# **Randolph County Commissioners**

October 5, 2020

The Randolph County Commissioners met at their regular meeting at 9:00AM in the Commissioners and Council Room in the Courthouse with the following members present: Board President Michael Wickersham, Tom Chalfant and Gary Girton. Also present was Randolph County Auditor Laura J Martin, Sheriff Art Moystner and County Attorney Meeks Cockerill.

\*\*\*\*\*

Michael Wickersham, President presided over the meeting.

#### **Pledge of Allegiance**

#### Meeks Cockerill - Open Bids for Lease on Infirmary Barns

Mike said the first thing on the agenda is Meeks Cockerill will open bids for the lease of the County infirmary barns. We have one sealed bid.

Meeks said this bid is from Save the Old Properties, Inc. they are interested in leasing it for 20 years at \$1 per year and be responsible for the maintenance of the structures of property and for the cost occurred for such maintenance and to keep and maintain the property in its current state, conduct repairs, insure its preservation, allow for the public access for educational tourism purposes.

Mike said we should probably take this under advisement and then if we put a lease together and he's acceptable to the terms of the lease. Then we might move forward on it.

Meeks said we have him sign protective covenants and right of first refusal on the infirmary property, I would just image that we use those same terms and conditions, however long you would want to do that for.

Gary said I agree we need to have it spelled out and if there is a situation where they are not being maintained and what is being maintained. I don't think that another two weeks is going to be an issue since we have talked about this for two or three years. We certainly haven't put any money into them except to maintain the roofs and so on.

Meeks said the protective covenants require him to maintain, repair, replace, rebuild and reconstruct. Any reconstruction undertaken by him shall comply with the state rehabilitation guidelines for rehabilitating buildings issued by the United States Depaitment of Interior. What ever the national standards are he has to do that now for the other building. I would assume we would just use those.

Mike said the RFP just indicates who they can't be and what their request is. It is pretty wide open.

Kate Thornburg said there is no rush. Mr. Allen is currently out of town and will be out for at least another week.

### Chev Barr - Indiana Michigan - 1200 W project

Mike said Chey Barr, Indiana Michigan, 1200 W project. Have you had a chance to review that Mike (Haffner)?

Mike said yes.

Mike said do you have any problem with it?

Mike Haffner said no.

Mike said it is all above ground isn't it?

Mike Haffner said yes.

Mike asked if we have any documents to sign this morning. She is not coming in this morning.

Mike Haffner said he thought she would be bringing them.

Gary made a motion to approve Indiana Michigan's 1200 W project. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

# Debi Wymer and Wendy McDavid - Covid testing clinic location

Mike said we have a lease and the lease looks pretty good to me except there is a 30-day termination clause in there, which I requested via e-mail to Rodney Stephen and indicated that the county would prefer not to have a termination clause and if Ascension needed to have a termination clause then we should at least have 120 days which would give us opportunity to find another location for the clinic. I got an e-mail back this morning and he said "I do not see a problem in changing this from a 30 day to a 120-day termination clause. I will contact our real estate team this morning with this request, I will follow up with you as soon as I hear something." It sounds like he is on board for that and personally I think if we got 120-days' notice of termination, we should be able to find another location in four months. Everything else in the lease seemed to be appropriate to me. Meeks has reviewed it and I don't know if you have any comments or questions about it.

Meeks said no.

Mike said the price is right, it is zero dollars and no utilities. I am concerned about the heat in the garage but I'm not sure how you are going to heat a drive through facility.

Wendy said you're not.

Mike said that raises the question do you prefer a drive through facility?

Wendy said | just pref'er a facility. | want some where so | know we are there. It is cold, it is very cold.

Mike said I'tn sure it is, but I don,tkriow.

Gary said can we put a s1nallbarrier area in there and put some type of supplemental heat just for tbat area?

Mike, said I would imagine that you could put supplemental heat but I an-i presuming the garage doors are going up and do,\(\frac{1}{2}\) all the time and that supplemental heat is going to disappear.

Gary said you could put some of these radiant heat units where a person can get to it "W"hen they are not vvorking, get into that area, if we had a s1nall area.

Wendy said they can go into the house; the house is heated. It will be a lot of in and out and a lot of opening a closing door. Even when it was raining last week, it is a wind n.u:inel through there, so trying to keep the heat to vvherethey can go in and warm up ...that is my concern.

Debi said the equipment is another concen1, when it is really cold the equipment doesn,t want to work.

Wendy said yes. It has spread out a little more, it is not all at once, but we are still busy.

Mike said the benefit is that it is separate and stand alone. Do you have to use the garage, you can't use the house?

Wendy said it won't work. There is not a handicap accessible ramp to get in and out of the house. The way the flow would work, there would have to be modifications to be able to use the inside.

Mike said one thing you could do is drive through those that needed handicap accessibility and those that didn't could walk up and that would solve the heat for many.

Gary said it doesn't have a small accessible door?

Wendy said not into the garage. Just between the garage and the house.

Mike asked what kind of modifications would the house need? How expensive would those be?

Wendy said if we did drive through for those that could not get into the house, then we could make it work, we would just have to put up a few different baniers. Which we could do with partitions that we already have.

Mike said based upon the lease, we could use any part of that house, as I read it. It is a time share lease, if we could do that it would solve a lot of the issues we are talking about. One of the biggest ones being the equipment not working. If we still have a lot of drive through and that is going to take some signage to let people know and that could be challenging itself to let people know that if you need handicap accessibility you can drive through, if not we prefer you walk in. We could consider flaps and some sort of a heat system in that garage. I don't think that St. Vincent would object to us installing it, but I don't think they would want to pay for that, we would need to find a way to pay for that. I would think Cares Act money would probably pay for that if we haven't run out of Cares Act money. At this point do you want to put a plan together with Dr. Sowinski to see how we can traffic handicap accessible people to drive through and those not come into the building. We give St. Vincent a written response that 120-days termination is appropriate. We are still at a wait and see. If they do accept the 120-day termination we could ask the Commissioner's this morning to give me the authority to sign this lease with the understanding that we would not add anything to the building that we don't already have, meaning we wouldn't add heat or curtains and see how the next phase works and if it doesn't work then we might have to modify the garage to be more appropriate. Or do you want to wait for two weeks?

Gary said it looks like this is where we are at and this is where we are going to be, so I don't see, unless we need to see some plans. Are they going to have to approve us using the house?

Mike said no. Wendy is talking about using temporary partitions. The lease calls for us using any part of the facility.

Gary said if that is the case then I don't see any reason why we can't move forward and make sure that we have 120-days.

Mike said we need that.

Gary said I agree, I have dealt with those guys out of real estate before.

Debi asked are we going to be able to tum the heat up in the house?

Mike said I would tum it up.

Mike said the only other two questions would be the insurance issues. I'm sure we have a liability coverage on that, so we can satisfy that. Do we want to wait two weeks or approve this lease subject to the change?

Gary said it looks like we are going to stay there, we don't have a plan B that is acceptable.

Mike said does someone want to move approval or wait?

Gary said are you convinced we will get 120-days.

Mike said Rodney is. Rodney was convinced we wouldn't have that to begin with. It is not going to hmi to wait two weeks.

Gary said I would move to table it, because I have dealt with their real estate people. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion cmTied.

Mike said the other matter would be, I would say go ahead and make your modifications and use the inside. You ladies are working at the clinic.

Wendy said not usually, I oversee the testing site and make sure things are going the way it needs to. I have actually only administered five tests.

Mike said Dr. Sowinski is aware of that.

Wendy said yes.

Mike said originally you were not going to be working in the clinic.

Wendy said we are back up.

