

STATE OF INDIANA)
) SS:
COUNTY OF MARION)

BEFORE THE INDIANA
ALCOHOL AND TOBACCO COMMISSION

CAUSE NO: EX-18-021954
EX-19-005551
EV05872

Arabesk Palace LLC)
Permittee)
Permit No.: RR71-31625)
)
1701 N. Ironwood Dr)
South Bend, Indiana 46635)
_____)
Brandon Arizpe)
Permittee)
Permit No.: BR1776962)
)
2046 Hollywood Pl)
South Bend, Indiana 46616)

FILED

OCT 15 2019

INDIANA
ALCOHOL AND TOBACCO
COMMISSION

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER

Comes now the Hearing Judge for the Indiana Alcohol and Tobacco Commission (“Commission”) and submits to the Commission, pursuant to 905 IAC 1-37-12, this Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order against Arabesk Palace, LLC (“Arabesk”) and Brandon Arizpe (“Arizpe”) (Collectively “Respondents.”)

Procedural History

1. Arabesk Palace, LLC holds a beer, wine, and liquor retail permit under permit number RR71-31625 and is located at 1701 N. Ironwood Dr, South Bend, Indiana 46635.
2. Brandon Arizpe holds an employee permit under permit number BR1776962.
3. On June 4, 2019, the Prosecutor filed a Notice of Violation against Arabesk under cause number EX-18-021954. That Notice of Violation alleged the following violations; Scope of Permit (IC 7.1-3-9-9), Allowing a Minor to Loiter (IC 7.1-5-

7-10), Furnishing Alcohol to a Minor (IC 7.1-5-7-8), Rule or Regulation [hindrance or prevention] (IC 7.1-5-8-1), and Floor Plan Alterations (905 IAC 1-29-7).

4. On June 4, 2019, the Prosecutor filed a Notice of Violation against Arabesk under cause number EX-19-005551 and Arizpe under cause number EV05872. That Notice of Violation alleged a violation of Rule or Regulation [hindrance or prevention] (IC 7.1-5-8-1) against both Respondents.
5. Service of papers during permit violation procedures shall be by United States Mail or personal service. If the current address of a permittee is not ascertainable, service shall be mailed to the last known address where the person resides or has a principal place of business as shown by the commission's records. 905 IAC 1-37-2(b) and (d).
6. A copy of both of the above Notices of Violation were sent by United States mail to each Respondent individually at their address on file with the Commission on June 4, 2019.
7. The address provided by Arizpe on the application dated September 6, 2018 for permit number BR1776962 was 2046 Hollywood Place, South Bend, Indiana 46616 ("Address of Record.")
8. Included in each of the above Notices of Violation was a Notice of Prehearing Conference.
9. The Notice of Prehearing Conference stated that a prehearing conference was set in regard to the allegations of the Notice of Violation for July 11, 2019 at 10:00 a.m., at 302 W. Washington St, IGCS Room E114, Indianapolis, IN 46204.

10. On July 11, 2019, Respondents did appear for the scheduled prehearing conference. Respondent Arabesk was present and represented by manager David Farhan, and Respondent Arizpe was present and represented by himself.
11. On July 11, 2019, the Prosecutor sent an email to the Hearing Judge and Farhan which stated that the parties had indeed met on July 11, 2019, that there was not a resolution of the pending allegations, and that the parties were requesting a hearing on August 23, 2019.
12. On July 12, 2019, the Hearing Judge confirmed the above email and informed the parties that the matter would be set as requested on August 23, 2019 for final hearing.
13. Notice of the violation hearing on August 23, 2019 was sent by United States mail to both parties at the address on record with the Alcohol and Tobacco Commission, including the Address of Record for Arizpe.
14. On August 23, 2019, a Final Hearing was conducted. Farhan appeared on behalf of Arabesk, Arizpe appeared on his own behalf, and the Prosecutor was present.

Findings of Fact

15. The initial investigation into Arabesk was originated based upon a complaint received by the Indiana State Excise Police (“ISEP”) District 1 office which covers South Bend, Saint Joseph County, Indiana.
16. That complaint indicated that there were a large number of individuals which appeared to be minors engaging in the consumption of alcohol at the permit premises of Arabesk. Hearing at 0:13:37.

