted Respondent's staff to set a future date. By way of example, in cause number 49D09-2207-JP-005419, a Status Conference was

held on November 11, 2023, but no future date was scheduled. Only after the State of Indiana filed a motion transfer the case to Marion Circuit Court on January 22, 2026 did Respondent's staff take any additional action on the docket.

74. Other matters have remained dormant with no additional hearings, despite parties filing Petitions for Dissolution of Marriage and serving the other party or both parties appearing for a case management conference on paternity case or divorce cases. See Exhibit C.

Delays in Setting Future Dates

75. During the Commission's investigation and review of Respondent's caseload, the Commission identified nineteen (19) cases in which Respondent's staff scheduled a future date but delayed doing so. See Exhibit D. By way of example:
- a. In cause number 49D09-2506-JP-005507, both parties appeared for a Case Management Conference on July 8, 2025 and requested a hearing with a judicial officer. Although the child's birth certificate was filed on July 11, 2025, Respondent's staff did not calendar a Status Conference until August 20, 2025.
 - b. In cause number 49D09-2506-DN-005445, a pro se Petitioner appeared for a Case Management Conference and requested a hearing with a judicial officer. On July 16, 2025, the Even though Petitioner filed on July 16, 2025 a certified mail receipt as proof of service, Respondent's staff did not calendar a Final Dissolution Hearing until August 13, 2025.

Absent Hearing Journal Entries

76. During the Commission's investigation and review of Respondent's caseload, the Commission identified 105 cases in which Respondent's staff failed to consistently create hearing journal entries to document the events of hearings. See Exhibit E. By way of example:
- a. In cause number 49D09-2407-DN-007323, a Final Dissolution Hearing was scheduled on December 23, 2024, but no hearing journal entry was made to

document the hearing.

- b. In cause number 49D09-2305-DN-004340, a Final Dissolution Hearing was scheduled for May 22, 2024, but no hearing journal entry was made to document the hearing. Prior Status Conferences on August 14, September 18, and November 6 of 2023 also lacked hearing journal entries.
- c. In cause number 49D09-2304-DN-002935, a Final Dissolution Hearing was scheduled for October 21, 2024, but no hearing journal entry was made to document the hearing. Prior scheduled Final Dissolution Hearings on July 24 and September 25 of 2023 also lacked hearing journal entries. Other hearings on June 12 and December 15 of 2023 also lacked hearing journal entries.

Training Issues

- 77. After the Juvenile Division became the Family Division, Respondent and his staff were required to use a different case management system, Odyssey.
- 78. Respondent and his staff were trained to use Odyssey, but Respondent described the training as ineffective.
- 79. Despite his perception that the training was inadequate, Respondent did not secure sufficient additional formal training for his staff.
- 80. Respondent would seek out information from other judicial officers on specific points and allowed his staff to do the same.
- 81. Respondent has not implemented any operational deadlines for his staff to complete their assigned work. Instead, he stated his staff has a general understanding regarding the timely completion of their assigned case updates, which he defined as the end of the day.

82. Respondent relies upon his staff and their individual experience to complete the work assigned to them.
83. In his May 19, 2026 deposition, Respondent admitted that his approach to supervising his staff was insufficient when he was notified of the “lazy judge” motions that became the subject of the deferred resolution.
84. Respondent was also aware that a juvenile delinquency case was remanded to Superior 9 due to his staff’s error in the creation of inaccurate dispositional order.
85. Despite his knowledge of these errors, Respondent did not seek out any additional training for his staff to prevent additional errors.
86. On August 22, 2025, Respondent met with a member of the Executive Committee to discuss progress in resolving the issues that led to D.M.’s resignation.
87. During this meeting Respondent acknowledged his court staff needed refresher training on the use of Odyssey.
88. Respondent’s court staff were required to undergo mandatory refresher training. The training sessions took place on September 19, September 26, October 3, and October 9, 2025 to ensure all members of Respondent’s court staff could receive the training.
89. Not all members of Respondent’s court staff were amenable to the training and resisted efforts to provide best practice implementation during the training.
90. On December 13, 2025, Respondent requested additional training for the least experienced members of his staff but was not helpful in scheduling the training.
91. In his response to Commission dated February 24, 2026, Respondent committed to performing an audit of cases pending in Superior 9 for accuracy. Respondent tasked his staff with identifying any errors, correcting the error, and documenting their

actions.

92. Respondent has not made any changes to the daily operations of Superior 9 in response to the Commission's investigation. Rather, Respondent has told the Commission that he and his staff are more aware.
93. Respondent is waiting for the results of the audit to provide guidance as to what changes should be made. As of the date of the filing of this Complaint, Respondent has not completed the audit.

Appellate Transcripts

94. In addition to failing to adequately supervise or seek training for court staff regarding properly inputting information into the case management system, Respondent also failed to supervise his court reporter to timely provide appellate transcripts.
95. Indiana Rule of Appellate Procedure 11(B) requires a court reporter to complete a transcript and file it with trial court clerk within forty-five (45) days after a Notice of Appeal is filed.
96. Indiana Rule of Appellate Procedure 11(C) ("Appellate Rule 11(C)") permits a court reporter to move for an extension of the transcript deadline. The motion must state the basis for the court reporter's inability to comply with the deadline despite the exercise of due diligence.
97. A motion for an extension of time in appeals involving a determination that a child is in need of services "are disfavored and must be granted only in extraordinary circumstances."
98. Appellate Rule 11(C) also requires the court reporter to serve a copy of the motion on the trial judge, so Respondent is notified any time a motion for extension is filed in an

appeal from Superior Court 9.

99. Despite the strict timelines involved, Respondent has only trained one member of his staff to complete transcripts.
100. On August 18, 2025, a Notice of Appeal was filed under cause number 49D09-2407-JC-006844, a Child in Need of Services (“CHINS”) matter. The appeal was assigned appellate cause number 25A-JC-02024. Per Indiana Rule of Appellate Procedure 11(B), the court reporter had until October 3, 2025 to complete the transcript.
101. On October 6, 2025, Respondent’s court reporter submitted a Verified Motion for Extension of Time to File Transcript with the Court of Appeals seeking a three (3) week extension. The basis for the court reporter’s motion was her work on another appellate transcript. Respondent was served with a copy of the motion.
102. The Court of Appeals granted the court reporter’s motion on October 10, 2025, setting a new deadline of October 24, 2025 to complete the transcript.
103. On October 27, 2025, Respondent’s court reporter submitted a second Verified Motion for Extension of Time to File Transcript with the Court of Appeals seeking an additional two (2) week extension to complete the transcript. The basis for the court reporter’s motion was an illness which prevented her from working on an unrelated appellate transcript that was due on October 27, 2025 (after the extension granted by the Court of Appeal’s previous order). Respondent was served with a copy of the motion.
104. The Court of Appeals granted the court reporter’s motion on October 29, 2025, setting a new deadline of November 7, 2025 to complete the transcript.
105. On November 10, 2025, Respondent’s court reporter filed a third Verified Motion for

Extension of Time to File Transcript with the Court of Appeals seeking an additional ten (10) days to complete the transcript. The basis for the court reporter's motion was her work on an unrelated transcript had taken longer than anticipated and a preplanned vacation. Respondent was served with a copy of the motion.

106. The Court of Appeals granted the court reporter's motion on November 14, 2025, setting a new deadline of November 21, 2025 to complete the transcript.
107. On November 24, 2025, Respondent's court reporter filed a fourth (4) Verified Motion for Extension of Time to File a Transcript seeking a seven (7) day extension to complete the transcript due to a death in her family. Respondent was served with a copy of the motion.
108. The Court of Appeals granted the court reporter's motion on November 26, 2025. In its order, the Court of Appeals noted that Respondent's court reporter had been granted three (3) prior extensions which provided her with over three (3) months to complete the transcript. The Court of Appeals described the delay as "unacceptable" because the appeal was entitled to expedited review under Appellate Rule 21. The deadline for the court reporter to complete the transcript was December 3, 2025.
109. On December 5, 2025, the Clerk of the Marion Superior Court filed a Notice of Complete of Transcript under cause number 25A-JC-02024.
110. On August 26, 2025, a Notice of Appeal was filed under cause numbers 49D09-2412-JC-011509 and 49D09-2412-JC-015509, CHINS matters. The appeal was assigned appellate cause number 25A-JC-02086. Per Indiana Rule of Appellate Procedure 11(B), the court reporter had until October 10, 2025 to complete the transcript.
111. On October 10, 2025, Respondent's court reporter submitted a Verified Motion for

Extension of Time to File Transcript with the Court of Appeals seeking a three (3) week extension. The basis for the court reporter's motion was her work on another appellate transcript. Respondent was served with a copy of the motion.

