FINAL

National Register of Historic Places Registration Form

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in How to Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form (National Register Bulletin 16A). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the information requested. If an item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions. Place additional entries and narrative items on continuation sheets (NPS Form 10-900a). Use a typewriter, word processor, or computer, to complete all items.

1. Name of Property	
historic name Furnas Mill Bridge	
2. Location	
	erbury Fish and Wildlife Area N/A not for publication
city or town Edinburgh	vicinity
state Indiana code IN coun	ty Johnson code 081 zip code 46124
3. State/Federal Agency Certification	
request for determination of eligibility meets the documental Historic Places and meets the procedural and professional required meets does not meet the National Register criteria. I recommend the N	sheet for additional comments.) 5 + PO
Signature of certifying official/Title	Date
State or Federal agency and bureau	
4. National Park Service Certification	
I hereby certify that the property is: — entered in the National Register. — See continuation sheet.	Signature of the Keeper Date of Action
 ☐ determined eligible for the National Register 	
See continuation sheet.	
☐ determined not eligible for the National Register	
□ removed from the National Register □ other, (explain:)	

Furnas Mill Bridge Name of Property	Address of the second s		Johnson County and	IN d State	
5. Classification	***				
Ownership of Property (Check as many boxes as apply) □ private Category of Property (Check only one box) □ building		Number of Resources within Property (Do not include previously listed resources in the count Contributing Noncontributing			
□ public-local ⋈ public-State	☐ district☐ site	0		0	buildings
☐ public-Federal	⊠ structure ┌┐object	0		0	sites
	□ object	1		0	structures
		0		0	objects
		1		0	Total
Name of related multiple pro (Enter "N/A" if property is not part of a		Number of contri in the National Re	buting reso egister	urces previo	usly listed
. N/A		0			
6. Function or Use					
Historic Functions (Enter categories from instructions)		Current Functions (Enter categories from in			
TRANSPORTATION:	Road-Related	TRANSPORT	ATION:	Pedest	trian-Related

7. Description					
Architectural Classification (Enter categories from instructions)		Materials (Enter categories from	instructions)		
OTHER:	Pratt through truss	foundation	S	TONE: Lin	nestone
		walls			
		roof			
		other _		METAI	4

Narrative Description

(Describe the historic and current condition of the property on one or more continuation sheets.)

	Mill Bridge Property	Johnson IN	
	tement of Significance	County and State	
	able National Register Criteria " in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property	Areas of Significance (Enter categories from instructions)	
for Natio	onal Register listing.)	ENGINEERING	
$\boxtimes A$	Property is associated with events that have made	TRANSPORTATION	
	a significant contribution to the broad patterns of		
	our history.		
□B	Property is associated with the lives of persons		
	significant in our past.		
[™] ⊠C			
K7 -	Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or		***
	represents the work of a master, or possesses		
	high artistic values, or represents a significant and	David of Cinnificance	
	distinguishable entity whose components lack	Period of Significance 1891-1945	
	individual distinction.	1891-1945	
	Property has yielded, or is likely to yield,		
	information important in prehistory or history.		
		Significant Dates	
	a Considerations ' in all the boxes that apply.)	1891	
`	Property is:		
	Troporty io.		
□ A	owned by a religious institution or used for		
	religious purposes.	Significant Person	
⊓В	removed from its original location.	(Complete if Criterion B is marked above)	
□c	a birthplace or grave.	Cultural Affiliation	
□ D	a cemetery.	Guitara Armation	
ΠE	a reconstructed building, object, or structure.		
□ -	a commemorative property.		
⊡.G	less than 50 years of age or achieved significance		
, <u> </u>	within the past 50 years.	Architect/Builder	
	, ,	King Iron Bridge Company	
			_
Narrati	ive Statement of Significance		_
(Explain t	the significance of the property on one or more continuation sheets.)		
9. Majo	or Bibliographic References		ä
Bibliog	graphy		
(Cite the	books, articles, and other sources used in preparing this form of us documentation on file (NPS):	n one or more continuation sheets.) Primary location of additional data:	
	minary determination of individual listing (36	•	
CFR	67) has been requested	⊠ State Historic Preservation Office	
□ prev	iously listed in the National Register	☐ Other State agency	
- prov	involved atominad aligible by the National	⊓ Federal agency	
	iously determined eligible by the National gister		
_	gnated a National Historic Landmark	☐ Local government	
	uded by Historia American Dylldings Comress	☐ University	
□ reco #	rded by Historic American Buildings Survey	□ Other	
□ reco	rded by Historic American Engineering	☐ Other	
Rec	ord # '	Name of repository:	