Mike said in order for you to do that per County policy we need to change your job descriptions. I don't see it on the agenda but we do have three job descriptions to talk about this morning which we all got copies of. Basically, they are all modified to be the same with the addition of the last bullet point of the description, gives oversite to and/or staffs Covid-19 test site as

necessary and that is for the public health nurse, which is Wendy, the coordinator/registrar, which is Debi and the clinic nurse which is Diana Thomas. I understand that when Diana works there she is being paid out of the grant?

Paula said no.

Mike said no she is not.

Wendy said she is being paid out of the grant that she is always paid out of, not the Covid test site grant.

Mike said I am not sure that is appropriate.

Wendy said that is what we were told to do. When this first all started, she could only work 28 hours and it had to come out of the grant that she was already being paid out of.

Mike said so, if we cover her job description to do that then she can appropriately be paid out of that grant.

Debi said that is what I understood.

Mike said who told you to do that?

Debi said I got it through the grape vine, Wendy was dealing with the Auditor's office.

Wendy said Paula isn't that what we discussed?

Paula said per Meeks you cannot be a 1099 and a W-2 employee both.

Mike said so the question becomes do we modify these positions to allow the clinic nurse, Wendy and Debi to cover the Covid testing site when necessary, which takes them out of the County Health Depailment and in some cases requires extra hours. Which we would be responsible for those extra hours and we would be responsible for those hours that are there.

Gary said I don't see what our choices are unless they hire somebody just to be a fill in. What do you ladies think?

Wendy said we hire dependable staff and everything so far is going great, we have backup plans, if the two admin and the nurse is there, there are other nurses that we can use that have liability insurance and have already signed the contract. We can get fill ins. We won't just go work it to have comp time. I will try to find somebody else to fill in, so that we don't have to. That was the game plan from the beginning because there are just not enough hours in the day for Debi and I to have to pick up doing that too.

Gary said that is what we would like to eliminate.

Wendy said we are not going to just schedule ourselves to work over there because it is to much with what we already have.

Mike said you hours of operation are still the same.

Wendy said yes.

Gary made a motion to modify the job description for the public health nurse, the Coordinator/Registrar and the clinic nurse to add a bullet point that allows them to have oversite for the Covid-19 test site as necessary. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Mike said we have two new contract employee's and this contract agreement has been approved by Dr. Sowinski and it is for Tammy Devon for admin and Mason Wine for traffic control.

Tom made a motion for Mike Wickersham to sign these memorandum agreements for the Covid-19 testing center. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Jentry Flesher and Dakota Crabtree- Property/Liability and Workman's Comp renewal Jentry asked if the Commissioner's had a chance to review my responses.

Mike said I did.

Jentry said I have them here if you need them.

Mike said these are the responses from Bliss McKnight and IPEP. I am interested in what you found out about the training.

Jentry said I talked to the Sheriff about this and there are options out there is pretty much what it comes down to. But I don't know how they compare to what Bliss offers. I talked to the sales rep and he said he would be willing to bring out a system but I have no idea how they would compare. I do have pricing on that. I did want to share this with you, it is five years of loss history on the account which kind of gives you an idea of where the loss ratio is. I think we tracked down everything that you had questions on. We are happy to field questions on where ever you would like to begin.

Mike said on the loss ratio, the total line is everything. Do you want to share what your acronyms are?

Jentry said general liability, multi class liability, employee practices liability, auto, property, excess which cun-ently we don't have any excess coverage in place, we have a \$5 million per occurrence in place, \$10 million aggregate. This is on the package so, property auto, liability, this doesn't include any work comp history.

Mike said work comp is zero. Our percentage is percentage of premium paid?

Jentry said yes, the loss is divided by the percentage of premium paid.

Mike said the premium doesn't include workman's comp either.

Jentry said no. Typically, in the industry 30% or less is favorable loss history or favorable business.

Mike said we are right on that line.

Jentry said when you get to 50% it trends the other way.

Mike said you indicated you might have information on the training.

Jentry said what I found out on the training, there is a company called Vertra and I understand there are competitor's out there. They are a smaller company as far as employee size, but they do business nationwide. It is screened based its not like a viltual reality where you put goggles on

Mike asked have you talked to the Sheriff about this?

Jentry said I sent him some infonnation last week.

Mike asked Art if he would come up.

Art said a lot of our training is in house with instructors, it actually saves money in the long run. There are a lot of providers that do some of this. I know Bliss has helped us in the past, I thought it was relevant to point that out to you. As far as being able to get it, we can get it, with regards to the policy which is one of the things I brought up, we can get access to that from other sources. Obviously, you pay for any of those services. To what extent is worth or not worth, I don't know and I don't know what this new company would be like to work with. Sometimes you improve yourself, sometimes not so much and sometimes you do yourself a disservice. I have no idea which way this is going to take us. We can get those things, to what extent we would save I have no idea.

Jentry said I have some pricing just to give you an idea. This has on the handout I gave you, this has one screen, there is a three-screen option and then there is a five-screen option that goes 360 degrees all the way around. The five screen and the three-screen, I'm just guessing are probably above budget for the system but the have options. They have one that is called the step-up program where you can lease the system or you can purchase the system and make it a capital asset of the county. The single screen system is mobile, the sales rep said for a good system with some weapon options to use in that system, it would probably be about \$30,000.00 for the capital purchase of it and then every year to keep up your full warranty there is maintenance warranty pricing of about 10% of the system cost. I will add another layer to it, after five years they ask that you do a hardware update and I did not get any pmiiculars on that pricing but I think it is rather expensive.

Mike said is this a standalone system.

Jentry said yes.

Mike said so it would not connect to any network or anything.

Jentry said I do not believe so. The price for a state-of-the-art system they said you would be talking about \$175,000.00. I am not pushing this product. I am just showing you that there are options out there.

Mike said I understand. Frankly I am seeing it that we are faced with an opportunity to save premium dollars this year, with the unknown of this versus not saving premium dollars compared to the competitor and excepting the recommendation of the insurance committee which is department based. As you said you have done your job by bringing us both of those scenario's and I appreciate that. What would you like to do gentlemen, we are at the deadline, it expires on Wednesday October 7<sup>th</sup>? Co1Tect me if I'm wrong but based upon our last meeting ifwe continue with the current provider our cost would be \$385,705.00. If we choose the new company \$310,000.00 so it is a savings of basically \$75,000.00.

Tom asked what did we budget for the insurance, are we going to have to find money elsewhere?

Gary asked Jentry did you discuss with them the reason for that increase, based on the highway building?

Jentry said I asked them what is the premium break down for the addition of the new highway department we added this summer? The words are that Chub advises that the property premium for that address is \$1465.00. Then I said what is the reasoning for the overall increase of the premium on the renewal and Chub pricing? The values from last year to this year have increased roughly 13% and that is our building valuation. Then Chub has been seeing increases in both frequency and severity of loss throughout the propeliy, they feel this trend in experience is also apparent in other can-iers, one paiiicular area of concern is hail storm and water losses. We did not have any property losses in the last year, so I think Chub is probably seeing some higher losses in their books and are taking some rate is the way I read that.

Mike said is propeliy and liability all lumped under liability? \$280,000.00 budgeted Tom. I believe that is probably what was approved. We didn't recommend changing anything there. Does that include work comp as well?

Angela said no, and that is just County general.

Jentry said the package without work comp is \$274,000.00.

Mike said the renewal package with Bliss McKnight?

Jentry said yes.

Mike said the workman's comp does not come out of our budget, so we would have the budget to cover the Bliss McKnight.

Angela said Danita sent me the numbers, its \$280,000.00 and she said that does include workman's comp.

Laura called Danita, she said Highway pays their own, Community Corrections pays their own.

Mike said this is just the Commissioner's budget I am looking at.

Laura said that is not going to be the total budgeted for all insurance. Highway pays their own liability and there are three department's that pay their own work comp.

Mike said we really can't figure out cleanly how much we do have budgeted.

Tom said it sounds like we are fairly close.

