

2025-2026 Annual Review Guide		
Total Maximum Points = 450		
		Points
<b>Leadership</b>	<b>Scoring Criteria</b>	<b>60</b>
Changes in Key Personnel (CTE Director) within the last two years	0 points if the local recipient has not experienced changes in key personnel during the last 2 years. 20 points if the local recipient has experienced changes in key personnel during the last 2 years.	20
Last time eligible recipient received on-site monitoring visit	0 points if the local recipient received an on-site visit last year 20 points if the local recipient did not receive an on-site visit last year	20
Changes in the consortium agreement or structure of the District	0 points if no changes in the consortium agreement 10 points if changes in consortium agreement	10
Eligible recipient meets submission deadlines for Local Application and CLNA	0 points if the local recipient meets submission deadlines 10 points if the local recipient needed an extension	10
<b>Programming</b>	<b>Scoring Criteria</b>	<b>110</b>
Grant questions related to program quality or labor market alignment are updated and complete (Questions 1, 3, 6, 8, and 9)	0 points if all parts of questions are answered and responses are detailed 10 points if all questions are answered but some responses lack detail 20 points if any questions are unanswered or all questions lack sufficient detail	20
Adoption Forms are updated and size and scope definition are met	0 points if both size <u>and</u> scope definition are met 15 points if only size <u>or</u> scope definition is met 30 points if neither definition is met or meeting of definition cannot be determined due to incomplete adoption forms	30
Adoption Forms are updated and complete, and outdated forms have been removed	0 points if adoption forms are complete and no outdated adoption forms are found 10 points if fewer than half of adoption forms are incomplete or significant number of outdated adoption forms are found 20 points if more than half of adoption forms are incomplete	20
Program of Study Questions are updated, complete, and all portions of the question are addressed	0 points if all parts of questions are answered and responses are detailed 10 points if all questions are answered but some responses lack detail 20 points if any questions are unanswered or all questions lack sufficient detail	20
Program of Study Performance - Rollup - Placement, Credit, Credential, WBL	0 points if met 90% goal on all 4 5 points if met 90% goal on 3 of 4 10 points if met 90% goal on 2 of 4 20 points if met 90% goal on 1 or less	20
<b>Fiscal Practices, Policies and Procedures</b>	<b>Scoring Criteria</b>	<b>100</b>
Submits invoices that are accurate and follow rules for allowability and reasonableness.	0 points for 0-1 inaccurate invoices submitted 10 points if 2 inaccurate invoices submitted 20 points if 3+ inaccurate invoices submitted	20
The eligible recipient submits at least 1 invoice each quarter and not more than 1 each month.	0 points if submitted an invoice each quarter 10 points if submitted an invoice 3 of 4 quarters 20 points if submitted an invoice 2+ of 4 quarters	20
The eligible recipient fully expends grant funds.	0 points if 96%-100% of funds were expended in the preceding FY 5 points if 91-95% of funds expended 15 points if 81-90% of funds expended 20 points if 0-80% of funds expended/Reserve grant funds unexpended	20
The eligible recipient or fiscal agent has experienced turnover in one or more of the following positions: finance director, CFO, business manager	0 points if no turnover in identified positions in the last 2 years 10 points if turnover in identified positions in the last 2 years	10
Eligible recipient met fiscal submission requirements	0 points- all requirements submitted on time 10 points- requirements missing or submitted late	10
The eligible recipient meets all audit requirements and has no outstanding/unaddressed audit findings	0 points if have met audit requirements and have only minimal findings 10 points if have not met audit requirements or have major or severe findings 20 points- Perkins finding	20
Spend rate - 50% of grant funds are expended by January 1	Starting 26-27	

Data / Accountability	Scoring Criteria	100
Number of Indicators that failed to meet at least 90% of the agreed upon performance levels (20 points maximum)	0 points if the local recipient met at least 90% of the agreed upon performance levels for all indicators. 10 points if the local recipient failed to meet at least 90% of the agreed upon performance levels for 1-3 indicators 15 points if the local recipient failed to meet at least 90% of the agreed upon performance levels for 4-7 indicators 20 points if the local recipient failed to meet at least 90% of the agreed upon performance levels for 8 or more indicators	20
Local recipient has successfully submitted and been approved for improvement plans for failed indicators on both the full and interim plans.	0 points if the local recipient has no improvement plans to submit OR if they are done successfully 10 points if the local recipient failed to submit, and get approved, improvement plans for 1-2 indicators 15 points if the local recipient failed to submit, and get approved, improvement plans for 3-4 indicators 20 points if the local recipient failed to submit, and get approved, improvement plans for 5 or more indicators	20
Number of Indicators that failed to meet at least 90% of the agreed upon performance levels for consecutive years (20 points maximum)	0 points if the local recipient is not required to submit any improvement plan 5 points if the local recipient has to submit at least one improvement plan for a 1st year fail 10 points if the local recipient has to submit at least one improvement plan for a 2nd year fail 20 points if the local recipient has to submit at least one improvement plan for a 3rd year fail	20
Local recipient submitted data with significant red flag issues (20 points maximum)	0 points if the local recipient is not required to submit any improvement plan 5 points if the local recipient has to submit at least one improvement plan for a 1st year fail 10 points if the local recipient has to submit at least one improvement plan for a 2nd year fail 20 points if the local recipient has to submit at least one improvement plan for a 3rd year fail	20
Local recipient has new data staff (10 points maximum)	0 points if the data staff has been in place for 2 or more years 10 points if the data staff has been in place for 1 or less years	10
Local recipient meets data submission deadlines	0 points if the local recipient submitted all the required data with 0 delays/extensions 5 points if the local recipient submitted the required data with 1-2 delays/extensions 10 points if the local recipient submitted the required data with 3-4 delays/extensions	10
MOA Civil Rights	Scoring Criteria	80
Public notice requirements for Civil Rights are complete and readily available on website	0 points if minor omissions or deficiencies 10 points if multiple omissions and/or difficult to find 20 points if not available or cannot be found	20
Grant Questions related to special populations in the Local Application are updated and complete (Questions 2, 4, 5 and 7)	0 points if not missing information 10 points if mostly complete with sufficient detail 20 points if missing significant information and details	20
The recipient participated in specific Civil Rights professional development and/or data labs offered by CHE	0 points if recipient met minimum standards/expectations 10 points did not attend	10
Subgroup and special population students are proportionally represented in CTE Enrollments compared to CTE District enrollments	0 points if few and/or small gaps 10 points if some/significant gaps 20 points if several/large gaps	20
Date of last MOA/civil rights review	0 points if subrecipient received MOA review in the last 5 years 10 points if subrecipient received MOA review more than 5 years ago	10