

Data Sharing & Mapping Task Force
Commission on Improving the Status of Children in Indiana
March 18, 2014
Meeting Minutes

Note: There is also a Task List at the end of the Minutes—if you have homework, it will be listed there! Please check the Task List. Thank you.

1. The Task Force met on Tuesday, March 18, 2014 from 2:30 p.m. to 4:30 p.m. in the JTAC Training Room, 30 South Meridian Street, 5th floor. The following members were present: Lilia Judson of the Division of State Court Administration (STAD), (Co-Chair); Julie Whitman of the Indiana Youth Institute (IYI), (Co-Chair); Mary Allen, Criminal Justice Institute (CJI); Ann Hartman, Connect2Help/211; Chris Waldron, Indiana State Department of Health (ISDH); Paul Baltzell, Indiana Office of Technology (IOT); Cynthia Smith, Department of Child Services (DCS); Mary DePrez, Judicial Technology & Automation Committee (JTAC); and, Tom Bodin, Indiana Attorney General’s office (IAG). Dr. Susan Smith of Casey Family Programs attended via teleconference. Additionally, the following guests attended the meeting: Lynne Hammer, IAG; Cynthia Longest, DCS Deputy Director of Child Support; Barbara Seely and Joshua Sprunger, NAMI Indiana (National Alliance on Mental Illness); and, Amy Karozos, Youth Law T.E.A.M. of Indiana. The meeting was staffed by Ruth Reichard, STAD staff attorney. Not present: Jeff Tucker, DCS; Kevin Moore of the Division of Mental Health and Addiction (DMHA); and, Joshua Towns, Department of Education (DOE). Barry Salovitz, Casey Family Programs, and Michael Commons, STAD, also did not attend.
2. The Chairs welcomed those in attendance and everyone introduced themselves.
3. The members reviewed the minutes of the January 24, 2014 meeting and approved those minutes unanimously.
4. Since we had guests present, Lilly and Julie discussed our task force’s purposes within the larger context of the Commission’s work. They described our mission as facilitating data sharing among Commission members, and also mapping substance abuse and mental health service providers. They reviewed the Executive Committee’s Task Force Protocol (copies of which were distributed), along with the organization chart and the one-page summary of the Children’s Commission structure and mission. Ruth explained that our immediate goal is to produce a map and accompanying narrative for the Executive Committee to include in the Commission’s first report to the legislature, which is due July 1st.
5. Mary DePrez gave a report from the Technical Subcommittee (copies of which were distributed). She distributed a written report and summarized it by saying that in her view, we need to explore combining forces with 211. Tom mentioned that IPLA, the Indiana Professional Licensing Association, would have good information on individual professionals and private-sector firms. Paul offered that the Secretary of State’s office should also have information on private businesses, provided they are required to register. He also offered to add search fields for mental health and substance abuse services to the “MPH” (Management and Performance Hub) database he is creating (see link to news release below). Tom and Paul believe we can leverage the Governor’s new emphasis on key performance indicators for each agency and fit our data requests into this new initiative. Paul distributed copies of the

Governor's March 17th news release and Executive Order 14-06. The URLs to those documents are listed below.

- a. See the news release here:

http://www.in.gov/activecalendar/EventList.aspx?fromdate=3/1/2014&todate=3/18/2014&display=Day&type=public&eventidn=163461&view=EventDetails&information_id=197540

- b. See the Executive Order here:

http://www.in.gov/gov/files/Executive_Order_14-06.pdf

Paul stated that if we can identify important fields for him to include in his data requests, he would be happy to help us. They are only planning on collecting data from executive branch agencies right now. He believes that what we are doing is very compatible with the aims of the new Executive Order. Lilly appointed a small committee to identify the key data points we are seeking, so that we can give them to Paul before our next task force meeting. The committee will generate a detailed list of exactly what data we want. Those people are: Lilly, Julie, Ann, Mary D., and Ruth. Paul also mentioned that there is a GIS component to this new project of the Governor's, and that IOT has GIS capability.

6. With that, Chris distributed his latest map of available mental health and substance abuse services for youth. The three sources for his map are: 211/Connect2Help; our own very small database of survey responses; and, the federal SAMHSA listings for our state. Lilly and Julie would like Chris and Ann to work together to produce a new map that also shows unfulfilled 211 requests (in other words, unmet needs) for these services. Ann will contact all of the 211 call centers to get their data, and Chris will show the requests by ZIP Code and by county.
7. Lynne and Tom then discussed liability issues from the perspective of the Attorney General's office. Given the very recent news about the "MPH" initiative, they believe we are better off legally to wait and see what the Governor's office does with respect to disclaimers and liability, since they are now (or soon will be) collecting much of the data we are seeking via the Executive Order.
8. Cynthia Smith and Cynthia Longest then talked about DCS's four databases: MaGIK, KidTraks, Datatude/Family Wise, and ISETS (the child support system). The databases help DCS fulfill its two missions: child safety and child support. MaGIK is DCS's case management software; it tracks children and also family outcomes. KidTraks is financial; it handles billing from service providers, reports from providers to DCS, and helps providers track invoices. Datatude/Family Wise are prevention programs; an outside vendor provides reports to DCS based on requests made. This database handles cases that are high-risk, but where no CHINS petition has been filed. These systems can track the same family, and one or two of them can "talk" with each other. They track by child, and track by family. ISETS is mostly focused on custodial and noncustodial parents and children. It is somewhat outdated, and it handles thousands of cases a year. A new system, INvest, is about 6 or 7 years away. Due to the large amount of sensitive financial information associated with child support collection, the laws are very strict regarding who can access this database; so, other system professionals can't really access ISETS. INvest may be more flexible. ISETS does have an interface with MaGIK for a

small group of children. DCS also compiles child welfare reports and aggregates them by statewide, regional, and county levels for JC and JD cases. The mental health and substance abuse providers list from KidTraks is available in an Excel spreadsheet and could be mapped. Paul said the state IOT could map those for public consumption. There was some discussion about whether that amount of information would be overwhelming, and whether we would just want the Commission to see it first, in order to identify gaps. The creation of a publicly searchable database/map is not in the Commission's mission.

