

Randolph County Commissioners

May 17, 2021

The Randolph County Commissioners met at their regular meeting at 9:00AM in the Commissioners and Council Room in the Courthouse with the following members present: Board President Michael Wickersham, Tom Chalfant and Gary Girton. Also present was Randolph County Auditor Laura J Martin, Sheriff Art Moystner and County Attorney Meeks Cockerill.

Michael Wickersham, President presided over the meeting.

Pledge of Allegiance

Laura Martin, Introduction of new employee

Laura asked Jarod to stand. This is Jarod Grasse and he is our new IT/GIS Administrator.

Meeks – Opening Highway bids for Federal aid project

Mike said these bids are for our federal aid projects for bridge 32 and 85.

Meeks said this one is from Fishbeck.

Mike said is it for both bridges?

Meeks said it looks like both bridges, bridge 32 and 32. It looks like it is just for bridge 32. The second one is from SJCA for bridge 85 and SJCA for bridge 32. We usually just take these under advisement and let the Highway Department look at them.

Mike said to Mike Haffner, we are going to take these bids under advisement, don't we have a state form to fill out in regards to these?

Mike Haffner said yes, a check sheet. I will get those to you.

Mike said we will take these under advisement, you will get back to us with a check sheets and then we will determine at our next meeting which engineering firm is awarded the contract.

Mike Haffner – INDOT contract for bridge 110

Mike said this is the Community Crossings?

Mike Haffner said yes, this is the Community Crossings, we were awarded the bridge side but not the paving side. \$197,657.85. This is basically just a deck replacement and recondition.

Mike said whose signature does it call for?

Mike Haffner said the very last page, the original contract, I was on the phone with Kim Bodel this morning. It was missing the signature page so she sent me a signature page.

Mike said there is a signature page on page 10 that calls for one signature of the county and then page 12 calls for...

Mike Haffner said I didn't know how many signatures we wanted, so if we go with the original one you would just need their permission to have you sign it alone or we can sign.

Laura said some of them have required all three signatures.

Mike Haffner said that is why I said we were missing the signature page for everybody and so she sent it.

Mike said I would entertain a motion to authorize me to sign page 10 and then all three of us sign the supplemental signature page.

Tom made a motion to approve the contract and allow Mike Wickersham to sign page 10. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Mike asked Mike Haffner do you have anything else for us this morning?

Mike Haffner said I don't, I will shoot you guys an email today or tomorrow as a progress report.

Mike said I think we all got a copy of the Milestone budgets for the windfarms.

Mike Haffner said I was not able to make the last meeting but I believe Tom was there.

Tom said Milestone's budget is not going to fly. It's not going to work for EDPR. Don Peterman, Braden Shore and I, we drove some roads and talked about it and so he's trying to get it cheaper. He says our agreement doesn't call for all new roads, that this bid calls for. He's looking for something less than that. He is looking with a local contractor to possibly do some chip and seal on roads. We suggested he should pave them all.

Gary said what is our projected date of starting on these roads? Did we even get close to a date?

Mike Haffner said they are still claiming that they will be done with their end of it around July 1st. I can't do any work because this is a big project and it has to go out to bid.

Mike said can we get them to agree to part of the bid and leave the rest of the bid up for negotiation?

Tom said they are willing to do anything, they want to get it settled and they talked about possibly doing that if we have a local contractor they would hire to do it, if we could agree on a plan then they could let them bid without advertising and all those things. They are working on trying to get local contractors.

Mike said that way we can get it started.

Tom said right, they intend to get the roads fixed this year.

Laura Martin, Chris Burton – Recommendation from Insurance Committee

Laura said I sent you all an email that listed a summary based on the information that the insurance committee went over. The insurance committee is recommending staying with the current broker and switching to United Health Care and offering the employees a choice of HSA or a PPO. Chris is here and he can talk more on that if you would like. Or if you have questions.

Chris said we really appreciate the decision to stay with us, we are very grateful.

Mike said we haven't made that decision yet, it is just a recommendation at this point.