112. The Court of Appeals found extraordinary circumstances and granted the court reporter's motion in an order filed October 16, 2025. The deadline for the transcript was October 31, 2025.
113. On October 31, 2025, Respondent's court reporter submitted a second Verified Motion for Extension of Time to File Transcript with the Court of Appeals seeking an additional three (3) week extension to complete the transcript. The basis for the court reporter's motion was her work on two (2) other transcripts. Respondent was served with a copy of the motion.
114. The Court of Appeals granted the court reporter's motion on November 5, 2025, setting a new deadline November 21, 2025 to complete the transcript.
115. On November 24, 2025, Respondent's court reporter filed a third Verified Motion for Extension of Time to File a Transcript seeking a two (2) week extension to complete the transcript due to a death in her family and her work on the transcript in 25A-JC-02024. Respondent was served with a copy of the motion.
116. The Court of Appeals granted the court reporter's motion on November 26, 2025. In its order, the Court of Appeals noted that Respondent's court reporter had been granted two (2) prior extensions which provided her with over three (3) months to complete the transcript. The Court of Appeals described the delay as "unacceptable" because the appeal was entitled to expedited review under Appellate Rule 21. The deadline for the court reporter to complete the transcript was December 11, 2025.

117. On December 18, 2025, the Clerk of the Marion Superior Court filed a Notice of Complete of Transcript under cause number 25A-JC-02086.
118. During its investigation, Respondent told the Commission that he made no substantial changes in the process by which his court reporter completes transcripts, despite his knowledge of the multiple months of delay in 25A-JC-02024 and 25A-JC-02086.
119. Respondent reported that he does not feel it is necessary to train another member of his staff to assist with transcripts because the assigned employee is always available.

APPLICABLE COURT RULES

120. Indiana Rule of Trial Procedure 53.1(A) provides:

In the event a court fails for thirty days to set a motion for hearing or fails to rule on a motion within thirty days after it was heard or thirty days after it was filed, if no hearing is required, upon application by an interested party and notice to the Chief Administrative Officer of the Indiana Office of Judicial Administration, the submission of the cause may be withdrawn from the trial judge and transferred to the Supreme Court for the appointment of a special judge.

121. Indiana Rule of Trial Procedure 53.2(A) provides:

Whenever a cause (including for this purpose a petition for post conviction relief) has been tried to the court and taken under advisement by the judge, and the judge fails to determine any issue of law or fact within ninety days, the submission of all the pending issues and the cause may be withdrawn from the trial judge and transferred to the Supreme Court for the appointment of a special judge.

122. Indiana Rule of Appellate Procedure 11(B) provides:

For appeals filed after July 1, 2016, the Court Reporter or Administrative Agency shall have forty-five (45) days after the appellant file the Notice of Appeal to file the Transcript with the trial court clerk or Administrative Agency.

123. Indiana Rule of Appellate Procedure 11(C) provides:

If the Court Reporter believes the Transcript cannot be filed within the time period prescribed by this rule, then the Court Reporter must promptly move the Court of Appeal designated in the Notice of Appeal for an extension of time to file the Transcript pursuant to Rule 35(A) and must state in such motion the factual basis for inability to comply with the prescribed deadline despite exercise of due diligence. (See Form # App.R. 11-12). The Court Reporter must serve a copy of the motion on the trial judge and the parties to the appeal in accordance with Rule 24. Motions for extension of time in interlocutory appeals, appeals involving worker's compensation, issues of child custody, support, visitation, paternity, adoption, determination that a child is in need of services, and termination of parental rights are disfavored and must be granted only in extraordinary circumstances.

124. Indiana Rule of Trial Procedure 41(E) provides:

Whenever there has been a failure to comply with these rules or when no action has been taken in a civil case for a period of sixty (60) days, the court, on motion of a party or its own motion shall order a hearing for the purpose of dismissing such case. The court shall enter an order of dismissal at plaintiff's cost if the plaintiff shall not show sufficient cause at or before such hearing. Dismissal may be withheld or reinstatement of dismissal may be made subject to the condition that the plaintiff comply with these rules and diligently prosecute the action and upon such terms that the court in its discretion determines to be necessary to assure such diligent prosecution.

125. Indiana Administrative Rule 10(a) provides:

All court records are the exclusive property of the courts and subject to the authority of the Supreme Court of Indiana. Each judge is administratively responsible for the integrity of the judicial records of the court and must ensure that (a) the judicial records of the court are recorded and maintained pursuant to Supreme Court directives, and (b) measures and procedures are employed to protect such records from mutilation, false entry, theft, alienation, and any unauthorized alteration, addition, deletion, or replacement of items or false data elements.

126. Rule 1.1 of the Indiana Code of Judicial Conduct provides that "A judge shall comply with the law, including the Code of Judicial Conduct."

127. Rule 1.2 of the Indiana Code of Judicial Conduct provides that “A judge shall act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary, and shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety.”
128. Rule 2.5(A) of the Indiana Code of Judicial Conduct provides that “A judge shall perform judicial and administrative duties competently, diligently, and promptly.”
129. Rule 2.12(A) of the Indiana Code of Judicial Conduct provides that “A judge shall require court staff, court officials, and others subject to the judge’s direction and control to act in a manner consistent with the judge’s obligations under this Code.”
130. Rule 2.12(B) of the Indiana Code of Judicial Conduct provides that “A judge with supervisory authority for the performance of other judges shall take reasonable measures to ensure that those judges properly discharge their judicial responsibilities, including the prompt disposition of matters before them.”

CHARGES

The Commission incorporates the facts set out in ¶¶ 1-119 into the Charges below.

Count 1

The Commission alleges that from March 2022 to July 2026, Respondent failed to promptly set motions for hearing or failed to promptly rule on motions following a hearing. This led to the removal of cases from Respondent, which required additional judicial resources to be used to resolve the presented issues. By engaging in this conduct, Respondent violated Rules 1.1, 1.2, and 2.5(A) of the Code of Judicial Conduct and engaged in conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice.

Count 2

The Commission alleges that from August 18, 2023 to July 16, 2025, Respondent failed to adequately supervise a magistrate under his authority. The lack of supervision resulted in delays in matters being set for hearing and orders being issued by the magistrate. By engaging in this conduct, Respondent violated Rules 1.2, 2.5(A), and 2.12(B) of the Code of Judicial Conduct and engaged in conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice.

Count 3

The Commission alleges that from January 1, 2022 to February 18, 2026, Respondent failed to adequately supervise court staff to ensure a proper dispositional decree was issued in 49D09-2107-JD-006296, court dates were set in a timely manner, proper case entries were made into the case management system, and appellate transcripts were completed in a timely manner. Respondent's failure to supervise his court staff led to cases being dismissed, delays in cases being resolved, and incorrect entries that required additional resources for parties to correct. By engaging in this conduct, Respondent violated Rules 1.1, 1.2, 2.5(A), and 2.12(A) of the Code of Judicial Conduct and engaged in conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice.

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that, upon the filing of Respondent's Answer, the Indiana Supreme Court appoint three Masters to conduct a public hearing on the charges that Respondent committed judicial misconduct as alleged, and further prays that the Supreme Court find that Respondent committed misconduct and that it impose upon him the appropriate sanction.