17. ISEP officers conducted an initial permit visit at Arabesk in November of 2018 to discuss the allegations in the initial complaint. Hearing at 0:13:58.
18. ISEP officers met with staff of Arabesk, including both Farhan and Azripe, to discuss the nature of the complaint as well as expectations regarding the monitoring of minors within the permit premises of Arabesk. Hearing at 0:14:00 – 0:14:56.
19. No violations were observed during the ISEP visit in November of 2018. Hearing at 0:15:09.
20. Arabesk was initially approved as a twenty-one and over establishment which was indicated with a “No Minors” notation on the floor plan approved and signed by ISEP Officer Michelle Traugber on June 28, 2016. Exhibit 11.
21. There was one bar on the approved floor plan. Exhibit 11.
22. The floor plan for Arabesk was amended for minors to be present at the location under 905 IAC 1-41-2(a) as indicated by the “Limited Separation Approved” notation on the amended floor plan approved and signed by ISEP Officer Michelle Traugber on January 31, 2017. Exhibit 12.
23. There was one bar on the amended approved floor plan. Exhibit 12.
24. On March 22, 2019, ISEP Officers Alex Vargo, Christopher Antes, Joseph Formato, and then-Sergeant Nicholas Canal went to the Arabesk location for a follow-up investigation. The nature of this follow-up investigation was to observe operations at the Arabesk location in reference to the initial complaint of minors being furnished alcohol at the premises. Hearing at 0:15:36.

25. Officers Vargo and Antes approached the permit premises, with Officer Formato assisting. Hearing at 0:16:00.
26. Then-Officer Antes, who has subsequently been promoted to Sergeant, heard a commotion in the rear of the location. Due to the lighting conditions, Sgt. Antes produced his department issued flash light and was able to observe a large number of individuals exiting the rear of the permit premises. Hearing at 2:11:52.
27. Sgt. Antes and Officer Vargo then moved toward the rear of the premises to ascertain the nature of the observed commotion. Hearing at 0:29:40. Officer Formato moved toward the public entrance to gather further information. Hearing at 0.16.20.
28. Officer Formato entered the premises through the main entrance. Officer Formato was acknowledged by the Arabesk staff upon entering. Hearing at 0:17:00.
29. Officer Formato observed patrons exiting out the back of Arabesk. He did not observe any patrons exiting the building via the main public entrance. Hearing at 0:16:42-0:16:51.
30. While moving to the rear of the building, Sgt. Antes and Officer Vargo overheard individuals from within the permit premises state "Excise is here!". Both officers observed numerous individuals exit the rear of the premises. Hearing at 0:29:40, 0:40:30 and 2:12:08.
31. Sgt. Antes and Officer Vargo observed that these individuals appeared to be under twenty-one (21) years of age. Hearing at 0:38:55 and 2:12:39. Many of the individuals were observed exiting with cans and cups which, based upon the

officers' training and experience, seemed to be alcoholic beverages. Hearing at 0:39:45, 0:41:43-0:42:18 and 2:12:39.

32. Sgt. Antes and Officer Vargo observed that while the young appearing individuals were exiting the building, many were running and discarding the beverage containers on the ground on the exterior of the building. Hearing 0:40:57 and 2:12:58.
33. Sgt. Antes entered the business at the rear of the building. To do so, he had to forcibly move through the large crowd of people hurriedly exiting the building. Hearing at 0:40:30 and 2:12:08.
34. Once inside of the building, Sgt. Antes observed a young-looking female within the building holding a can of White Claw Hard Seltzer which Sgt. Antes knew to be a brand of alcoholic beverage. Hearing at 0:41:43-0:42:18. Exhibit 1 and 2.
35. Sgt. Antes watched as the female exited the building at which time Sgt. Antes stopped the female to ascertain her true age. The female initially indicated that she did not have any identification. However, she subsequently provided a New York identification. The identification provided showed the female to be nineteen (19) years old. Hearing at 0:42:25 and 0:43:00.
36. Sgt. Antes escorted the minor to his police vehicle to draft a summons for minor in possession of alcohol. While at his police vehicle, the minor stated that she had received a text message which she used to gain entrance into Arabesk without providing any identification. Hearing at 0:45:18 – 0:45:48.
37. Sgt. Antes photographed the text messages received by the female in relation to Arabesk for follow-up investigation. Exhibit 3.

