

**United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service**

FILE

**National Register of Historic Places
Registration Form**

This form is for use in nominating or requesting determinations for individual properties and districts. See instructions in How to Complete the National Register of Historic Places Registration Form (National Register Bulletin 16A). Complete each item by marking "x" in the appropriate box or by entering the information requested. If an item does not apply to the property being documented, enter "N/A" for "not applicable." For functions, architectural classification, materials, and areas of significance, enter only categories and subcategories from the instructions. Place additional entries and narrative items on continuation sheets (NPS Form 10-900a). Use a typewriter, word processor, or computer, to complete all items.

1. Name of Property


historic name Feeder Dam Bridge
other names/site number Eel River Bridge; Clay County Bridge #208 021-113-45006

2. Location

street & number Towpath Road (CR 55W) over Eel River N/A not for publication
city or town Clay City vicinity
state Indiana code IN county Clay code 021 zip code 47841

3. State/Federal Agency Certification

As the designated authority under the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended, I hereby certify that this nomination request for determination of eligibility meets the documentation standards for registering properties in the National Register of Historic Places and meets the procedural and professional requirements set forth in 36CFR Part 60. In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the National Register criteria. I recommend that this property be considered significant nationally statewide locally. (See continuation sheet for additional comments.)

 1/25/00
Signature of certifying official/Title Date
Indiana Department of Natural Resources
State or Federal agency and bureau

In my opinion, the property meets does not meet the National Register criteria. (See continuation sheet for additional comments.)

Signature of certifying official/Title Date

State or Federal agency and bureau

4. National Park Service Certification

I hereby certify that the property is:

- entered in the National Register.
 See continuation sheet.
- determined eligible for the National Register
 See continuation sheet.
- determined not eligible for the National Register
- removed from the National Register
- other, (explain:)

Signature of the Keeper

Date of Action

Feeder Dam Bridge

Clay IN

Name of Property

County and State

5. Classification

Ownership of Property (Check as many boxes as apply)

Category of Property (Check only one box)

Number of Resources within Property (Do not include previously listed resources in the count)

- Ownership options: private, public-local, public-State, public-Federal

- Category options: building, district, site, structure, object

Table with 3 columns: Contributing, Noncontributing, and Resource Type (buildings, sites, structures, objects, Total). Values: Contributing (0, 0, 1, 0, 1), Noncontributing (0, 0, 0, 0, 0).

Name of related multiple property listing (Enter "N/A" if property is not part of a multiple property listing.)

Number of contributing resources previously listed in the National Register

N/A

0

6. Function or Use

Historic Functions (Enter categories from instructions)

Current Functions (Enter categories from instructions)

TRANSPORTATION: Road-Related (vehicular)

VACANT

Not in use

7. Description

Architectural Classification (Enter categories from instructions)

Materials (Enter categories from instructions)

OTHER: Whipple through truss

foundation

walls

roof

other

METAL

STONE

Narrative Description

(Describe the historic and current condition of the property on one or more continuation sheets.)

Feeder Dam Bridge _____

Name of Property

Clay _____ IN _____

County and State

8. Statement of Significance

Applicable National Register Criteria

(Mark "x" in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property for National Register listing.)

- A** Property is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history.
- B** Property is associated with the lives of persons significant in our past.
- C** Property embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic values, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction.
- D** Property has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

Criteria Considerations

(Mark "x" in all the boxes that apply.)

Property is:

- A** owned by a religious institution or used for religious purposes.
- B** removed from its original location.
- C** a birthplace or grave.
- D** a cemetery.
- E** a reconstructed building, object, or structure.
- F** a commemorative property.
- G** less than 50 years of age or achieved significance within the past 50 years.

Areas of Significance

(Enter categories from instructions)

TRANSPORTATION

Period of Significance

1894-1949

Significant Dates

1894

Significant Person

(Complete if Criterion B is marked above)

N/A

Cultural Affiliation

Architect/Builder

C.F. Hunt Company, Indianapolis

Narrative Statement of Significance

(Explain the significance of the property on one or more continuation sheets.)

9. Major Bibliographic References

Bibliography

(Cite the books, articles, and other sources used in preparing this form on one or more continuation sheets.)