Respectfully submitted,

9/14/26
DATE

Adrienne L. Meiring
Adrienne L. Meiring
Counsel to the Commission
Atty. No. 18414-45

Mark R. Conner
Mark R. Conner
Staff Attorney
Atty. No. 25530-49

Angie L. Ordway
Angie L. Ordway
Staff Attorney
Atty. No. 25039-49

Indiana Commission on
Judicial Qualifications
251 N. Illinois Street, Suite 500
Indianapolis, IN 46204
(317) 232-4706

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of this "Notice of the Institution of Formal Proceedings and Statement of Charges" was sent by certified mail, postage pre-paid to Respondent, through counsel, and via electronic mail at the following mailing and electronic address:

Gregory H. Coleman
Coleman Law Office
320 N. Meridian St., Suite 1006
Indianapolis, IN 46204
colemanlawoffice@gmail.com

9/14/26
DATE

Adrienne L. Meiring
Adrienne L. Meiring
Counsel to the Commission
Atty. No. 18414-45

Mark R. Conner
Mark R. Conner
Staff Attorney
Atty. No. 25530-49

EXHIBIT A

1. 49D09-1102-JP-007609 - On March 13, 2025 and June 4, 2025, Father filed two (2) pro se Motions for Rule to Show Cause. D.M. took no action on either motion prior to his resignation. Father's motions were ultimately heard by a different magistrate on December 15, 2025. On March 16, 2026, the magistrate issued an order finding Mother in contempt and imposed a sanction requiring Mother to pay for all parenting time transfers for the summer of 2026, 368 days after Father filed his first motion.
2. 49D09-2301-PO-001106 - No entry for events of Hearing on Petition for Protective Order on February 21, 2023. No subsequent action.
3. 49D09-2302-PO-007518 – No entry for events of Hearing on Petition for Protective Order on March 14, 2023. No subsequent action.
4. 49D09-2206-DN-004540 – On October 22, 2024, Husband filed a pro se request for court intervention to enforce an amended divorce issued on April 16, 2024 that required Wife to vacate a piece of real property; D.M. presided over a hearing on December 16, 2024. D.M. did issue an order prior to his resignation; Respondent conducted a hearing on Husband's motion on December 19, 2025 and issued an order finding damages for Husband in the amount of \$9,819, 423 days after Husband filed his motion.
5. 49D09-2202-JP-001118/49D09-2202-JP-001119 – On June 12, 2024, Mother, by counsel, filed a Motion to Modify custody in both cause numbers; D.M. presided over hearing on Mother's motion on January 2, 2025; D.M. did not issue an order prior to his resignation; Respondent took Mother's motion under advisement after a hearing on October 16, 2025. On December 12, 2025, Respondent issued an order on Mother's motion, 548 days after it was filed.
6. 49D09-2309-JC-008290 – On May 9, 2025, D.M. presided over a review hearing in this case; D.M. did not issue an order on the review hearing prior to his resignation; A successor magistrate conducted a Permanency Hearing on August 15, 2025 and issued an order on the hearing that same day.
7. 49D09-2407-DC-007043 – On May 23, 2025, Husband, by counsel, filed a Verified Motion to Stay Enforcement of D.M.'s Provisional Order entered on April 28, 2025. The parties filed additional pleadings on June 2, June 10, June 11, June 16, June 30, and June 14. D.M. took no action on any of pleadings prior to his resignation; Additional pleadings were filed after Respondent took over the case; Respondent conducted two (2) hearings on all pending matters on November 4 and November 17, 2025; Respondent did not issue an order prior Supreme Court's withdrawal of the case from Respondent.
8. 49D09-2305-JP-003892 – On April 7, 2025, Mother, by counsel, filed a Notice of Appeal concerning D.M.'s; no judicial action was necessary until case remanded on March 25, 2026.

9. 49D09-2410-JP-010484 – D.M. presided over a Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity on January 28, 2025. The hearing journal entry states that both parties appeared, testified, and agreed to most matters. D.M. was to issue an order/decreed. D.M. did not issue an order prior to his resignation. On August 25, 2025, a successor magistrate held a pretrial conference but neither party appeared. The successor magistrate reviewed the record of the January 28, 2025 hearing and issued an order on January 28, 2026, 365 days after the hearing.
10. 49D09-2403-JP-002394 – On April 7, 2025, D.M. presided over a Hearing to on Petition to Establish Paternity in Cause Number 49D09-2403-JP-002394. The hearing journal entry states that D.M. would issue an order on child support and all other pending matters. D.M. did not issue an order for the hearing prior to his resignation. At a pretrial conference on August 25, 2025, the Petitioner agreed for a successor magistrate to review the record of the April 7 hearing and issue an order. No order has been issued as of the date of the filing of this complaint.
11. 49D09-2406-JP-006317 – On January 27, 2025, D.M. presided over a Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity. No hearing journal entry exists for the events of the hearing. D.M. did not issue an order prior to his resignation; Respondent conducted three (3) additional hearings with the last one on December 9, 2025. Respondent issued a verbal ruling during the hearing and signed a written order on January 10, 2026, 347 days after the January 27, 2025 hearing.
12. 49D09-2404-DC-003195 – On November 18, 2024, D.M. presided over a Final Dissolution Hearing. No hearing journal entry exists for the events of the hearing; D.M. did not issue an order prior to his resignation. A successor magistrate was given permission by the parties to listen to the record to issue an order during a Status conference on November 10, 2025. The successor magistrate issued a Decree of Dissolution of Marriage on December 29, 2025, 406 days after the November 2024 hearing.
13. 49D09-2409-JP-008760/49D09-2409-JP-008761/49D09-2409-JP-008758 – On February 18, 2025, D.M. presided over a Status Conference. No hearing journal entry exists for the events of the hearing. D.M. did not set another court date or issue an order prior to his resignation. On August 4, 2025, a Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal was scheduled for September 26, 2025. When neither party appeared on September 26, 2025, the cases were dismissed.
14. 49D09-1502-JP-005906 – On July 2, 2025, D.M. presided over a Hearing on Motion to Modify. No hearing journal entry was made for the hearing. D.M. did not issue an order prior to his resignation. No additional hearing date has been set and no action has been taken since D.M.'s resignation.
15. 49D09-2409-JP-008678 – On March 3, 2025, D.M. presided over a Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity. The hearing journal entry states an order would be issued by the end of the week. D.M. did not issue an order prior to his resignation. A

successor magistrate was given permission by Father to listen to the record to issue an order during a Pretrial Conference on September 2, 2025. The successor magistrate issued both a paternity decree and an order on custody on February 26, 2026, 360 days after the March 2025 hearing.

16. 49D09-2302-DC-001426 - On March 11, 2025, D.M. presided over a Final Dissolution Hearing. The hearing journal entry states that both parties appeared and a formal order would follow. D.M. did not issue an order prior to his resignation. On September 2, 2025, a successor magistrate held a pretrial conference. The hearing journal entry states both parties consented to allowing the successor magistrate to listen to the record of the March 11, 2025 hearing and issue an order. No order has been issued as of the date of the filing of this complaint.
17. 49D09-2501-JP-000535 – On April 28, 2025, D.M. presided over a Hearing. No hearing journal entry was made for the events of the hearing. D.M. did not schedule another hearing date prior to his resignation. A successor magistrate issued a Final Order accepting the parties' agreement that had been read into the record at the April 28, 2025 hearing on January 29, 2026, 276 days after the April 2025 hearing.
18. 49D09-1102-JP-007609 - On March 13, 2025 and June 4, 2025, Father filed two (2) pro se Motions for Rule to Show Cause in Cause Number 49D09-1102-JP-007609 alleging that Mother was in contempt of prior orders regarding parenting time. D.M. took no action on either motion prior to his resignation. On August 5, 2025, a successor magistrate scheduled a Status Conference for October 17, 2025. Father's motions were ultimately heard by a different magistrate on December 15, 2025. On March 16, 2026, the magistrate issued an order finding Mother in contempt and imposed a sanction requiring Mother to pay for all parenting time transfers for the summer of 2026. The order was issued 368 days after Father filed his first motion.
19. 49D09-1409-DR-030422 – On May 21, 2025, D.M. presided over a hearing on Mother's pro se motion to modify custody. The hearing journal entry states that Mother failed to appear so the motion would be denied. D.M. did not issue an order prior to his resignation. A successor magistrate scheduled the case to be heard on December 22, 2025. Mother's filed another motion to modify custody on November 26, 2025. The successor magistrate denied Mother's motion in open court on December 22, 2025 and issued a written order on March 18, 2026, 301 days after the May 2025 hearing.
20. 49D09-1708-DC-033197 – D.M. took no action in Cause Number 49D09-1708-DC-033197. Father filed a Motion to Modify Custody, Parenting Time, and Child Support on October 12, 2023. D.M. presided over a hearing on the motion on December 18, 2024. Mother filed a motion on February 6, 2025 requesting a ruling following the hearing. D.M. never issued a ruling. A successor magistrate conducted another hearing on the Motion to Modify on February 11, 2026. The successor magistrate issued an order granting Father's motion on May 26, 2026, a delay of 524 days since

D.M. presided over the hearing on December 18, 2024 and 957 days since Father filed his motion.