Chris said it has been quite a process with some of the larger claims and Anthem has been a really good partner for us for several years. This year they just weren't giving us the option we needed. I have Scott Thompson here from United Health Care to answer any questions that I can't on their end of it. We came up with a really good solution, these guys do a great job, we are excited to partner with them on this and if you look inside the booklet, but if you go to the second tab and the second sheet has a summary of the renewal. In a nut shell we have the Anthem current renewal which ended up being about a 16% increase. Looking at expected cost and then if you look at United Health Care, we are going to use Sunlife as a reinsurer on the self-funded platform and as Laura mentioned we are going to offer two plans. The HSA and then we also have a \$3000.00 deductible PPO plan that has an office copay, it has a prescription drug card. Last year when we went to the HSA only, some employees didn't like the fact that they didn't have an office copay benefit anymore, the prescription drug card. You get that back with this. We got a pretty good claim discount on that PPO plan, the increase on the HSA is about 6 1/2 % and it gets the cost down to about minus 2% with the PPO plan. We don't know how that enrollment is going to go, if everybody enrolled in the PPO plan it is going to be less expensive. It probably won't be that way. If it's a 50/50 split, we think the cost is going to be about where they're at this past year as far as expected cost. We will pick up about \$300,000.00 in savings, changing the pharmacy benefit manager from Anthem to True Scripts and that's a big savings with these guys which really helped out. We do have a large RX claimant that we are going to put on a separate program with True Scripts and get that prescription off the plan because it has been quite expensive approaching \$1 million. The stop loss deductible is still \$60,000.00, we have had that for several years on large claims but there are two individuals that we do have a higher specific on, I accounted for those in your spreadsheet, we have a \$125,000.00 specific on one individual and \$150,000.00 on the two, that is about \$155,000.00 above that \$60,000.00 of extra liability, I accounted for that in this spreadsheet. The nice thing about Sunlife, United Health Care as far as the stop loss goes, that's called lasering when you have a higher specific deductible on certain individuals, they will not do that moving forward. It is just on these current three individuals, moving forward it is a non-laser contract which is a big reason why we recommended Sunlife to you because Anthem was not willing to offer that. Next year if we have a large claim situation come up, we could have another laser and we won't have that here with these guys. All that being said I think we have come a long way. It has been quite a process but

I think the employees will like having the choice of the two plans, I still feel like the HSA has a less out of pocket and will probably be beneficial for a lot but then some employees like that office copay and like that prescription drug card. I was very pleased to get the renewal close to no change from the prior year.

Mike said it is really the prescription drug program that makes it that way?

Chris said it helps us a lot.

Mike said if I'm correct it's about 4.9% expected increase before the drug savings. Is this based on the employer's HSA contribution remaining the same to each employee.

Chris said I put that at the bottom but that would be an additional cost. It was about \$150,000.00 last year in HSA contributions to the employees.

Mike said are you still thinking a self-funded plan is our best option?

Chris said right now it is really the only option we have. We asked for fully insured and we just couldn't get there to make it competitive because no matter what the funding platform is they're going to base it on your claims, so if it's fully insured or self-funded, Scott can contest to this, fully insured is just not competitive. Not to say we can't get there at some point and we probably can once we have a really great claim year, say now's the time let's look at it. The one thing with a fully insured plan too is that you would have a fully insured premium that would start let's say on July 1 and you would still have a claim runout from the self-funded plan so you almost get double dipped in the beginning so that's why you have to be cautious on when we make that change, this year was not the year to do it.

Scott said unfortunately with the current large claim activity that we have we could not offer anything competitive on a fully insured basis. Really from a fundamental perspective the claims are the claims whether we are in a self-funded plan or the fully insured and there is just an additional administrative and taxes that are on top of that fully insured fee that would be built into it.

Mike said there is more balance in the insurance cost throughout the year.

Chris said we have been fortunate because I did a study, it's in here on tab 1, we've done a study on seven years that shows your average increase over this time has been about 6%. We've been able to keep claims right at the expected level. I can tell you we've had clients that we have not been able to do that with. The saving grace for you guys has been that \$60,000.00 specific because that is lower than they would like you to have but it being capped at \$60,000.00 has been a benefit to the county big time. We want to keep that as long as we can. Anthem was going to really try and force us to move that up this year. The fixed cost side for that \$60,000.00 specific was a lot less with Sunlife than it was with Anthem.

Mike said they wanted to take it to \$90,000.00 last year.

Chris said they did. With all that, we've had some high claim activity but we have been able to keep those costs pretty consistent. There is a section in the book about our medical team, we have some stats on improving the health, if its blood pressure, heart issues, it's significantly reduced risk factors for a number of people. I know that if we wouldn't have a mobile unit team coming here all these years, we'd have a lot more, large claims than we have. I know this last year we found a colon cancer on somebody early on. That's great that we find those kinds of things early.

Mike asked are these percentages on your management program, are they for Randolph County?

Chris said yes, they are. We like the fact that this helps reduce claim costs, what makes us feel the best is we've really uncovered these unknown medical conditions and helping out individuals and families greatly. We've done that in quite a lot of cases here in Randolph County and I can tell you the medical team love your employees. It has been a good match.

Mike said if we were to take the recommendation and move to United Health Care what affect will the employee's see from the change?

Chris said they will not see any change in benefits on the HSA side, they are going to have that offering on the PPO side, as far as the network, they're not going to see, the United Health Care network and the Anthem network are pretty much identical.

Scott said from a network access perspective, we have every hospital within the state of Indiana is in network. We are actually the largest network nationally and from a primary, specialty care access perspective, we are going to be right in line, if not maybe a few more providers in network than even what Anthem has. Not to say it's going to be 100% match, we'll have some in our network that are not in Anthem and vice versa but overall access will be where you would expect it to be. We have a local team that actually contracts with the providers here, so if there is member that has a provider that happens to be out of network, we can work directly with them to try and contract to get that provider in network with our local team.