Previous documentation on file (NPS):

- preliminary determination of individual listing (36 CFR 67) has been requested
- previously listed in the National Register
- previously determined eligible by the National Register
- designated a National Historic Landmark
- recorded by Historic American Buildings Survey # _____
- recorded by Historic American Engineering Record # _____

Primary location of additional data:

- State Historic Preservation Office
- Other State agency
- Federal agency
- Local government
- University
- Other

Name of repository:

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places

Continuation Sheet - Feeder Dam Bridge #208

Sections 7, 8, 9, and 10

Page 1

Section 7 Description

The C. F. Hunt Company of Indianapolis, Indiana built this single-span double intersection Pratt (Whipple) through truss (photo 1). Positioned on its original stone abutments, the 206' long span contains 11 panels, has an 18' 3" roadway and 15' 5" portal clearance. The intermediate verticals are constructed of two different sizes of latticed channels (channels on the outer panels nearer the abutments are of a heavier gauge), which are riveted to pin plates at the upper end and reinforcing pin plates at the lower end. The upper pins on the two center verticals carry cylindrical diagonals with turnbuckles. Diagonals stretching across two panels (Whipple design) are pinned at the midpoint of the center of the three verticals involved. The deck, now covered by asphalt, was originally oak planks fixed to stringers spanning the space between the floor I-beams. The floor I-beams are suspended below and at 90° angle to the lower chord and from the panel pins through the use of double U-shaped bolts.

Although over 100 years old and despite a lack of maintenance over the last 44 years, the bridge's integrity is excellent. The decorative portal detailing (photo 1) and the lacey visual appearance of its verticals, diagonals, and counters (photo 2) present an image that is both delicate and enduring, qualities not found in more recent examples of the bridge-building craft.

The abutments of bridges of this period were generally built using dressed stone with stone wing walls to protect the shoulder of the abutments from damage from flood and/or debris (photo 3). Often, local stonemasons under separate contract built these abutments with the county commissioners and bridge companies supplied and supervised the erection of the superstructure.

Bridges possess two distinct ends, the fixed end and the expansion end to allow for temperature changes and other expansion and contraction-inducing factors. Expansion/ contraction stress relief was accomplished by including roller bearing nests under the same endposts of each truss; together they form the expansion end of the bridge. The roller bearing nests on the Feeder Dam Bridge are on the east end (photo 4). In the photo, the endpost is at the very top center of the frame; the connecting pin that holds the endpost to the shoe is visible above an angled, riveted plate with an elongated hole. The flat bedplate fixed to the abutment provides the surface upon which the roller bearings move. The bearings are held in place by raised edges on the bedplate and the retainers along the sides of the roller nest.

Photo 5 shows the addition of a layer of asphalt over the last oak flooring on the deck of the bridge. The upper right limit of the hole allows a view of a portion of metal stringer supporting the decking.

The floor beam, lower chord, and vertical arrangements vary in truss bridges. In photo 6, center frame, is a typical example the floor I-beam, lower chord, panel connecting-pin, and vertical configuration found in many pin-connected truss bridges. The round bar appearing in the center left of the frame is part of the floor diagonal bracing system; the convex fitting and threaded nut are also part of the same system. The convex fitting provides a flat surface against which the nut can be turned to tighten

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places

Continuation Sheet - Feeder Dam Bridge #208

Sections 7, 8, 9, and 10

Page 4

pig iron furnaces around Brazil would have ceased to function without adequate supplies of coal.

The need to transport agricultural products to market also spurred development of a more all-weather infrastructure. Clay County's farmers were hard at work to raise more corn and wheat to move to market as grain or as flour processed in some of the local flouring mills. The 790,000 bushels of corn produced in the county in the 1880s nearly doubled to 1,346,160 bushels in the 1890s; a significant achievement but without purpose unless the grain reached market. Wheat, another county-grown grain, increased from 165,600 bushels in the 1880s to 267,590 bushels in the 1890s; another admirable achievement. County officials harkened to the needs of the taxable public and moved forward to resolve transportation issues.

As population grew and production of agricultural items and coal increased in the post Civil War decades, county officials and citizens realized that without good roads and all-weather stream crossings real limits to economic success existed in the region. Around 1868, the county commissioners appear to have taken a major step in resolving some stream crossing problems when they directed construction of a covered wooden bridge over the Eel River west of Bowling Green. Built by the firm of Rarick & Black the bridge cost \$12,000 to complete. Next, around 1871, the commissioners engaged contractors Ernst Muehler and David Notter, a firm that operated in Clay County during the 1870s and 1880s, to build a bridge across Jordan Creek north of Bowling Green. The firm was associated with the construction of many of the stonework abutments on Clay County bridges of that era. It might be worthy to note that Bowling Green was the county seat until 1877, when the seat of government was moved to the city of Brazil.