38. The minor admitted to gaining entrance to the business by showing the text message at the front door and obtaining the White Claw Hard Seltzer alcoholic beverage from the bar area within Arabesk without providing additional identification. Hearing at 0:45:48 and 0:47:16.
39. Officer Vargo approached a female carrying a clear cup which contained a blue liquid. Officer Vargo asked the female to stop, at which time she ran away from Officer Vargo. Officer Vargo shouted “Stop Police” and proceeded to follow the female. Hearing at 2:14:50.
40. The female then discarded the contents of the cup upon the ground. Officer Vargo was able to apprehend the female a short distance later and escorted her back to the building where the lighting conditions were better. Hearing at 2:14:50.
41. Officer Vargo asked the female for identification. At that time, she produced an identification which purported to be an Ohio driver’s license. Officer Vargo immediately recognized this as a fake id due to the nature of the item itself. Specifically, he noted that the plastic was peeling away from the corner of the card as well as the bar code on the back of the identification was pixelated and missing portions of the bar code itself. Hearing at 2:16:09 – 2:16:26.
42. The female then gave a verbal identification of which Officer Vargo could confirm through his department issued laptop. The female was confirmed as a nineteen (19) years old. Hearing at 2:18:48 – 2:19:10¹.

¹ Arabesk raised a hearsay objection to Officer Vargo’s testimony that the female he detained stated she was nineteen (19) years old. Pursuant to 905 IAC 1-37-11(a), the statement was allowed but could not be the sole basis for the order. The age and identity of the female detained by Officer Vargo was also confirmed through National

43. Officer Vargo observed that the minor's eyes were red and glossy, which based upon the officer's training and experience, indicated that she had consumed alcoholic beverages. Hearing at 2:20:03.
44. The female confirmed that the blue liquid in the clear cup was alcohol which she had purchased from the bar within Arabesk. Hearing at 2:20:35 to 2:22:08.
45. The female indicated that the crowd was told to exit the rear of the building because officers from the Indiana State Excise Police were at the premises. The female indicated that it was an employee who had informed the crowd to exit the rear of the building. Hearing at 2:22:38.
46. After completing paperwork for both minors, officers moved to the main entrance of the building to discuss their observations with the staff of Arabesk. When officers arrived at the front door, they found it to be locked. Hearing at 0:18:22, 0:49:00, and 2:23:59.
47. Officers began to knock at the door and announce "Police". Officers observed through a nearby window that staff were moving away from the front doorway where the officers were knocking. 0:50:38 and 2:23:59.
48. At one point, Farhan approached the door and stated "We are closed." Officers continued to knock and state "Police" while displaying their department issued badges. After about two minutes, officers were let into the building. Hearing at 2:23:59 – 2:24:50.
49. As officers entered the building, they noticed that only employees were left inside. Hearing at 2:24:50.

Crime Information Center (NCIC) and Indiana Data and Communications System (IDACS) so a finding that she was under the age of twenty-one (21) was supported by additional evidence.

50. Arizpe was present after Arabesk employees stated they were closed. Hearing at 2:29:18.
51. While speaking with staff of Arabesk, officers noted a newly constructed bar on the east wall of the premises that did not appear on either previously approved floor plan. Hearing at 0:51:30. Exhibits 4 and 5.
52. There were no barriers or walls around the newly constructed bar. Hearing at 0:53:56.
53. Officers noted that the bar was fully functional, with fully stocked shelves of alcoholic beverages behind the bar. Hearing at 0:56:00.
54. ISEP officer Michelle Traughber, who is the ISEP officer responsible for approving floor plans in the county in which Arabesk is located, confirmed that the bar on the east wall was not on the approved floor plan. Hearing at 1:55:30.
55. Officer Traughber discussed with Arabesk the issue of not meeting the minimum food sales required by 905 IAC 1-41-2(a) and advised that by the end of 2018 the permittee needed to make changes to the menu to increase food sales, remove the limited separation and become twenty-one and over, or put in full separation under 905 IAC 1-41-2(b). Hearing at 1:50:00.
56. On January 24, 2019, an email exchange between David Farhan and Officer Traughber showed:
- a. Mr. Farhan indicated that the wall for full separation was eighty percent (80%) done; and
 - b. Officer Traughber replied "...let me know late next week if you are ready for an inspection." Exhibit B.

