	Respondent				
<	42	Anonymous	~	11:33 Time to complete	>

## County/Region Information

1. County or Region \*

Monroe County

2. Name of person entering this survey \*

Becca Streit

3. Name, title and role of all Local JRAC members participating in this survey: \*

Please see attached list of JRAC members.

4. Title/Position \*

Community Corrections Executive Director/ Deputy Chief Probation Officer

5. Agency \*

Monroe Circuit Court Probation

			L J.
<b>6</b>	⊢n	กลเ	ı ⊼
		141	

bstreit@co.monroe.in.us		

# Part I: Policy Level Collaboration

7. The individual stakeholders listed below are represented on an existing or planned/proposed local JRAC. \*

	Yes	No
Chief public defender or designee		
Elected Prosecutor or designee		
Director of local community mental health center or designee		
Sheriff or designee		
Director of Community Corrections or designee		
Chief Probation Officer or designee		
President of county executive or designee		
President of county fiscal body or designee		
Circuit or Superior Court Judge exercising criminal jurisdiction		
Other non-statutory members		

8. Hov	w was your Local JRAC forme	ed? *		
	Community Corrections Advisory	Board		
	Existing Local Policy Team			
	Newly Created			
	Local JRAC is not yet established			
	rently, which best describes ets: *	the frequency with	n which your Loca	al JRAC
	Stakeholders meet more often tha	an quarterly		
	Stakeholders meet quarterly			
	Stakeholders meet less often than	quarterly		
as c	w confident is your jurisdiction of the confident is your jurisdiction of the Local JRAC states of the confidence of the	atute, to collabora	te and implemen	
	Highly confident			
	Confident			
	Not confident			
	Unsure			
11. Has	your Local JRAC developed *	the following:		
		Yes	No	Unsure
В	y-laws			

	Yes	No	Unsure
A systemwide vision and mission statement			
Operating norms (often referred to as "ground rules") for the team's interactions/processes			
A system map			
A common set of system improvement goals/change targets			

12. Has your jurisdiction reviewed/evaluated local jail crowding and recommended a range of possible crowding solutions?

Yes

No

13. When was jail crowding review/evaluation completed? \*

June 20, 2021

# Part II: Evidence-Based Knowledge

In the justice system, the term "evidence" is used in a variety of ways. The term "evidence-based policy and practice," or EBP, is used to describe findings from empirically sound social science research and is at the core of efforts to reduce risk among justice-involved people and enhance public safety.

14. Please discuss the above term; consider the amount of exposure the different stakeholder groups have had to EBP; and, based on your council's perceptions, rate each stakeholder group's knowledge of EBP: \*

	Limited	Moderate	High	Unsure	N/A
Judges					
Other Court staff					
County Council					
County Commissioners					
Law Enforcement					
Prosecutors' Office					
Public Defenders					
Probation staff					
Community Corrections Staff					
Community Mental Health Centers					

### Part III: Implementation of Statewide Risk Reduction Policies and **Practices**

15. The Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS) is a criminogenic risk and needs assessment used statewide by the department of correction, courts, parole, probation, and community corrections. In your jurisdiction, at which stages of the criminal justice process is the IRAS completed and considered?

Yes No Unsure Pretrial Sentencing **Community Corrections** Probation

	Yes	No	Unsure
Parole			
Reentry			

16. Please discuss your council's understanding of the research supporting use of a validated criminogenic risk assessment and how the results can inform decision making. Is the Indiana statewide risk assessment system understood by stakeholders?

	Yes	No	Unsure
Behavioral health			
Community Corrections			
County Commissioners			
County Council			
Judge(s)			
Law Enforcement			
Probation			
Prosecutors			
Public defender			
Others: (not required by statute)			

17. Is the Indiana statewide risk assessment system accepted as a valid instrument by stakeholders?

	Yes	No	Unsure
Behavioral health			

	Yes	No	Unsure
Community Corrections			
County Commissioners			
County Council			
Judge(s)			
Law Enforcement			
Probation			
Prosecutors			
Public defender			
Others: (not required by statute)			

18. Do stakeholder agencies agree that interventions for people who are at low risk to reoffend should be limited and instead, that intensive interventions are best reserved for higher risk offenders?

	Generally Yes	Generally No	Unsure
Behavioral health			
Community Corrections			
County Commissioners			
County Council			
Judge(s)			
Law Enforcement			
Probation			
Prosecutors			
Public defender			

Generally No

Unsure

Generally Yes

	deficially res	deficially 140	Olisare
Others: (not required by statute)			
19. In our jurisdiction: *			
	Yes	No	Unsure
Probation routinely supervises and provides treatment services based on criminogenic needs identified through the risk assessment system and other assessment instruments.			
Community corrections routinely supervises and provides treatment services based on criminogenic needs identified through the risk assessment system and other assessment instruments.			
Probation routinely utilizes curricula and other risk reduction interventions that are based upon research.			
Community corrections routinely utilizes curricula and other risk reduction interventions that are based upon research.			