EXHIBIT B

1. 49D09-2308-DN-007276 - On November 25, 2024, D.M. presided over a Final Dissolution Hearing. No Hearing Journal Entry exists for the events of the hearing. D.M. did not issue an order from the hearing prior to his resignation. On August 25, 2025, a successor magistrate dismissed the case after the magistrate learned that Husband had died in January 2025, 273 days after the hearing.
2. 49D09-2307-JP-005835 - On March 6, 2024, D.M. presided over a Final Dissolution Hearing. No Hearing Journal Entry exists for the events of the hearing. D.M. did not issue an order from the hearing prior to his resignation. On August 25, 2025, a successor magistrate conducted a pretrial conference. The Hearing Journal Entry for the pretrial conference states that verbal orders were made during March 2024 hearing and proposed orders were submitted. The parties authorized the successor magistrate to review the record and issue a ruling. The successor magistrate issued a Final Order on February 5, 2026, 701 days after the hearing.
3. 49D09-2305-JP-003995 - On October 1, 2024, D.M. presided over a Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity. The hearing journal entry states both parties appeared. D.M. did not issue an order from the hearing prior to his resignation. On August 25, 2025, Respondent presided over a Pretrial Conference. Respondent signed an Order on Paternity on November 10, 2025, 405 days after the hearing.
4. 49D09-2301-DN-000702 - On January 22, 2024, D.M. presided over a Final Dissolution Hearing. The hearing journal entries states testimony was heard and an order/decreed would be issued. D.M. did not issue a decree prior to his resignation. On September 2, 2025, a successor magistrate presided over a Pretrial Conference. The hearing journal entry for the pretrial conference stated that the Petitioner consented to the successor magistrate to listen to the record and issue a decree. A decree was issued on March 17, 2026, 785 days after the Final Dissolution hearing. The decree bore D.M.'s signature dated January 22, 2024.
5. 49D09-2212-JP-008976 - On February 7, 2024, D.M. presided over a Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity. No hearing journal entry exists for this hearing. D.M. did not issue an order prior to his resignation. No further action was taken until Respondent conducted a pretrial conference on September 22, 2025. Respondent scheduled a final hearing for October 28, 2025. Respondent dismissed the matter when neither party appeared for the October hearing.
6. 49D09-2209-DN-006848 - On February 15, 2023, D.M. approved the parties Mediated Marital Settlement Agreement. D.M. did not issue a Decree of Dissolution at that time and did not do so prior to his resignation. On September 3, 2025, a successor magistrate issued a Decree of Dissolution to be effective as of February 15, 2023, 931 days after D.M. accepted the parties' agreement.
7. 49D09-2205-JP-004181 - On February 11, 2025, D.M. presided over a Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity. No hearing journal entry was made for the hearing. D.M. did not issue an order prior to his resignation. On October 7, 2025, a successor magistrate conducted a pretrial conference. The hearing journal entry for the pretrial conference stated the parties read an agreement into the record during the February 11, 2025 hearing. The parties consented to the successor magistrate listening to the record and issuing an order. The successor magistrate issued an order establishing paternity on March 18, 2026, 400 days after the February 11, 2025 hearing.

8. 49D09-1811-JP-047175/ 49D09-2401-JP-000978 - On June 16, 2025, D.M. presided over a Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity. The hearing journal entry stated that counsel was to submit a proposed preliminary order. D.M. did not issue a preliminary order prior to his resignation. On October 27, 2025, a successor magistrate held an Attorney Conference. The hearing journal entry for the conference stated that the parties agreed for the successor magistrate to listen to the record of the June hearing. The successor magistrate issued a Preliminary Order on January 21, 2026, 219 days after the June hearing.
9. 49D09-1607-JP-023597 - On October 1, 2024, D.M. presided over a Hearing on Motion to Modify Custody. On April 25, 2025, Father filed a motion seeking an order from the October hearing. D.M. did not issue an order prior to his resignation. On November 12, 2025, Respondent presided over a status conference. The hearing journal entry for the conference stated the parties anticipated reaching an agreement. On November 17, 2025, the parties filed their agreement which Respondent approved on November 18, 2025, 413 days after the October 2024 hearing.
10. 49D09-1609-DR-034426 - On October 2, 2024, D.M. presided over a Hearing to address two motions filed by the Petitioner in March 2024. The hearing journal entry stated the court was to issue a written order. D.M. did not issue a written order prior to his resignation. On September 23, 2025, Respondent presided over another hearing on the pending motions. Respondent signed an order increasing child support payable to the Petitioner on October 2, 2025, 365 days after the October 2024 hearing.
11. 49D09-2008-JP-029858 - On May 28, 2024, the case chronology shows that D.M. was to preside over a Hearing. Mother filed two motions on March 11, 2024. D.M. did not issue an order on either motion prior to his resignation. On November 12, 2025, Respondent conducted a hearing on Mother's motions. Respondent signed an order denying Mother's motions that same day, 611 days after the motions were filed. Respondent's order states that no hearing took place on May 28, 2024 despite it appearing on the chronology.
12. 49D09-2312-JP-010879 - On March 19, 2024, D.M. presided over a Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity. A subsequent hearing on June 10, 2024 was cancelled. No further hearing took place prior to D.M.'s resignation and he did not issue an order. On December 15, 2025, Respondent presided over a Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity and signed an order establishing paternity that same day, 636 days after the March 2024 hearing.
13. 49D09-1610-DR-037652 - On May 21, 2025, D.M. presided over a Hearing on Motion to Correct Error. The hearing journal entry stated that attorneys were to submit proposed orders by June 23, 2025. D.M. did not issue an order prior to his resignation. On September 15, 2025, Respondent conducted a pretrial conference. The hearing journal entry for the conference stated that the attorneys consented to Respondent listening to the record of the May hearing to issue a ruling. Respondent signed an order on October 3, 2025, 135 days after the May hearing.
14. 49D09-1004-DR-015374 - On June 30, 2025, D.M. presided over a Hearing on Motion for Emancipation. The hearing journal entry stated that a ruling was made in open court and counsel was to submit a proposed order. D.M. did not issue an order prior to his resignation. On August 25, 2025, a successor magistrate conducted a pretrial