Chris said I have not seen any disruption, they have Reid and Ball, so any doctor associated with those hospitals typically are in United Health Care.

Tom asked what about Greenville, Ohio?

Chris said yes. I have been doing this for a few years and you been going through a bit of a claim storm in some cases. You want to be in a big ship and that is either Anthem or United Health Care. Being with an independent third-party administrator somewhere, it is just a little risky, so that is why when I talked to the insurance committee, we are going to put our eggs in the basket of Anthem or United Health Care, we will see who comes out the best and that's why we did what we did. The network is a big part of that. Their discounts are similar to Anthem as well.

Laura said when I put out the information for the quotes, I did specifically ask for a fully insured quote and nobody gave that to us.

Mike asked does anybody else from the insurance committee have anything they want to share?

Gary said I think it is a good fit, we have been pleased with Chris's efforts over the years and when we first looked at our situation some months ago it was bad. To get to this point, I think we have been blessed. Talking about the mobile unit, I think this is a great thing, when we first started this, the first time you came here, we had very few people. I think Duane figures at that time was like 10 or 15% but now I think we are up there and people really appreciate it. In fact, I think they look forward to this mobile unit coming through. I think that is a great effort.

Mike asked Art, Duane, Max from a committee perspective do you have anything to share?

Max said I have appreciated Chris and his efforts over the years and I was somewhat disappointed with the quotes that we received were not firm. In many cases didn't include all the benefits that we are interested in. I feel very comfortable to say that this was the best that we had in front of us.

Mike asked anyone else from the committee?

Meeks said I have a question. I am going to walk out of here and Paula is going to walk out of here and be inundated of questions of what is going to change. So, what do I tell the employee, first of as to the HSA, to what they have now?

Chris said the benefit is going to be exactly the same.

Meeks said it will be up to the Commissioner's as to the premium they are putting in. So, when they ask about the PPO from two years ago, is that going to be similar to what we had two years ago?

Chris said it will be, it's higher deductible. The office copay and the drug card will be similar. We will have employee meetings again too. I think they will be pleased and I think they will like having a choice.

Meeks said that is absolutely true.

Chris said Sunlife we save like 10% on dental and vision and life insurance from Anthem too. Actually, the benefits were exactly the same on the dental and the vision benefits were a little bit better.

Mike said I don't have any more questions.

Gary made a motion to approve the health insurance plan as presented. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Meeks said when will the contract be coming?

Scott said it will be closer to the effective date. We go through a very detailed installation process and until we get through that we can't finalize everything with a contract.

Duane Petry – New Ambulance funding – Council approved windfarm \$192,247.00, Rooney and Company and Equipment purchase

Mike said Council at their last meeting approved using windfarm for a new ambulance purchase. Our decision is to decide whether to use windfarm to buy the new ambulance.

Tom said what choice do we have?

Laura said the Council did say that they voted to pay for it out of windfarm but if able it would be reimbursed out of the American Rescue Fund. We have received a little more guidance on that but I have not heard back from Jason.

Mike said I didn't see ambulance on there, I did see storm water drainage. That might cover South Street. We do need a new ambulance, we have put it off for a couple of year now.

Gary said I think we need to move forward, although I hesitate about spending windfarm regularly. I will make a motion to go along with council on spending windfarm funding for the new ambulance. We have put it off for two or three years and that is too long.

Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Duane said Rooney and Company wants to know if we want to apply for the next round and she was thinking we were going to be in the range of about \$9,000.00 on this next round and then they have a 25% contingency fee on that.

Mike said it worked out pretty well for us last time didn't it?

Duane said yes, anytime we get money, I think it works out pretty well.

Mike said I don't know why we wouldn't want to go with them again. Are you recommending them?

Duane said yes.

Gary made a motion to take Duane's recommendation and move forward with Rooney and Company. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Gary said what kind of delivery date do you have on that, do you know?

Duane said it is probably three months after they get the order. Minimum of three months.

Mike said you had a request for equipment purchase.

Duane said if there is funds available in the American Rescue Plan, we would like to get the Lucas device, which takes care of chest compressions in a cardiac arrest and then that frees up one or two people to help take care of other things that need to be done on a cardiac arrest. I am having trouble getting a quote but I think they are around \$12 or \$13,000.00.

Tom said are there any type of maintenance cost on them? How long do they last before you have to do it again?

Duane said I really have no idea. I think the only thing would be battery replacement on them, they have maintenance agreements on all their equipment. In fact, I am trying to get her to give me a price on a maintenance agreement on the power cots that we have because when they came in and checked them, he said one of them was probably going to have to be rebuilt in the near future. We have had them for about a year and we haven't had to yet, but they said it's about \$3000,00 to rebuild one.

Tom asked were those used?