Once committed to furnishing permanent over-water crossings, the county commissioners moved rapidly to contract with Muehler & McNamar for the Poland covered wooden bridge over Eel River for \$7,200 (1872), and with William Graber and Levi Fair for the Hooker's Point bridge for \$6,300 (1876). Later destroyed in 1883 by an act of nature, this bridge was replaced by an iron bridge from the Canton Iron Bridge Company, Canton, Ohio, at a cost of \$5,120. Muehler & Notter furnished the stone abutments for \$600.00. Muehler & Notter also built the first Feeder Dam Bridge over the Eel River, a wooden structure (1878) at a cost of \$8,700. The first iron bridges built over Birch Creek were built by Muehler & Notter on the Bowling Green & Brazil Road (1878), the Birch Creek Reservoir bridge near Saline City (1880), and the abutments for the aqueduct bridge (1880).

Advances in technology, reduced cost of wrought iron, and the availability of rolled products in desired sizes, shapes and lengths, in the second half of the 19th century, lead to a greater use of metal in building bridges. By the 1880s, the use of pin-connected iron bridges became standard practice in the United States. When the wooden Feeder Dam Bridge burned one evening in July 1893, a Pratt (Whipple) through truss, pin-connected bridge replaced it.

Before it could be replaced, the county commissioners, as required by law, gave notice of letting a contract to rebuild the bridge. Published in the *Clay County Enterprise*, the notice called for sealed bids to be received until one o'clock, 15

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places

Continuation Sheet - Feeder Dam Bridge #208

Sections 7, 8, 9, and 10

Page 5

September, 1894, for construction of the earthworks, stone abutments, and superstructure under one contract. Plans and specifications for the work were available in the County Auditor's office. Two bidders presented sealed bids for the job, the Canton Iron Bridge Company, Canton, Ohio, and the C.F. Hunt Company, Indianapolis. Award of the contract to the C.F. Hunt Company was announced on 27 September 1894 in the *Enterprise*. The description of the bridge, which accompanied the award notice, was as follows: "all steel, 204 feet in length, from centre to centre of pins, 32 feet high, and a double quadrangular Pratt truss, capable of standing a live load of 1,800 pounds per lineal foot and 500 pounds dead load per lineal foot." The cost of this modern bridge was \$5,000.

Although the contract with the C.F. Hunt Company was approved, the location of this new bridge was not a done deal. Petitioners in the county filed suit against the Board of County Commissioners to restrain them from "making payment of public moneys" on the contract for the new bridge. The petitioners wanted the location of the new bridge to be moved to a point directly south of Cherokee near the railroad crossing. After the Board's chairman, Mr. Hoffman, informed the complainants that the bridge "would be rebuilt on the old site, whatever the outcome of the litigation instituted," the petitioners withdrew their suit and construction of the bridge was completed.

After serving the county for approximately 60 years, the Feeder Dam Bridge was abandoned in 1955 and both ends of the bridge were blocked to preclude its use for vehicular traffic. Today, the bridge stands as a stately presence over the Eel River; a symbol of the industrial prowess of the iron and steel manufacturers of the United States in the last half of the 19th century and that use of their products by American bridge builders of the period.

Section 9 Bibliography

Blanchard, Charles, ed. *Counties of Clay and Owen, Indiana, Historical and Biographical Atlas*. (Chicago, Ill.: F. A. Battey & Company, 1884).

Brazil Daily Times, 6 July 1926.

Brazil Weekly Democrat, 12 March 1917.

Bridge Papers, Clay County, Indiana. County Auditor's Files, 1897-1926.

Clay County Enterprise, 16 August 1894, 27 September 1894, and 7 February 1895.

Commissioner's Records. Clay County, Indiana, 1880-1926.

Edwards, Llewellyn N. *A Record of the History and Evolution of Early American Bridges*. (Orono, Me.: University Press, 1959).

United States Department of the Interior
National Park Service

National Register of Historic Places

Continuation Sheet - Feeder Dam Bridge #208

Sections 7, 8, 9, and 10

Page 6

Hool, George A. and W. S. Kinne, eds. *Steel and Timber Structures*. (New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1942).

Travis, William. *History of Clay County, Indiana. Vols. 1&2*. (Chicago, Ill.: Lewis Publishing Company, 1909).


Section 10 Geographical Data

Verbal Boundary Description

From a point 50 feet east and 10 feet north of the northeast endpost of the bridge; turn south and proceed across Towpath Road to a point 50 feet east and 10 feet south of the southeast endpost of the bridge; turn west and proceed across the river to a point 50 feet west and 10 feet south of the southwest endpost of the bridge; turn north and proceed across Towpath Road to a point 50 feet west and 10 feet north of the northwest endpost of the bridge; turn east and proceed across the river to close on the start point.

Boundary Justification

The boundary as described includes the approaches, abutments, wingwalls, and span, and the immediate environs of the bridge.



**FEEDER DAM BRIDGE
CLAY COUNTY, INDIANA
N 1/2, NW 1/4, S 10, T 10N, R 7W**

Not to Scale