Mike said that premium has increased \$28,000.00 basically over last year.

Jentry said yes, \$357,000.00 to \$385,000.00.

Mike said our budget didn't really change from last year and we made all of our premium payments last year. I would say that we are pretty close and if we want to make the decision to take the recommendation of the insurance committee and renew with Bliss McKnight. Financially we can do that. It is more expensive, but it gives services that at least I know one department truly appreciates. I am not getting from him that he is jumping at the chance to change and I think we have a good Sheriffs Department. What do we want to do this morning? Left up to me, I would recommend going with Bliss McKnight. I appreciate the work you guys have done. You have given us our options. You didn't answer the one question, but it sounds like the new company would hire the same attorney we have, which would expand his business.

Jentry said he does a lot of business with a lot of carriers.

Tom made a motion to continue with Bliss McKnight. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

# Laura Martin & Debbie Preston - Upgrade to LOW program

Laura said Low has an upgrade to the system that we currently use. We have looked at it, Jane Grove looked at it when she was treasurer, I looked at this upgrade last year, the expense was quite a bit. This year we found out that this is a Covid Cares Act reimbursable expense. What this system does for us it will benefit the Auditor, Treasurer and Assessor's office. The citizens can print their property cards and form 11's that nonnally come from the assessor, they would still come from there but if people didn't want to come in they could do this from home. Citizens can reprint their tax statements and pay their taxes on this system and apply for exemptions on line, so they would not have to come into the courthouse to do this. Searcher's could also research the properties and tax liens owed on those on line, so that would reduce

traffic in our offices. The cost of this program because we are a current customer they are giving us a \$15,000.00 discount which make it a \$10,000.00 cost. On the quote that I sent you, we wouldn't need that \$4,167.00 for this year because we would install this for 2021, it would be \$10,000.00 annual maintenance fee for the web site maintenance and \$3000.00 for the exemption and deduction maintenance. The total cost would be \$23,000.00 and if we go ahead and pay for the 2021 maintenance of \$13000.00 we can also get reimbursed through the Covid Cares Act for that maintenance is my understanding.

Tom aske what does LOW stand for?

Laura said Low is the name of the people that own the company. The information I got from Low is the web inquire version will help to reduce calls and foot traffic in these offices for property tax information by making property tax information available on the web site and that is why it is Cares Act reimbursable.

Mike said right now you can get a lot of tax information from the assessor's office, but you can't from the treasurer's office?

Debbie said you have to come in to get your tax statement reprinted but you can already pay taxes on line through a separate credit card system.

Mike said you would have a \$10,000.00 we site maintenance fee every year?

Laura said my understanding it would be \$13,000.00. The web site is \$10,000.00 thee exemption deduction maintenance is \$3,000.00.

Tom said but next year's maintenance is reimbursable?

Laura said if we pay it in 2020 we would be able to get it reimbursed. After that it would be on us. We have looked at it in the past but thought the expense was to much, then they added this exemption deduction where people can go on and do their exemptions and deductions from their home to it and presented it again and since it was Covid reimbursable we thought we would present it and see what you thought.

Gary said what would the issues be if we did this for 20201 year and we decide we either don't like it or we don't want to spend the money? Ifthere was some issue we don't want to, what kind of problem would it be to change back?

Laura said I don't think it would be a problem, they would just shut us off of the Web site.

Gary said how much would that effect you having already established that.

Laura said I think this would be a real benefit to the citizens, it is going to take some time to get them used to doing this. For example, if they call Debbie and say I lost my tax statement, they have to come in to get it. With this she can just tell them you can print that on line and tell them where to go and get it. Once you get them trained to do it, and then you don't have it, they will be calling in and complaining but we get that anyway.

Gary said you have a good feel for this?

Laura said yes, there are other Counties already doing this, you can go lowtaxinfo.com and look at the web site and go into other counties and look at it, other counties are already using it and have been for several years.

Mike said so this will benefit the assessor, the treasurer and the auditor.

Laura said that is correct.

Mike said potentially the \$13,000.00 maintenance fee could be divided between these three offices as part of their budgets in 2022.

Laura said we can add it, that doesn't mean that Council will approve it.

Mike said do we have the LOW program now.

Laura said we do and we pay maintenance on it already but this will be in addition to what we already pay. We use the LOW program for both our finances and our taxes currently and this is a web site that connects but is separate from what we already use.

Mike said I think it sounds like a good thing.

Tom said we can try it for a year basically for free.

Gary made a motion to move forward with the LOW website for the assessor, the treasurer and the auditor's office. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Mike aske if there is anything else.

Laura said we will have to prepay for this and we need to know where to prepay for it out of.

Mike asked if Gary would want to make that part of his motion to pay for it out of Cum cap.

Gary said yes.

Tom said I would agree with that.

Mike said all in favor say aye.

All aye votes. Motion carried.

Mike said we will recommend to Council bon-ow it from Cum cap to be repaid from Cares Act funding.

# Mike Haffner - Utility Agreement. Purchase of Emulsion tank

Mike Haffner said I have a couple of simple utilities, just drop repairs that I would recommend.

Tom said 1100 W west of 300 N and 150 S 800 E.

Mike said you are recommending these.

Mike Haffner said yes.

Gary made a motion to approve the two utility agreements. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion can-ied.

Mike Haffner said on the emulsion tank, we have been tabling this and we need to decide on what we want to do. We have a trade in of \$39,550.00 from taking our old tank and buying a new one.

Mike said that is what we would be paying for a new one \$39,550.00?

Mike Haffner said the new one is \$51,900.00 and then there is a \$1,650.00 option with a \$15,000.00 trade in allowance. So, \$39,550.00 would be a trade in.

Mike said \$51,900.00, \$15000.00 for a trade in, that's \$36900.00.

Mike Haffner said then there is the optional boom loader that we would definitely put on.

Mike said that is \$1,650.00?

Mike Haffner said yes.

Mike said then there is \$1,000.00 freight to haul new tank to site. Is that installation too?

Mike Haffner said no, then we basically would have about \$3,000.00 to a local contractor. Cobalt Civil said it wouldn't exceed more than three. Then you got a cement pad to install it on and then you got some electrical. All three the crane, the pad and the electric estimated no more than \$13,000.00. Ifwe decide to do this they would remove the old tank from the old facility and install the new tank. We got some options on how to pay for it.

Mike asked who sets that tank?

Mike Haffner said they will be hiring a local crane to take it off their truck.

Mike said they will be doing that?

Mike Haffner said yes. Their installers and they will have the liability and all that. Then they'll be working conjunctionally with whoever they get the crane from.

Mike said we would be responsible for the concrete?

Mike Haffner said we can pay all one bill, they will not add any extra for the cost of the concrete. We sent out a couple different quotes on concrete and TJ Concrete out of Portland was the low bid by about \$870.00. They use local contractors for both the crane and the concrete but they don't add any percent. They can pay the local people and we pay one bill or we can decide to pay for the concrete or the crane our self and they just take the tank. However, we decide but they do not add any extra, so there is no savings one way or the other.

Tom said we have a guarantee for \$52,550.00 for the whole project?

Mike Haffner said yes, the electrical is the only one that was estimated at no more than \$3,000.00 because they have to actually run, the conduit and everything is already there, they just have to run the wire all the way from the garage all the way around.

Mike said they would hire the electric installer as well?

Mike Haffner said normally how they operate, they pay all the sub-contractors and we write one bill.

Mike said we enter a contract with them to install a new emulsion tank for \$52,550.00?

Mike Haffner said yes.

Gary said you said that did not include electrical.

Mike Haffner said it is \$39,550.00 and then we added \$13,000.00 for the other three which brings it to the \$52,550.00.

Gary said that does include the electrical?

Mike Haffner said yes that does include the electric. If the electric comes in cheaper then they would adjust that. Originally, we were talking if their would-be left-over money from the bond to do this.