Duane said yes, we paid about \$4,000.00 a piece for them and new they are \$12,500.00. It's about \$1200.00 for the maintenance agreement and that covers batteries, the trip fee and any maintenance on them. She is not good at getting stuff returned to me.

Tom said is that your only source?

Duane said yes.

Mike said this email that you sent out and I assume when you say she, your taking about Amanda?

Duane said yes.

Mike said she is suggesting we use the future funds we are expecting to get. I think this chest compression equipment would qualify for the existing Cares Act money we have in the general fund now. If you can get a price out of her.

Duane said they've got refurbished also, but she said they don't stay very long and I haven't had a chance to look to see if there are any other suppliers where we could get a refurbished one to compare prices.

Mike said obviously we can't approve the purchase this morning because we don't even have a purchase order but I would think this would be something we would want to pursue. I'm not sure but I would think your powered cots would qualify for future funding expenses as well. You might want to see what the cost of repair on those used ones is going to be compared to a new one.

Duane said I will see if I can get a response out of her.

Mike asked do you guys agree with that?

Gary said I agree 100%, I think that is the way to go. It would be nice if we could get some information to work with.

Mike asked Duane do you have anything else for us today?

Duane said I have the contractual allowance and bad debt approvals for the fourth quarter of 2020 and the first quarter 2021. The contractual allowance for the fourth quarter was \$49,529.00, the bad debt was \$2467.60. The first quarter contractual allowance was \$65,052.77 and bad debt was \$9090.93.

Mike said we need to approve those.

Tom made a motion to approve. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Duane said the other thing was the complaint from a patient that wasn't able to get the insurance information, we had the wrong address for them and then it went to collections. Here are the contacts that the billing company had with them. I think they contacted them three times before, you got a year to file it and then they talked to him about three times before the year was up and they never got the billing company the insurance information.

Mike said you are recommending that it shouldn't be forgiven?

Duane said yes.

Tom said the main problem happened when Ball Hospital gave you an incorrect address?

Duane said we get a face sheet from the hospital that has their address, all their demographics and the insurance information. They had moved from the time Ball's information was and when we picked him up. The billing company tried several times to contact the insurance carrier and they got the run around from the insurance carrier, they were not ever able to get a return call from them or talk to a live person.

Mike asked does anyone have any questions of Duane? Do you want to take any action on this?

Gary said I don't see any reason to forgive it. I think there were options there for them to handle it, they knew they had the expense and they didn't move forward to address it. Forwarding, it is almost required to have a forwarding address.

Tom said Ball Hospital had an address that was 14 years old.

Mike said a forwarding address wouldn't have worked but it seems like they contacted them several times.

Tom said originally it was our billing problem. Which somehow, I would like to figure out how not to rely on Ball Hospital to give us a correct address because they've got no skin in the game. If they don't get it right there is no consequence to them.

Mike said unless there is a motion to act, I will go ahead and move down the agenda.

Other Business:

Council – Wind/Solar funding

Dave Lenkensdofer said at our last County Council meeting we discussed our wind and solar funding and we agreed to and to recommend to the County Commissioner's that we designate 75% of the windfarm monies to county infrastructure needs and those county infrastructure needs are identified as the following, bridges, roads and drainage and those would be the three items that we are recommending to the Commissioner's to approve. One other item that we had is that rainy day is around \$1.3 million and probably should be up around \$3 million, we can address that at a different time but if we can just put so much back every year toward these infrastructures, I think it would help the county needs out tremendously. I think Tom, Ed and I are the only three here that was here when the windfarm started years ago and Tom was really the guy behind the whole windfarm and I appreciate what he has done because it has brought some much-needed county funding. This is just on the table a recommendation that we approved at our Council meeting 7-0. Wasn't it Laura?

Laura said yes, you approved 75% to infrastructure and 25% to special projects.

Dave said right.

Mike said what is the balance of windfarm after we take out the \$200,000.00 for an ambulance?

Angela said all I have is the cash today at \$1.5 million. However, I do not have the appropriations with me that are already committed to windfarm.

Mike said that would be the SJCA engineering bill of \$275,000 basically, so there is about \$1 million. Did you guys vote to spend \$750,000.00 on bridges and highways now?

Dave said we did not assign any dollar amounts to anything, just the 75% only.

Mike said so, then it is going to be kind of a philosophy that we want to spend 75% to roads and bridges and 25% on special projects.

Dave said it is actually a dedication.

Mike said philosophically I am not opposed to spending windfarm money on infrastructure. I think broadband should be covered by the American Rescue funds from the federal government because it is one of the items well defined in there as to what you can spend it on. I would hate for this to get in the way of a tremendous special project, let's just say we have \$1 million in the bank and we have a special project that is going to require \$300,000.00 and we can only spend \$250,000.00. I would hate for this philosophy to get in that way. I'm not hearing you say it

would, I'm just hearing you say as a philosophically we'd like to spend $\frac{3}{4}$'s of the windfarm money on roads and bridges and $\frac{1}{4}$ on the rest of the projects in the county. But that is not set in stone because each project has to weigh its own merits and have to come before both boards. Is that a fair assessment of, I wasn't at the Council meeting.