9. Joshua Sprunger and Barbara Seely presented next. Josh is the Executive Director of NAMI Indiana, and Barbara is the CIT for Youth Coordinator. NAMI focuses on serious mental illnesses, such as those involving psychosis, suicide, etc., and offers peer support, family support, and works on criminal justice issues. They estimate that 30,000 children in Indiana have serious emotional disturbances. There are 20 NAMI affiliates around the state, and they actively partner with DOC, DCS, and DMHA. They focus on crisis intervention with a sequential intercept model, and their CIT program focuses on law enforcement; think of it as a pre-arrest diversion program. They work with school resource officers as well, with a goal of getting the children help instead of getting them involved in the juvenile justice system. NAMI has a contract with DMHA to expand the CIT for youth into more Indiana counties (they are currently in Marion County and are hoping to expand to six more counties—Allen, Dearborn/Franklin, Vanderburgh, Henry, St. Joseph, & Bartholomew). Part of that expansion work is asset mapping. The mapping project attempts to locate mental health and substance abuse services—especially those that are available after-hours, in a crisis situation—as alternatives to arrest and detention for juveniles in crisis. There is a significant overlap between our project and theirs, although NAMI's work is more narrow (and deeper) than ours. Barbara gave an overview of what they are learning about the availability of these services, and she will return to our May meeting with an update. She is currently sorting through a list of 163 hospitals in the state to identify whether they have emergency services for youth in crisis. Barbara will also share an Excel spreadsheet listing the resources she has identified so far with Chris for inclusion on our map. She is also compiling a database of CIT officers.
10. Amy Karozos spoke next. Amy is a Staff Attorney at the Youth Law T.E.A.M. of Indiana who works on the Indiana Juvenile Mental Health Screening, Assessment, and Treatment Project. She described their mental health screening program, which is based on the MAYSI-2 (Massachusetts Youth Screening Instrument). It's currently operational in 16 of 22 detention centers/intake centers. The Indiana detention standards (in the Indiana Administrative Code under the DOC standards) are being revised to include the MAYSI-2 as a requirement at intake, but Amy believes it will be at least a year before these are enacted. The screening can be done at probation, too, so we could propose a court rule requiring it for every JD case. The screening is optional; youths rarely refuse. The results are currently at the Youth Law T.E.A.M. offices, but they are working with JTAC to connect it with an INcite app (the parts that are not protected by HIPAA, such as demographic information). They would like to see the MAYSI-2 institutionalized at the state level, with an INcite app, and everyone using it. Amy will follow up with Ruth with suggestions for how our task force could assist her.
11. By this time, it was after 4:30 p.m. The members agreed to table the discussion of agenda items 5.c. ("Discussion of possible project: tracking educational outcomes for kids in the system, partnering with DOE, DCS, DOC (linking DCS, QUEST, and DOC numbers for each child in order to track his/her educational progress throughout the different placements/phases of a case)") and 6., "Request from Substance Abuse & Child Safety Task Force." However, Julie

asked anyone with knowledge about the information Senator Head is requesting (who collects it, who doesn't collect it, etc.) to please email her before our next meeting.

12. **Next meeting:** the Task Force's next meeting will be on Wednesday, May 7, from 1:30 p.m. to 3:30 p.m. in the JTAC Training Room at 30 South Meridian Street, 5th floor. Once again, we will have a conference call set up for the next meeting so that personnel from Casey Family Programs can call into the meeting.

TASK LIST

Barbara Seely

1. At May 7 meeting, give an update about status of NAMI Indiana's mapping project.
2. By April 14, share a current list of resources (in Excel spreadsheet format) with Chris Waldron for inclusion in our map.

Amy Karozos

1. Follow up with Ruth with suggestions for how our task force can help the Youth Law T.E.A.M. get MAYSI-2 required in all JD cases around the state.

Ann Hartman

1. By April 14, give data on unfilled requests for substance abuse and mental health services for children and youth (age 18 and younger) from 211 callers around the state (unmet needs, in other words) to Chris Waldron for mapping.

Chris Waldron

1. By April 24, prepare an updated map based on Ann's data and share with Ruth. This map (these maps?) will show data by both ZIP Code and counties.

Ruth Reichard

1. By May 2, draft a narrative to accompany Chris's map, and circulate to Julie, Lilly, Chris, and Ann for review to make sure it is accurate.

Mary DePrez, Ann Hartman, Ruth Reichard, Julie Whitman, & Lilia Judson

1. By April 21, meet to identify key data points which we will then give to Paul Baltzell to evaluate whether they can be included in the MPH (Management & Performance Hub)