Furnas Mill Bridge

Furnas Mill Bridge Name of Property	Johnson IN County and State
10. Geographical Data	
Acreage of Property less than 1 acre	
UTM References (Place additional UTM references on a continuation sheet.)	
1 16 586300 4359450 2 Northing 4	Zone Easting Northing See continuation sheet
Verbal Boundary Description (Describe the boundaries of the property on a continuation sheet.)	
Boundary Justification (Explain why the boundaries were selected on a continuation sheet.)	
11. Form Prepared By	
name/title Christopher Baas, Landscape Architect	
organization IDNR Division of Engineering	date <u>12-01-2000</u>
street & number 402 W. Washington St. Rm. 299	telephone <u>317-232-4157</u>
city or town Indianapolis	state IN zip code 46204
Additional Documentation Submit the following items with the completed form:	
Continuation Sheets	
Maps	
A USGS map (7.5 or 15 minute series) indicating the pro A Sketch map for historic districts and properties having	
•	large acreage of numerous resources.
Photographs Representative black and white photographs of the pro	pertv.
Additional items (Check with the SHPO or FPO for any additional items)	
Property Owner	
(Complete this item at the request of SHPO or FPO.)	
name IDNR - Division of Fish and Wildlife	
street & number 402 W. Washington St. Rm 273	telephone 317-733-8250
city or town Indianapolis	state IN zip code 46204

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement: This information is being collected for applications to the National Register of Historic Places to nominate properties for listing or determine eligibility for listing, to list properties and to amend existing listings. Response to this request is required to obtain a benefit in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.).

Estimated Burden Statement: Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 18.1 hours per response including time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data, and completing and reviewing the form. Direct comments regarding this burden estimate or any aspect of this form to the Chief, Administrative Services Division, National Park Service, P.O. Box 37127, Washington, DC 20013-7127; and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reductions Projects (1024-0018), Washington, DC 20503.

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES CONTINUATION SHEET

Section	number	7	Page	1	
			_		

Furnas Mill Bridge, Johnson County, Indiana

The Furnas Mill Bridge is a two span iron truss bridge manufactured by the King Iron Bridge and Manufacturing Company. It is located where Pisgah Road crosses Sugar Creek in the Indiana Department of Natural Resource's Camp Atterbury Fish and Wildlife Area. The property is owned and managed by the department's Division of Fish and Wildlife.

The bridge is oriented northeast-southwest over the southeast flowing creek. The northeastern span is over open water, and the southwestern span is over wooded floodplain. Trees line the creek's banks, and a gravel road leads to the crossing. Each span measures 120 feet in length, has 115 feet of clear span between abutment and pier, and has a 16 foot wide roadway.

The spans rest on limestone block abutments and central pier. The blocks are rock faced and set in a common bond pattern, and both the abutments and pier have a capstone. The abutments are three sided wing walls that retain the creek bank and approaching roadways. The pier's northern most end is v-shaped and perpendicular to the creek's southerly flow. Its south end is squared with tooled corners.

At the central pier the bridge rests on non-expansive bearings, and on a nest of roller bars on each abutment. A large metal base plate rests between each bearing point and the capstone.

The bridge was manufactured from two different materials. Wrought iron was used for members in tension, for example the diagonals and bottom chords. Steel, a cheaper product, was used for members in compression, for example the verticals and top chords. The bridge displays considerable rust on its steel members, and shows no indication of its original paint color.

Each of the half-hip, pin-connected trusses are subdivided into eight panels. Instead of single manufactured pieces, the top-chords and end-posts are crafted from three plates riveted together with angles. Metal strip battens reinforce the bottom-side. It is speculated that crafted members, as opposed to rolled, were more rigid.

Each hip vertical is two squared eyebars, and the vertical posts are lace connected channels that decrease in size towards mid-span. The bottom chord is two die-forged rectangular eyebars somewhat smaller in length towards the center. Round rods, threaded for adjustment, comprise both top and bottom lateral bracing. The diagonals are two squared eyebars angled towards the span's middle. The mid-span vertical has two single squared eyebars angled towards each span's ends.

NPS Form 10-900-a (8-86)

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES CONTINUATION SHEET

Section	number	7	Page	2
---------	--------	---	------	---

Furnas Mill Bridge, Johnson County, Indiana

The portal bracing is latticed struts with curved knee braces decorated with King's hallmark trio of crossed circles. The intermediate struts are lace-connected angles with straight knee braces.