Dave said you could look at it like you are saying, what I was trying to do is to dedicate it and let's say you had \$250,000.00 left over in windfarm for special projects, you also still have your EDIT funds of three to five hundred thousand. So that special project that might come along, you might be able to still fund that. What my beliefs are is that with the recent bridge estimates that are in need right now are up around or over \$20 million. I'm sure the roads are in the same position of over \$20 million to bring them up and then drainage who knows where that is exactly. What I am trying to do is that we as a county went out and negotiated with the windfarms, years ago to bring those dollars in. I think at that time, correct me if I'm wrong Tom, we were trying to dedicate that back to roads and bridges at that time. I would just like to see it dedicated, 75% to that.

Mike said my problem with that Dave is that it can't be spent until the project comes forward and then you vote on that project. I am not opposed to that philosophy, that it be predominantly used for infrastructure.

Tom made a motion to adopt the Council's position.

Gary said personally I don't want to set percentage because what Mike was saying, if we get a project, I think we need to address and we will because it has to be voted on by the Commissioner's separate from the Council and so each project has to be approved by each group with the majority of each agreeing to it. I am not in favor of setting a percentage, I am more in favor of working on the roads and bridges but I'm also in favor of a total program for the county. In my opinion we could have the best roads and bridges in the county but if we don't have other things in the county that are needed to make it attractive and move forward then we have erred. I understand that your 75% is a philosophy and I don't disagree that in the long run it would be that but, in my opinion, we would have to address the whole picture.

Tom said I don't disagree Gary, but when people come to a community and want to live and invest, if you don't take care of what you got, they're not interested in being, if you're not a good steward of what you've have they don't want to come here.

Gary said did I say that?

Tom said in a way you did.

Gary said I did not say that at all.

Tom said you said if we have perfect roads, the best in the country.

Gary said I said we have to look at the whole picture.

Tom said you can't have one without the other.

Mike said I don't see where establishing a 75%, 25% rule, I don't see where that means a whole lot if we come back in two months and decided to spend 30% out of windfarm funds for a special project, it can still be done, it can be over ridden, it's going to change every time. I am not opposed to the philosophy that the predominant money from windfarm, and I am not opposed to the idea that our roads and bridges need money. I am not opposed to spending the money on our roads and bridges, I'm just not sure that it makes sense to establish a 75%, 25% rule at this time.

Tom said it would be a lot easier to back up if we don't have a philosophy in place to go with other projects.

Mike said we change our mind all the time.

Dave said it has been an idea of mine for the last three or four years trying to dedicate those monies because of the need and the need is growing every year.

Mike said I think it is time to do that and, in a sense, we have funded a lot of special projects out of windfarm, we've helped Winchester, we've helped Union City, Parker, Farmland, Ridgeville, Lynn, I'm not sure we've helped Modoc or Losantville or Saratoga. We've spent money on special projects and we've spent money on infrastructure. I think what we did for drains was to create, increase the value of drain improvement fund which allows us to continue to improve drains along the way and Ed would tell you that we need more money in there. I don't disagree with your dedication but I think it would have had a lot more meaning if you would have said we are going to take \$500,000.00 and put in the drainage improvement fund and tested us to do that today because we had about \$1 million left, lets put it in there and let's get the drains fixed or the bridge fund.

Dave said what you are saying is dedicate dollars instead of percentage?

Mike said yes.

Dave said we can come back to do that.

Mike said I think Ed can get you a list of projects that need money, Mike can get you a list of projects that need money, Ceann can get you a list of projects that need money. It's a matter of let's look at the projects and let our philosophy determine how we vote there.

Dave said it could be almost the same difference.

Mike said I agree, I don't think it's a bad percentage, I just think making the decision that we are going to do that today is subject to change and it would be more difficult to change our mind but in May we vote to spend 75% but in June we only spend 60% but until you have the project in front of you, I don't think you can make a difference.

Dave said that is all I have.

Mike said there is a motion on the floor, does anyone want to second that?

Bob McCoy

Bob said we did receive a million-dollar community crossings grant to rebuild the gaslight addition basically from the ground up. The sewage, storm water, streets, sidewalks, also we are also doing the culvert bridge on Short Street that's in bad shape.

Art Moystner, Randolph County Sheriff – Resolution 2021-07

Art said I do have one of the new tasers, I will hang around after the meeting, if anyone is interested in seeing it. We are still waiting to get confirmation from the state, I keep hearing that this is a reimbursable grant that they have. I would like to see that in writing before I come to you guys to move forward. I am going to check with the Mayor after the meeting.

Bob said I haven't seen anything.

Art said that is what I am waiting on with that part of it. Other than that, I don't have anything new unless you guys have something for me.