Laura said there is none.

Mike Haffner said we've got the money from the MVH. We have the cash in this year or next year it we want to pay it out of this year and install it next year or if we want to wait until the following year, we would still have it. We are looking at if we are going to pay it out of our MVH, 50% coming out of 1176 and 50% coming out of 1173 because it is an item that we can use part of the restricted funds.

Mike said I am reading this again and it indicates that we have to provide the crane company.

Mike Haffner said if you look on the back side, we just kind of estimated.

Mike said I understand that but they will pay for the crane company if we hire the crane company if we hire the crane company?

Mike Haffner said yes. They sent the \$39,550.00 and then I added all the other.

Mike said I understand the numbers, I am just talking about responsibility here.

Mike Haffner said they could do it one way or another, they would send which ever direction we want, they will send an invoice accordingly. So, if we want to pay the extra's directly out of our own or if we just want to make one check to them, then they would pay the subcontractor's.

Mike said he is saying "EMC has had experiences with obtaining crane services for these jobs, crane companies give better service to a local county department." So, that sounds to me like they want us to hire the crane company.

Mike Haffner said it would make their pali simpler, yes.

Mike said so they are paying somebody to move the tank off the transport and standing it up for EMC's crew to install.

Tom said and Cobalt Civil can do that?

Mike Haffner said yes. I have talked to Kevin Cook personally. Then we would just go ahead and pay the crane and at that point we would probably just go ahead and pay for the concrete work and the electric. So, they would only invoice the \$39,550.00.

Mike said if we pay for it, then we become responsible for it?

Mike Haffner said there is warranty on the tank itself.

Mike said I am talking about the concrete and the electrical, which we have already dealt with those issues on the garage. Paying for it is one thing, but responsibility for it is the other and typically when you pay for something your responsible for it.

Mike Haffner said yes. On the concrete I can have a protection wrote into that agreement, that he would guarantee that pad.

Mike said that's TK?

Mike Haffner said he is low bid.

Mike said who is the electric company you are thinking about?

Mike Haffner the only electric I got with was Carroll because they know the system. That is who I reached out to, to give me a quote.

Tom said I think this would finish the project and it would be better not to have the emulsion tank at the old facility.

Mike ask do you still have emulsion in the old tank?

Mike Haffner said yes.

Mike ask are you going to use it up?

Mike Haffner said yes. We will make sure it is empty, we will probably run emulsion at least the rest of this month, weather permitting. Sometimes we can go into November depending on weather.

Mike ask how thick of a pad do you need out there for this emulsion tank?

Mike Haffner said there are two quotes, there is an 8" and a 12" is what the two quotes was and they recommend the 12", that gets you a little higher and gets you a little more clearance to fill your rigs as well.

Mike said weight wise it doesn't matter?

Mike Haffner said no, the 8" would be sufficient, but to build it up a little bit a lot of people go 12", that gives them a few more inches, when you are pulling your total patcher tank to fill it, if your on level grade you are alright but over the years if you add stone the ground gets higher than the base then they have trouble filling.

Mike said your recommendation is to pay for it out of?

Mike Haffner said my recommendation is to pay for it out of MVH funds, half out of 1173 and half out of 1176.

Tom made a motion to approve the recommendation to install a new emulsion tank at the highway garage for \$52,550.00 by Equipment Marketing Company and pay for it out of MVH. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Mike Haffner said I have a quote from Accurate Striping Inc. to stripe Union City Pike the solid and double 4" yellow and then of course the yellow skip from Hwy 27 into the city limits, just the center yellow, \$11,550.00.

Tom said that was a Community Crossings project.

Mike Haffner said that was not.

Tom said the original wasn't, the paving?

Mike Haffner said just the paving, because that was a road that was never striped before. If you want the outside 4" edge lines that would be an additional \$21,648.00. I also have a quote to restripe Greenville, the restriping Greenville is \$8,872.00. It doesn't have the white edge lines but that would be another \$14,572,80. But, we stripe Greenville in 2015 and it is already gone. So, basically you are going to be spending \$11,550.00 to stripe it and it will only last 4 to 5 years.

Tom asked if the striping would last longer with low traffic?

Mike Haffner said it is just a standard paint, that is why we have not really recommended from the Highway Department going to the expense because it doesn't last long enough but we have a couple of situations with some concerns. Union City Pike is pretty curvy.

Mike said I ask Mike to get quotes on both those roads. I have had complaints about Union City Pike, about the speed and the intersections. The concern of the citizens was that maybe we need to put a stop sign there or not which would change things a lot and then I thought maybe lining would help as well because unfortunately when we repaved that road it became pretty smooth and it increases the speed quite a bit. Then I had a citizen also indicate that Greenville Pike was not striped and thought it would be a safer road if it was. Part of the concern of the 5-years is the condition of the road for one thing. Its like any other surface paint is not going to stick to a pour surface and Greenville Pike is a pour surface. Union City Pike right now is not a pour surface and it would stick.

Mike Haffner said my recommendation would be to do the center lines on Greenville but not do anymore. We do Union City Pike but not Greenville.

Mike said the first time you said to do Greenville.

Mike Haffner said my recommendation would be the center stripes on Union City Pike because it is a fresh road, hopefully it will last longer. Now, Greenville Pike is not going to wait 5 more years to resurface or do something to that road. It needs something now, I would hate to go to the expense of painting it and then within one or two years resurface it.

Tom said I would think that would be a good job for Community Crossings.

Mike Haffner said I am still getting the red flag that they are not liking that because they deem that with the structures that have to be changed out that it is not a good Community Crossing application.

Tom said they are not going to help us with structures at all.

Mike Haffner said they can do bridges but they don't like to do a lot of structures and according to Perry Knox and his group, in order to do them structures right away has to be purchased.

Mike said you have to widen them. They are to narrow.

Mike Haffner said you have to widen them and do to all that Ken Bode! said really, we need to start in the process of changing two or three structures each year. Once you get the structures changed then it would become a better Community Crossings application since we have showed them that we are trying to do our best taking care of this road.

Mike said if we change three structures within a mile and then applied for a mile of Community Crossing as part of a bigger project, could we do that?

Mike Haffner said we could do it in sections.

Mike said it is night and day between Indiana and Ohio out there.

Mike Haffner said unfortunately right away with utilities and side ditches it is not an easy road to widen.

Mike said I think a yellow line down Union City Pike would obviously any line is going to make it safer I think. Greenville Pike you put a yellow line down that and then add white lines to the side, I don't think you have enough room to get a car through there.

Mike Haffner said if you want to do the Union City Pike, we've got the funds to go ahead and do that.

Tom said I think that is a good idea.

Mike said the yellow, not the white, \$11,550.00 is what the cost of that would be.

Mike Haffner said I would like to start there and then if we still have issues then you might have to look at the stop signs as a secondary to that.

Mike made a motion to paint the yellow lines on Union City Pike. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Mike Haffner said I have one other thing we need to be thinking about. Right now, our annual bid on our tandem trucks is still good through December 31st. The annual bid on the trucks \$107,960.00 each and WA Jones the bid is \$91,329.00 for the bed and then if we bought the straight plow that is \$8,272.00. Basically, making a grand total of \$207,561.00 each, they said next year if we do go to an annual bid they have not estimated the increase but both places said there definitely will be an increase. More of the problem is the build times with the Covid it has really set them back so the earlier they can receive an order the better. We only bought one truck last year, when I came in the fleet was pretty distraught. What I would like to do and what I would recommend is that we go ahead before that deadline, commit to purchase on this year annual bid to lock it in. I would like to get two trucks one truck we would buy through our MVH, our equipment purchases line and the other truck we would buy through wheels tax, we

can buy equipment through that line. That would help us out on our 50/50 because trucks you should 75% or more through 1176 but if we only buy one, I don't think we have enough funds in the MVH in 2021 to buy two but if we buy one through MVH and one through the Wheels tax, we can keep our fleet in proper order.