57. Follow-up investigation by Officer Vargo determined that Arabesk Palace, LLC has been administratively dissolved by the Indiana Secretary of State as of November 5, 2017. Exhibit 9.
58. Additionally, Officer Vargo was able to obtain records of a remedial agreement between the city of South Bend and Arabesk due to being designated by the city as a “chronic problem property”. Exhibit 8.
59. Subsequently, Officer Vargo was able to investigate the text messages received by one of the minors at Arabesk on March 22, 2019. That text message was sent by a number 474747. The text messages stated “Palace free tonight text notredame to 474747 share with your friends that’s the only way to get in Brandon.” Exhibit 3.
60. Officer Vargo was able to determine that the number 474747 belonged to a third party texting service by the name of CallFire which is located at 1410 2nd St. Suite 200, Santa Monica California. Hearing at 2:31:48.
61. On April 9, 2019 Officer Vargo obtained a search warrant from the St. Joseph County Superior Court for the records regarding the number 474747 from CallFire. Hearing at 2:31:35.
62. On April 16, 2019, legal counsel for CallFire provided the user information for number 474747. That information indicated that the only authorized user for number 474747 was Brandon Arizpe. According to the records provided by CallFire, the CallFire service is paid for by a credit card in the name of Brandon Arizpe. Exhibit 7. Hearing at 2:37:55.

63. CallFire provided a list of text messages sent by 474747 on March 21 and March 22, 2019 which showed the messages sent on those dates. On March 21, 2019, a text message of “Yo, It’s Brandon thanks for joining my text blast, this is for info about the hottest events and to avoid the X-men! Text me anytime Reply STOP notredame 2 Optout.” Exhibit 7.
64. On March 21, 2019, a text message was sent stating “Palace free tonight text notredame to 474747 share with your friends that’s the only way to get in Brandon.” Exhibit 7.
65. Several additional messages were sent on March 21, 2019 with the response “Your In Thanks Brandon Reply STOP notredame 2 Optout.” Exhibit 7.
66. On March 22, 2019 at 12:08 AM the following message was sent, “excuse outside the palace find a back door do not go out the front door.” Exhibit 7.
67. This message was sent at approximately the same time as officers began to approach Arabesk. The above message was sent to 1013 individuals. Exhibit 7.
68. Arizpe admitted that he has his employee permit so that he can go behind the bar at Arabesk and pour himself a drink. Hearing at 4:35:11 – 4:36:00.
69. The Hearing Judge took judicial notice of the Commission’s file, specifically the approved floor plan on file with the Commission as of March 22, 2019. The Hearing Judge further took judicial notice of the Notices of Violation, Notice of Prehearing Conferences, and Final Hearing notices issued in the above captions cause numbers.
70. Arizpe stated he shared his password for the texting system with multiple people in exchange for money. Hearing at 4:16:25.

71. Arizpe presented evidence from EZ texting which he state is the texting service he uses. 4:15:52.
72. No connection could be made between EZ Texting and CallFire.
73. Arizpe obtained IP address and log in information from EZ Texting for March 21, 2019 and March 22, 2019 which shows the following:
- a. March 21, 2019 – IP Address 108.219.91.151 – Time 7:05:32 pm
 - b. March 21, 2019 – IP Address 108.219.91.151 – Time 9:04:15 pm
 - c. March 21, 2019 – IP Address 98.220.123.184 – Time 10:35:24 pm
 - d. March 22, 2019 – IP Address 98.220.123.184 – Time 12:06:14 am
 - e. March 22, 2019 - IP Address 108.219.91.151 – Time 1:54:24 am
 - f. March 22, 2019 - IP Address 108.219.91.151 – Time 7:07:20 pm.

Exhibit C.

74. Arizpe claimed that he could not have sent the messages at 12:08 pm telling patrons to leave out the back to avoid ISEP because those messages were sent from a different IP address. Hearing on 40:20:47.
75. Arizpe further stated that he sent all of the messages with the name Brandon in the message. Hearing on 40:20:47.
76. If there is a connection between CallFire and EZ Texting, the log in at 10:35:24 pm was under the same IP address as the log in that instructed patrons to leave out the back to avoid ISEP. Exhibit C and Exhibit 7.
77. Messages during the times this IP address was logged in messages were signed by Brandon. Exhibit C and Exhibit 7.
78. Arizpe was acting on behalf of or as an agent of Arabesk as evidenced by:

- a. Arizpe was present at the permit premises and interacted with ISEP in a manner that implied a roll as an employee in both November 2018 and March 2019;
- b. Arizpe obtained an employee permit so he could help himself to non-alcoholic beverages behind the bar at Arabesk;
- c. Arizpe consistently used terms such as “we” and “our” while testifying about events at Arabesk; and
- d. Arizpe offered through his texting system free entry to Arabesk on March 21-22, 2019 which was honored by employees working at the door of Arabesk.