Mike said we have Resolution 2021-07 which authorizes to declare personal property as surplus for disposal and Exhibit A is a 1990 Ford E350.

Art said that is what we used for our tactical team and we have a military surplus vehicle that we have gotten a hold of that we are using for that now. That one was having some mechanical issues that we seem to be putting some money in. We are just going to get rid of it.

RESOLUTION 2021-07

A RESOLUTION TO DECLARE CERTAIN PERSONAL PROPERTY OF
RANDOLPH COUNTY, INDIANA,
AS UNNEEDED, AND
TO BE CONSIDERED SURPLUS PROPERTY FOR DISPOSAL

WHEREAS, the Randolph County Board of Commissioners ("Board")
is empowered to declare unneeded property to be surplus property; and

WHEREAS, the Board may authorize the disposal of surplus property pursuant to
IC 5-22-22 *et seq.*;

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Board that:

1. Pursuant to IC 5-22-22-8, the items listed on Exhibit "A", attached hereto,
shall be considered to be surplus and worthless property ("Property") for purposes of disposal.

2. The value of the property is less than the estimated costs of the sale and transportation of the property.

3. The Property may be sold at public or private sale, and the Board shall allow the Sheriff's department to sell or otherwise dispose of the Property.

4. Proceeds from the sale of the items set forth in Exhibit "A", shall be placed in the fund from which the item was purchased. If no fund can be identified for a particular item, the proceeds shall be placed in the General Fund.

5. If the Property fails to sell at public or private sale it may be demolished or scrapped, or, if hazardous, be disposed of for recycling.

6. The Property may be removed from the Randolph County Indiana fixed asset inventory.

1990 Ford E350
VIN #1FDKE30M0LHB87420

EXHIBIT "A"

Tom made a motion to approve Resolution 2021-07. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Gary asked what is the availability of the cameras and tasers?

Art said the only thing they have told me is with any of the in-car units if we were to go with the in-car cameras. I talked to them two weeks ago and the earliest install date they could give us would be October. I think the tasers and the body cameras come quickly.

Bob said they told us it would be at least two months and we them the next week, we haven't got the bill yet.

Art said that was for body cameras, Winchester didn't get the whole package.

Bob said I will tell you the body cameras from what they were using to what they are, it's like night and day. It is almost like a 3D view, it's a very wide view, the sound is unreal.

Art said the system is quite interesting once we get it figured out I think because we will be able to share with the Prosecutor, the Prosecutor will be able to send it to the defense attorney so we don't have to burn everything onto a disc anymore. There are a lot of advantages to it. All the cameras sink together, if you have more than one on scene, there is some technology with it, it is a remarkable system.

Mike said I do have one other thing, it's the Incarcerated Medicaid contract. Where are we at on that?

Meeks said we talked about it.

Art said we don't see any issue with signing it. We think it is something moving forward that, prior to that agreement, the county would pay that entire cost. I think this give us at least half of it back.

Mike asked do you have a copy of that?

Art said I have the availability of a copy, I don't have one with me.

Mike said do we want to approve that contract this morning and sign it later.

Tom made a motion to approve the Incarcerated Medicaid agreement. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Regular Claims \$363,368.22

Tom made a motion to approve the regular claims as presented. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Payroll Claims \$ 220,277.13

Gary made a motion to approve payroll claims as presented. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

MPX Claim \$12,308.05

Mike said this is the retainage just for the steel on the communication towers. It has been approved by the architect.

Gary made a motion to approve the MPX claim as presented. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Gary asked has Chris said anything about the erection of this? The last Gary Bates and Todd told me they were going to erect them the first of May.

Mike said I don't think the highway has been erected yet.

Tom said they are waiting on a crane supposedly.

Gary said they are waiting until they get them all three done before they bring the crane in. I understand that but originally, they said they were going to do it in April, then they moved it to the first of May. I guess it doesn't make any difference if we didn't get the microwave approval. I their going to have different equipment to go on it.

Mike said I never did hear back as to what our cost is that we have invested in the 4.9 gigahertz and what the new cost would be for the 7,8 or 9 gigahertz that they are going to pursue.

Gary said what concerns me is if it is going to be as good. I haven't gotten an answer on that.

Mike said it is going to be heavier. We do know that. I would suspect that the bandwidth, the license would be the same. It's just whose using it and who's not.

Dispatch Products \$2,681.35

Mike said the Dispatch Products claim is for retainage as well. This is the materials for the dispatch. This too has been signed by the architect and is complete.

Gary said this completes their total work with us so I am a little concerned about paying the retainage if we everything is not absolutely how we want it to be and how's it going to be.

Mike said do we want to table this and have Chris advise us?

Gary said I think so. I thought maybe Chris would be here to tell us.

Mike said we will ask Chris to let us know about that one.

Rex Collins Electric \$70,720.59

Mike said Rex Collins Electric \$70,720.59 and this too is for the PSC.