The bridge's deck is poured concrete, but would have originally been wood. The triangular girder floor beams are connected to the verticals by U-bolt hangers. The girders are tied together, like the top chord, with lateral bracing made of round rods. The main stringers connecting the girders are rolled I-beams with the top flange embedded in the bottom of the concrete deck. The outside stringers are channels with thin webs that cap the edge of the concrete. The quardrail is two horizontal channels hung from the verticals.

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES CONTINUATION SHEET

Section	number	8	Page	3
			_	

Furnas Mill Bridge, Johnson County, Indiana

Located on Sugar Creek in northeast Johnson County, the Furnas Mill Bridge is a two span iron truss bridge. It is eligible for the National Register for its significance in the broad patterns of transportation history and as an excellent example of a Pratt through truss type of iron bridge. The bridge is in fair condition and maintains its historical integrity.

Early bridges were constructed of wood, or wood reinforced by iron. Unfortunately, wood as a building material held intrinsic problems of fire, decay, design limits, and availability. Although Indiana has iron bridges dating to the 1850's, wide scale acceptance of iron over wood took place in the 1880's. Simply stated, the value of the iron truss was its ability to span longer distances by assembling shorter members into triangles to create a longer beam. The strength of a bridge was then dependent more upon the strength limits of the material and less on the ability of the connections. The transition to steel cost more, but as a man-made material it had a more dependable quality and supply, lasted longer, and was easier to maintain. As bridge companies became more prolific the cost lowered.

Bridge historian James L. Cooper concluded that the mid-nineteenth century expansion of the railroads, along with legislative changes that regulated the construction of public transportation facilities, most affected the development of the iron truss bridge in Indiana. Railroads were growing in tandem with the state's population, and the resulting production increases of agricultural, coal and limestone, and manufactured goods. Rail companies were seeking bridges that were stronger, longer, and more dependable. About the same time, the state legislation shifted local transportation development to county commissioners who were seeking cost-effective ways of improving archaic road conditions. The iron truss bridge provided both the railroads and local officials dependable, strong, affordable, low maintenance, and easily built structures.

The increased demand for bridges resulted in business for both established and upstart manufacturers. While the earliest iron bridges were typically constructed in Pennsylvania and Ohio, later bridges were manufactured in many Indiana communities. Gary, Muncie, Lafayette, and Indianapolis had bridge companies, as well as smaller towns like New Castle and Rochester. To remain competitive bridge companies would purchase patented designs, or had engineering staffs continually attempt to improve their product.

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES CONTINUATION SHEET

Section	number	8	Page	4

Furnas Mill Bridge, Johnson County, Indiana

In 1844 Caleb and Thomas Pratt patented a truss type that was described as the "most seminal nineteenth-century design for metal truss bridges." The typical Pratt truss had horizontal parallel chords connected by verticals, inclined endposts, and diagonals. Pratt through trusses had both sway and lateral bracing. The design was the most popular in Indiana through the last two decades of the nineteenth-century peaking at 80% of all bridges constructed in the 1880's.

The Furnas Mill Bridge was constructed to support the commercial interests of a small Blue River Township community. In 1875 Orlando Furnas bought the Foster Mill located on the west bank of Sugar Creek. By the 1880's he had turned it into "one of the most successful mills" in Johnson County by introducing the "roller process" that allowed a "capacity of 60 barrels per day." The success of the mill depended upon area farmer's ability to deliver grain to the mill, and Furnas's ability to transport the finished product to market.

County Commission records indicate that, at least by 1890, Furnas and his neighbors lobbied for an iron bridge across Sugar Creek, and offered to construct the earthen approaches. In January 1891, following a visit to the site, the commissioners agreed to erect an iron bridge on stone abutments and central pier. They accepted a bond from local citizens for the construction of two earthen approaches: 1,130 feet from the west and 268 feet from the east. In April the commissioners accepted three proposals to construct the bridge. They selected the King Iron Bridge and Manufacturing Company proposal to construct two 120 foot spans for \$19.95 per lineal foot, or \$4800. Each "bridge" had a 115 foot clear span between abutment and pier, and a 16 foot roadway. In May, William E. Gray of Shelby County was hired to construct the stone pier and abutments from St. Paul Blue Limestone.

The King Iron Bridge Company was one of the country's most successful and prolific bridge companies of the latter half of the 19th century. Its founder, Zenas King, learned the bridge trade working as an agent for the Mosley Bridge Company of Cincinnati. In this position he learned the methods of iron bridge fabrication and how the workings of local politics were important in gaining public contracts.