- conference. The hearing journal entry stated that counsel confirmed a verbal order was made during the June hearing and a proposed order was submitted. The successor magistrate was given permission to review the record and the proposed order. On January 20, 2026, the successor magistrate issued an order granting the emancipation and releasing the overpayment child support to the Father, 204 days after the June 2025 hearing.
15. 49D09-2501-DN-000887 - On July 3, 2025, D.M. presided over a Final Dissolution Hearing. The hearing journal entry stated only the Petitioner appeared and the court would issue a decree once the cause number was corrected to reflect that fact that the parties had children. On July 7, 2025, a new case was opened Cause Number 49D09-2507-DC-006670. D.M. did not issue a decree prior to his resignation. A decree file-stamped August 6, 2025 appears as document in Cause Number 49D09-2507-DC-006670. On September 2, 2025, a successor magistrate held a pretrial conference. The hearing journal entry stated that neither party appeared so the successor magistrate would listen to the record and issue an order. On December 3, 2025, the successor magistrate issued a Decree of Dissolution, 153 days after the July hearing.
 16. 49D09-2306-DC-004527 - On May 29, 2025, Mother filed a pro se Motion to Correct Clerical Error in Child Support Order alleging an error resulting in Mother receiving less child support than which she was entitled. On June 30, 2025, Mother filed a pro se Motion to Expedite Ruling on Motion to Correct Clerical Error. D.M. took no action on either motion prior to his resignation. A successor magistrate conducted a hearing on Mother's motions on October 30, 2025. The successor magistrate issued an order granting Mother's motion to correct error on January 29, 2026, 245 days after Mother filed her motion. The order increased the child support Mother was to receive and made the increase retroactive March 2, 2025.
 17. 49D09-1810-JP-040443 - On July 31, 2024, Father filed a pro se Verified Motion to Modify Custody Without an agreement. D.M. presided over hearings on September 24 and October 23 of 2024. On April 21, 2025, Father made a successful oral request for custody modification during a hearing in Cause Number 49D14-2502-JC-001408. D.M. did not issue an order on Father's motion prior to his resignation. On October 2, 2025, Respondent signed an order granting Father primary physical and legal custody of the child, 428 days after Father filed his motion, referencing the April 21, 2025 hearing.
 18. 49D09-1806-JP-021461 - On April 9, 2025, Father filed a pro se Verified Motion to Modify Parenting Time Without an Agreement. D.M. presided over a Status Conference on May 7, 2025 but did not rule on Father's motion before his resignation. Father filed an almost identical motion on November 25, 2025. On December 3, 2025, a successor magistrate presided over a hearing on Father's motion and issued an order increasing Father's parenting time, 238 days after Father filed his motion.
 19. 49D09-2304-DN-002935 - On October 21, 2024, D.M. presided over a Final Dissolution Hearing. No hearing journal entry was made for this hearing. D.M. did not issue an order prior to his resignation. On September 2, 2025, a successor magistrate presided over a pretrial conference. Neither party appeared for this hearing. The hearing journal entry stated that the successor magistrate would listen to the record and issue an order when able. No order has been issued as of the date of the filing of this complaint.

20. 49D09-2102-JP-000946 – On November 1, 2023, D.M. presided over a Modification Hearing. Mother had filed a Motion to Modify Custody on September 28, 2023. No hearing journal entry was made for the November 1 hearing. D.M. did not issue an order prior to his resignation. A pretrial conference was scheduled for August 12, 2025. No hearing journal entry was made for the August 12 hearing. No order has been issued as of the date of the filing of this complaint.
21. 49D09-2405-DC-005152 – On August 5, 2024, D.M. presided over a hearing for legal separation. No Hearing Journal Entry exists for the events of the hearing. D.M. did not issue an order to address the legal separation hearing prior to his resignation. A successor magistrate presided over a pretrial conference on September 2, 2025. The hearing journal entry states that neither party appeared for the September 2 hearing. The successor magistrate would review the record of the August 5, 2024 hearing and issue an order. No order has been issued as of the date of the filing of this complaint.
22. 49D09-2403-JP-002860 – On October 28, 2024, Father filed a pro se Verified Motion to Modify Parenting Time Without an Agreement. D.M. presided over a hearing on Father's motion on January 29, 2025. No Hearing Journal Entry exists for the events of the hearing. D.M. did not issue an order on Father's motion prior to his resignation. No judicial action has been taken since the January hearing.
23. 49D09-1505-JP-015159 – On November 25, 2024, D.M. presided over a Hearing on Motion for Rule to Show Cause. No hearing journal entry was made for the hearing. D.M. did not issue an order prior to his resignation. On August 25, 2025, a successor magistrate held a pretrial conference. The hearing journal entry stated that the parties agreed for the successor magistrate to review the record for the November 25, 2024 hearing and a prior hearing on May 28, 2024 to issue an order. No order has been issued as of the date of the filing of this complaint.
24. 49D09-2403-JP-002394 – On April 7, 2025, D.M. presided over a Hearing to on Petition to Establish Paternity. The hearing journal entry stated that D.M. would issue an order on child support and all other pending matters. D.M. did not issue an order for the hearing prior to his resignation. At a pretrial conference on August 25, 2025, the Petitioner agreed for a successor magistrate to review the record of the April 7 hearing and issue an order. No order has been issued as of the date of the filing of this complaint.
25. 49D09-2302-DC-000894 – On June 9, 2025, D.M. presided over a hearing. The hearing journal entry stated that the parties were to submit proposed orders by June 27, 2025, and D.M. would issue his order by July 11. D.M. did not issue an order prior to his resignation. On September 11, 2025, a successor magistrate held a pretrial conference. The hearing journal entry states that the attorneys for the parties appeared and consented to allow the successor magistrate to listen to the record of both the June 9, 2025 hearing and a prior one that took place on April 22, 2025 to issue a final order. No order has been issued as of the date of the filing of this complaint.

EXHIBIT C

1. 49D09-1502-JP-005906 – Hearing on Motion to Modify, January 2, 2025; no future dates until August 12, 2026 when Notice Issued.
2. 49D09-2101-JP-000013 – Hearing on Motion for Genetic Testing, January 27, 2021; no future dates.
3. 49D09-2104-JP-002694 – Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity, December 3, 2021; no future dates until August 7, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for October 24, 2025.
4. 49D09-2105-JP-003765 – Status Conference, May 16, 2024; no future dates until July 21, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for August 22, 2025.
5. 49D09-2106-DC-005030 – Status Conference, July 16, 2021; no future dates until July 21, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for August 22, 2025.
6. 49D09-2106-JP-005479 – Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity, August 17, 2021; no future dates.
7. 49D09-2107-DC-006247 – Status Conference, September 2, 2021; no future dates until July 21, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for August 22, 2025.
8. 49D09-2108-JP-006868 – Status Conference, October 12, 2021; no future dates until July 21, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for August 22, 2025.
9. 49D09-2109-DC-008333 – Status Conference, March 9, 2022; no future dates until July 21, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for August 22, 2025.
10. 49D09-2110-DC-009049 – Status Conference, December 5, 2022; no future dates.
11. 49D09-2111-JP-010239 – Status Conference, February 27, 2023; no future date until motion filed August 20, 2024.
12. 49D09-2204-JP-002746 – No hearing date set until July 21, 2025 when Hearing on 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for August 22, 2025.
13. 49D09-2205-JP-004113 – No hearing date set until July 21, 2025 when Hearing on 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for September 26, 2025.
14. 49D09-2207-JP-005419 – Status Conference, November 20, 2023; no future date or action until Motion to Intervene filed January 21, 2026.
15. 49D09-2208-JP-005871 – Status Conference, March 13, 2023; no future dates until July 21, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for August 22, 2025.
16. 49D09-2208-JP-005873 – Status Conference, March 13, 2023; no future dates until July 21, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for August 22, 2025.

17. 49D09-2210-JP-008196 – Status Conference, December 29, 2022; no future dates until July 21, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for August 22, 2025.
18. 49D09-2211-DC-008702 – Status Conference, January 27, 2025; no future dates until July 21, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for August 22, 2025.
19. 49D09-2301-JP-000183 – Status Conference, July 12, 2023; no future dates until July 21, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for August 22, 2025.
20. 49D09-2301-JP-000274 – Status Conference, July 31, 2023; no future dates until July 21, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for August 22, 2025.
21. 49D09-2303-JP-002053 – Mediation Hearing, April 6, 2023; Mediator’s Report filed April 6, 2023; no future dates until July 21, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for August 22, 2025.
22. 49D09-2305-JP-003617 – Status Conference, July 26, 2023; no future dates until July 21, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for August 22, 2025.
23. 49D09-2306-DC-005290 – No date set; July 5, 2023 entry indicates possible motion to dismiss by Petitioner; no follow-up date.
24. 49D09-2306-JP-004858 – Hearing October 11, 2023 Cancelled; no future dates until August 6, 2025 when Status Conference scheduled for October 30, 2025.
25. 49D09-2307-DC-006390 – Status Conference, April 8, 2024; no future dates until July 21, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for August 22, 2025.
26. 49D09-2307-JP-005880 – Status Conference, April 22, 2024; no future dates until July 21, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for August 22, 2025.
27. 49D09-2308-DC-006518 – Case opened August 2, 2023; no date set until July 21, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for August 22, 2025.
28. 49D09-2308-JP-006914/49D09-2308-JP-006915 – Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity, December 3, 2024; no future dates until Motion for Rule to Show Cause filed May 19, 2025.
29. 49D09-2309-JP-008360 – Motion to Dismiss filed October 25, 2023; Status Conference, October 31, 2023; no future dates action until Order granting Motion to Dismiss July 30, 2025.
30. 49D09-2312-JP-010879 – Hearing, June 10, 2024; no future dates until July 31, 2025 when Pretrial Conference scheduled for August 12, 2025.
31. 49D09-2401-DC-000983 – Case opened January 31, 2024; no dates scheduled.
32. 49D09-2401-JP-000845 – Status Conference, February 26, 2024; no future dates scheduled.
33. 49D09-2403-JP-002860 – Hearing on Motion to Modify, January 29, 2025; no future dates scheduled.