Tom said you have this in your budget to do this?

Mike Haffner said I have the budget in 2021, even though we commit this year, we wouldn't receive anything until 2021. We just lock the price, it would be 2021's budget and yes 2021 budget will easily get this.

Mike asked what are you replacing?

Mike Haffner said we've got one tandem that is still a max forced engine. I would like to think about trading that one, if we trade it they would give me a trade price or we would keep it and get rid of a couple old single axel trucks.

Mike asked what is the advantage of the single versus the tandem?

Mike Haffner said on a snow route the area around Winchester has a lot of dead end and tight turns, I always feel that area needs to be ran with a single axel for maneuverability if nothing else. When you start going to your corners of your county your tandem work out a little nicer because they can carry a lot more material, so you are spending more time plowing and less time going to get sand and salt. A disadvantage is some of or bridges that keep getting closed or restricted and can't carry that load over them. That is why we have to have auxiliary routes that we do with either a smaller truck or a pickup truck to plow that section. In a lot of our bridges if you can't get a tandem over them you can't get a single over them either. There is only \$26,000.00 difference between a single versus a tandem. I don't see that as enough of a savings and the benefit of a tandem in my opinion exceeds the \$26,000.00.

Mike asked how old is the max force tandem?

Mike Haffner said I believe it is a 2003 or 2005.

Mike said and you don't like it because why?

Mike Haffner said that max force engine that is highly susceptible to the PGR valves and stuff.

Tom said isn't that about \$1,000.00 each time one goes bad?

Mike Haffner said it is about \$3500.00 every time they go bad. This one actually has only done it twice in its career. Its and updated version of it. We've been able to analyze it and check it ourselves now. We have bought some equipment to do that our self, I hoping next time we can do it our self it will be closer to that \$1000.00 or under. I have looked into can we when its time pull that engine out and go with a different engine in it because the truck itself is a really nice truck and still has a lot of life to it. I have been gathering information as to what is the better

financial decision of what to do with it. I am leaning toward keeping it and getting rid of a couple more 1998's and 1999's and 2000 singles that are completely shot.

Mike said you are looking to spend \$415,000.00 on these two new ones?

Mike Haffner said \$415,122.00 per our annual bid, that is what is locked in that we an get now. If we wait they might give us the same price next year but both places said they would probably have a 3% increase but they didn't know that yet. The lead time was more the problem.

Mike said 3% wouldn't be too bad.

Mike Haffner said no, right now its October, we have until December 31st. I am just throwing it out there.

Mike said the MVH equipment line, you have it in there for that, for one of them.

Mike Haffner said yes, for one of them.

Mike said you don't have any thoughts of buying anything else out of that.

Mike Haffner said yes, we are going to have to buy some pickups. Tom is still working with Enterprise possibly what to do there. We budgeted \$400,000.00 so if you take the \$207,000.00 there is still a significant amount to buy three pickups.

Mike asked if pickups are as hard to get for the highway department as they are for consumers.

Mike Haffner said yes.

Mike said it might be wise to wait a year to buy pickups.

Mike Haffner said they are actually harder because two of the pickups we are looking at, just single cabs, 8-foot bed, those are even harder to find than your four door quad cabs. They don't make as many so you about have to order them. Mike said we have the money to purchase them yet this year but you couldn't have gotten it in and second of all I really have a heart bum getting a truck in and going right through the winter, I would rather wait until spring.

Mike said this would be an order situation as well?

Mike Haffner said the pickups would definitely be an order situation. What we are looking at are two stripped down<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> ton, single cab, 8-foot beds, just to carrier our fuel tanks and trash and get to job sites and stuff. My 2001 tiuck is 72 or 73,000 miles on it now, its still got a lot of value as trade, so if we can get it traded off fairly soon we can get a lot of value. That one might be a better one to go with Enterprise on a three-year lease. The other trucks they are going to get beat up a little bit more so trade in value might not be as much.

Mike asked is Enterprise willing to lease just one or two of our vehicles to us?

Tom said I think they would.

Mike Haffner said to get stailed I don't think they would have a problem with leasing a small number.

Mike said what do you want to do with the Highway Depailment's request. They are going to take \$207,561.00 out of MVH equipment purchase line and \$207,561.00 out of wheel tax and that is both for this year's money.

Mike Haffner said no, it would actually be out of 2021 budget.

Mike said how much of that wheel tax money is this using, how much wheel tax money do you get every year.

Mike said I am not sure.

Angela said all I can say right now is your balance is \$1.564 million. I do not know what you have planned for out of that.

Mike said with Community Crossings back on, what I am getting close on is to finish up some paving projects that we left with sections not complete. 900 W between St Rd 32 and St Rd 1, 300 E from Union City Pike down to 32, Old 27 S from US 27 including the Y to Base. I got with the Mayor and that is where he deems the transition line is. Then 400 N just right around the comer from the 900 W, St Rd 1 from there to 1000 W by the golf course there. Right now, the preliminary quote is \$1,143,000.00 for all four roads.

Mike asked is that for rebuilding Old 27 that is not just paving it is it?

Mike Haffner said that is two layers on most of it, maybe a third layer to build that up with 20-foot of reburning, reseeding. That was like \$90 some thousand dollars for landscaping because you can't do one without the other.

Mike said what I understand that road is going to need wedged.

Mike Haffner said that is what I am saying, \$96,000.00 of that was the potential wedging and reseeding, now we have plenty of right a way to even go out 20-foot if necessary. What makes that one a little bit expensive first of all it needs a lot of attention but you have several paved and concreted driveways that you just can't go up to the edge with stone. On 1200 W we milled all the concrete and went back a couple foot and blended them in pretty nice. I am not sure if anyone has drove that.

Tom said they did a good job.

Mike Haffner said I was really pleased with what Brooks did.

Tom said I mentioned that hole in that culvert.

Mike Haffner said Jason was out there and he asked me to get with you later today because he found something way out in the side ditch south of 700 but he didn't find anything in the road.

Tom said it has a small hole in the road right at the culvert. Also, the county sign at the county line is down. A lady knocked it down to miss two deer, so that county road sign needs put back up on 700 N and 1200 W. We need to get that Jr. Burton finished with the tow motor. If somebody gets hurt on that tow motor and its not on our inventory it could be a sticky situation.

Mike Haffner said I know you have talked to him.

Tom said he just needs numbers on how you want to figure it.

Gary asked Mike Haffner have you had a chance to look at Arby Pike?

Mike Haffner said yes, that is going to need something, we will get down there and I think when they graded that for that water way, they took out way more than they needed. I am going to fill that back in and taper it with some rip rap to get it stabled and then I will probably put a layer of 73's in case a car gets close to the edge, then we will take a total patcher and seal that like a chip and seal road to get our width back where we need to be. Right now, it is definitely questionable.

Mike asked Mike Haffner if he had been in contact with Jeff Plasterer and Eastern Indiana Regional Planning.

Mike Haffner said it has been a long time.

Mike said they are supposed to do a traffic count for us this month.

Mike Haffner said I sent him all that and he has responded that he has received it. I thought that was suppose to happen in August and it hasn't happened yet.

Mike said it is happening this month, we had a meeting last week and they indicated that it was going to happen this month. My only point is if they get those traffic counts to you then that might help you determine a priority list for your Community Crossings grant. I would call them.

Mike Haffner said I will reach out today.

Angela said they just put on up last week on Old 27 South.

Mike said it looks like you have \$339,000.00 as an unexpended wheel tax or ending balance.

#### **Other Business:**

Art Movstner, Randolph County Sheriff

Art said I will tell you that the door project is still on schedule, possibly even I was told last week that they may be able to get in there in November.

#### Ordinance No. 2020-14

Mike said the attorney has presented us with an Ordinance 2020-14.