Hearing.

79. Arabesk stated that people were fleeing the permit premises because ISEP had a person detained in front of the business. Hearing at 4:40:18. The hearing judge does not find this credible because ISEP observed people fleeing prior to detaining anyone.
80. Arabesk stated that they locked the doors because everyone left, but also stated that the closing routine is typically to have last call at 2:00 am and close at 3:00 am. Hearing at 4:46:09.
81. Farhan took server training. Hearing at 4:46:52.
82. Arizpe held an employee permit from June 9, 2014 to June 9, 2017 for which he took online server training on July 15, 2014. ATC File.
83. Arizpe reinstated his employee permit on September 11, 2018 but never completed server training. ATC File.

84. Farhan stated that he completed server training as manager of Arabesk. Hearing at 4:46:45.
85. Arizpe stated he never received information about how to spot a fake id. Hearing at 3:10:44.
86. Testimony that the mass exodus of all patrons in the bar as they threw their drinks on the ground was normal and/or the fault of ISEP was not credible.
87. Testimony speculating that the minor detained by Officer Vargo might have sent the message through CallFire is not supported by any evidence.
88. Any finding of fact may be a conclusion of law.

Conclusions of Law

1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Indiana Code 7.1-1-2-2 and Indiana Code 7.1-2-3-9.
2. The Hearing Judge may take judicial notice of the Commission file relevant to a case. 905 IAC 1-36-7(a).
3. The Commission may:
 - a. Fine or suspend or revoke the permit of; or
 - b. Fine and suspend or revoke the permit of;a permittee for the violation of a provision of this title or of a rule or regulation of the commission.
4. Indiana Code 7.1-3-9-9 (c), scope of liquor retailer's permit, limits the quantity of liquor that can be sold for carryout but does not specify the container requirements.
5. Arabask did not violate the scope of the liquor retailer's permit by allowing a patron to exit with a drink containing liquor in a plastic cup.

6. Recklessly means that a person engages in conduct in plain, conscious, and unjustifiable disregard of harm that might result and the disregard involves a substantial deviation from acceptable standards of conduct. IC 35-41-2-2.
7. Indiana Code 7.1-5-7-10(b) states that it is a violation for a permittee to recklessly permit a minor to be in the prohibited place where alcoholic beverages are sold.
8. IC 7.1-5-7-11 provides the exceptions where minors can be present.
9. Arabesk did not qualify for any exception allowing minors to be present on March 21-22, 2019 because they no longer qualified for limited separation pursuant to 905 IAC 1-41-2(a) and added an new bar that was not approved by ISEP and did not have any type of separation.
10. Although Arabesk told Officer Traugher in an email in January 2019 that the wall for full separation was 80% complete, there was no evidence of a wall at the time ISEP was present at the location in March 2019.
11. At least two minors were present on March 21-22, 2019 long enough to obtain alcoholic beverages.
12. Indiana Code 7.1-5-7-8 states that it is a violation for a permittee to recklessly, knowingly, or intentionally sell, barter, exchange, provide, or furnish an alcoholic beverage to a minor.
13. Two minors obtained alcoholic beverages at the permit premises.
14. White Claw Hard Seltzer is an alcoholic beverage.
15. There is substantial evidence that the blue liquid carried by the minor detained by Officer Vargo was an alcoholic beverage. The minor showed signs she had consumed alcoholic

beverage, the minor admitted it was an alcoholic beverage, and the minor discarded the beverage when she was approached by Officer Vargo.