34. 49D09-2403-JP-002990 – Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity, September 3, 2024; hearing journal entry memorializes conversation with Petitioner that case may be dismissed; no future dates scheduled.
35. 49D09-2404-DC-004116 – Case opened April 29, 2024; no dates scheduled.
36. 49D09-2405-DC-004564 – Status Conference, June 6, 2024; no future dates until August 4, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for September 26, 2025.
37. 49D09-2405-DC-004984 – Status Conference, December 10, 2024; no future dates until August 4, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for September 26, 2025.
38. 49D09-2405-DC-005055 – Status Conference, June 18, 2024; no future dates until August 4, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for September 16, 2025.
39. 49D09-2405-DC-005073 – Status Conference, June 24, 2024; no future dates until August 4, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for September 16, 2025.
40. 49D09-2405-DC-005152 – Hearing, August 5, 2024; no future dates until August 7, 2025 when Pretrial Conference scheduled for September 2, 2025.
41. 49D09-2405-JP-004963/49D09-2405-JP-004964 – Status Conference, February 3, 2025; no future dates until August 4, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for September 16, 2025.
42. 49D09-2405-JP-005171 – Hearing, June 25, 2024, cancelled at request of Petitioner; no future dates set until August 11, 2025 when Final Dissolution Hearing scheduled for October 27, 2025.
43. 49D09-2406-DN-006152 – Status Conference, July 23, 2024; no future dates until August 4, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for September 26, 2025.
44. 49D09-2406-JP-005624 – Status Conference, July 11, 2024; no future dates until August 4, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for September 26, 2025.
45. 49D09-2406-JP-005667 – Status Conference, July 11, 2024; no future dates until August 4, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for September 26, 2025.
46. 49D09-2406-JP-006372 – Status Conference, July 30, 2024; no future dates until August 4, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for September 26, 2025.
47. 49D09-2407-DN-006879 – Case Opened July 15, 2024; no dates until August 4, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for September 26, 2025.

48. 49D09-2407-DN-007323 – Final Dissolution Hearing, December 23, 2024; no future dates until July 22, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for September 26, 2025.
49. 49D09-2407-DN-007379 – Status Conference, August 29, 2024; no future dates until August 4, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for September 26, 2025.
50. 49D09-2408-DN-007568 – Status Conference, September 5, 2024; no future dates until August 4, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for September 26, 2025.
51. 49D09-2408-DN-007621 – Status Conference, September 11, 2024; no future dates until August 4, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for September 26, 2025.
52. 49D09-2408-DN-007854 – Status Conference, September 9, 2024; no future dates until August 4, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for September 26, 2025.
53. 49D09-2409-JP-008758/49D09-2409-JP-008760/49D09-2409-JP-008761 – Status Conference, February 18, 2025; no future dates until August 4, 2025 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled for September 26, 2025.
54. 49D09-2501-JP-000135 – Case Management Conference, January 28, 2025; no future dates scheduled.
55. 49D09-2501-JP-000137 – Status Conference, May 28, 2025; no future dates scheduled.
56. 49D09-2501-JP-000324 – Case Management Conference, March 4, 2025; no future dates until March 6, 2026 when Hearing scheduled April 17, 2026.
57. 49D09-2506-JP-005455 – Case Management Conference, July 8, 2025; no future dates until March 4, 2026 when Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal scheduled March 27, 2026.
58. 49D09-2507-DN-006682 – Case Management Conference, August 5, 2025; no future dates until December 1, 2025 when Pretrial Conference scheduled January 12, 2026.
59. 49D09-2507-DN-006733 – Case Management Conference, August 6, 2025; no future dates scheduled.
60. 49D09-2507-DN-006775 – Hearing, November 29, 2025 cancelled; parties underdoing mediation; Mediator's Report filed on March 27, 2026; case did not settle; no future dates scheduled until parties field agreement on July 17, 2026.
61. 49D09-2507-DN-006813 – Case Management Conference, August 12, 2025; no future dates scheduled.
62. 49D09-2507-JP-006653 – Case Management Conference, August 12, 2025; no future dates scheduled.

EXHIBIT D

1. 49D09-2111-DN-009811 – Husband filed Motion to Correct Error and Amended Motion to Correct Error on July 11, 2024; Motion scheduled for hearing on September 10, 2024.
2. 49D09-2211-DN-008626 – Parties failed to appear for Status/Case Management Conference on December 5, 2022; On March 7, 2023, staff scheduled case for Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal for April 14, 2024.
3. 49D09-2303-DC-002446 – Parties failed to appear for Status/Case Management Conference on April 6, 2023; On October 11, 2023, staff scheduled case for Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal for December 15, 2023.
4. 49D09-2310-DN-008631 – Final Hearing on April 29, 2024; Hearing journal entry states case to be reset in 90 days; no future date scheduled.
5. 49D09-2401-DC-000983 – Petition for Dissolution of Marriage filed on January 31, 2024; no court date scheduled.
6. 49D09-2404-DC-004116 – Petition for Dissolution of Marriage filed on April 29, 2024; no court date scheduled.
7. 49D09-2405-JP-004963 – Status Conference on February 3, 2025; no hearing journal entry for events of Status Conference; On August 4, 2025, staff scheduled Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal for September 26, 2025.
8. 49D09-2406-JP-005524 – Petitioner appeared for Status Conference/Case Management Conference on June 18, 2024; On September 13, 2024, staff scheduled Status Conference for October 22, 2024.
9. 49D09-2410-JD-009967 – Case was dismissed during Dispositional Hearing on December 12, 2024; Order dismissing No Contact Order signed December 12, 2024 but was not entered until November 3, 2025.
10. 49D09-2504-JP-004044 – Parties appeared and requested hearing with judicial officer during Case Management Conference on May 27, 2025; On August 18, 2025, staff scheduled Status Conference for September 11, 2025.
11. 49D09-2505-DC-005168 – Parties appeared and requested final hearing during Case Management Conference on June 25, 2025; On July 30, 2025, staff scheduled Final Dissolution Hearing for September 8, 2025.
12. 49D09-2505-DN-004465 – Magistrate scheduled case for Status Conference on August 18, 2025 during Hearing on Motion for Provisional Order on June 26, 2025; staff did not input scheduled date until August 3, 2025.
13. 49D09-2505-JP-004351 – Petitioner appeared and requested hearing with judicial officer during Case Management Conference on May 27, 2025; On August 18, 2025, staff scheduled Status Conference for September 11, 2025.

14. 49D09-2505-JP-004352 – Petitioner appeared and requested hearing with judicial officer during Case Management Conference on May 27, 2025; On August 18, 2025, staff scheduled Status Conference for September 11, 2025.
15. 49D09-2505-JP-004365 – Parties appeared and requested final hearing during Case Management Conference on May 27, 2025; On August 18, 2025, staff scheduled Status Conference for September 30, 2025.
16. 49D09-2505-JP-004849 – Parties appeared and requested final hearing during Case Management Conference on June 17, 2025; On July 18, 2025, staff scheduled Status Conference for August 4, 2025.
17. 49D09-2506-DC-005465 – Petitioner appeared and requested final hearing during Case Management Conference on June 25, 2025; On August 11, 2025, staff scheduled Status Conference for September 8, 2025.
18. 49D09-2506-DN-005445 – Petitioner appeared for Case Management Conference on July 10, 2025; Petitioner filed proof of service on July 16, 2025; On August 11, 2025, Respondent signed order scheduling Final Hearing for December 9, 2025; staff entered order on August 13, 2025.
19. 49D09-2506-JP-005507 – Parties appeared and requested a hearing with a judicial officer during Case Management Conference on July 8, 2025; On August 20, 2025, staff scheduled Status Conference for September 11, 2025.