#### ORDINANCE NO. 2020-14

AN AMENDED ORDINANCE CREATING RULES FOR THE COLLECTION OF PAYMENTS FOR A WORK RELEASE PROGRAM, FOR MEDICAL PAYMENTS, FOR THE CHARGES FOR OUT OF COUNTY PRISIONERS IN THE COUNTY OF RANDOLPH, INDIANA FOR THE CHARGES FOR EMPLOYEE MEALS, AND PROVIDING FOR BONDING FEE TO BE CHARGED BY THE RANDOLPH COUNTY SHERIFF

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners of Randolph County is empowered to create rules for the collection of payments for a work release program, medical payments and for the charges for out of County prisoners in the County of Randolph under LC. 11-12-5-5-1 through 11-12-5-5.

WHEREAS, the Randolph County Jail personnel desire to purchase their meals during the hours when they are on duty and the Board of County Commissioners of Randolph County believe that a reasonable charge for said meals is the sum of \$2.00 per employee per meal, which sums shall be deposited into the County General Fund.

WHEREAS, the Randolph County Sheriff Department desires to make a charge for their time and paperwork involved in bonding a prisoner out of jail and the Board of County Commissioners of Randolph County believe that a reasonable charge for said service would be the sum of \$5.00 per bond, which amount should be deposited into the Sheriff Department Training Fund to be used for equipment and supplies for the department.

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of County Commissioners of Randolph County, Indiana and the County hereby adopts the following:

SECTION I. See 2003-15

SECTION II. This section does not apply to a person confined to a county jail who:

- A. Maintains a policy of insurance from a private company covering:
  - 1. Medical care
  - 2. Dental care
  - 3. Eye care
  - 4. Mental health, or
  - 5. Any other health care related service.

- B. Is willing to pay for the person's own medical care
- <u>SECTION III.</u> A person confined to a county jail is not required to make the copayment under Section II if:
  - A. The person does not have funds in the person's commissary account at the time the service is provided.
  - B. The person does not have funds in the person's commissary account or trust account within thirty (30) days after the service is provided;
  - C. The service is provided in an emergency;
  - D. The service is provided as a result of an injury received in the county jail; or,
  - E. The service is provided at the request of the sheriff or jail administrator.
  - SECTION IV. The Randolph County Sheriff shall:
  - A. Collect up to Fifteen (\$15.00) Dollars from the commissary account or inmate trust account within thirty (30) days from the date of services are provided.
  - B. Deposit these funds in a separate account and forward these funds to the Randolph County Auditor on a monthly basis.
  - C. Maintain records of all transactions and make those records available to the Randolph County Auditor upon request.
  - <u>SECTION V</u>. The Randolph County Auditor shall deposit all funds received into the County Medical Care for Inmates fund.
  - <u>SECTION VI</u>. The County Medical Care for Inmates fund shall be used solely to offset County expenditures from the County General Fund for Inmate Medical Care.
  - <u>SECTION VII.</u> The charges for out of County prisoners for other Counties starting July 1, 2019, shall be the sum of Thilty-Seven (\$37.50) Dollars and Fifty Cents per day. The charges for out of County prisoners for other Counties starting July 1, 2020 shall be the sum of Forty (\$40.00) Dollars per day.

<u>SECTION VIII.</u> Randolph County Jail personnel desiring to purchase meals during the hours they are on duty shall pay the sum of \$1.00 per employee per meal, which sums shall be deposited into the County General Fund.

<u>SECTION IX</u>. The Randolph County Sheriff Department shall be entitled to make a charge of \$5.00 per bond to cover their time and paperwork involved in bonding a prisoner out of jail, which amount shall be deposited into the Sheriff Department Training Fund to be used for equipment and supplies for the department.

Mike said we have had opportunity to review this and you are recommending these changes I trust?

Art said yes sir.

Mike asked if there were any questions or comments regarding the ordinance?

Tom made a motion to approve Ordinance 2020-14 after its first reading by title only. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Gary made a motion to suspend the rules and have a second and third reading by title only and approve the same day. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Gary made a motion the Commissioners adopt Ordinance 2020-14 by title only. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

#### **Regular Claims \$852,344.54**

Gary made a motion to approve the regular claims as presented. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

#### **Pavroll Claims \$ 205.066.85**

Gary made a motion to approve the Payroll claim as presented. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion caiTied.

# Tarter Realty Claim \$750.00 Wagner Claim \$200.00

Mike said our next two claims are real estate appraisal claims for our E911 communication tower locations. We have one from Tarter Realty in the amount of \$750.00 and one claim from Wagner Auctioneering and Real Estate in the amount of \$200.00.

Tom made a motion to approve these two claims. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Gary said this is for the South tower, right?

Mike said yes, this is for the South tower. I trust these can be paid from the Communications bond.

Laura said yes.

#### Security Automation Systems claim \$16,890.00

Gary made a motion to approve this claim as presented. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

# **Pyramid Claim \$9,873.21**

Mike said the next item is part of our E911 communications project and it is two claims for Pyramid Consulting. One is for their fee of \$9,750.00 and the other is for expenses in the amount of \$123.21.

Tom made a motion to approve these two claims as presented. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

#### Cripe claim 8/2/19 \$1276.55

Cripe claim 9/3/19 \$932.20

Cripe claim 12/31/19 \$222.98

#### **Cripe Settlement Agreement**

Mike said the next three claims are Cripe claims along with a Cripe settlement agreement. I have not heard anything, we have not been billed for the generator restocking fee.

Meeks said if you are going to pay the final Thor bill, I would think it would be settled with Thor.

Mike said I would think so.

Meeks said so the question would be if you are going to settle the Thor bill whether or not you want to give some money from the Architects for that.

Mike said for what?

Meeks said do a settlement with the Architects for that part of the generator project.

# Thor Construction Claim \$218,545.87

Mike said that is the next claim is the Thor claim for \$218,545.87.

Tom said we need to ask Mike Haffner about Oscar Lawrence, I don't think anything has happened there either.

Mike said so we don't know whether to pay the Thor claim or not.

Gary said I don't think we should.

Mike said okay, are you okay with that Tom?

Tom said yes.

Mike said we will table all five items right now.

#### **Kleinpeter Grant Admin Svc Agreement**

Mike asked Ceann do you know about this service agreement?

Ceann said resubmitted the \$2,000.00 that we did not pay. Is that what you are talking about?

Mike said is this for the second grant.

Ceann said yes.

Mike said it is the first service agreement that we have signed with them. But we have paid them.

Ceann said you should have paid them for the first one.

Mike said we did.

Ceann said it's the second one, I'm assuming this is what you're referring to.

Mike said there is to much fee in this one.

Meeks said its \$8,250.00.

Ceann said yes, so I just was made aware that it is not how we originally spoke about it. I was originally told that there would not be the \$2000.00 extra as it was in the other one. So, the company when I inquired about it and they said that Kleinpeter decided to go ahead and include that extra in all of the ones beyond just ours. That was what was submitted with the grant, I was not aware of that and so I am taking forth to our board in October.

Mike said so we shouldn't sign this.

Ceann said right.

Mike said this will be tabled.

#### Randolph County EDIT Plan Amendment

Mike said next is our Randolph County EDIT plan amendment which adds the YMCA Camp Slingshot of \$30,000.00 which we approved at the last meeting. I entertain a motion to approve the EDIT plan as amended and then request the setting of an EDIT meeting.

Laura said we have not added enough in there to cover everything that you have approved for the Covid reimbursement. Angela did you figure out how much extra they needed to add?

Angela said I took the \$100,000.00 out for the Health Department and we did receive \$50,000.00 on Friday. I can put that back into EDIT if that is your wish to replenish the line.

Mike said the Health Department?