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES CONTINUATION SHEET

Section	number	8	Page	5	

Furnas Mill Bridge, Johnson County, Indiana

King located his own company in Cleveland in 1860. It was built around his patented version of the bowstring bridge that could be mass-produced with wrought-iron boiler plate riveted into a rectangular tube. Since the bridge maximized the use of materials, for instance using less iron where the truss was not in compression, it was less expensive than other designs.

A criticism of the bowstring was its lack of overhead bracing that limited side sway in the truss. So, as a whole, the country was shifting to the Pratt through-truss, and King ultimately transitioned his business to where the Pratt became his main product in the middle 1880's.

King divided his company into sales, design, fabrication, and construction units. His fabrication shop was located near main shipping and railroad facilities organized to receive raw materials and distribute finished product. As much of the bridge was constructed in the shop as possible to eliminate erection time and varying field conditions. In the 1880's the company's growth caused a move of its 360 employees to a new manufacturing plant on the outskirts of Cleveland, and a modernization of its methods and equipment.

The company's national success was caused by its use of a network of salesmen located in major cities, and in the country's developing western regions. To handle the large number of salesmen located in the west, King opened a Des Moines sales office to provide coordination and supervision. A common business practice of the day, King joined a pool of 16 other bridge companies that divided sales turf and reduced competition for public bids. Thirteen percent of bridge costs went into the pool and were redistributed among pool members. Essentially, the pool compensated bridge companies for not competing outside their territory, which allowed for higher public bids. This explains how companies were able to dominate geographic regions, a practice federal and state laws would eventually declare illegal. Ultimately, King's company was dissolved in 1906 after his sons, who had inherited the company, were found guilty of "illegal restraint of trade," the very practice that aided their success.

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES CONTINUATION SHEET

Section	number	88	Page	6

Furnas Mill Bridge, Johnson County, Indiana

There are seven surviving King bridges in Indiana: two bowstrings, two Pratts, and three plate girders. The Furnas Mill Bridge is one of two remaining iron bridges in Johnson County, and has two unique moneysaving characteristics. The endposts were crafted of a flat plate and two angles riveted together instead of the typical rolled channel. Also, the bridge is constructed of two different metals: wrought iron for tension members and the less expensive steel for compression. As the bridge aged, the steel members deteriorated while the wrought iron remained intact.

The Furnas Mill Bridge became part of Camp Atterbury in 1942 when, with war looming, the Army established a camp in northeast Johnson County. The farmhouses and communities surrounding the bridge were purchased and razed, and the bridge became a part of the camp's interior road system. The camp was declared excess in 1963, and since no other federal agency expressed interest, the Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Division of Fish and Wildlife purchased it in 1969. The bridge then provided access to more than 6,000 acres of hunting and fishing opportunities until it was closed in the 1990's when it could no longer meet modern weight requirements.

NPS Form 10-900-a (8-86)

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES CONTINUATION SHEET

Section	number	9	Page	7

Furnas Mill Bridge, Johnson County, Indiana

Bibliography

- Banta, D. D. History of Johnson County. Chicago: Braun & Fuller, 1888.
- Barker, J. A. <u>Inspection and Appraisal of the Camp Atterbury Truss</u>

 <u>Bridge</u>. Report to the Indiana Department of Natural Resources,
- Cooper, J. L. <u>Furnas Mill Bridge: History and Description</u>. Indianapolis: Letter and report to Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Division of Engineering, January 15, 2000.
- Cooper, J. L. <u>Iron Monuments to Distant Posterity: Indiana's Metal Bridges</u>, 1870-1930. Indianapolis: Indiana Department of Natural Resources, 1987.
- Newbery, R. and H.W. Guy Meyer. "Ordinary Highway Bridges," <u>Wisconsin</u> Academy Review, March 1984.
- Saldibar, J. P. <u>Historic Iron Bridges in Indiana</u>. Indianapolis: Indiana History Bulletin, 70:2, June 1999.
- Simmons, D. A. "Bridge Building on a National Scale: The King Iron Bridge Company," The Journal of the Society for Industrial Archaeology, Vol. 15, No.2, 1989.
- Taulman, L. and Don Wertz. <u>The Atterbury File</u>. Franklin: Central Nine Vocational-Technical School, 1983.

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES CONTINUATION SHEET

Section number 10 Page 8

Furnas Mill Bridge, Johnson County, Indiana

Verbal Boundary Justification

In plan, a rectangle measuring 340'x80' centered on the bridge's pier and the centerline of the bridge's roadway. The rectangle's long sides parallel the bridge chords and the short ends parallel the portal bracing.

Boundary Justification

The rectangular boundary includes the bridge, pier, and abutments with approximately 30' of buffer off each side and 50' off each end.