EXHIBIT E

1. 49D09-1502-JP-005906 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on April 14, 2025 or Hearing on Motion to Modify on July 2, 2025.
2. 49D09-1505-JP-015159 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on February 13, 2024, Hearing on Direct Contempt/Hearing on Motion to Modify on May 28, 2024, or Hearing on Motion for Rule to Show Cause on November 25, 2024.
3. 49D09-1810-JP-040443 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on October 23, 2024 or Pretrial Conference on August 12, 2025.
4. 49D09-2008-JP-029858 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on December 6, 2023, Status Conference on January 8, 2024, Status Conference on April 23, 2024, or Hearing on May 28, 2024.
5. 49D09-2105-JP-003765 – No entry to document the events for Hearing to Establish Paternity on January 31, 2023, Status Conference on May 16, 2023, or Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on August 22, 2025.
6. 49D09-2106-JP-004768 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on November 9, 2021.
7. 49D09-2110-DC-009049 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on February 28, 2022, Status Conference on March 21, 2022, Final Dissolution Hearing on August 22, 2022, or Status Conference on December 5, 2022.
8. 49D09-2110-DC-009238 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on December 13, 2021 or Status Conference on July 25, 2022.
9. 49D09-2111-DN-009811 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on March 31, 2022, Status Conference on May 16, 2022, Status Conference on February 13, 2023, Attorney Conference on August 23, 2023, Status Conference on November 20, 2023, Status Conference on March 12, 2024, Final Dissolution Hearing on May 3, 2024, Hearing on October 9, 2024, Pretrial Conference on February 18, 2026.
10. 49D09-2111-JC-010268 – No entry to document the events for Fact Finding Hearing on March 4, 2022.
11. 49D09-2111-JP-010239 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on February 22, 2022, Status Conference on February 27, 2023, Pretrial Conference on October 15, 2024, or Status Conference on November 4, 2024.
12. 49D09-2112-DC-010769 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on May 4, 2022, Status Conference on December 1, 2022, Status Conference on August 29, 2023, or Status Conference on August 5, 2024.
13. 49D09-2202-JP-001018 – No entry to document the events for Initial Hearing on March 1, 2022 or Status Conference on May 20, 2024.
14. 49D09-2202-JP-001118 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity on March 15, 2022, Hearing on June 7, 2022, Status Conference on August 2, 2022, Status Conference on January 18, 2023, Hearing on Motion to

Show Cause on November 2, 2023, or Hearing on Motion to Modify Custody on January 2, 2025.

15. 49D09-2202-JP-001119 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on June 7, 2022, Status Conference on August 2, 2022, Status Conference on January 18, 2023, or Hearing on Motion to Modify Custody on January 2, 2025.
16. 49D09-2206-DC-004782 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on September 22, 2022, Final Dissolution Hearing on November 9, 2022, Status Conference on September 3, 2024, or Hearing on January 22, 2025.
17. 49D09-2206-DN-004540 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on August 22, 2022, Status Conference on October 24, 2022, Final Dissolution Hearing on December 14, 2022, Final Dissolution Hearing on February 22, 2023, Final Dissolution Hearing on May 8, 2023, Status Conference on June 26, 2023, Status Conference on October 2, 2023, Pretrial Conference on November 16, 2023, Status Conference on January 12, 2024, Hearing on January 17, 2024, Status Conference on September 9, 2024, or Hearing on December 16, 2024.
18. 49D09-2208-JP-006489 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on October 11, 2022, Hearing on October 10, 2023, or Hearing on Motion for Rule to Show Cause on March 6, 2024.
19. 49D09-2210-DC-007812 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on December 19, 2022, Status Conference on March 6, 2023, Status Conference on August 21, 2023, Status Conference on December 18, 2023, Status Conference on February 5, 2024, Hearing on February 27, 2024, Status Conference on July 23, 2024, or Final Dissolution Hearing on November 6, 2024.
20. 49D09-2210-JP-008181 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on January 26, 2023, Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity/Initial Hearing/Hearing on Motion for Rule to Show Cause on June 13, 2023, or Review Hearing on July 31, 2023.
21. 49D09-2211-DC-008702 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on May 8, 2023, Status Conference on September 18, 2023, Status Conference on October 30, 2023, Status Conference on January 27, 2024, or Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on August 22, 2025.
22. 49D09-2211-DN-008626 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on April 14, 2023, Status Conference on August 7, 2023, Status Conference on November 6, 2023, or Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on December 15, 2023.
23. 49D09-2212-JP-008976 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on April 24, 2023, Status Conference on August 14, 2023, Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity on November 29, 2023, or Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity on February 7, 2024.
24. 49D09-2212-JP-009171 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on January 19, 2023, Hearing on Paternity to Establish Paternity on June 16, 2023, Status

- Conference on August 7, 2023, Hearing on Paternity to Establish Paternity on November 15, 2023, Status Conference on February 26, 2024, or Hearing on Paternity to Establish Paternity on June 25, 2024.
25. 49D09-2301-DN-000702 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on May 22, 2023, Status Conference on July 24, 2023, Final Dissolution Hearing on September 11, 2023, Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on October 27, 2023, or Status Conference on December 4, 2023.
 26. 49D09-2301-JP-000183 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on July 12, 2023 or Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on August 22, 2025.
 27. 49D09-2301-JP-000274 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on May 30, 2023, Status Conference on July 31, 2023, or Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on August 22, 2025.
 28. 49D09-2302-DC-000894 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on July 24, 2023, Hearing on Motion for Rule to Show Cause/Hearing on Motion to Modify Custody on September 3, 2024, or Status Conference on December 18, 2024.
 29. 49D09-2302-DC-001426 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on April 24, 2023, Status Conference on June 12, 2023, Status Conference on June 11, 2024, or Hearing on October 29, 2024.
 30. 49D09-2303-DC-002446 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on January 26, 2024, Status Conference on June 4, 2024, Status Conference on July 9, 2024, or Hearing on October 15, 2025.
 31. 49D09-2303-JP-002053 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on August 22, 2025.
 32. 49D09-2303-JP-002160 – No entry to document the events Hearing on Motion for Rule to Show Cause on October 17, 2024.
 33. 49D09-2304-DN-002935 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on May 15, 2023, Status Conference on June 12, 2023, Final Dissolution Hearing on July 24, 2023, Final Dissolution Hearing on September 25, 2023, Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on December 15, 2023, or Final Dissolution Hearing on October 21, 2024.
 34. 49D09-2304-JP-002774 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on May 5, 2023, Status Conference on October 2, 2023, or Status Conference on November 6, 2023.
 35. 49D09-2304-JP-002775 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on May 5, 2023, Status Conference on October 2, 2023, or Status Conference on November 6, 2023.
 36. 49D09-2304-JP-003032 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on May 15, 2023, Status Conference on July 10, 2023, Status Conference on September 11, 2023, or Status Conference on January 29, 2024.