Laura said their Covid Clinic grant, you were going to pay their expenses until the grant came in, so you added some into EDIT for that and then some of their PPE.

Mike said the big one there is the Sheriff.

Laura said right and when you added that we didn't add any additional to cover everything, so I am wondering if we need to add that before we do another EDIT meeting.

Gary said you said they had \$225,000.00 which we have appropriated and we needed \$254,723.00.

Meeks said I sent an e-mail out last week.

Laura said my figures came up to we needed and additional \$130,000.00 but that was before that \$50,000.00 came in.

Angela said so we can lower that.

Laura said if we take that \$50,000.00 off, we would need an additional \$80,000.00 so we could cover what Art has ordered. That is assuming you want to put that \$50,000.00 back into EDIT and then we will get another \$50,000.00 at some point.

Mike said did we transfer \$100,000.00 to the Health Department for the clinic?

Angela said yes.

Mike said do you know when the additional \$50,000.00 will come in?

Angela said we will get two more installments, this one was supposed to come in prior to October 1<sup>st</sup> and it did not.

Debi Wymer said we are supposed to get another \$25,000.00 October 1<sup>st</sup> and another \$25,000.00 November 2<sup>nd</sup>.

Angela said we just got the first \$50,000.00 on Friday.

Gary said Art do you have any idea on your items how soon they will be billed?

Art said I do not, we just ordered those so we do not have a ship date or anything like that.

Mike said the other addition is that Chris Shaneyfelt is indicating our phone system would be Cares Act reimbursable and that will be somewhere based pon the quotes between \$100,000.00 to \$180,000.00. You left us a little short on our EDIT fund. The balance you added back in you didn't add back in Advanced Manufacturing.

Angela said I wasn't sure on that one.

Mike said and Ridgeville's grant and the trail grant, those are both dead issues, that is another \$80,000.00 plus \$25,000.00. that is another \$105,000.00 and again if it is reimbursable then its pretty appropriate to do that. So, how much do we need?

Laura said I think you need to do an additional \$80,000.00 at this point to cover everything that you have approved and that is taking the \$50,000.00 out because I had it at \$130,000.00 and then if you take that \$50,000.00 and put it back in EDIT, we can go with \$80,000.00.

Mike said that means paragraph 36 is \$380,000.00.

Meeks said we can make the change if that is what you want. I will e-mail it out to Laura.

Mike asked are we all in favor of that?

Gary said I am.

Mike said amending the EDIT plan in two places paragraph 36 county health fund is \$380,000.00 and paragraph 37 YMCA Camp Slingshot is \$30,000.00. Our total becomes \$4,114,919.73.

Meeks said I would just add the \$80,000.00 to the very end, it makes it clean so we know what we did.

Mike said that doesn't change the total, it just makes it paragraph 38 for \$80,000.00 and that would be for Covid response expenses.

Tom made a motion to approve the changes to the EDIT plan. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion can-ied.

#### Camp Slingshot grant letter

Mike said the Camp Slingshot grant letter, this is a letter that we need to send to recipient of our grant as required by State board of Accounts and this basically says that we are giving you a grant for \$30,000.00 and the monies will be used as we have indicated. Then we ask them to sign it and send it back to us for our files.

Gary made a motion to approve this letter dated October 5 contingent upon the EDIT board approval of our EDIT plan. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion can-ied.

Mike asked when do you want to do an EDIT board meeting? Do you want to do it on October 14<sup>th</sup> at 6:00 pm?

Tom said that is fine with me.

Gary said okay the 14<sup>th</sup>.

#### Minutes of July 6 & July 20, 2020

Mike said we received a copy of these minutes via e-mail are there any additions, corrections or deletions to those minutes.

Tom made a motion to approve both sets of minutes as presented. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

#### **Comp time reports**

Mike said we received a comp time report via e-mail, an abbreviated one, it looks like we have the full report at our place, I could not open the full one from the e-mail. I would prefer if you could try and resend those.

Laura said I can do that.

#### Resolution 2020-18

Mike said 2020-18 is a resolution designating certain property at the old highway garage as surplus property. It gives us the opportunity to sell that property. We received a copy of this resolution via e-mail, so we know what the property is.

#### RESOLUTION 2020-18

A RESOLUTION TO DECLARE CERTAIN PERSONAL PROPERTY OF RANDOLPH COUNTY, INDIANA,
AS UNNEEDED, AND
TO BE CONSIDERED SURPLUS PROPERTY FOR DISPOSAL

WHEREAS, the Randolph County Board of Commissioners ("Board") is empowered to declare unneeded propelly to be surplus property; and

WHEREAS, the Board may authorize the disposal of surplus propeliy pursuant to IC 5-22-22 *et seq.*,·

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Board that:

1. Pursuant to IC 5-22-22-8, the items listed on Exhibit "A", attached hereto, shall be considered to be surplus and wolihless property ("Property") for purposes of disposal.

- 2. The value of the property is less than the estimated costs of the sale and transportation of the propeliy.
- 3. The Property may be sold at public or private sale, and the Board shall allow Andy Wagner from Wagner Auctioneering and Real Estate to sell or otherwise dispose of the Property.
- 4. Proceeds from the sale of the items set forth in Exhibit "A", shall be placed in the fund from which the item was purchased. If no fund can be identified for a particular item, the proceeds shall be placed in the General Fund.
- 5. If the Propeliy fails to sell at public or private sale it may be demolished or scrapped, or, if hazardous, be disposed of for recycling.
- 6. The Property may be removed from the Randolph County Indiana fixed asset inventory.

House Jack and Fence Stretcher
Hand Tools
Wright Model 05 Chain Hoist
Electric Hydraulic Pump
Dr. Field/Brush Mower
Tire Cage
Pitch Forks
Huskee Log Splitter 5.5 HP 27 Ton

Tom made a motion to approve Resolution 2020-18. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

# Ordinance 2020-10- 2<sup>nd</sup> reading

Mike said the next item is the 2020-10 an Ordinance for the second reading for the broadband ready Ordinance.

# ORDINANCE NO. 2020-10 RANDOLPH COUNTY BROADBAND READY COMMUNITY RANDOLPH COUNTY, INDIANA

WHEREAS, this Ordinance establishes Randolph County Broadband Ready within Randolph County Government and shall be effective as of the date of the passage of this ordinance.

WHEREAS, Randolph County seeks to promote private investment in broadband infrastructure, and

WHEREAS, Randolph County seeks to be designated as a Broadband Ready Community pursuant to IC 5-28-28.5.

NOW THEREFOR, be it ordained by the Randolph County Commissioners as follows:

- 1. As used in this chapter, "permit" means any local permit, license, certificate, approval, registration, or similar form of approval required by policy, administrative rule, regulation, ordinance, or resolution with respect to a project.
- 2. As used in this chapter, "project" means the construction or deployment of wireline or wireless communications facilities to provide communications services (as defined in IC 8-1-32.5-3) in a unit.
- 3. Notwithstanding any other provision of this ordinance, regulation, policy or practice, the following shall apply to a project:

Randolph County Board of Commissioners shall:

- a. appoint a single point of contact for all matters related to a project;
- b. establish procedures to allow all forms, applications, and documentation related to a project to be filed or submitted and signed by electronic means;
- c. review and approve or reject all applications for a permit related to a project within ten (10) business days after an application is filed or submitted;
- d. assure that after an application is approved pursuant to subsection (3)(c), any inspections, including any additional necessary approvals, related to a project will occur in a timely and expeditious manner.
- 4. Randolph County shall adopt adequate processes and procedures to implement the provisions of Section 3. Processes and procedures established hereunder may not do the following:
  - a. Require an application to designate a final contractor to complete a project;
  - b. Impose a fee to review an application or issue a pennit for a project;
  - c. Impose a seasonal moratorium on the issuance of permits for a project;
  - d. Discriminate among communications service providers or utilities with respect to any action described in this section or otherwise related to a project, including granting access to public rights-of-way, infrastructure and poles, river and bridge crossings, and any other physical assets owned or controlled by Randolph County.