Gary made a motion to approve the Rex Collins Electric claim for \$70,720.59. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Pyramid Consulting \$987.10 & \$4,000.00

Mike said this is Pyramid has two claims one is for \$4,000.00 for onsite field representation and \$987.10 for reimbursables.

Gary made a motion to approve these two claims. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Minutes of April 19, 2021

Mike said we received a copy of the April 19, 2021 minutes via email. Are there any additions, corrections or deletions to those minutes?

Gary made a motion to approve the minutes as presented. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Ordinance 2021-04 – Second reading

Mike said that brings us to 2021 –04 for a second reading by title only.

ORDINANCE NO. 2021-04

AN ORDINANCE TO AUTHORIZE AND REGULATE THE USE
OF OFF-ROAD VEHICLES ON ROADWAYS UNDER THE
JURISDICTION AND CONTROL OF RANDOLPH COUNTY

GOVERNMENT AND MATTERS RELATED THERETO

Mike said this is our second reading, I don't know about anybody else but I did receive an email from somebody. I don't know if he is a resident of Randolph County or not but he was offering us advice and council on how to develop an ordinance for ATV off road vehicles. I shared with him that we had an ordinance that our attorney developed and that we had voted to approve it after the first reading at our last meeting and I have not heard anything back from him. That is the only contact I've had regarding this ordinance. Did anyone else have any contact?

Tom said I received the same email you did.

Mike said do we want to move forward with the second reading?

Gary made a motion to approve the second reading of Ordinance 2021-04 by title only. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Mike asked does anybody want to suspend the rules and pass it today?

Gary said there is no hurry on this, I think if we let it go for another two weeks to be sure that everyone has had an opportunity to voice their opinion.

Mike said that is fine with me.

Meeks Cockerill – Easement

Meeks said I have an easement with Mark Hinshaw. It is one of the fiber optic easements.

Mike said I have a request to approve a fiber optic easement agreement between Randolph County and Mark W. Hinshaw and Mark Hinshaw.

Tom made a motion to approve this easement. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Tom Chalfant – Old Hwy barn tenant

Tom said we talked about our tenant at the old highway garage and we talked about lowering his rent. He was thinking about moving out because he was having labor problems and I offered to lower the rent to \$1,500.00 if that would help him to remain open and stay there.

Mike said we don't have any other tenant for it, how long is his lease?

Tom said two years with a 60-day notice, I think.

Gary said I don't have a problem with that.

Tom made a motion to lower the rent on the tenant at the old Highway barn to \$1500.00 affective May 1, 2021. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Tom said I have a resident who is concerned about not having a speed limit on his road south of Losantville. People go off of Hwy 35 straight on to this road about two miles to get over to Camp Modoc. It is not posted and it's a hill and he said it is very dangerous. He is requesting we consider posting a 40 mile per hour speed limit sign on this 1 ½ to 1 ¾ mile strip of road between Hwy 35 and Hwy 1.

Mike asked is that 1000 South?

Tom said yes.

Mike said that road has come up before. Trucks take that road.

Tom said he didn't mention that problem but for some trucks it is about the safest way to turn on Hwy 1 if they are going to a local farm. His concern is the speed, people driving to fast on the way to Camp Modoc over this hill.

Mike said that would take an ordinance wouldn't it?

Meeks said it would. Art is it 50 mph on county roads?

Art said it's 55 mph unless otherwise posted.

Mike said I would think that would not be an inappropriate thing to do. Are you okay with that Gary?

Gary said yes.

Mike asked Meeks to start that process.

Gary Girton – windfarm II roads

Gary asked Tom do you have a better feel of the windfarm II roads?

Tom said we are not going to get as many nice roads as we did the first time. They think \$6.8 million is exurbanite. I didn't hear that but that was my feeling and they are trying to look. They want to fix the roads up but they don't want to pave roads that they didn't really have any impact on. That was their contention that some of these roads that were suggested they pave had no change in the rating of the condition of them. Some of them need fixed and they are willing to fix. There are some that need completely redone and then others strips.

Mike said what about 500 South from 500 West to county line?

Tom said it's all going to be addressed, I don't recall.

Mike said the roads they used, I would think they would put them back in the same condition or better condition than they were before they used them.

Tom said the heavy haul routes and some of the light haul are worse than what anybody anticipated and so that is going to be the contention.

Mike said I think that is where we need to hold firm to say heavy loads are going to tear up roads but lots of light hauls are going to tear up roads too.

Tom said it would be good for you to get involved in the discussion.

Mike said I can do that.

Tom said a local contractor will probably be getting in touch with you soon to discuss this, he has concerns about that too.

Mike said I think we need to hold their feet to the fire on repairing these roads. It stands out that at least with Headwater I that your roads are going to be as good or better condition after we leave than before we came and I presumed that even with then new road use agreement that they were not going to leave the roads in disrepair.