37. 49D09-2305-DN-003520 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on July 10, 2023.
38. 49D09-2305-DN-004340 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on August 14, 2023, Status Conference on September 18, 2023, Status Conference on November 6, 2023, or Final Dissolution Hearing on May 22, 2024.
39. 49D09-2305-JC-004242 – No entry to document the events for Pretrial Conference on June 9, 2023 or Review Hearing on November 3, 2023.
40. 49D09-2305-JP-003617 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity on May 31, 2023, Status Conference on July 6, 2023, or Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on August 22, 2025.
41. 49D09-2305-JP-003995 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on October 16, 2023, Status Conference on November 6, 2023, Status Conference on January 29, 2024, Status Conference on March 30, 2024, Status Conference on May 20, 2024, or Pretrial Conference on August 25, 2025.
42. 49D09-2305-JT-004304 – No entry to document the events for Pretrial Conference on October 19, 2023 or Pretrial Conference/Bench Trial on April 26, 2024.
43. 49D09-2306-DC-005061 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on August 7, 2023, Final Dissolution Hearing on November 1, 2023, Status Conference on June 10, 2024, or Status Conference on August 26, 2024.
44. 49D09-2306-JP-004858 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on July 31, 2023.
45. 49D09-2307-DC-006390 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on September 25, 2023, Status Conference on November 6, 2023, Status Conference on January 8, 2024, or Status Conference on April 8, 2024.
46. 49D09-2307-JP-005835 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on October 3, 2023 or Final Dissolution Hearing on March 6, 2024.
47. 49D09-2307-JP-005880 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on November 6, 2023, Status Conference on January 8, 2024, Status Conference on March 18, 2024, Status Conference on April 22, 2024, or Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on August 22, 2025.
48. 49D09-2308-DN-006954 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on September 27, 2023, Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on January 4, 2024, Status Conference on March 11, 2024, Status Conference on May 13, 2024, Status Conference on July 2, 2024, Status Conference on September 16, 2024, or Status Conference on November 1, 2024.
49. 49D09-2308-DN-007276 – No entry to document the events for Final Dissolution Hearing on December 18, 2023, Status Conference on August 26, 2024, or Final Dissolution Hearing on November 25, 2024.
50. 49D09-2308-JP-006602 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on June 3, 2024 or Status Conference on July 18, 2024.

51. 49D09-2308-JP-007201 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on September 27, 2023, Status Conference on February 5, 2024, or Status Conference on July 11, 2025.
52. 49D09-2310-JD-009069 – No entry to document the events for Attorney Conference on November 2, 2023, Attorney Conference on November 16, 2023, Pretrial Conference on April 25, 2024, or Pretrial Conference on June 20, 2024.
53. 49D09-2312-JP-010524 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on December 18, 2023, Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity on January 12, 2024, Status Conference on February 26, 2024, Status Conference on March 25, 2024, Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity/Hearing on Motion for Rule to Show Cause on August 14, 2024, Review Hearing on January 7, 2025, or Review Hearing on April 14, 2025.
54. 49D09-2312-JP-010879 – No entry to document the events for Initial Hearing on January 29, 2024, Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity on March 19, 2024, Hearing on June 10, 2024, or Pretrial Conference on August 12, 2025.
55. 49D09-2401-DC-000599 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on March 19, 2024 or Attorney Conference on February 12, 2025.
56. 49D09-2401-JP-000845 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on February 26, 2024.
57. 49D09-2401-JP-000960 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on August 27, 2024.
58. 49D09-2402-DC-001802 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference September 5, 2024 or Status Conference on October 10, 2024.
59. 49D09-2403-DN-002032 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on April 15, 2024, Status Conference on May 22, 2024, Status Conference on November 13, 2024, Status Conference on January 28, 2025, or Status Conference on March 3, 2025.
60. 49D09-2403-JP-002007 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on July 1, 2024, Status Conference on November 4, 2024, or Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity on June 24, 2025.
61. 49D09-2403-JP-002394 – No entry to document the events for Initial Hearing on June 3, 2024, Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity on September 16, 2024, or Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity on January 22, 2025.
62. 49D09-2403-JP-002868 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on April 11, 2024, Status Conference on May 23, 2024, Status Conference on June 10, 2024, Status Conference on November 1, 2024, Status Conference on March 25, 2025, Status Conference on October 10, 2025, or Status Conference on November 6, 2025.
63. 49D09-2404-DC-003195 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on July 1, 2024, Status Conference on September 16, 2024, or Final Dissolution Hearing on November 18, 2024.

64. 49D09-2405-DC-004344 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on July 26, 2024, Status Conference on September 12, 2024, Case Management Conference on December 19, 2024, Final Dissolution Hearing on January 13, 2025, or Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on June 27, 2025.
65. 49D09-2405-DC-005152 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on August 5, 2024.
66. 49D09-2405-JP-004461 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on June 3, 2024.
67. 49D09-2405-JP-004670 – No entry to document the events for Initial Hearing on July 24, 2024.
68. 49D09-2405-JP-004963 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on November 4, 2024, Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on November 22, 2024, or Status Conference on February 3, 2025.
69. 49D09-2405-JP-004964 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on August 26, 2024 or Status Conference on February 3, 2025.
70. 49D09-2406-JP-005524 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on October 22, 2024.
71. 49D09-2406-JP-005624 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on July 11, 2024.
72. 49D09-2406-JP-005667 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on July 11, 2024.
73. 49D09-2406-JP-006223 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on October 10, 2024.
74. 49D09-2406-JP-006317 – No entry to document the events for Initial Hearing on October 2, 2024, Hearing on Petition to Establish Paternity on January 27, 2025, or Pretrial Conference on August 19, 2025.
75. 49D09-2407-DN-007323 – No entry to document the events for Final Dissolution Hearing on December 23, 2024 or Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on September 26, 2025.
76. 49D09-2407-JP-006520 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on February 17, 2026 or Status Conference on March 11, 2026.
77. 49D09-2409-JP-008758 – No entry to document the events for Case Management Conference on November 19, 2024 or Status Conference on February 18, 2025.
78. 49D09-2409-JP-008760 – No entry to document the events for Case Management Conference on November 19, 2024 or Status Conference on February 18, 2025.
79. 49D09-2409-JP-008761 – No entry to document the events for Case Management Conference on November 19, 2024 or Status Conference on February 18, 2025.
80. 49D09-2409-JP-009343 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on March 3, 2025, Status Conference on April 9, 2025, or Status Conference on June 25, 2025.

81. 49D09-2411-DC-011314 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on January 27, 2025 or Status Conference on March 3, 2025.
82. 49D09-2411-JP-010558 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on December 4, 2024 or Status Conference on March 3, 2025.
83. 49D09-2411-JP-010905 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on January 28, 2025, Status Conference on March 20, 2025, or Status Conference on October 10, 2025.
84. 49D09-2412-DC-012132 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on March 3, 2025.
85. 49D09-2501-JP-000137 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on May 28, 2025.
86. 49D09-2501-JP-000535 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on April 28, 2025 or Status Conference on December 17, 2025.
87. 49D09-2502-JP-001399 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on April 14, 2025 or Status Conference on May 12, 2025.
88. 49D09-2503-JP-002149 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on May 12, 2025 or Status Conference on August 19, 2025.
89. 49D09-2503-JP-002152 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on May 12, 2025 or Status Conference on August 19, 2025.
90. 49D09-2503-JP-002644 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on September 12, 2025.
91. 49D09-2504-DC-003380 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on May 7, 2025 or Status Conference on October 17, 2025.
92. 49D09-2504-JP-004044 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on September 11, 2025, Status Conference on January 21, 2026, or Status Conference on July 7, 2026.
93. 49D09-2505-DC-005166 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on October 10, 2025.
94. 49D09-2505-DN-004331 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on August 12, 2025 or Status Conference on October 20, 2025.
95. 49D09-2505-DN-004702 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on August 4, 2025.
96. 49D09-2505-DN-005072 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on December 15, 2025 or Status Conference on January 13, 2026.
97. 49D09-2505-DN-005337 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on September 15, 2025.
98. 49D09-2505-JP-004351 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on October 24, 2025.
99. 49D09-2505-JP-004352 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on October 24, 2025.

100. 49D09-2505-JP-004838 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on October 24, 2025.
101. 49D09-2505-JP-004841 – No entry to document the events for Hearing on Motion for 41(E) Dismissal on October 24, 2025.
102. 49D09-2506-DN-005564 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on October 7, 2025 or Status Conference on November 6, 2025.
103. 49D09-2506-JP-005507 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on September 11, 2025.
104. 49D09-2506-JP-006029 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on July 11, 2025.
105. 49D09-2506-JP-006166 – No entry to document the events for Status Conference on September 15, 2025.