Mike said we passed this after the first reading at our last meeting and now it is time for the second reading.

Gary made a motion to pass the second reading of Ordinance 2020-10 by title only.

Mike said I have a motion to pass the second reading of:

# ORDINANCE NO. 2020-10 RANDOLPH COUNTY BROADBAND READY COMMUNITY RANDOLPH COUNTY, INDIANA

Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Gary said I will move to suspend the rules and have the third and final reading by title only and adopt the same day. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Gary made a motion to adopt Ordinance 2020-10. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

#### Ouad Med Clinic and Medicare Stipend tabled from 9/21/20

Mike said the Quad Med Clinic and Medicare Stipend tabled from 9/21/20. Is there any business on these two items? Hearing none, I am going to move on.

#### Angel Tree Request for use of Courthouse area

Mike said we received this request via e-mail.

Tom made a motion to approve the Angel Tree request. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

#### **Additional Appropriations:**

Ridgeville Public Library General Fund - Assistant Librarian

\$2,316.00

Tom made a motion to approve this request for additional appropriation. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

#### **LIT Special Purpose - Communications Project**

\$725,000.00

Gary made a motion to approve the additional appropriation for the Communications project. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

#### Meeks Cockerill

Meeks said I sent an e-mail out to everybody late last week, I received a bunch of these fiber optic easement agreements back signed, apparently there is a load of them at Indianapolis according to the e-mail. I need your three signatures plus Laura's plus a notary plus a witness to the notary. I don't know how you want to handle that. Could we leave these at your office and each one of you go down and sign in front of Angela or how do you want to do that.

Mike said what are you doing about the ones that didn't agree.

Meeks said there are three of them and Tom and I talked about and I don't know what we are going to do. I think Tom is going to talk to one of them and I told him I could talk to one or two, the ones I know. So, I will leave these with Angela. The second one there were two roads that we were going to straighten on the Headwaters II wind project, I thought we had ordered the appraisals but Matt didn't seem to think we did. I am going to get them ordered now, Andy Wagner could not do them. I will get those ordered and hopefully get them within the next couple of weeks.

#### **Tom Chalfant**

Tom said the last meeting we talked about using the farm account money for removing the tanks from the Highway garage. I thought it might be a good idea to take the revenue from this lease, the \$1,710.00 a month and put that in the fann account so we could replenish that account for future improvements of the count falm property. Ed also thought it was a good idea.

Mike said I think we zeroed that account out in the budget, did we not?

Angela said yes.

Mike said so if we have \$1700.00 coming in for rent can we ear mark it for our farm maintenance account.

Angela said that is up to Council, we will receipt it into general.

Tom made a motion to apply the \$1,710.00 from the old highway facility to the fann maintenance account. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Mike said we have the money in the account this year to spend on those.

Tom said for the tanks and there will be some left over.

Meeks said it can be encumbered.

#### **Gary Girton**

Gary said the letter we got from Lick Skillet, what should we do with that?

Mike said I think we turn it over to our Area Planning Commission director.

Gary said I agree.

Mike said to start the nuisance process.

Gary said EMS, I haven't talked to Duane but I know they lost another paramedic. They are having issues. I don't know if they are very short handed or not.

Mike said I have not talked to Duane other than I got the e-mail about one drive that went to Muncie for \$7.00 more an hour. That would be a council decision.

Gary said right, but it is an issue.

Gary said I have not had a chance to talk to Jake, have either of you about the update on the heating system here. Is it ready to go?

Mike said I have not talked to him either. I am trusting that the heat is on.

Gary said they were having trouble with strong winds.

Mike said did they get that solved?

Tom said the equipment is up, I would assume it is solved.

Gary said I know it is up, I didn't know if it had been tested.

Mike said we probably ought to just ask Jake to be here at a certain time each meeting and ask him any questions we might have.

Gary said we can have him on first thing.

Mike said do you want to share that with him or do you want Laura to.

Gary said Laura can.

Laura said you want him added to every agenda first thing.

Mike said yes at 9:00 am and then he can get in and get out.

Mike said do you want me to contact Randy about the nuisance.

Meeks said they need to file a complaint and then there is a process.

Mike said 300 N and 500 W is that over by you.

Tom said it is Lick Skillet.

Mike said do you want to go see these folks and tell them because they did not give us any contact infolmation.

Tom said yes, I will go talk to them. Should I tell her that the Commissioner's agree with her.

Mike said I would say that we are. Something needs to be done.

#### Mike Wickersham

We received a thank you note from the Randolph County Antique Club. Thanking the three of us for being friends of the club and it is signed by everyone at the club.

Mike said the only other item I have is our Veterans Service Officer. I trust we have got no more applications and we have one application. Do we want to interview that applicant and see if he is qualified and if he is some one that we want to be the Veterans Service Officer or do we want to extend our posting?

Gary said it becomes vacant the end of the month.

Mike said he is already gone.

Laura said he left at the end of August.

Tom said does the office manager still open up every day.

Mike said yes. I think she is qualified but she has not applied for the job. At least from my conversation with Mike Kennedy, he is surprised that she didn't apply for the job. The question is do we extend our posting for another two weeks and deal with this in the second meeting in October.

Tom said yes.

Gary said do we want to send her a note to see if she is interested.

Mike said I will go visit her.

#### **Citizen Comments**

**Todd Longfellow** said on the striping on Greenville Pike a lot of the drivers are Ohio drivers. I know it makes no sense to restripe the whole thing but about ten years ago they did restripe the road and two weeks later they came back and resurfaced right over it. The last time they restriped it the quality of paint was almost like a translucent paint. You could see the road all the way through it, it was a pour quality paint. That is one reason why it is still not there now. If they could do four places in interim, one would be extended on the state line and then there is a full width right there where two cars can pass at the same time and that needs to be divided and that low spot west Bartonia those people heading east they cut that short all the time. There is another curve west of the road that goes past the airport, its that curve, that is the four dangerous spots, everything else is pretty much straight.

Mike said that sounds like a pretty good suggestion.

Gary said I agree.

Mike said if they are going to be out striping, I would think they could do that.

Todd said it is about 2000- or 2500-feet total to do all that.

Mike said if we sent Mike Haffner out to you, you could identify with certainty those areas that you think need to be striped.

Todd said I can call him.

Mike said do you want to authorize a certain amount of money to be spent on Greenville Pike?

Gary said I think that is a good idea.

Mike said do you want to say up to \$4,500.00 that should do 2000 feet if the whole thing is \$8,800.00.

Todd said there may be some other dangerous area's but those are the four that I think should be done.

Mike said we will have Mike Haffner get with you to locate those.

Gary made a motion to approve spending up to \$4,500.00 to stripe the dangerous areas of Greenville Pike. Tom seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Ceann Bales from Economic Development office said I just wanted to let you guys know that the revolving loan we had 29 applications sent out and we had nine sent back in and submitted. The committee will be reviewing them this Thursday, then it will be taken to the RCED board for approval on the 20<sup>th</sup> and I will be brining those to you on November 2<sup>nd</sup> to review. We are tracking who is asking for an application so we know who has returned and what is still out there for the Committee.

Mike said nine have been returned?

Ceann said yes and we will be accepting applications the first Friday of every month.

Mike said what is the average size of those applications?

Ceann said everyone so far has asked for the maximum of \$25,000.00.

Mike asked are there any other citizen comments? Hearing none I would entertain a motion to adjourn.

#### **Adjournment**

Tom made a motion to adjourn. Gary seconded. All aye votes. Motion carried.

Reviewed and signed this \_\_L\_f day of \_Xnve.r y ... , 2021.

# /JAf,aLJ«i

Öhc.,

;z;/