16. IC 7.1-3-1.5-6(b)(4)(E) requires server training to include checking the identification of an individual, identifying an illegal identification of an individual, and handling situations involving individuals who have provided illegal identification.
17. An alcohol server shall complete a server program as required by IC 7.1-3-1.5-13 and 905 IAC 1-12.5-7.
18. A retailer permittee or a management representative of a retailer permittee must complete a server program or a trainer program not later than one hundred twenty (120) days after the date the retailer permittee is issued and every five (5) years after the completion of the prior training program. IC 7.1-3-1.5-13.
19. Arizpe was required to take server training and failed to do so.
20. Indiana Code 7.1-5-8-1 states that it is a violation for a person to recklessly hinder, obstruct, interfere with or prevent the observance or enforcement of any provision of this title or a rule or regulation of the commission.
21. On March 21-22, 2019, Arabesk hindered an ISEP investigation by assisting and/or encouraging the crowd of patrons to exit promptly out a rear door in an attempt to avoid ISEP and locking the door behind them while ISEP was still present at the premises conducting an investigation.
22. Throwing drinks on the ground, exiting through a rear door to an area that is not well lit, and closing two hours before normal closing time were actions out of the ordinary course of business and done to hinder ISEP from observing or enforcing violations of Title 7.1 of the Indiana Code and Title 905 of the Indiana Administrative Code.

23. Arizpe hindered ISEP by sending a message to patrons of the bar instructing them to exit the back of the premises to avoid ISEP.
24. Arabesk hindered ISEP by having Arizpe in a position to act on behalf of or as an agent of Arabesk when sending a message to patrons of the bar instructing them to exit the back of the premises to avoid ISEP.
25. Indiana Administrative Code 905 IAC 1-29-7 states that any change in the floor plans of the license premises of any retail permittee made after the date of the adoption of this rule shall require the prior approval of the alcohol and tobacco commission, and failure to obtain such prior approval shall constitute a violation of this rule.
26. Arabesk altered its floor plan and began using the newly added bar without approval by ISEP. Arabesk had two prior floor plans approved and were aware of the process. Officer Traugher told Arabesk to contact her when they were ready for inspection and they failed to do so.
27. Any conclusion of law may also be a finding of fact.

Proposed Order as to Arabesk Palace, LLC

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

Under Cause EX18-021954, judgement shall be entered against Arabesk Palace LLC

(RR7131625) on the following:

- a. Count 2: Allowing a Minor to Loiter (IC 7.1-5-7-10(b)) with a civil penalty of \$500;
- b. Count 3: Allowing a Minor to Loiter (IC 7.1-5-7-10(b)) with a civil penalty of \$500;

- c. Count 4: Furnishing Alcohol to a Minor (IC 7.1-5-7-8) with a civil penalty of \$500;
 - d. Count 5: Furnishing Alcohol to a Minor (IC 7.1-5-7-8) with a civil penalty of \$500;
 - e. Count 6: Hindrance or Prevention of a Rule or Regulation of the Commission (IC 7.1-5-8-1) with a civil penalty of \$1000; and
 - f. Count 7: Floor Plan Alteration (905 IAC 1-29-7) with a civil penalty of \$500;
2. Under Cause EX18-021954, judgement shall be entered in favor of Arabesk Palace LLC (RR7131625) on Count 1: Scope of Liquor Retailer Permit.
 3. Under Cause EX19-005551, judgement shall be entered against Arabesk Palace LLC (RR7131625) on the following.
 - a. Count 1: Hindrance or Prevention of a Rule or Regulation of the Commission (IC 7.1-5-8-1) with a civil penalty of \$1000.
 4. Arabesk Palace, LLC shall pay a total **CIVIL PENALTY** in the amount of **FOUR THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED** Dollars (\$4500).
 5. It is further ordered that David Farhan provide a certificate showing completion of an approved server training course within 60 days of the receipt of this order to show compliance with IC 7.1-3-1.5-13.


Proposed Order as to Arabesk Palace, LLC

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

1. Under Cause EV05872, judgement shall be entered against Brandon Arizpe (BR1776962) on the following.

a. Count 1: Hindrance or Prevention of a Rule or Regulation of the Commission (IC 7.1-5-8-1).

2. Employee Permit BR1776962 shall be **REVOKED**.




Jessica Allen
Hearing Judge
Indiana Alcohol and Tobacco Commission

This Proposed Findings of Fact and Order has been sent to:

Arabesk Palace LLC
PO Box 11664
South Bend IN 46634


Brandon Arizpe
2030 Parkview Pl.
South Bend, Indiana 46616

Commission adoption:




David Cook, Chairman

Date: 11-19-19



John Krauss, Vice Chairman



Marjorie Maginn, Commissioner



Dale Grubb, Commissioner