Tom said the question is some of them when they tear them up they have to fix them better than they were. That's where we need to get into the weeds a little bit. One thing we should consider more in the future is the inconvenience that local residents have. If they can just get the roads the same way they were before, they still went through a year of inconvenience that I think they need more than that. And they are going to get some more but some will get nice new roads and other will get probably chip and seal, similar to what they were. Hopefully they are all going to be level. There have been a lot of repair work done this time. It's been a completely different process because the first time they stabilized them and then they just graded them and paved them all. This time they didn't do anything and they had to do a lot of repair in the process which created a good base that we didn't have but how we get a good surface when were done, that's the where it is going to be a lot of discussion.

Max Holaday said there are some awfully good residence down there and it has been a long haul and I think probably rightfully so, they are expecting their roads to be touch better than they were before it started.

Gary said I think Tom's comment in reference to inconvenience has to be entered in there as far as redoing the roads. That has to a factor other than just the fact of the weight and use of the trucks because many of these people are getting zero benefit financially from the windfarms and had to suffer considerably with the damage done to the roads and the mess and so on. I think Headwater I almost everyone that suffered down there received a good road. We gave them some leeway in letting them do what they are doing now rather than do what they did with Headwater I. It's turning out that it may not have been a good idea.

Tom said we still haven't agreed on a final amount but I think \$6.8 million is much more than they want. Hopefully we can get things fixed cheaper than that and everybody can be satisfied.

Gary said what's the number of miles that they are actually talking about paving?

Tom said I think there is actually 7- or 8-miles difference between what Mike Haffner is thinking and what they are thinking?

Mike said I think we are at 50.2.

Mike Haffner said originally it was closer to 18 miles difference but by their own admission they are saying they have impacted a lot harder. I am working with Milestone on some of the road that we said just needed a single seal, what dollar amount is required with that single seal. So, if we wanted to take 5 or 7 miles off the project, how much would be saved and I have not got that response back yet. I'm just saying that if they figured \$125,000.00 a mile times, I will just have to evaluate and potentially some of the roads that wasn't impacted quite as bad, can we back some of them off. I think all the residents over there are going to want to see pavement instead of chip and seal. Some of them roads have blowed up places like 500 South that is not a heavy haul but you can tell that road has definitely been impacted. They are saying they don't want to pave that road and I'm saying we should make them because by the time you do 5, 6 or 7 spots you might as well just do the whole road.

Gary said I agree.

Mike Haffner said I would say there are spots that need to be fixed and a lot of them have been fixed but you still got to have a layer of asphalt before you just go over there with an inch of pavement because it would just flake right off. We at least need 3-inch strength underneath that.

Mike asked am I remembering right, you had about 50 miles of repair?

Mike Haffner said I think total, but there are some roads that definitely were red, non-travel. I think I came up with like 47 miles.

Mike said I think we hold to our position.

Citizen Comments

Bob McCoy asked Mike are you going to talk about masks? Walmart had contacted me and they are going to make a change and they wanted to know what the city and the county are thinking and if our change if we make one will be more restrictive than their change.

Mike said right now, we have taken our medical officer's recommendation that masks be worn inside and we are just offering that as a recommendation to the citizens and businesses in the community. But have for county properties required masks in common areas, as this courthouse is. I consider this the Commissioner's office, so it is not a common area but that hallway is and at this point in time that is our decision. That would apply to the Highway garage, that would apply to the old hospital building, the EMS office and the Community Corrections office and I think that completes all of our controlled facilities.

Bob said so, it is just a recommendation.

Mike said it is just a recommendation to the citizens and businesses in the community and it could be a recommendation here if we want to change that. I am not opposed to changing that if we think it is time to do that. I think we are a blue as of Friday. Personally, I am vaccinated and I don't wear a mask around people unless they ask me to. Hearing nothing then that is where we stand.

Missy Williams said I just want to thank everybody, we had all those third grader's, about 300 people were on the courthouse lawn and down at the museum. I could name several people in this room, Laura, we just can't thank her enough. She kind of coordinated what was going on in the courthouse and gave me a call if I needed to know about something. Angela hosted the kids. Bob McCoy had the City looking great, I mean everything, they were blowing the dust, picking up the dust, you name it. I think it was a really great day for those kids. Indiana Humanities and Indiana Landmarks, their directors of finance and grants came and spent about two hours with us, that was an excellent, really positive thing, gave us an opportunity for some grants to apply for. I just really appreciate being able to use the courthouse lawn and everything.

Ceann said I just want to tag on to the concerns about the roads, I met with Modoc Town Board this week in their meeting, they were expressing concern and I shared with them what you guys have been talking about and they send their thank you that you are trying to address it.

Adjournment

Tom made a motion to adjourn. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Reviewed and signed this 7 day of June, 2021.

RANDOLPH COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Tom Chappell

Ray C. ...

ATTEST:

Laura J Martin

Laura J Martin, Auditor of Randolph County