20. Please indicate the extent to which your JRAC is effective in these areas:

	Highly	Generally, but not in consistently	Some mprovementi needed	A lot of mprovement needed	Not started yet
Working as a high functioning team.					
Making decisions that impact the system as a whole.					
Establishing a shared vision, mission, and values.					
Understanding current criminal justice system operations in a detailed way (e.g., completed a system map).					
Developing performance measures and benchmarks.					
Collecting and analyzing data.					
Understanding and effectively implementing the risk reduction literature throughout the justice system.					
Developing risk reduction skills among those working directly with people in the criminal justice system.					
Ensuring that agencies implement and routinely carry out fidelity and quality assurance practices.					

### Part IV: Services and Programs

21. Local services and programs in your jurisdiction:

	Mostly	Some	None
Are delivered to people involved in the justice system based on criminogenic needs identified by the risk assessment system and other ancillary assessment tools.			
Utilize curricula that are evidence-based.			

22. Please check all services and programs in your county that have been certified by the Indiana Office of Court Services:

<b>✓</b>	Adult Problem-Solving Court(s)
	Juvenile Problem-Solving Court(s)
<b>✓</b>	Pretrial Services
<b>✓</b>	Court Alcohol and Drug Program
	Not applicable

23. If your jurisdiction has operational adult problem-solving court(s), please list how many:

Drug Treatment Court; Mental Health Court; Veterans Court; Reentry Court

24. If your jurisdiction has operational juvenile problem-solving court(s), please list how many:

N/A		
IN/A		

25. Does your	jurisdiction	participate	in the	Juvenile	Detention	Alternatives
Initiative?	*					

- Yes
- No
- Unsure

### Part V: Performance Measurement/Quality Improvement

Does your jurisdictions' current data systems capture the following:

- 26. The number of law enforcement calls resulting in a criminal citation per year? \*
  - Yes
  - O No
  - Unsure
- 27. Can the number of law enforcement calls resulting in a criminal citation per year be analyzed by the following? (check all that apply)

Race

Gender

✓ Sex

None of the above

28. The number of law enforcement calls resulting in a custodial arrest per year? \*

Race

Gender

Sex

None of the above

32. The number and type of misdemeanor filings per year? \*

Race

Gender

Sex

None of the above

36. The number and type of people offered a prosecutorial diversion from traditional case processing per year? \*

other reasons on a given day? \*

Yes

Unsure

40. Can the number of people held in jail solely on pretrial matters vs. those held for other reasons on a given day be analyzed by the following? (Check all that apply) *
Race
Gender
✓ Sex
None of the above
41. The number of people held in jail serving a court-imposed sentence on a given day?
Yes
○ No
Unsure
42. Can the number of people held in jail serving a court-imposed sentence on a given day be analyzed by the following? (Check all that apply) *
Race
Gender
✓ Sex
None of the above
43. The number and type of people placed on probation supervision per year?
Yes
○ No

47. The number of people who are revoked from probation supervision per year?

Yes

No.

Unsure

48. Can the number of people who are revoked from probation supervision pe	er
year be analyzed by the following? (Check all that apply)	
*	

Race

Gender

Sex

None of the above

49. The number of people who are revoked from community correction supervision per year?

Yes

O No

Unsure

50. Can the number of people who are revoked from community correction supervision per year be analyzed by the following? (Check all that apply)

Race

Gender

Sex

None of the above

51. The number and type of people sentenced/returned to the Department of Corrections per year?

Yes

No

56. Is any local recidivism data	a collected? *		
Yes			
No			
57. Has your council agreed o	n a set of system	nwide local perforr	mance measures?
Yes		γ	
No			
58. Does your council have th			
research-informed practic	es are incorporat	ed into decision n	naking? *
	Yes	No	Unsure
Systemwide strategic			
plan or system-level logic model			
Quality assurance for			
fidelity of program implementation			
Strategies to collect			
and analyze performance			
measurement			
data			
Strategies to use data and performance			
measures to improve identified criminal			
justice issues			
59. If yes to any of the above,	please describe:		

Are any of your council agencies engaged in a research project aimed at evaluating criminal justice system performance (e.g., local university partner, county planner)?  *
Yes
○ No
Unsure

#### 61. If yes, please describe:

Reducing Revocations Challenge (Probation); Alliances to Disseminate Addiction Prevention and Treatment (Probation); Use of Fines/Fees (Probation); Pretrial Sanctions and Incentives (Probation); Substance Use in Pretrial Supervision (Probation)

# Part VI: Community Engagement Efforts

This is a long-standing practice of ours

The following questions seek to understand the extent to which stakeholders and/or your local JRAC have undertaken community education and engagement in an effort to assist the local community in understanding and supporting criminal justice best practices.

t	To what extent have local stakeholders <i>jointly</i> developed a deliberate strategy o educate the local community (i.e., representatives of various interest groups as well as citizens at large) about relevant crime and risk reduction research, and efforts underway to apply these findings locally?  *
	Not yet discussed/considered
(	Discussed/considered but not yet started
(	Underway

## End of Report - Requested Attachments

Please email the following documents if available to JRAC@courts.in.gov (mailto:JRAC@courts.in.gov):

Local JRAC Member list By-Laws Vision and/or Mission Statements **Ground Rules for Interactions** System Map System Improvement Goals Jail Crowding Evaluation/Recommendations Performance Measures

Criminal Justice Research Projects/Reports